# DATA DEPENDENCE OF THE FIXED POINTS SET FOR A LOTKA-VOLTERRA SYSTEM

## DIANA OTROCOL

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study data dependence of the fixed point set for a Lotka-Volterra system using the weakly Picard operators technique.

#### 1. Introduction

The purpose of this paper is to study the following Lotka-Volterra system with delays

(1) 
$$x_i'(t) = f_i(t, x_1(t), x_2(t), x_1(t - \tau_1), x_2(t - \tau_2)), t \in [t_0, b], i = 1, 2;$$

(2) 
$$\begin{cases} x_1(t) = \varphi(t), \ t \in [t_0 - \tau_1, t_0], \\ x_2(t) = \psi(t), \ t \in [t_0 - \tau_2, t_0], \end{cases}$$

where

- $(H_1) \tau_1 \le \tau_2, t_0 < b;$
- $(H_2)$   $f_i \in C([t_0, b] \times \mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{R});$
- (H<sub>3</sub>) there exists  $L_f > 0$  such that:

$$|f_i(t, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) - f_i(t, v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4)| \le L_f(\sum_{k=1}^4 |u_k - v_k|),$$

for all  $t \in [t_0, b], u_k, v_k \in \mathbb{R}, k = \overline{1, 4}, i = 1, 2;$ 

$$(H_4) \varphi \in C([t_0 - \tau_1, t_0], \mathbb{R}), \ \psi \in C([t_0 - \tau_2, t_0], \mathbb{R})$$

 $(\mathrm{H}_4) \ \varphi \in C([t_0 - \tau_1, t_0], \mathbb{R}), \ \psi \in C([t_0 - \tau_2, t_0], \mathbb{R}).$ The problem (1)–(2) with  $x_1 \in C[t_0 - \tau_1, b] \cap C^1[t_0, b], \ x_2 \in C[t_0 - \tau_2, b] \cap C^1[t_0, b]$  $C^{1}[t_{0},b]$  is equivalent with

$$x_1(t) = \begin{cases} \varphi(t), & t \in [t_0 - \tau_1, t_0], \\ \varphi(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(s, x_1(s), x_2(s), x_1(s - \tau_1), x_2(s - \tau_2)) ds, & t \in [t_0, b], \end{cases}$$

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 34L05, 47H10.

Key words and phrases. weakly Picard operators, data dependence.

(3)  

$$x_2(t) = \begin{cases} \psi(t), \ t \in [t_0 - \tau_2, t_0], \\ \psi(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f_2(s, x_1(s), x_2(s), x_1(s - \tau_1), x_2(s - \tau_2)) ds, \ t \in [t_0, b], \end{cases}$$

where  $x_1 \in C[t_0 - \tau_1, b]$  and  $x_2 \in C[t_0 - \tau_2, b]$ .

The system (1) is equivalent with

$$x_1(t) = \begin{cases} x_1(t), \ t \in [t_0 - \tau_1, t_0], \\ x_1(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(s, x_1(x), x_2(s), x_1(s - \tau_1), x_2(s - \tau_2)) ds, \ t \in [t_0, b], \end{cases}$$

$$x_2(t) = \begin{cases} x_2(t), \ t \in [t_0 - \tau_2, t_0], \\ x_2(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f_2(s, x_1(x), x_2(s), x_1(s - \tau_1), x_2(s - \tau_2)) ds, \ t \in [t_0, b]. \end{cases}$$

Consider the following operators

$$A_f, B_f: C[t_0 - \tau_1, b] \times C[t_0 - \tau_2, b] \to C[t_0 - \tau_1, b] \times C[t_0 - \tau_2, b],$$

where  $A_f(x_1, x_2) = (A_{f_1}(x_1, x_2), A_{f_2}(x_1, x_2))$  is defined by the second part of (3) and  $B_f(x_1, x_2) = (B_{f_1}(x_1, x_2), B_{f_2}(x_1, x_2))$  is defined by the second part of (4).

**Remark 1.** ([2]) Let  $\varphi \in C([t_0 - \tau_1, t_0], X)$  and  $\psi \in C([t_0 - \tau_2, t_0], X)$ . Then we consider  $X_{\varphi} := \{x_1 \in C([t_0 - \tau_1, b], X) \mid x_1 \mid_{[t_0 - \tau_1, t_0]} = \varphi\}, X_{\psi} :=$  $\{x_2 \in C([t_0 - \tau_2, b], X) \mid x_2 \mid_{[t_0 - \tau_2, t_0]} = \psi \}.$   $We remark that X = \bigcup_{i=1}^{n} X_{\varphi} \times X_{\psi} \text{ is a partition of } X \text{ and } X_{\varphi} \times X_{\psi}$ 

is an invariant subset of  $A_f$  and of  $B_f$  for all  $\varphi \in C([t_0 - \tau_1, t_0])$  and  $\psi \in C([t_0 - \tau_2, t_0]).$ 

In this paper we apply the weakly Picard operators technique to study data dependence of the fixed point set for the system (1).

## 2. Weakly Picard Operators

In this paper we need some notions and results from the weakly Picard operator theory.

Let (X,d) be a metric space and  $A:X\to X$  an operator. We shall use the following notations:

 $F_A := \{x \in X \mid A(x) = x\}$ - the fixed point set of A;

 $I(A) := \{Y \subset X \mid A(Y) \subset Y, Y \neq \emptyset\}$ - the family of the nonempty invariant subsets of A;

$$A^{n+1} := A \circ A^n, \ A^1 = A, \ A^0 = 1_X, \ n \in \mathbb{N};$$
  
$$P(X) := \{Y \subset X \mid Y \neq \emptyset\};$$

 $H(Y,Z) := \max\{\sup_{y \in Y} \inf_{z \in Z} d(y,z), \sup_{z \in Z} \inf_{y \in Y} d(y,z)\}$ - the Pompeiu-Hausdorff functional on  $P(X) \times P(X)$ .

**Definition 2.** ([3], [4]) The operator A is a Picard operator (PO) if there exists  $x^* \in X$  such that:

- (i)  $F_A = \{x^*\};$
- (ii) the sequence  $(A^n(x_0))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges to  $x^*$  for all  $x_0\in X$ .

**Definition 3.** ([4]) The operator A is a weakly Picard operator (WPO) if the sequence  $(A^n(x))_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  converges, for all  $x\in X$ , and the limit (which may depend on x) is a fixed point of A.

**Definition 4.** ([5]) If A is WPO then we consider the operator  $A^{\infty}$ ,  $A^{\infty}$ :  $X \to X$ , defined by

$$A^{\infty}(x) := \lim_{n \to \infty} A^n(x).$$

**Remark 5.** ([5])  $A^{\infty}(X) = F_A$ .

**Remark 6.** ([5]) If A is a WPO and  $F_A = \{x^*\}$  then by definition the operator A is a PO.

Remark 7. ([5]) If A is a PO then

$$F_{A^n} = F_A = \{x^*\}, \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N}^*.$$

Remark 8. ([5]) If A is a WPO then

$$F_{A^n} = F_A \neq \emptyset$$
, for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ .

**Definition 9.** ([6], [7]) Let A be an WPO and c > 0. The operator A is c-WPO if

$$d(x, A^{\infty}(x)) \le cd(x, A(x)), \ \forall x \in X.$$

**Example 10.** ([6], [7]) Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and  $A: X \to X$  an operator. We suppose that there exists  $L \in [0, 1]$  such that

$$d(A^2(x), A(x)) \le Ld(x, A(x)), \ \forall x \in X.$$

Then A is c-WPO with  $c = (1 - L)^{-1}$ .

**Theorem 11.** ([4]) Let (X,d) be a metric space and  $A: X \to X$  an operator. The operator A is WPO (c-WPO) if and only if there exists a partition of X,

$$X = \underset{\lambda \in \Lambda}{\cup} X_{\lambda}$$

such that:

- (a)  $X_{\lambda} \in I(A)$ ,  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , I(A)-the family of nonempty invariant subsets of A;
  - (b)  $A|_{X_{\lambda}}: X_{\lambda} \to X_{\lambda}$  is a Picard (c-Picard) operator for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

For the class of c-WPO we have the following result of data dependence.

**Theorem 12.** ([7]) Let (X, d) be a metric space and  $A_i : X \to X$ , i = 1, 2. We suppose that

- (i) the operator  $A_i$  is  $c_i$ -WPO, i = 1, 2;
- (ii) there exists  $\eta > 0$  such that

$$d(A_1(x), A_2(x)) \le \eta, \forall x \in X.$$

Then

$$H(F_{A_1}, F_{A_2}) \leq \eta \max(c_1, c_2).$$

## 3. Main results

Consider the problem (1)–(2). We have

**Theorem 13.** ([1]) We suppose that:

- (a) the conditions  $(H_1)$ - $(H_4)$  are satisfied,
- (b)  $\frac{4L}{\rho} < 1$ .

Then the problem (1)–(2) has a unique solution. Moreover, if  $(x_1^*, x_2^*)$  the unique solution of (1)–(2), then

$$(x_1^*, x_2^*) = \lim_{n \to \infty} A_f^n(x_1, x_2), \text{ for all } x_1 \in C[t_0 - \tau_1, b], x_2 \in C[t_0 - \tau_2, b].$$

**Remark 14.** ([2]) From Theorem 13 it follows that the operator  $A_f |_{X_{\varphi} \times X_{\psi}}$ :  $X_{\varphi} \times X_{\psi} \to X_{\varphi} \times X_{\psi}$  is PO. But

$$A_f \mid_{X_{\omega} \times X_{\eta}} = B_f \mid_{X_{\omega} \times X_{\eta}},$$

and

$$X := \bigcup_{\varphi,\psi} X_{\varphi} \times X_{\psi} \ , \ X_{\varphi} \times X_{\psi} \ \in I(A_f), \ X_{\varphi} \times X_{\psi} \ \in I(B_f).$$

So, the operator  $B_f$  is WPO.

In what follow we shall use the c-WPOs technique to give some data dependence results, using Theorem 12.

We consider the following systems

(5) 
$$x_i'(t) = f_i(t, x_1(t), x_2(t), x_1(t - \tau_1), x_2(t - \tau_2)), t \in [t_0, b], i = 1, 2,$$

(6) 
$$x'_i(t) = g_i(t, x_1(t), x_2(t), x_1(t - \tau_1), x_2(t - \tau_2)), t \in [t_0, b], i = 1, 2.$$
 with the initial conditions (2).

From Remark 14 and Theorem 12, we have

**Theorem 15.** Let  $f_i$  and  $g_i$  be as in Theorem 13. Let  $S_1, S_2 \subset C[t_0 - \tau_1, b] \times C[t_0 - \tau_2, b] \to C[t_0 - \tau_1, b] \times C[t_0 - \tau_2, b]$  be the solution sets for the systems (5) and (6) corresponding to  $f_i$  and  $g_i$ , i = 1, 2. If  $\eta_i > 0$ , i = 1, 2 is such that

$$|f_i(t, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) - g_i(t, u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4)| \le \eta_i$$

for all  $t \in [t_0, b], i = 1, 2, u_j \in \mathbb{R}, j = \overline{1, 4}$  then

$$H(S_1, S_2) \le \frac{\eta_i(b - t_0)\rho}{\rho - 4L},$$

where  $L = \max(L_{f_i}, L_{g_i})$ .

*Proof.* We consider the operators

$$B_f, B_g: C[t_0 - \tau_1, b] \times C[t_0 - \tau_2, b] \to C[t_0 - \tau_1, b] \times C[t_0 - \tau_2, b],$$

where

(7) 
$$B_f(x_1, x_2) = (B_f^1(x_1, x_2), B_f^2(x_1, x_2)),$$
$$B_g(x_1, x_2) = (B_g^1(x_1, x_2), B_g^2(x_1, x_2)),$$

are defined by

$$B_f^1(x_1, x_2)(t) = \begin{cases} x_1(t), & t \in [t_0 - \tau_1, t_0], \\ x_1(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f_1(s, x_1(s), x_2(s), x_1(s - \tau_1), x_2(s - \tau_2)) ds, t \in [t_0, b], \end{cases}$$

$$B_f^2(x_1, x_2)(t) = \begin{cases} x_2(t), & t \in [t_0 - \tau_2, t_0], \\ x_2(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t f_2(s, x_1(s), x_2(s), x_1(s - \tau_1), x_2(s - \tau_2)) ds, t \in [t_0, b], \end{cases}$$

and

$$B_g^1(x_1, x_2)(t) = \begin{cases} x_1(t), & t \in [t_0 - \tau_1, t_0], \\ x_1(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t g_1(s, x_1(s), x_2(s), x_1(s - \tau_1), x_2(s - \tau_2)) ds, & t \in [t_0, b], \end{cases}$$

$$B_g^2(x_1, x_2)(t) = \begin{cases} x_2(t), & t \in [t_0 - \tau_2, t_0], \\ x_2(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t g_2(s, x_1(s), x_2(s), x_1(s - \tau_1), x_2(s - \tau_2)) ds, & t \in [t_0, b]. \end{cases}$$

Then, from (7) we obtain

$$(x_1, x_2) = B_f(x_1, x_2),$$

$$(x_1, x_2) = B_g(x_1, x_2).$$

In the conditions of Theorem 12,  $B_f$ ,  $B_g$  are  $c_i$ -weakly Picard operators with

$$c_i = (1 - \alpha_i)^{-1},$$

where  $\alpha_i = \frac{4L}{\rho}$ .

Then

$$H(S_1, S_2) \le \frac{\eta_i(b - t_0)\rho}{\rho - 4L},$$

where  $L = \max(L_{f_i}, L_{g_i})$ .  $\square$ 

#### References

- [1] D. Otrocol, Data dependence for the solution of a Lotka-Volterra system with two delays, Mathematica (Cluj), 48(71)(2006), 61–68.
- [2] D. Otrocol, Lotka-Volterra system with two delays via weakly Picard operators, Non-linear Analysis Forum, 10(2)(2005), 193–199.
- [3] I. A. Rus, Generalized contractions, Seminar of Fixed Point Theory, "Babeş-Bolyai" University, (1983), 1–130.
- [4] I. A. Rus, Weakly Picard mappings, Comment. Math. Univ. Caroline, 34(1993), 769–773.
- [5] I. A. Rus, Picard operators and applications, Babeş-Bolyai Univ., Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, 1996.
- [6] I. A. Rus, Generalized contractions and applications, Cluj University Press, Cluj-Napoca, 2001.
- [7] I. A. Rus, S. Mureşan, Data dependence of the fixed point set of some weakly Picard operators, Seminar Itinerant, Cluj-Napoca (2000), 201–208.

"TIBERIU POPOVICIU" INSTITUTE OF NUMERICAL ANALYSIS, P.O.BOX. 68-1, CLUJ-NAPOCA, ROMANIA.

E-mail address: dotrocol@ictp.acad.ro