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#### Abstract

Newton type iterative methods with higher order of convergence are obtained. The order of convergence is further increased by amalgamating these methods with the standard secant method. The methods are compared to the similar recent methods.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

Quite often, we come across numerous nonlinear equations which need to be solved. If the equation is not a polynomial equation, then it is not always easy to deal with such equations. To this end, one or the other numerical iterative method is employed. One such classical standard method is the Newton method

$$
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}
$$

which is quadratically convergent. Over the years, a lot of methods have appeared, each one claims to be better than the other in some or the other aspect. We mention here the method given by Weerakoon and Fernando [8] which is based on the Newton's theorem

$$
f(x)=f\left(x_{n}\right)+\int_{x_{n}}^{x} f^{\prime}(\lambda) d \lambda
$$

and the integral involved is approximated by the trapezoidal rule, i.e.,

$$
\int_{x_{n}}^{x} f^{\prime}(\lambda) d \lambda=\frac{\left(x-x_{n}\right)}{2}\left(f^{\prime}(x)+f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)\right) .
$$

[^0]As a result, Weerakoon and Fernando obtained the following iterative method for solving the nonlinear equation $f(x)=0$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z_{n+1}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)}$.
The method so obtained is of third order. In the present paper, the aim is to modify method (1). In fact, in (11), $f^{\prime}$ is a function of the previously calculated iterate. In our modification, $f^{\prime}$ would be a function of some other convenient point. It is proved that the corresponding method has order of convergence 5.1925. We follow the technique of McDougall and Wotherspoon [7] who modified Newton's method in a similar way yielding the order of convergence of their method as $1+\sqrt{2}$.

Further, in [3], it was proved that if any method for solving nonlinear equation is used in conjunction with the standard secant method then the order of the resulting method is increased by 1 . We shall show, in this paper (see Theorem 3.2), that this order can be increased by more than 1 . In fact, we prove that if our own method (which is of order 5.1925) is combined with the secant method than the new method is of order 7.275.

## 2. THE METHOD AND THE CONVERGENCE

We propose the following method:
If $x_{0}$ is the initial approximation, then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& x_{0}^{*}=x_{0} \\
x_{1} & =x_{0}^{*}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)}, \\
\text { where } \quad z_{1} & =x_{0}-\frac{f\left(0_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}  \tag{2}\\
& x_{1}^{*}=x_{1}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}^{*}\right)}, \\
\text { with } \quad z_{1}^{*}=x_{1}-\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{0}+x_{0}^{*}\right)\right]}=x_{1}-\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Subsequently, for $n \geq 1$, the iterations can be obtained as follows:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
x_{n}^{*} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}^{*}\right)}, \\
\text { where } \quad z_{n}^{*} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n-1}+x_{n-1}^{*}\right)\right]} \\
& x_{n+1} \tag{3}
\end{array}=x_{n}^{*}-\frac{2\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)+f_{n}^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)},{ }^{\text {with } \quad z_{n+1}}=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{n}+x_{n}^{*}\right)\right]} . \quad\right\}
$$

Below, we prove the convergence result for the method (2)-(3).

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha$ be a simple zero of a function $f$ which has sufficient number of smooth derivatives in a neighborhood of $\alpha$. Then the method (2)-(3) is convergent and has the order of convergence 5.1925.

Proof. Let $e_{n}$ and $e_{n}^{*}$ denote respectively the errors in the terms $x_{n}$ and $x_{n}^{*}$. Also, we denote $c_{j}=\frac{f^{j}(\alpha)}{j!f^{\prime}(\alpha)}, j=2,3,4 \ldots$, which are constants. The error equation for the method (1) as obtained by Weerakoon and Fernando [8] is given by

$$
e_{n+1}=a e_{n}^{3},
$$

where $a=c_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} c_{3}$ and we have neglected higher power terms of $e_{n}$. In particular, the error $e_{1}$ in $x_{1}$ in the equations (2) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1}=a e_{0}^{3} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now proceed to calculate the error $e_{1}^{*}$ in $x_{1}^{*}$. By using Taylor series expansion and binomial expansion, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)} & =\frac{f\left(\alpha+e_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\alpha+e_{0}\right)} \\
& =\left(e_{1}+c_{2} e_{1}^{2}+c_{3} e_{1}^{3}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{1}^{4}\right)\right)\left(1+2 c_{2} e_{0}+3 c_{3} e_{0}^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{0}^{3}\right)\right)^{-1} \\
& =e_{1}-2 c_{2} e_{0} e_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{0}^{5}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
x_{1}-\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}=\alpha+2 c_{2} e_{0} e_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{0}^{5}\right) .
$$

Consequently, by Taylor series expansion, it can be calculated that

$$
f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}^{*}\right)=f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(1+4 c_{2}^{2} e_{0} e_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{0}^{5}\right)\right) .
$$

Also

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(1+2 c_{2}^{2} e_{1}+3 c_{3} e_{1}^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{1}^{3}\right)\right)
$$

so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}^{*}\right)=2 f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(1+c_{2} e_{1}+2 c_{2}^{2} e_{0} e_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{0}^{5}\right)\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, using (4) and (5), the error $e_{1}^{*}$ in $x_{1}^{*}$ in the equation (2) can be calculated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{1}^{*} & =e_{1}-\left(e_{1}+c_{2} e_{1}^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{1}^{3}\right)\right)\left(1+c_{2} e_{1}+2 c_{2}^{2} e_{0} e_{1}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{0}^{5}\right)\right)^{-1} \\
& =2 c_{2}^{2} e_{0} e_{1}^{2} \\
& =b a^{2} e_{0}^{7},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $b=2 c_{2}^{2}$. Using $e_{1}^{*}$, we now compute the error $e_{2}$ in the term

$$
x_{2}=x_{1}^{*}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{1}^{*}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)},
$$

where

$$
z_{2}=x_{1}-\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\frac{x_{1}+x_{1}^{*}}{2}\right)} .
$$

Now

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{\prime}\left(\frac{x_{1}+x_{1}^{*}}{2}\right) & =f^{\prime}\left(\alpha+\frac{e_{1}+e_{1}^{*}}{2}\right) \\
& =f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(1+c_{2} e_{1}+c_{2} e_{1}^{*}+\frac{3}{4} c_{3} e_{1}^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{0}^{9}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\frac{x_{1}+x_{1}^{*}}{2}\right)} & =\left(e_{1}+c_{2} e_{1}^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{1}^{3}\right)\right)\left(1+c_{2} e_{1}+c_{2} e_{1}^{*}+\frac{3}{4} c_{3} e_{1}^{2}+\mathcal{O}\left(e_{0}^{9}\right)\right)^{-1} \\
& =e_{1}+\frac{1}{4} c_{3} e_{1}^{3}-c_{2} e_{1} e_{1}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore

$$
z_{2}=\alpha-\frac{1}{4} c_{3} e_{1}^{3}+c_{2} e_{1} e_{1}^{*}
$$

where the higher power terms are neglected. Thus

$$
f^{\prime}\left(z_{2}\right)=f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(1-\frac{1}{2} c_{2} c_{3} e_{1}^{3}+2 c_{2}^{2} e_{1} e_{1}^{*}\right)
$$

and

$$
f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}^{*}\right)=f^{\prime}(\alpha)\left(1+2 c_{2} e_{1}^{*}+3 c_{3} e_{1}^{* 2}\right)
$$

Using the above considerations, the error $e_{2}$ in $x_{2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{2} & =e_{1}^{*}-\left(e_{1}^{*}+c_{2} e_{1}^{* 2}+c_{3} e_{1}^{* 3}\right)\left(1+c_{2} e_{1}^{*}-\frac{1}{4} c_{2} c_{3} e_{1}^{3}\right)^{-1} \\
& =-\frac{1}{4} c_{2} c_{3} e_{1}^{3} e_{1}^{*} \\
& =c e_{1}^{3} e_{1}^{*}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c=-\frac{1}{4} c_{2} c_{3}$. In fact, it can be worked out that for $n \geq 1$, the following relation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n+1}=c e_{n}^{3} e_{n}^{*} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to compute $e_{n+1}$ explicitly, we need to compute $e_{n}^{*}$. We already know $e_{1}^{*}$. We now compute $e_{2}^{*}$. We have

$$
x_{2}^{*}=x_{2}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{2}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{2}^{*}\right)},
$$

where

$$
z_{2}^{*}=x_{2}-\frac{f\left(x_{2}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\frac{x_{1}+x_{1}^{*}}{2}\right)} .
$$

Like above, it can be calculated that the error $e_{2}^{*}$ is given by

$$
e_{2}^{*}=d e_{1} e_{2}^{2}
$$

where $d=c_{2}^{2}$ and, again, it can be checked that in general, for $n \geq 2$, the following relation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n}^{*}=d e_{n-1} e_{n}^{2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the view of (6) and (7), the error at each stage in $x_{n}^{*}$ and $x_{n+1}$ are calculated which are tabulated below:

| $n$ | $e_{n}$ | $e_{n}^{*}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | $e_{0}$ | $e_{0}$ |
| 1 | $a e_{0}^{3}$ | $a^{2} b e_{0}^{7}$ |
| 2 | $a^{5} b c e_{0}^{16}$ | $a^{11} b^{2} c^{2} d e_{0}^{35}$ |
| 3 | $a^{26} b^{5} c^{6} d e_{0}^{83}$ | $a^{57} b^{11} c^{13} d^{3} e_{0}^{182}$ |
| 4 | $a^{135} b^{26} c^{32} d^{6} e_{0}^{431}$ | $a^{296} b^{57} c^{70} d^{14} e_{0}^{945}$ |
| 5 | $a^{701} b^{135} c^{167} d^{32} e_{0}^{2238}$ |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |

Table 1. Successive errors.

It is observed that the powers of $e_{0}$ in the errors at each iterate form a sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
3,16,83,431,2238, \ldots \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the sequence of their successive ratios is

$$
\frac{16}{3}, \frac{83}{16}, \frac{431}{83}, \frac{2238}{431}, \ldots
$$

or,

$$
5.3334,5.1875,5.1927,5.1925, \ldots
$$

This sequence seems to converge to the number 5.1925 approximately. Indeed, if the terms of the sequence (8) are denoted by $\left\{\alpha_{i}\right\}$, then it can be seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i}=5 \alpha_{i-1}+\alpha_{i-2}, \quad i=2,3,4 \ldots \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we set the limit

$$
\frac{\alpha_{i}}{\alpha_{i-1}}=\frac{\alpha_{i-1}}{\alpha_{i-2}}=R
$$

Then dividing (9) by $\alpha_{i-1}$, we obtain

$$
R^{2}-5 R-1=0
$$

which has its positive root as $R=\frac{5+\sqrt{29}}{2} \approx 5.1925$. Hence the order of convergence of the method is at least 5.1925.

Next, we give two variants of the method (2)-(3). Note that, in (2)-(3), the arithmetic average of the points $x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}, n=0,1,2 \ldots$ has been used. We propose methods in which the arithmetic average is replaced by harmonic as well as geometric averages. With harmonic average, we propose the following
method: If $x_{0}$ is the initial approximation, then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& x_{0}^{*}=x_{0} \\
& x_{1}=x_{0}^{*}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)}, \\
\text { where } \quad z_{1}=x_{0}-\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\frac{2 x_{0} x_{0}^{*}}{x_{0}+x_{0}^{*}}\right)}=x_{0}-\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}  \tag{10}\\
& x_{1}^{*}=x_{1}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}^{*}\right)}, \\
\text { with } \quad z_{1}^{*}=x_{1}-\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\frac{2 x_{0} x_{0}^{*}}{x_{0}+x_{0}^{*}}\right)}=x_{1}-\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Subsequently, for $n \geq 1$, the iterations can be obtained as follows:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
x_{n}^{*} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}^{*}\right)}, \\
\text { where } \quad z_{n}^{*} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\frac{2 x_{n-1} x_{n-1}^{*}}{x_{n-1}+x_{n-1}^{*}}\right)} \\
\text { with } \quad z_{n+1} & =x_{n}^{*}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)},  \tag{11}\\
z_{n+1} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\frac{2 x_{n} x_{n}^{*}}{x_{n}+x_{n}^{*}}\right)} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

For the geometric average of the points $x_{n}, x_{n}^{*}, n=0,1,2 \ldots$, the following method is proposed:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl} 
& x_{0}^{*} \\
& =x_{0} \\
x_{1} & =x_{0}^{*}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)},  \tag{12}\\
\text { where } \quad z_{1} & =x_{0}-\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\sqrt{x_{0} x_{0}^{*}}\right)}=x_{0}-\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)} \\
& x_{1}^{*}
\end{array}\right\} x_{1}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}^{*}\right)}, ~ 子
$$

Subsequently, for $n \geq 1$, the iteration can be obtained as follows:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
x_{n}^{*} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}^{*}\right)}, \\
z_{n}^{*} & \left.=x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\sqrt{x_{n-1} x_{n-1}^{*}}\right.}\right)  \tag{13}\\
x_{n+1} & =x_{n}^{*}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)}, \\
z_{n+1} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\sqrt{x_{n} x_{n}^{*}}\right)} .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where
with
The convergence of the methods $(\sqrt{10})-(\sqrt{11})$ and $(\sqrt{12})-(\sqrt{13})$ can be proved on the similar lines as those in Theorem 11. We only state the results below:

Theorem 2. Let $\alpha$ be a simple zero of a function $f$ which has sufficient number of smooth derivatives in a neighborhood of $\alpha$. Then for solving nonlinear equation $f(x)=0$, the method (10)-11) is convergent with order of convergence 5.1925.

Theorem 3. Let $\alpha$ be a simple zero of a function $f$ which has sufficient number of smooth derivatives in a neighborhood of $\alpha$. Then for solving nonlinear equation $f(x)=0$, the method (12)-13) is convergent with order of convergence 5.1925.

## 3. METHODS WITH HIGHER ORDER CONVERGENCE

In this section, we obtain a new iterative method by combining the iterations of method (22)-(3) with secant method and prove that the order of convergence is more than 5.1925 . Precisely, we propose the following method: If $x_{0}$ is the initial approximation, then

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
x_{0}^{*} & =x_{0}  \tag{14}\\
x_{0}^{* *} & =x_{0}^{*}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)}, \\
\text { where } \quad z_{1} & =x_{0}-\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)} \\
x_{1} & =x_{0}^{* *}-\frac{x_{0}^{* *}-x_{0}^{*}}{f\left(x_{0}^{* *}\right)-f\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)} f\left(x_{0}^{* *}\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Subsequently, for $n \geq 1$, the iterations can be obtained as follows:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
x_{n}^{*} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}^{*}\right)}, \\
\text { where } \quad z_{n}^{*} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\frac{x_{n-1}+x_{n}^{*}}{2}\right)}  \tag{15}\\
\text { where } \quad x_{n}^{* *} & =x_{n}^{*}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)}, \\
z_{n+1} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\frac{x_{n}+x_{n}^{*}}{2}\right)} \\
x_{n+1} & =x_{1}^{* *}-\frac{x^{* *}-x_{n}^{*}}{f\left(x_{n}^{* *}\right)-f\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)} f\left(x_{n}^{* *}\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

Remark 4. In [3], it was proved that if the iterations of any method of order $p$ for solving nonlinear equations are used alternatively with secant method, then the new method will be of order $p+1$. Thus, in view of that result, the method (14)-(15) is certainly of order at least 6.1925 . However, we prove below that the order is more.

Theorem 5. Let $f$ be a function $f$ having sufficient number of smooth derivatives in a neighborhood of $\alpha$ which is a simple root of the equation $f(x)=$ 0 . Then method (14)-(15) to approximate the root $\alpha$ is convergent with order of convergence 7.275 .

Proof. We argue on the lines of that of Theorem 1 and the error equation of the standard secant method. In particular, the errors $e_{0}^{*}, e_{0}^{* *}$ and $e_{1}$, respectively, in $x_{0}^{*}, x_{0}^{* *}$ and $x_{1}$ in equations (14) are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{0}^{*} & =e_{0} \\
e_{0}^{* *} & =a e_{0}^{3}, \quad \text { where } a=c_{2}^{2}+\frac{1}{2} c_{3} \\
e_{1} & =\lambda a e_{0}^{4}, \quad \text { where } \lambda=c_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, the errors $e_{1}^{*}$ in $x_{1}^{*}$ in equation (15) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{1}^{*} & =2 c_{2}^{2} e_{0} e_{1}^{2} \\
& =\lambda^{2} a^{2} b e_{0}^{9}, \quad \text { where } b=2 c_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

and the error $e_{1}^{* *}$ in $x_{1}^{* *}$ in equation (15) is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
e_{1}^{* *} & =-\frac{1}{4} c_{2} c_{3} e_{1}^{3} e_{1}^{*} \\
& =c e_{1}^{3} e_{1}^{*},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c=-\frac{1}{4} c_{2} c_{3}$. In fact, it can be worked out that for $n \geq 1$, the following relation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n}^{* *}=c e_{n}^{3} e_{n}^{*} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to compute $e_{n}^{* *}$ explicitly, we need to compute $e_{n}$ and $e_{n}^{*}$. We have already computed $e_{1}$ and $e_{1}^{*}$. From the proof of Theorem 1

$$
e_{2}^{*}=d e_{1} e_{2}^{2},
$$

where $d=c_{2}^{2}$ and, again, it can be checked that the following relation holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n}^{*}=d e_{n-1} e_{n}^{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also from (15), it can be shown that

$$
e_{2}=\lambda e_{1}^{*} e_{2}^{* *}
$$

Thus, for $n \geq 1$, it can be shown that error $e_{n+1}$ in $x_{n+1}$ in the method (14)-(15) satisfies the following recursion formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{n+1}=\lambda e_{n}^{*} e_{n}^{* *} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using the above information, the errors at each stage in $x_{n}^{*}, x_{n}^{* *}$ and $x_{n}$ are obtained and tabulated as follows:

We do the analysis of Table 2 as done in the proof of Theorem 1 for Table 1. Note that the powers of $e_{0}$ in the error at each iterate from the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
4,30,218,1586,11538, \ldots . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the sequence of their successive ratios is

$$
\frac{30}{4}, \frac{218}{30}, \frac{1586}{218}, \frac{11538}{1586}, \ldots
$$

or

$$
7.5,7.2667,7.2752,7.2749, \ldots
$$

| $n$ | $e_{n}$ | $e_{n}^{*}$ | $e_{n}^{* *}$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | $e_{0}$ | $e_{0}$ | $a e_{0}^{3}$ |
| 1 | $\lambda a e_{0}^{4}$ | $\lambda^{2} a^{2} b e_{0}^{9}$ | $\lambda^{5} a^{5} b c e_{0}^{21}$ |
| 2 | $\lambda^{8} a^{7} b^{2} c e_{0}^{30}$ | $\lambda^{17} a^{15} b^{5} c^{2} e_{0}^{64}$ | $\lambda^{42} a^{36} b^{11} c^{6} e_{0}^{154}$ |
| 3 | $\lambda^{60} a^{51} b^{13} c^{8} e_{0}^{218}$ | $\lambda^{128} a^{109} b^{29} c^{17} e_{0}^{466}$ | $\lambda^{308} a^{260} b^{68} c^{42} e_{0}^{1120}$ |
| 4 | $\lambda^{437} a^{369} b^{97} c^{59} e_{0}^{1586}$ | $\lambda^{934} a^{789} b^{208} c^{126} e_{0}^{3390}$ | $\lambda^{2245} a^{1896} b^{499} c^{304} e_{0}^{8148}$ |
| 5 | $\lambda^{3180} a^{2685} b^{707} c^{430} e_{0}^{11538}$ |  |  |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |

Table 2. Successive errors.
If the terms of the sequence 19 are denoted by $\left\{N_{i}\right\}$, then it can be seen that

$$
N_{i}=7 N_{i-1}+2 N_{i-2}, \quad i=2,3,4, \ldots
$$

Thus, as in Theorem 1, the rate of convergence of method $14-(15)$ is at least 7.275 .

It is natural to consider the variants of the method $14-15$, where in the expression of $z_{n}$ and $z_{n}^{*}$, the arithmetic mean is replaced by harmonic mean as well as geometric mean as done in methods (10)-11) and $12-13)$, respectively. Precisely, with harmonic mean, we propose the following method:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
x_{0}^{*} & =x_{0} \\
x_{0}^{* *} & =x_{0}^{*}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)}, \\
\text { where } \quad z_{1} & =x_{0}-\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\frac{2 x_{0} x_{0}^{*}}{x_{0}+x_{0}^{*}}\right)}=x_{0}-\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}  \tag{20}\\
x_{1} & =x_{0}^{* *}-\frac{x_{0}^{* *}-x_{0}^{*}}{f\left(x_{0}^{* *}\right)-f\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)} f\left(x_{0}^{* *}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

followed by (for $n \geq 1$ )

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
x_{n}^{*} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}^{*}\right)}, \\
\text { where } \quad z_{n}^{*} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\frac{2 x_{n-1} x_{n-1}^{*}}{x_{n-1}+x_{n-1}^{*}}\right)} \\
\text { where } \quad x_{n}^{* *} & =x_{n}^{*}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)}, \\
z_{n+1} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\frac{2 x_{n} x_{n}^{*}}{x_{n}+x_{n}^{*}}\right)}  \tag{21}\\
x_{n+1} & =x_{1}^{* *}-\frac{x_{n}^{* *}-x_{n}^{*}}{f\left(x_{n}^{* *}\right)-f\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)} f\left(x_{n}^{* *}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

and with the geometric mean, we propose the following :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
x_{0}^{*} & =x_{0}  \tag{22}\\
x_{0}^{* *} & =x_{0}^{*}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)}, \\
\text { where } \quad z_{1} & =x_{0}-\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\sqrt{x_{0} x_{0}^{*}}\right)}=x_{0}-\frac{f\left(x_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)} \\
x_{1} & =x_{0}^{* *}-\frac{x_{0}^{* *}-x_{0}^{*}}{f\left(x_{0}^{* *}\right)-f\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)} f\left(x_{0}^{* *}\right)
\end{array}\right\}
$$

followed by (for $n \geq 1$ )

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
x_{n}^{*} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}^{*}\right)}, \\
\text { where } \quad z_{n}^{*} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\sqrt{\left.x_{n-1}\right) x_{n-1}^{*}}\right)}  \tag{23}\\
x_{n}^{* *} & =x_{n}^{*}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)}, \\
\text { where } \quad z_{n+1} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\sqrt{x_{n} x_{n}^{*}}\right)} \\
x_{n+1} & =x_{1}^{* *}-\frac{x_{n}^{* *}-x_{n}^{*}}{f\left(x_{n}^{* *}\right)-f\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)} f\left(x_{n}^{* *}\right) .
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The convergence of the methods (20)-(21) and (22)-(23) can be proved by using the arguments as used in the proof of Theorem 5. We skip the details for conciseness.

## 4. ALGORITHMS AND NUMERICAL EXAMPLES

We give below an algorithm to implement the method (22)-(3):
Algorithm 6. Step 1: For the given tolerance $\varepsilon>0$ and iteration $N$, choose the initial approximation $x_{0}$ and set $n=0$.

Step 2: Follow the sequence of expressions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& x_{0}^{*}=x_{0} \\
& x_{1}=x_{0}^{*}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}^{*}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}\right)}, \\
\text { where } \quad & z_{1}=x_{0}-\frac{f\left(x 0_{0}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)} \\
& x_{1}^{*}=x_{1}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{1}^{*}\right)}, \\
\text { where } & z_{1}^{*}=x_{1}-\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\frac{x_{0}+x_{0}^{*}}{2}\right)}=x_{1}-\frac{f\left(x_{1}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}
\end{array}
$$

Step 3: For $n=1,2,3, \ldots$, calculate $x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, \ldots$ by the following sequence of expressions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{n}^{*} & =x_{n}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n}^{*}\right)}, \\
\text { where } \quad z_{n}^{*} & =x_{n}-\frac{f\left(x_{n}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(\frac{x_{n-1}+x_{n-1}^{*}}{2}\right)} \\
& x_{n+1}
\end{aligned}=x_{n}^{*}-\frac{2 f\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)}{f^{\prime}\left(x_{n}^{*}\right)+f^{\prime}\left(z_{n+1}\right)},
$$

Step 4: Stop if either $\left|x_{n+1}-x_{n}\right|<\varepsilon$ or $n>N$.
Step 5: Set $n=n+1$ and repeat Step 3.
Example 7. We apply method (2)-(3) on the nonlinear equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos x-x e^{x}+x^{2}=0 . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation has a simple root in the interval $(0,1)$. Taking initial approximation as $x_{0}=1$, Table 3 shows the iterations of McDougall-Wotherspoon method, a third order method (11) and our method (2)-(3).

| $n$ | W-F Method (1) | M-W method | (2)-(3) method |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | 1.1754860092539474 | 0.8903362174683 | 0.64406452481689 |
| 2. | 0.7117526001461193 | 0.66469560530044569 | 0.63915407 |
| 3. | 0.63945030188514695 | 0.63928150457301036 | 0.63915411559451774 |
| 4. | 0.63915408656045591 | 0.63915408990276223 | 0.639154095 |
| 5. | 0.63915410631623149 | 0.63915410965853769 | 0.639154075408329 |
| 6. | 0.63915412607200606 | 0.6391540698096656 | 0.6 |
| 7. | 0.6391 | 0.63915408956544117 | 0.63915409482678587 |
| 8. | 0.63915410597891142 | 0.63915410932121663 | 0.63915407473369212 |
| 9. | 0.6 | 0.63915406947234454 | 27 |
| 10. | 0.63915408588581579 | 0.63915408922812 | 0.63915409415214863 |
| 11. | 0.63915410564159136 | 0.63915410898389557 | 0.63915407405905489 |
| 12. | 0.6 | 0.63915406913502348 | 0.63915411357060603 |
| 13. | 0.63915408554849573 | 0.63915408889079894 | 0.639154093 |
| 14. | 0.63915410530427119 | 0.63915410864657451 | 0.63915407338441765 |
| 15. | 0.639154125060045 | 0.63915406879770231 | 0.6391541128 |
| 16. | 0.63915408521117556 | 0.63915408855347788 | 0.63915409280287416 |
| 17. | 0.63915410496695113 | 0.63915410830925345 | 0.63915407270978042 |
| 18. | 0.6391541247227257 | 0.63915406846038125 | 0.63915411222133156 |
| 19. | 0.6391540848738555 | 0.63915408821615682 | 0.63915409212823693 |
| 20. | 0.63915410462963 | 0.639154107971932 | 0.639154072035 |

Table 3. Numerical results for different methods.

Example 8. We consider the same equation (24) but now implement method (14)-15) and compare with other methods. Table 4, shows the corresponding iterates. One can also compare the last columns of Table 3 and Table 4 which correspond to methods $(2)-(3)$ and $(14)-(15)$, respectively. This clearly indicates the fast convergence of $(14)-(15)$.

| $n$ | W-F Method $1 \boldsymbol{1}$ | M-W method | $(14)-15)$ method |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. | 1.1754860092539474 | 0.89033621746836966 | 0.63919747126530391 |
| 2. | 0.7117526001461193 | 0.66469560530044569 | 0.63915410580338361 |
| 3. | 0.63945030188514695 | 0.63928150457301036 | 0.63915409891807362 |
| 4. | 0.63915408656045591 | 0.63915408990276223 | 0.63915409203276374 |
| 5. | 0.63915410631623149 | 0.63915410965853769 | 0.63915408514745375 |
| 6. | 0.63915412607200606 | 0.6391540698096656 | 0.63915411145121981 |
| 7. | 0.63915408622313585 | 0.63915408956544117 | division by zero |
| 8. | 0.63915410597891142 | 0.63915410932121663 |  |
| 9. | 0.639154125734686 | 0.63915406947234454 |  |
| 10. | 0.63915408588581579 | 0.63915408922812 |  |
| 11. | 0.63915410564159136 | 0.63915410898389557 |  |
| 12. | 0.63915412539736594 | 0.63915406913502348 |  |
| 13. | 0.63915408554849573 | 0.63915408889079894 |  |
| 14. | 0.63915410530427119 | 0.63915410864657451 |  |
| 15. | 0.63915412506004576 | 0.63915406879770231 |  |
| 16. | 0.63915408521117556 | 0.63915408855347788 |  |
| 17. | 0.63915410496695113 | 0.63915410830925345 |  |
| 18. | 0.6391541247227257 | 0.63915406846038125 |  |
| 19. | 0.6391540848738555 | 0.63915408821615682 |  |
| 20. | 0.63915410462963107 | 0.63915410797193239 |  |

Table 4. Numerical results for different methods.
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