Punjab University

Journal of Mathematics (ISSN 1016-2526)

Vol. xxxiii (2000) pp. 105-114

## PERTURBED-STEFFENSEN-AITKEN PROJECTION METHODS FOR SOLVING EQUATIONS WITH NONDIFFERENTIABLE OPERATORS

Ioannis K. Argyros
Cameron University
Department of Mathematics
Lawton, OK 73505 U.S.A.

Emil Cătinas and Ion Păaăloiu Institul de Calcul Str. Republicii Nr. 37 P.O. Box 68, 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania. (Received 31 July, 1999)

ABSTRACT In this study we use perturbed-Steffensen- Aitken methods to approximate a locally unique solution of an operator equation in a Banach space. Using projection operators we reduce the problem to solving a linear system of algebraic equations of finite order. Since iterates can rarely be computed exactly we control the residuals to guarantee convergence of the method. Sufficient convergence conditions as well as an error analysis are given for our method.

AMS (MOS) Subject Classification: 65J15, 47H17, 49D15.

Key Words and Phrases Steffensen-Aitken methods, Banach space, projection operator, residuals.

I. INTRODUCTION In this study we are concerned with the problem of

approximating a locally unique fixed point  $x^*$  of the nonlinear equation.

$$T(x) = x, (1)$$

where T is a continuous operator defined on a convex subset D of a Banach space E with values in E. The differentiability of T is not assumed. Let  $T_1$  be another nonlinear continuous operator from E into E, and let P be a projection operator  $(P^2 = P)$  on E.

We introduce the perturbed-Steffensen-Aitken method

$$x_{n+1} = T(x_n) + PA_n(x_{n+1} - x_n) - z_n. \quad A_n = [g_1(x_n), g_2(x_n)] \quad (n \ge 0),$$
 (2)

where: [x, y] denotes a divided difference of order one of  $T_1$  at the points x, y satisfying

$$[x,y](y-x) = T_1(y) - T_1(x) \quad \text{for all} \quad x,y \in D \quad \text{with} \quad x \neq y$$
 (3)

and

$$[x,x] = F'(x) \quad (x \in D) \tag{4}$$

if  $T_1$  is Frechet-differentiable  $D; g_1, g_2: D \to E$  are continuous operators; the residual points  $\{x_n\}(n \geq 0)$  are chosen in such a way that iteration  $\{x_n\}(n \geq 0)$  generated by (2) converges to  $x^*$ . The important of studying perturbed Steffensen-Aitken methods comes from the fact that many commonly used variants can be considered procedures of this type. Indeed the above approximation characterizes any iterative process in which corrections are taken as approximate solutions of the Steffensen-Aitken equations. Moreover we note that if for example an equation on the real line is solved  $x_n - T(x_n) \geq 0 (n \geq 0)$  and  $I - PA_n$  overestimates the derivative,  $x_n - (I - PA_n)^{-1}(x_n - T(x_n))$  is always larger than the corresponding Steffensen-Aitken iterate. In such cases, a positive  $z_n (n \geq 0)$  correction term is appropriate.

For:  $P = I(I \text{ is the identity operator on } E), T(x) = T_1(x)(x \in D), g_1(x) = g_2(x)(x \in D), \text{ and } z_n = 0 (n \geq 0) \text{ we obtain the ordinary Newton method [1], [2]; } P = I, T_1(x) = T(x)(x \in D), g_1(x) = x(x \in D), \text{ and } z_n = 0 (n \geq 0) \text{ we obtain Steffensen method [4], [5]; } P = I, T_1(x) = T(x)(x \in D), g_2(x) = g_1(x - T(x))(x \in D), \text{ and } z_n = 0 (n \geq 0) \text{ we obtain Steffensen-Aitken method [4], [5].}$ 

It is easy to see that the solution of (2) reduces to solving certain operator equations in the space  $E_p$ . If moreover  $E_p$  is a finite dimensional space of dimension N, we obtain a system of linear algebraic equations of at most order N.

We provide sufficient convergence conditions as well as an error analysis for the Steffensen-Aitken method generated by (2).

II. CONVERGENCE ANALYSIS We state the following semilocal convergence theorem.

**Theorem** Let  $T, T_1, g_1, g_2 : D \to E$  be continuous operators defined on a convex subset D of a Banach space E with values in E, and P be a projection operator on E. Moreover, assume:

- (a) there exists  $x_0 \in D$  such that  $B_0 = I PA_0$  is invertible;
- (b) there exist nonnegative numbers  $a_i, R, i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, 9$  such that

$$||B_0^{-1}P([x,y]-[v,w])|| \le a_0(||x-v|| + ||y-w||), \tag{5}$$

$$||B_0^{-1}(x_0 - T(x_0))|| \le a_1, \tag{6}$$

$$||B_0^{-1}P([x,y] - [g_1(x), g_2(x)])|| \le a_2(||x - g_1(x)|| + ||y - g_2(x)||), \tag{7}$$

$$||B_0^{-1}(QT_1(x) - QT_1(y))|| \le a_3||x - y||, \quad Q = 1 - P,$$
(8)

$$||B_0^{-1}(F(x) - F(y))|| \le a_4 ||x - y||, \quad F(x) = T(x) - T_1(x), \tag{9}$$

$$||x - g_1(x)|| \le a_5 ||B^{-1}(x)(x - T(x) - z(x))||, \quad B(x) = I - PA(x),$$
for some continuous function  $z: D \to E$ , (10)

$$||x - g_2(x)|| \le a_6 ||B^{-1}(x)(x - T(x) - z(x))||,$$
 (11)

$$||B_0^{-1}(z_n - z_{n-1})|| \le a_7 ||x_n - x_{n-1}|| \quad (n \ge 1), \tag{12}$$

$$||g_1(x) - g_1(y)|| \le a_8 ||x - y||, \quad a_8 \in [0, 1),$$
 (13)

and

$$||g_2(x) - g_2(y)|| \le a_9 ||x - y||, \quad a_9 \in [0, 1),$$
 (14)

for all  $x, y, v, w \in U(x_0, R) = \{x \in E | ||x - x_0|| \le R\} \subseteq D;$ 

- (c) the sequence  $\{z_n\}(n \geq 0)$  is null;
- (d) there exists a minumum nonnegative number r\* satisfying

$$G(r^*) \le r^* \quad and \quad r^* \le R \tag{15}$$

where

$$G(r) = a_1 + \frac{a_2(1 + a_8 + a_9)r + (a_3 + a_4 + a_7)}{[a - a_0(a_8 + a_9)r][1 - a_2(a_5 + a_6)r\beta(r)]} r;$$
 (16)

and

$$\beta(r) = [1 - a_0(a_8 + a_9)r]^{-1} \tag{17}$$

(e) the numbers r\*, R also satisfy

$$r^* < \frac{1}{a_2(a_5 + a_6) + a_0(a_8 + a_9)} \tag{18}$$

$$r^* \ge \frac{\|g_1(x_0) - x_0\|}{1 - a_8} \tag{19}$$

$$r^* \ge \frac{\|g_2(x_0) - x_0\|}{1 - a_9} \tag{19}$$

$$b = \alpha(r, R) < 1. \tag{21}$$

where

$$\alpha(s,t) = \frac{a_2(1+a_8+a_9)(s+t)+a_3+a_4}{[1-a_0(a_8+a_9)s][1-a_2(a_5-a_6)(s+t)\beta(s)]}, \quad s,t \in [0,R]$$
 (22)

and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} q_n = 0 \tag{23}$$

where

$$q_n = \sum_{m=0}^{n} b^{n-m} c_m, \quad c_m = ||z_n||, \quad B_n = I - PA_n \quad (n \ge 0)$$
 (24)

Then

(i) the scalar sequence  $\{t_n\}$   $(n \ge 0)$  generated by

$$t_0 = 0, t_1 = a_1 \ge ||x_1 - x_0||, (25)$$

$$t_{n+1} = t_n + \frac{a_2(1 + a_8 + a_9)(t_n - t_{n-1}) + a_3 + a_4 + a_7}{[1 - a_0(a_8 + a_9)t_n][1 - a_2(a_5 + a_6)(t_n - t_{n-1}\beta_n]}(t_n - t_{n-1}) \quad (n \ge 1)$$
(26)

is monotonically increasing, bounded above by  $r^*$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = r^*$ , with  $\beta_n = [1 - a_0(a_8 + a_9)t_n]^{-1}$   $(n \ge 0)$ .

(ii) The perturbed-Steffensen-Aitken method generated by (2) is well defined, remains in  $U(x_0, r^*)$  for all  $n \geq 0$ , converges to a unique fixed point  $x^*$  of T in  $U(x_0, R)$ .

Moreover the following error bounds hold:

$$||x_{n+1} - x_n|| \le \frac{a_2(1 + a_8 + a_9)||x_n - x_{n-1}|| + a_3 + a_4 + a_7}{[1 - a_0(a_8 + a_9)||x_n - x_0||][1 - a_2(a_5 + a_6)||x_n - x_{n-1}||\beta_n]} ||x_n - x_{n-1}||(n \ge 1)$$
(27)

$$||x_{n+1} - x_n|| \le t_{n+1} - t_n \quad (n \ge 0)$$
 (28)

and

$$||x_n - x^*|| \le r^* - t_n \quad (n \ge 0),$$
 (29)

where  $\bar{\beta}_n = [1 - a_0(a_8 - a_9)||x_n - x_0||]^{-1} \quad (n \ge 0)$ 

**Proof** (i) By (15) and (25) we get  $0 \le t_0 \le t_1 \le r^*$ . Let us assume  $0 \le t_{k-1} \le t_k \le r^*$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . It follows from (18) and (26) that  $0 \le t_k \le t_{k+1}$ . Hence, the sequence  $\{t_n\}(n \ge 0)$  is monotonically increasing. Moreover using (26) we get in turn

$$t_{k+1} \leq t_k + \frac{a_2(1+a_8+a_9)r^* + a_3 + a_4 + a_7}{[1-a_0(a_8+a_9)r^*][1-a_2(a_5+a_6)r^*\beta(r^*)]} (t_k - t_{k-1})$$

$$\leq \cdots \leq a_1 + \frac{a_2(1+a_8+a_9)r^*a_3 + a_4 + a_7}{[1-a_0(a_8+a_9)r^*][a-a_2(a_5+a_6)r^*\beta(r^*)]} (t_k - t_0)$$

$$\leq G(r^*) \leq r^* \text{ (by (15))}$$

That is the sequence  $\{t_n\}(n \geq 0)$  is also bounded above by  $r^*$ . Since  $r^*$  is the minimum nonnegative number satisfying  $G(r^*) \leq r^*$ , it follows that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} t_n = r^*$ .

(ii) By hypothesis (15) and the choice of  $a_1$  it follows that  $x_1 \in U(x_0, r^*)$ . From (19) and (20) we get  $g_1(x_0)$ ,  $g_2(x_0) \in U(x_0, r^*)$ . Let us assume  $x_{k+1}, g_1(x_k), g_2(x_k) \in U(x_0, r^*)$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ . Then from (13), (14), (19) and (20) we get

$$||g_1(x_k) - x_0|| \le ||g_1(x_k) - g_1(x_0)|| + ||g_1(x_0) - x_0|| \le a_8 ||x_k - x_0|| + ||g_1(x_0) - x_0||$$

$$\le a_8 r^* + ||g_1(x_0) - x_0|| \le r^*$$

and

$$||g_2(x_k) - x_0|| \le ||g_2(x_k) - g_2(x_0)|| + ||g_2(x_0) - x_0|| \le a_9 ||x_k - x_0|| + ||g_2(x_0) - x_0|| \le a_9 r^* + ||g_2(x_0) - x_0|| \le r^*$$

Hence  $g_1(x_n), g_2(x_n) \in U(x_0, r^*)$ . Using (5), (13), (14) and (17) we obtain

$$||B_0^{-1}(B_k - B_0)|| \le a_0(||g_1(x_0) - g_1(x_k)|| + ||g_2(x_0) - g_2(x_k)||)$$

$$\le a_0(a_8 + a_9)||x_0 - x_k|| \le a_0(a_8 + a_9)r^* < 1$$

It follows from the Banach lemma on invertible operators [3] that  $B_k$  is invertible and

$$||B_k^{-1}B_0|| \le \frac{1}{1 - a_0(a_8 + a_9)||x_k - x_0||} = \bar{\beta}_k \tag{30}$$

Using (2) we obtain the approximation

$$x_{k+1} - x_k = B_k^{-1}(T(x_k) - x_k - z_k) = (B_k^{-1}B_0)B_0^{-1}$$

$$\{(PT_1(x_k) - PT_1(x_{k-1}) - P[g_1(x_{k-1}), g_2(x_{k-1})] (x_k - x_{k-1}) + (QT_1(x_k) - QT_1(x_{k-1}) + (F(x_k) - F(x_{k-1})) + (z_{k-1} - z_k)\}$$
(31)

From (7), we get

$$||B_0^{-1}[PT_1(x_k)-PT_1(x_{k-1})-PA_{k-1}(x_k-x_{k-1})]|| \le ||B_0^{-1}P([x_{k-1},x_k]-A_{k-1})(x_k-x_{k-1})||$$

$$\leq a_2(||x_{k-1}-g_1(x_{k-1})||+||x_k-g_2(x_{k-1})||)||x_k-x_{k-1}|| \tag{32}$$

and since by (10), (11), (13), (14)

$$||x_{k-1} - g_1(x_{k-1})|| \leq ||x_{k-1} - x_k|| + ||g_1(x_k) - g_1(x_{k-1})|| + ||x_k - g_1(x_k)||$$

$$\leq ||x_k - x_{k-1}|| + a_8||x_k - x_{k-1}|| + a_5||B_k^{-1}(x_k - T(x_k) - z_k||$$

$$||x_k - g_2(x_{k-1})|| \leq |x_k - g_2(x_k)|| + ||g_2(x_k) - g_2(x_{k-1})||$$

$$\leq |a_6||B_k^{-1}(x_k - T(x_k) - z_k)|| + |a_9||x_k - x_{k-1}||$$

(32) gives

$$||B_0^{-1}[PT_1(x_k) - PT_1(x_{k-1}) - PA_{k-1}(x_k - x_{k-1})|| \le a_2(1 + a_8 + a_9)||x_k - x_{k-1}||^2$$

$$+ a_2(a_5 + a_6) \|B_k^{-1}(x_k - T(x_k) - z_k)\| \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|$$
(33)

Moreover from (8), (9) and (12) we obtain respectively

$$||B_0^{-1}(QT_1(x_k) - QT_1(x_{k-1})|| \le a_3||x_k - x_{k-1}|| \quad (k \ge 1)$$
(34)

$$||B_0^{-1}(F(x_k) - F(x_{k-1}))|| \le a_4 ||x_k - x_{k-1}|| \quad (k \ge 1)$$
(35)

and

$$||B_0^{-1}(z_k - z_{k-1})|| \le a_7 ||x_k - x_{k-1}|| \quad (k \ge 1)$$
(36)

Furthermore (31) because of (30), (33)-(36) finally gives (27) for n = k.

Estimate (28) is true for n=0 by (25). Assume (28) is true for  $k=0,1,2,\dots,n-1$ . Then from (26), (27) and the induction hypothesis it follows that (28) is true for k=n. By (28) and part (i) it follows that iteration  $\{x_n\}(n\geq 0)$  is Cauchy in a Banach space E and as such it converges to some  $x^*\in U(x_0,r^*)$  (since  $U(x_0,r^*)$  is a closed set). Using hypothesis (c) and letting  $n\to\infty$  in (2) we get  $x^*=T(x^*)$ . That is  $x^*$  is a fixed point of T. Estimate (29) follows immediately from (28) using standard majorization techniques [2], [3].

Finally to show uniqueness let us assume  $y^* \in U(x_0, R)$  is a fixed point of equation (1). As in (31) we start from the approximation.

$$x_{n+1} - y^* = (B_n^{-1}B_0)B_0^{-1}\{[PT_1(x_n) - PT_1(y^*) - PA_n(x_n - y^*)] + [QT_1(x_n) - QT_1(y^*)] + [F(x_n) - F(y^*)] - z_n\}$$

and using (5), (7)-(11), (13), (14), (21), (22) and (24) we get

$$||x_{n+1} - y^*|| \le b||x_n - y^*|| + c_n \le \dots \le b^{n+1}||x_0 - y^*|| + q_n \quad (n \ge 0)$$
 (37)

By letting  $n \to \infty$  as using (21) and (23) we get  $\lim_{n\to\infty} x_n = y^*$ . It follows from the uniqueness of the limit that  $x^* = y^*$ .

That completes the proof of the Theorem.

## Remarks

- (1) Conditions (19) and (20) guarantee  $g_1(x), g_2(x) \in U(x_0, r^*)$  for  $x \in U(x_0, r^*)$ . Hence condition (7) can be dropped and we can set  $a_2 = a_0$ . However it is hoped that  $a_2 \leq a_0$ .
- (2) It can easily be seen that the first inequality in (15) can be replaced by the system of inequalities (17), (18) and

$$f(r^*) \le 0$$

where

$$f(r) = d_2 r^2 + d_1 r + d_0$$

with

$$b_1 = a_2(1 + a_8 + a_9), \quad b_2 = a_3 + a_4 + a_7, \quad b_3 = a_0(a_8 + a_9) + a_2(a_5 + a_6)$$

$$d_2 = b_1 + b_3$$

$$d_1 = b_1 - 1 - b_3 a_1$$

and  $d_0 = a_1$ .

- (3) Condition (23) is satisfied if and only if  $z_n = 0 (n \ge 0)$
- (4) It can easily be seen from (10) and (11) that conditions (19) and (20) will be satisfied if  $a_5 + a_8 \le 1$  and  $a_6 + a_9 \le 1$  for  $r^* \ne 0$ . Indeed from (10) we have  $||x_0 g_1(x_0)|| \le a_5||x_1 x_0|| \le a_5r^*$ . Hence (19) will be certainly satisfied if  $a_5r^* \le (1 a_8)r^*$ . That is if  $a_5 + a_8 \le 1$ . We argue similarly for (20).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Argyros, I.K. On some projection methods for the solution of nonlinear operator equations with non-differentiable operators, Tamkang J. Math. 24, 1, (1993), 1-8.
- [2] Argyros, I. K. and Szidarovszky, F. The theory and application of iteration methods, C.R.C. Press, Inc. Boca Raton, Florida, 1993.
- [3] Kantorovich, L.V. and Akilov, G.P. Functional analysis in normed spaces, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- [4] Păvăloiu, I. Sur une generalisation de la methode de Steffensen, Revue d'analyse Numerique et de theorie de l'approximation, 21, 1, (1992), 59-65.
- [5] Păvăloiu, I. Bilateral approximations for the solutions of scalar equations, Revue d'analyse numerique et de theorie de l'approximation, 23, 1, (1994), 95-100.