

"BABEŞ - BOLYAI" UNIVERSITY
Faculty of Mathematics
Research Seminars
Seminar on Mathematical Analysis
Preprint Nr.7, 1991, pp.95 - 100

ON FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR MAPPINGS DEFINED

ON SPHERES IN METRIC SPACES

Mira-Cristina Anisiu

In the last years, the interest in metric fixed point theorems appeared again. New proofs were given [1, 2] for theorems of Hardy-Rogers, Ćirić - Reich - Rus.

In this note we study the case of mappings defined only on spheres, not on the entire metric space, following the well-known theorem:

THEOREM 1. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $f: \bar{B}(z, r) \rightarrow X$ a k -contraction, $k \in [0, 1]$, i.e.

$$(1) \quad d(fx, fy) \leq kd(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \text{ in } \bar{B}(z, r) = \\ = \{x \in X : d(x, z) \leq r\}.$$

If

$$(2) \quad d(z, fz) \leq r(1 - k),$$

then f has a unique fixed point $u \in \bar{B}(z, r)$.

Proof. The condition on fz implies the fact that $f(\bar{B}(z, r)) \subseteq \bar{B}(z, r)$. Indeed, let $x \in \bar{B}(z, r)$. We estimate $d(z, fx)$:

$$d(z, fx) \leq d(z, fz) + d(fz, fx) \leq r(1 - k) + kd(z, x) \leq r.$$

It follows that $f(\bar{B}(z, r)) \subseteq \bar{B}(z, r)$ and Banach theorem applies in this complete metric space. ■

This theorem extends easily to Hardy - Rogers contractions.

THEOREM 2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space, $f: \bar{B}(z, r) \rightarrow X$ a Hardy - Rogers contraction, i.e. there exists $a_i \geq 0$, $i = \overline{1,5}$, $A = \sum_{i=1}^5 a_i < 1$ such that

$$(3) \quad d(fx, fy) \leq a_1 d(x, y) + a_2 d(x, fx) + a_3 d(y, fy) + a_4 d(x, fy) + a_5 d(y, fx) \text{ for all } x, y \in \bar{B}(z, r).$$

If

$$(4) \quad d(z, fz) \leq \frac{2(1-A)}{2+A-a_1} r,$$

then f has a unique fixed point $u \in \bar{B}(z, r)$.

Proof. To prove that $f(\bar{B}(z, r)) \subset \bar{B}(z, r)$, we use a symmetric form of (3) which is obtained evaluating also $d(fy, fx)$ and adding,

$$(5) \quad d(fx, fy) \leq a_1 d(x, y) + \frac{a_2 + a_3}{2} [d(x, fx) + d(y, fy)] + \frac{a_4 + a_5}{2} [d(x, fy) + d(y, fx)] \text{ for each } x, y \in \bar{B}(z, r).$$

Let x be in $\bar{B}(z, r)$, hence $d(x, z) \leq r$. We estimate

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, fx) &\leq d(z, fz) + d(fz, fx) \leq \\ &\leq d(z, fz) + a_1 d(z, x) + \frac{a_2 + a_3}{2} [d(z, fz) + d(x, z) + \\ &\quad + d(z, fx)] + \frac{a_4 + a_5}{2} [d(z, fx) + d(x, z) + d(z, fz)] = \\ &= (1 + \frac{a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5}{2}) d(z, fz) + (a_1 + \frac{a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5}{2}) \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot d(x, z) + \frac{a_2 + a_3 + a_4 + a_5}{2} d(z, fx) = \\ &= (1 + \frac{A - a_1}{2}) d(z, fz) + \frac{A + a_1}{2} d(x, z) + \frac{A - a_1}{2} d(z, fx). \end{aligned}$$

It follows

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \frac{A - a_1}{2}) d(z, fx) &\leq (1 + \frac{A - a_1}{2}) d(z, fz) + \frac{A + a_1}{2} d(x, z) \leq \\ &\leq (1 - A)r + \frac{A + a_1}{2} r = (1 - \frac{A - a_1}{2}) r. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing by $1 - \frac{A - a_1}{2} > 0$ we obtain $d(z, fx) \leq r$ and Hardy - Rogers theorem applies and it assures the existence and uniqueness of the fixed point u . ■

Remark 1. For the Ćirić - Reich - Rus contractions

($a_1 = a$, $a_2 = a_3 = b$, $a_4 = a_5 = 0$, $a + 2b < 1$), condition (4) becomes:

$$d(z, fz) \leq \frac{1 - a - 2b}{1 + b} r.$$

For the Kannan contractions ($a_1 = a_4 = a_5 = 0$, $a_2 = a_3 = b < \frac{1}{2}$), condition (4) writes

$$d(z, fz) \leq \frac{1 - 2b}{1 + b} r.$$

For the Banach contractions ($a_1 = k$, $a_i = 0$, $i = \overline{2,5}$), condition (4) becomes (2).

Similar results hold for the more general contractions defined by Rus [3].

Let $\phi : \mathbb{R}_+^5 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a continuous function such that

- (a) if $r_i \leq s_i$, $i = \overline{1,5}$, then $\phi(r) \leq \phi(s)$;
- (b) $\phi(r) < r$ for each $r > 0$, where $\phi(r) = \phi(r, r, r, r, r)$;
- (c) $r - \phi(r) \rightarrow \infty$ for $r \rightarrow \infty$.

For (X, d) a metric space, a function $f: \bar{B}(z, r) \rightarrow X$ is a ϕ -contraction if

$$(6) \quad d(fx, fy) \leq \phi(d(x, y), d(x, fx), d(y, fy), d(x, fy), d(y, fx)) \text{ for all } x, y \in \bar{B}(z, r).$$

We estimate:

$$\begin{aligned} d(z_n, z_{n+p}) &\leq \phi(d(z_{n-1}, z_{n+p-1}), d(z_{n-1}, z_n), d(z_{n+p-1}, z_{n+p}), \\ d(z_{n-1}, z_{n+p}), d(z_{n+p-1}, z_n)) \leq \phi(\text{diam } O_f(z_{n-1}, p+1)) \leq \\ &\leq \phi^2(\text{diam } O_f(z_{n-2}, p+2)) \leq \dots \leq \phi^n(\text{diam } O_f(z, p+n)) \leq \phi^n(r_z). \end{aligned}$$

But $r_z > 0$, so $\phi^n(r_z) \rightarrow 0$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$); indeed, the sequence is decreasing and bounded and if its limit were $a \neq 0$, from the continuity of ϕ it would follow $a = \phi(a)$, contradicting the property (b).

THEOREM 3. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and f :

$\bar{B}(z, r) \rightarrow X$ be a ϕ -contraction. If

$$(7) \quad d(z, fz) \leq r - \phi(r_z),$$

then f has a unique fixed point $u \in \bar{B}(z, r)$, $u = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n z$ and $d(f^n z, x) \leq \phi^n(r_z)$.

Proof. If $fz = z$, the conclusion is obvious.

Let $fz \neq z$; one has then $r_z > 0$. Let $z_0 = z$; $z_1 = fz$ satisfies

$$d(z_0, z_1) \leq r - \phi(r_z) \leq r,$$

hence $z_1 \in \bar{B}(z, r)$. Suppose $z_n = f^n z \in \bar{B}(z, r)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(z, z_{n+1}) &\leq d(z, fz) + d(fz, f^{n+1}z) \leq \\ &\leq d(z, fz) + \phi(d(z, f^n z), d(z, fz), d(f^n z, f^{n+1}z), d(z, f^{n+1}z), d(f^n z, fz)) \leq \\ &\leq d(z, fz) + \phi(\text{diam } O_f(z, n+1)), O_f(z, n+1) = \{z, fz, \dots, f^{n+1}z\}. \end{aligned}$$

Because of (6), $\text{diam } O_f(z, n+1)$ is larger than $d(f^i z, f^j z)$, $i, j \geq 1$, so there exists $p \leq n+1$ such that $\text{diam } O_f(z, n+1) = d(z, f^p z)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \text{diam } O_f(z, n+1) &= d(z, f^p z) \leq d(z, fz) + d(fz, f^p z) \leq \\ &\leq d(z, fz) + \phi(\text{diam } O_f(z, n+1)) \quad \text{and} \\ \text{diam } O_f(z, n+1) &= \phi(\text{diam } O_f(z, n+1)) \leq d(z, fz), \end{aligned}$$

hence $\text{diam } O_f(z, n+1) \leq r_z$.

It follows $d(z, z_{n+1}) \leq d(z, fz) + \phi(r_z) \leq r$.

The sequence $\{z_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is well-defined for $f: \bar{B}(z, r) \rightarrow X$.

We shall prove now that this sequence converges. Let $n \geq 1$.

It follows that $\{z_n\} \subset \bar{B}(z, r)$ is a Cauchy sequence, hence it converges to a limit u in $\bar{B}(z, r)$, which is a fixed point for f . Indeed, suppose $u \neq fu$. Then, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, fu) &\leq d(u, f^n z) + d(f^n z, fu) \leq \\ &\leq d(u, f^n z) + \phi(d(f^{n-1} z, u), d(f^{n-1} z, f^n z), d(u, fu), d(f^{n-1} z, fu), \\ &\quad d(u, f^n z)) \end{aligned}$$

and for $n \rightarrow \infty$

$$d(u, fu) \leq \phi(0, 0, d(u, fu), d(u, fu), 0) \leq \phi(d(u, fu)) < d(u, fu),$$

which is a contradiction.

The uniqueness of the fixed point can be easily established in a similar way. ■

Remark 2. Condition (3) in Theorem 2 corresponds to a ϕ -contraction with

$$\phi(x_1, \dots, x_5) = \sum_{i=1}^5 a_i x_i, \quad a_i \geq 0, \quad i=1, 5, \quad A = \sum_{i=1}^5 a_i < 1.$$

In this case $r_z = \sup \{r \geq 0; r - Ar \leq d(z, fz)\} = \frac{1}{1-A} d(z, fz)$ and condition (7) in Theorem 3 becomes

$$d(z, fz) \leq r - \frac{A}{1-A} d(z, fz), \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$d(z, fz) \leq (1 - A)r.$$

This condition is less restrictive than (4), but when condition (4) is applicable the sequence of successive approximations starting from each x in $\bar{B}(z,r)$ converges to the fixed point u .

R E F E R E N C E S

1. J.Lopez - Gomez, A fixed point theorem for discontinuous operators, Glasnik Mat. 23 (1988), 115 - 118.
2. L.Nova, G., Fixed point theorems for some discontinuous operators, Pacific J. Math. 123 (1986), 189 - 196.
3. I.A.Rus, Metrical fixed point theorems, University of Cluj - Napoca, Department of Mathematics, 1979 (mimeographed).

Institute of Mathematics
P.O. Box 68
3400 Cluj - Napoca
Romania

This paper is in final form and no version of it is or will be submitted for publication elsewhere.