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#### Abstract

In this paper, we introduce a Kantorovich generalization of $q$-StancuLupas operators and investigate their approximation properties. The rate of convergence of these operators are obtained by means of modulus of continuity, functions of Lipschitz class and Peetre's K-functional. We also investigate the convergency of the operators in the statistical sense and give a numerical example in order to estimate the error in the approximation.
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## 1. INTRODUCTION

For a function $f(x)$ defined on the interval $[0,1]$, the linear operator $R_{n, q}$ : $C[0,1] \rightarrow C[0,1]$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n, q}(f)=R_{n}(f, q ; x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(\frac{[k]}{[n]}\right) b_{n k}(q ; x) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
b_{n, k}(q ; x)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
n  \tag{2}\\
k
\end{array}\right] \frac{q^{k(k-1) / 2} x^{k}(1-x)^{n-k}}{(1-x+q x) \ldots\left(1-x+q^{n-1} x\right)}
$$

is called Lupaş operators [12]. For $q>0, R_{n}(f, q ; x)$ are linear positive operators on $C[0,1]$ and for $q=1$ they turn into the well known Bernstein operators. The following identities hold for the $R_{n}(f, q ; x)$ operators:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{n}\left(e_{0}, q ; x\right)=1 \\
& R_{n}\left(e_{1}, q ; x\right)=x  \tag{3}\\
& R_{n}\left(e_{2}, q ; x\right)=x^{2}+\frac{x(1-x)}{[n]}\left(\frac{1-x+q^{n} x}{1-x+x q}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Lupaş investigated the approximation properties of the operators on $C[0,1]$ and estimated the rate of convergence in terms of modulus of continuity. In [14]

[^0]the authors studied Voronovskaja type theorems for the $q$-Lupas operators for fixed $q>0$. In 16, Ostrovska presented new results for the convergence of the sequence $R_{n}\left(f, q_{n} ; x\right)$ in $C[0,1]$. She established approximation theorems for the cases $q \in(0,1)$ and $q \in(1, \infty)$, respectively, and studied the convergence of $\left\{R_{n}\left(f, q_{n} ; x\right)\right\}, q \neq 1$ is fixed, obtaining the limit operator of the Lupaş $q$-analogue of the Bernstein operator. In [5], Doğru and Kanat considered a King type modification of Lupaş operators and investigated the statistical approximation properties of the operators. Very recently, Doğru et al. [7] introduced a Stancu type generalization of $q$-Lupaş operators as
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{n}^{\alpha, \beta}(f ; q, x)=[n+1] \sum_{k=0}^{n} f\left(\frac{[k]+[\alpha]}{[n]+[\beta]}\right) b_{n, k}(q ; x) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $b_{n, k}(q ; x)$ is given in (2). They studied the approximation properties and also introduced the $r$-th generalization of these operators.

Since $q$-Bernstein operators has attracted a lot of interest, many generalizations of them have been discovered and studied by several authors. Here we will mention some of them related to our study. For example in [13] an integral modification, called Kantorovich type generalization of $q$-Bernstein operators, have been studied. The authors constructed the operators as

$$
B_{n, q}^{*}(f ; x):=\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}(q ; x) \int_{0}^{1} f\left(\frac{[k]+q^{k} t}{[n+1]}\right) d_{q} t
$$

where $f \in C[0,1], 0<q<1$ and

$$
p_{n, k}(q ; x)=\left[\begin{array}{l}
n  \tag{5}\\
k
\end{array}\right] x^{k}(1-x)^{n-k}
$$

and studied some approximation properties of them. Özarslan and Vedi [17] introduced $q$-Bernstein-Schurer-Kantorovich operators as

$$
K_{n}^{p}(f ; q, x):=\sum_{k=0}^{n+p} p_{n+p, k}(q ; x) \int_{0}^{1} f\left(\frac{[k]+q^{k} t}{[n+1]}\right) d_{q} t
$$

Acu et al. [1] introduced a new q-Stancu-Kantorovich operators as

$$
S_{n, q}^{*(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; x):=\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{n, k}(q ; x) \int_{0}^{1} f\left(\frac{[k]+q^{k} t+\alpha}{[n+1]+\beta}\right) d_{q} t
$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta, f \in C[0,1]$ and $p_{n, k}(q ; x)$ is given in (5). She also established a q-analogue of Stancu-Schurer-Kantorovich operators in [2] where she gave the convergence theorems both in classical and statistical sense and obtained a Voronovskaya type result.

For every $n \in N$ and $q \in(0,1)$, Doğru and Kanat [6] defined the Kantorovich type modification of Lupaş operators as

$$
R_{n}(f, q ; x)=[n+1] \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left(\int_{[k] /[n+1]}^{[k+1] /[n+1]} f(t) d_{q} t\right)\left[\begin{array}{l}
n  \tag{6}\\
k
\end{array}\right] q^{-k} q^{k(k-1) / 2} x^{k}(1-x)^{n-k} .(1-x+q x) \ldots\left(1-x+q^{n-1} x\right) .
$$

Recently, Agrawal et al. [3] studied the approximation properties of LupaşKantorovich operators based on Pólya distribution.

In this paper we present a Kantorovich generalization of the Lupaş-Stancu operators based on the $q$-integers. Our purpose is to study the local and global approximation results for these operators. We also investigate statistical approximation properties using Korovkin type statistical approximation theorem.

## 2. CONSTRUCTION OF THE OPERATORS

Before proceeding further we recall some basic notations from $q$-calculus (see [4 and [10).
Let $q>0$. For each nonnegative integer $r$, the q -integer $[r]$, the q -factorial $[r]$ ! and the q-binomial coefficient $\left[\begin{array}{l}r \\ k\end{array}\right],(r \geq k \geq 0)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
{[r]:=[r]_{q}:=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
\frac{1-q^{r}}{1-q}, & q \neq 1, \\
r, & q=1,
\end{array}\right.} \\
{[r]!:=\left\{\begin{array}{cc}
{[r][r-1] \ldots[1],} & q \geq 1, \\
1, & q=1,
\end{array}\right.}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
r \\
k
\end{array}\right]:=\frac{[r]!}{[r-k]![k]!}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq r,
$$

respectively. The $q$-Jackson integral on the interval $[0, b]$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{b} f(t) d_{q} t=(1-q) b \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f\left(q^{j} b\right) q^{j}, \quad 0<q<1, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that the series is convergent. The Newton's binomial formula is given by

$$
(1+x)(1+q x) \ldots\left(1+q^{n-1} x\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n  \tag{8}\\
k
\end{array}\right] q^{k(k-1) / 2} x^{k} .
$$

The Euler's formula is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k-1) / 2} x^{k}}{(1-q)^{k}[k]!}=\prod_{k=0}^{\infty}\left(1+q^{k} x\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which can be derived from Newton's binomial formula. Let $0<q<1$. We introduce the Kantorovich type $q$-Lupaş-Stancu operators as

$$
R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; x)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n  \tag{10}\\
k
\end{array} \frac{q^{k(k-1) / 2} x^{k}(1-x)^{n-k}}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1}\left(1-x+q^{s} x\right)}\left(\int_{0}^{1} f\left(\frac{[k]+q^{k} t+\alpha}{[n+1]+\beta}\right) d_{q} t\right)\right.
$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ and $f \in C[0,1]$.
Lemma 1. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}, x \in[0,1]$ and $0<q<1$, we have the following equalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(1 ; x)= & 1 \\
R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t ; x)= & \frac{[n]}{[n+1]+\beta}\left\{x+\frac{\alpha}{[n]}+\frac{1-x+q^{n} x}{[2][n]}\right\} \\
(11) & =\frac{1}{[n+1]+\beta}\left(\frac{2 q}{[2]}[n] x+\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}\right)  \tag{11}\\
R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(t^{2} ; x\right)= & \frac{q}{1-x+q x} \frac{[n][n-1]}{[[n+1]+\beta)^{2}} x^{2}+\left(1+\frac{2 q}{[2]} \frac{1-x+q^{n} x}{1-x+q x}+2 \alpha\right) \frac{[n]}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}} x \\
& +\left(\alpha^{2}+\frac{2 \alpha}{[2]}\left(1-x+q^{n} x\right)+\frac{1}{[3]} \frac{\left(1-x+q^{n} x\right)\left(1-x+q^{n+1} x\right)}{1-x+q x}\right) \frac{1}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Taking $\frac{x}{1-x}$ instead of $x$ in (8) one gets the first equality of (11). Taking $\frac{q x}{1-x}$ and $\frac{q^{2} x}{1-x}$ instead of $x$ in (8) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \prod_{s=1}^{n}\left(1-x+q^{s} x\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right] q^{k(k-1) / 2}(q x)^{k}(1-x)^{n-k}  \tag{12}\\
& \prod_{s=2}^{n+1}\left(1-x+q^{s} x\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right] q^{k(k-1) / 2}\left(q^{2} x\right)^{k}(1-x)^{n-k} \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

respectively. Using the definition of $q$-Jackson integral given in (7) and the first equality of (3), we can write

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t ; x)= & \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right] \frac{q^{k(k-1) / 2} x^{k}(1-x)^{n-k}}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1}\left(1-x+q^{s} x\right)}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \frac{[k]+q^{k} t+\alpha}{[n+1]+\beta} d_{q} t\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{[n+1]+\beta} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right] \frac{q^{k(k-1) / 2} x^{k}(1-x)^{n-k}}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1}\left(1-x+q^{s} x\right)}\left([k]+\frac{q^{k}}{[2]}+\alpha\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{[n+1]+\beta}\left\{[n] x \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-1 \\
k
\end{array}\right] \frac{q^{k(k-1) / 2}(q x)^{k}(1-x)^{n-k-1}}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1}\left(1-x+q^{s} x\right)}\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{[2]} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array} \frac{q^{k(k-1) / 2}(q x)^{k}(1-x)^{n-k}}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1}\left(1-x+q^{s} x\right)}+\alpha\right\}
\end{array}\right\}
$$

From the identity 12 we get the desired identity for $R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t ; x)$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(t^{2} ; x\right)= \\
&= \frac{1}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right] \frac{q^{k(k-1) / 2} x^{k}(1-x)^{n-k}}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1}\left(1-x+q^{s} x\right)}\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left([k]+q^{k} t+\alpha\right)^{2} d_{q} t\right) \\
&= \frac{1}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array}\right] \frac{q^{k(k-1) / 2} x^{k}(1-x)^{n-k}}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1}\left(1-x+q^{s} x\right)}\left\{([k]+\alpha)^{2}+\frac{2 q^{k}}{[2]}(\alpha+[k])+\frac{q^{2 k}}{[3]}\right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}}\left\{q x^{2}[n][n-1] \sum_{k=0}^{n-2}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-2 \\
k
\end{array}\right] \frac{q^{k(k-1) / 2}\left(q^{2} x\right)^{k}(1-x)^{n-k-2}}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1}\left(1-x+q^{s} x\right)}\right. \\
&+(2 \alpha+1)[n] x \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-1 \\
k
\end{array}\right] \frac{q^{k(k-1) / 2}(q x)^{k}(1-x)^{n-k-1}}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1}\left(1-x+q^{s} x\right)} \\
&+\alpha^{2}+\frac{2 \alpha}{[2]} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array} \frac{q^{k(k-1) / 2}(q x)^{k}(1-x)^{n-k}}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1}\left(1-x+q^{s} x\right)}\right. \\
&+\frac{2 q}{[2]}[n] x \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\left[\begin{array}{c}
n-1 \\
k
\end{array}\right] \frac{q^{k(k-1) / 2}\left(q^{2} x\right)^{k}(1-x)^{n-k-1}}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1}\left(1-x+q^{s} x\right)} \\
&+\frac{1}{[3]} \sum_{k=0}^{n}\left[\begin{array}{l}
n \\
k
\end{array} \frac{q^{k(k-1) / 2}\left(q^{2} x\right)^{k}(1-x)^{n-k}}{\prod_{s=0}^{n-1}\left(1-x+q^{s} x\right)}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the identities given in $(12)$ and $(13)$ we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(t^{2} ; x\right)= & \frac{1}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}}\left\{\frac{q x^{2}[n][n-1]}{1-x+q x}(2 \alpha+1)[n] x+\alpha^{2}+\frac{2 \alpha}{[2]}\left(1-x+q^{n} x\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2 q}{[2]}[n] x \frac{1-x+q^{n} x}{1-x+q x}+\frac{1}{[3]} \frac{\left(1-x+q^{n} x\right)\left(1-x+q^{n+1} x\right)}{(1-x+q x)}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Arranging the terms we have the desired result.

## Remark 2. From Lemma 1 we have,

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t-x ; x)= & \frac{-\left(q^{n}+\beta\right)}{[n+1]+\beta} x+\frac{1}{[n+1]+\beta}\left\{\alpha+\frac{1-x+q^{n} x}{[2]}\right\}  \tag{14}\\
R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left((t-x)^{2} ; x\right) \leq & \left(\frac{[n]}{([n+1]+\beta)}-1\right)^{2}+(3+2 \alpha) \frac{[n]}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}} \\
& +\frac{(\alpha+1)^{2}}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}}+\frac{2(\alpha+1)}{([n+1]+\beta)} \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The identity (14) is obvious. For the inequality (15) we use the following second central moment of the operator $R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; x)$.

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left((t-x)^{2} ; x\right)= \\
= & \frac{q}{1-x+q x} \frac{[n][n-1]}{[[n+1]+\beta)^{2}} x^{2} \\
& +\left(1+\frac{2 q}{[2]} \frac{1-x+q^{n} x}{1-x+q x}+2 \alpha\right) \frac{[n]}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}} x \\
& +\left\{\left(\alpha^{2}+\frac{2 \alpha}{[2]}\left(1-x+q^{n} x\right)\right)+\frac{1}{[3]} \frac{\left(1-x+q^{n} x\right)\left(1-x+q^{n+1} x\right)}{(1-x+q x)}\right\} \frac{1}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}}  \tag{16}\\
& -2 x \frac{[n]}{[n+1]+\beta}\left\{x+\frac{\alpha}{[n]}+\frac{1-x+q^{n} x}{[2][n]}\right\}+x^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

For $0<q<1$ and $0 \leq x \leq 1$, we have $\frac{q}{1-x+q x} \leq 1$. Also using the inequality $[n-1]<[n]$ we can write

$$
\left(\frac{q}{1-x+q x} \frac{[n][n-1]}{[n+1]+\beta)^{2}}-2 \frac{[n]}{[n+1]+\beta}+1\right) x^{2} \leq\left(\frac{[n]}{[n+1]+\beta}-1\right)^{2} x^{2} .
$$

Since $\max _{0 \leq x \leq 1} \frac{\left(1-x+q^{n} x\right)}{(1-x+q x)}=1$ and $1-x+q^{n} x \leq 1$, we have,

$$
\left(1+\frac{2 q}{[2]} \frac{1-x+q^{n} x}{1-x+q x}+2 \alpha\right) \leq 3+2 \alpha
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\alpha^{2}+\frac{2 \alpha}{[2]}\left(1-x+q^{n} x\right)\right)+\frac{1}{[3]} \frac{\left(1-x+q^{n} x\right)\left(1-x+q^{n+1} x\right)}{(1-x+q x)} & \leq \alpha^{2}+2 \alpha+1 \\
& =(\alpha+1)^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the above inequalities in (16) and keeping in mind that $0 \leq x \leq 1$, we finally get the desired result.

## 3. DIRECT ESTIMATES

In this section, we give some direct theorems for the operators $R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; x)$. In what follows we denote by $\|\cdot\|=\|\cdot\|_{C[0,1]}$ the uniform norm on $C[0,1]$.

Theorem 3. Let $f \in C[0,1]$ and $q:=\left(q_{n}\right), 0<q_{n}<1$ be a sequence satisfying the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q_{n}=1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|R_{n, q_{n}}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; .)-f(.)\right\|=0
$$

Proof. From Lemma 1 and Korovkin's theorem, the proof is obvious because $[n]_{q_{n}} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $f \in C[0,1]$. The modulus of continuity of $f$ is defined by

$$
w(f ; \delta)=\sup _{\substack{t, x \in[0,1] \\|t-x| \leq \delta}}|f(t)-f(x)| .
$$

It is well known that for any $\delta>0$ and each $t \in[0,1]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(t)-f(x)| \leq w(f ; \delta)\left(1+\frac{|t-x|}{\delta}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next theorem gives us the rate of convergence of the operators $R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; x)$ in terms of modulus of continuity.

ThEOREM 4. If $0<q<1$, then for any $f \in C[0,1]$, we have

$$
\left\|R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; x)-f(x)\right\| \leq 2 w\left(f ; \sqrt{\delta_{n, q}}\right)
$$

where $\delta_{n, q}=\left(\frac{[n]}{([n+1]+\beta)}-1\right)^{2}+(3+2 \alpha) \frac{[n]}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}}+\frac{(\alpha+1)^{2}}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}}+\frac{2(\alpha+1)}{([n+1]+\beta)}$.
Proof. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; x)-f(x)\right| & =\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n, k}(q ; x) \int_{0}^{1}\left(f\left(\frac{[k]+q^{k} t+\alpha}{[n+1]+\beta}\right)-f(x)\right) d_{q} t\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n, k}(q ; x) \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{\left|\frac{[k]+q^{k} t+\alpha}{[n+1]+\beta}-x\right|}{\delta}+1\right) w(f ; \delta) d_{q} t
\end{aligned}
$$

Using Cauchy-Schwarz inequality we have,

$$
\int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{[k]+q^{k} t+\alpha}{[n+1]+\beta}-x\right| d_{q} t \leq\left\{\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{[k]+q^{k} t+\alpha}{[n+1]+\beta}-x\right)^{2} d_{q} t\right\}^{1 / 2}
$$

from which we can write
$\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n, k}(q ; x) \int_{0}^{1}\left(\left|\frac{[k]+q^{k} t+\alpha}{[n+1]+\beta}-x\right| d_{q} t\right) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n, k}(q ; x)\left\{\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{[k]+q^{k} t+\alpha}{[n+1]+\beta}-x\right)^{2} d_{q} t\right\}^{1 / 2}$
Applying Cauchy-Schwarz inequality once more, the right hand side of the above inequality becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n, k} & (q ; x)\left\{\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{[k]+q^{k} t+\alpha}{[n+1]+\beta}-x\right)^{2} d_{q} t\right\}^{1 / 2} \\
& \leq\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n, k}(q ; x) \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{[k]+q^{k} t+\alpha}{[n+1]+\beta}-x\right)^{2} d_{q} t\right\}^{1 / 2}\left\{\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n, k}(q ; x)\right\}^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, by the first equality of (3), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; x)-f(x)\right| & \leq w(f ; \delta)\left\{1+\frac{1}{\delta}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n, k}(q ; x) \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{[k]+q^{k} t+\alpha}{n+1]+\beta}-x\right)^{2} d_{q} t\right]^{1 / 2}\right\} \\
& =w(f ; \delta)\left\{1+\frac{1}{\delta}\left(R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left((t-x)^{2} ; x\right)\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking maximum of both sides over the interval $[0,1]$, we have

$$
\left\|R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; .)-f(.)\right\| \leq w(f ; \delta)\left\{1+\frac{1}{\delta}\left(\delta_{n, q}\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}
$$

Choosing $\delta=\left(\delta_{n, q}\right)^{1 / 2}$ we get the result.
For $0<\alpha \leq 1$, a function $f \in C[0,1]$ belongs to $\operatorname{Lip}_{M}(\alpha)$ if

$$
|f(t)-f(x)| \leq M|t-x|^{\alpha}
$$

is satisfied for some $M>0$ and for all $t, x \in[0,1]$. The following theorem gives us the rate of convergence of the operators in terms of the functions of Lipschitz class.

Theorem 5. Let $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{M}(\alpha)$ and $q:=\left(q_{n}\right), 0<q_{n}<1$, be a sequence satisfying the conditions given in 17). Then

$$
\left\|R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; .)-f(.)\right\| \leq M\left(\delta_{n, q}\right)^{\alpha / 2}
$$

where $\left(\delta_{n, q}\right)$ is given in Theorem 4.
Proof. By linearity and positivity of the operator and using the condition $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_{M}(\alpha)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; x)-f(x)\right| \leq M \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n, k}(q ; x) \int_{0}^{1}\left|\frac{[k]+q^{k} t+\alpha}{[n+1]+\beta}-x\right|^{\alpha} d_{q} t . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hölder's inequality with $p=\frac{2}{\alpha}$ and $q=\frac{2}{2-\alpha}$ gives us

$$
\left|R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; x)-f(x)\right| \leq M \sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n, k}(q ; x)\left(\int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{[k]+q^{k} t+\alpha}{[n+1]+\beta}-x\right)^{2} d_{q} t\right)^{\alpha / 2} .
$$

Applying the Hölder's inequality once more for the sum term, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; x)-f(x)\right| \leq \\
\leq & M\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n, k}(q ; x) \int_{0}^{1}\left(\frac{[k]+q^{k} t+\alpha}{[n+1]+\beta}-x\right)^{2} d_{q} t\right)^{\alpha / 2}\left(\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n, k}(q ; x)\right)^{(2-\alpha) / 2} \\
= & M\left(R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left((t-x)^{2} ; x\right)\right)^{\alpha / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking maximum of both sides of the above inequality over $[0,1]$, we get the desired result.

Lastly, we will study the rate of convergence of the operators $R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; x)$ by means of Peetre's K-functionals. Remember that the Peetre's K-functional is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2}(f ; \delta)=\inf _{g \in C^{2}[0,1]}\left\{\|f-g\|+\delta\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|\right\} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that the second modulus of a function is defined by

$$
w_{2}(f ; \delta)=\sup _{0 \leq h \leq \delta} \sup _{x \in[0,1]}|f(x+2 h)-2 f(x+h)+f(x)|
$$

It is known [11, p. 177, Th. 2.4] that there exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{2}(f ; \delta) \leq C w_{2}(f ; \sqrt{\delta}) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

We need the following Lemma for the proof of the theorem on Peetre's Kfunctional.

Lemma 6. For $f \in C[0,1]$ and $x \in[0,1]$ one has

$$
\left|R_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)\right| \leq\|f\|
$$

Proof. The proof follows from the linearity of the operator $R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)$ and from the first identity of Lemma 1.

Theorem 7. Let $f \in C[0,1], x \in[0,1]$ and $0<q<1$. Then there exist $a$ positive constant $C$ such that

$$
\left|R_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)-f(x)\right| \leq C w_{2}\left(f ; \sqrt{\alpha_{n, q}}\right)+w\left(f ; \beta_{n, q}(x)\right)
$$

where $\alpha_{n, q}=\delta_{n, q}+\frac{2}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}}\left\{3([2] \beta+1)^{2}+2 q^{2 n+2}\right\}$ and $\beta_{n, q}(x)=\left|\frac{1}{[n+1]+\beta}\left(\frac{2 q}{[2]}[n] x+\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}\right)-x\right|$.

Proof. Consider the following auxiliary operators $\widetilde{R}_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{R}_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)=R_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)+f(x)-f\left(\frac{1}{[n+1]+\beta}\left(\frac{2 q}{[2]}[n] x+\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}\right)\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $R_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ is linear, from Lemma 1,

$$
\widetilde{R}_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(t-x ; q, x)=0
$$

By Taylor's theorem we have

$$
g(t)=g(x)+g^{\prime}(x)(t-x)+\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) g^{\prime \prime}(u) d u
$$

Applying $\widetilde{R}_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ to the both side of the above equality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{R}_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(g ; x)-g(x)= \\
& =\widetilde{R}_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) g^{\prime \prime}(u) d u ; q, x\right) \\
& =R_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) g^{\prime \prime}(u) d u\right)-
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
-\int_{x}^{\frac{1}{[n+1]+\beta}\left(\frac{2 q}{[2]}[n] x+\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}\right)}\left(\frac{1}{[n+1]+\beta}\left(\frac{2 q}{[2]}[n] x+\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}\right)-u\right) g^{\prime \prime}(u) d u
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\widetilde{R}_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(g ; x)-g(x)\right| \leq\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|\left\{R_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(\left|\int_{x}^{t}(t-u) d u\right| ; x\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left|\int_{x}^{\frac{1}{[n+1]+\beta}} \frac{\left(\frac{2 q}{[2]}[n] x+\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}\right)}{}\left(\frac{1}{[n+1]+\beta}\left(\frac{2 q}{[2]}[n] x+\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}\right)-u\right) d u\right|\right\} \\
& \leq\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|\left\{R_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left((t-x)^{2} ; x\right)+\left(\frac{1}{[n+1]+\beta}\left(\frac{2 q}{[2]}[n] x+\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}\right)-x\right)^{2}\right\} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

For the last term of the above inequality we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{1}{[n+1]+\beta}\left(\frac{2 q}{[2]}[n] x+\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}\right)-x\right)^{2} \leq \\
& \leq 2\left\{\left(\frac{2 q[n]}{[2]([n+1]+\beta)}-1\right)^{2} x^{2}+\left(\frac{\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}}{[n+1]+\beta}\right)^{2}\right\} \\
& =\frac{2}{[2]^{2}([n+1]+\beta)^{2}}\left\{\left(1+q^{n+1}+[2] \beta\right)^{2} x^{2}+([2] \alpha+1)^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $\alpha \leq \beta$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\frac{1}{[n+1]+\beta}\left(\frac{2 q}{[2]}[n] x+\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}\right)-x\right)^{2} \leq \\
& \leq \frac{2}{[2]^{2}([n+1]+\beta)^{2}}\left\{2\left(([2] \beta+1)^{2}+q^{2 n+2}\right)+([2] \beta+1)^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\widetilde{R}_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(g ; x)-g(x)\right| \leq\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\|\left\{\delta_{n, q}+\frac{2}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}}\left\{3([2] \beta+1)^{2}+2 q^{2 n+2}\right\}\right\} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

by (23). On the other hand from $(22)$ and Lemma 6, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\widetilde{R}_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; x)\right| & \leq\left|R_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; x)\right|+2\|f\| \\
& \leq 3\|f\|
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, from 22 and 24 we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|R_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; x)-f(x)\right| \leq \\
& \leq\left|\widetilde{R}_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; x)-f(x)\right|+\left|f(x)-f\left(\frac{1}{[n+1]+\beta}\left(\frac{2 q}{[2]}[n] x+\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \leq\left|\widetilde{R}_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f-g ; x)\right|+|(f-g)(x)|+\left|\widetilde{R}_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(g ; x)-g(x)\right| \\
& \quad+\left|f(x)-f\left(\frac{1}{[n+1]+\beta}\left(\frac{2 q}{[2]}[n] x+\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}\right)\right)\right| \\
& \leq 4\|f-g\|+\left\|g^{\prime \prime}\right\| \alpha_{n, q}+\left|f(x)-f\left(\frac{1}{[n+1]+\beta}\left(\frac{2 q}{[2]}[n] x+\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}\right)\right)\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the infimum on the right hand side over all $g \in C^{2}[0,1]$ and using 20 ) and (21) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|R_{n ; q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f ; x)-f(x)\right| & \leq 4 K_{2}\left(f ; \alpha_{n, q}\right)+w\left(f ; \beta_{n, q}(x)\right) \\
& \leq C w_{2}\left(f ; \sqrt{\alpha_{n, q}}\right)+w\left(f ; \beta_{n, q}(x)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.
REMARK 8. For $q_{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have $\alpha_{n, q_{n}} \rightarrow 0$ and $\beta_{n, q_{n}}(x) \rightarrow 0$.

## 4. STATISTICAL CONVERGENCE PROPERTIES

Before proceeding further let us recall the concept of statistical convergence, which was first introduced by H. Fast [8] in 1951 and has been studied frequently in approximation theory for the last two decades.

The natural density of a set $K \subseteq N$ is defined by

$$
\delta(K)=\lim _{n} \frac{1}{n}|\{k: k \leq n, k \in K\}|
$$

provided the limit exists (see [15]); here $|A|$ denotes the cardinality of the set $A$. A sequence $x=\left(x_{k}\right)$ is called statistically convergent to a number L if, for every $\epsilon>0$

$$
\delta\left\{k:\left|x_{k}-L\right| \geq \epsilon\right\}=0
$$

and it is denoted as $s t-\lim _{k} x_{k}=L$.
A.D. Gadjiev and C. Orhan [9] proved the following Bohman-Korovkin type approximation theorem using the concept of the statistical convergence.

Theorem A. [9] If the sequence of linear positive operators $A_{n}: C[a, b] \rightarrow$ $C[a, b]$ satisfies the conditions,

$$
s t-\lim _{n}\left\|A_{n}\left(e_{\nu} ; .\right)-e_{\nu}(.)\right\|_{C[a, b]}=0 \quad, \quad e_{\nu}(t)=t^{\nu}
$$

for $\nu=0,1,2$, then for any function $f \in C[a, b]$,

$$
s t-\lim _{n}\left\|A_{n}(f ; .)-f(.)\right\|_{C[a, b]}=0 .
$$

Theorem 9. Let $\left(q_{n}\right), 0<q_{n}<1$, be a sequence satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
s t-\lim _{n} q_{n}=1 \quad \text { and } \quad s t-\lim _{n} q_{n}^{n}=c \in(0,1) . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for all $f \in C[0,1]$, the operator $R_{n ; q_{n}}^{(\alpha, \beta)}$ satisfies

$$
s t-\lim _{n}\left\|R_{n ; q_{n}}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, .)-f(.)\right\|=0
$$

Proof. It is enough to prove that

$$
s t-\lim _{n}\left\|R_{n ; q_{n}}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(e_{i} ; .\right)-e_{i}(.)\right\|=0
$$

for $e_{i}(t)=t^{i}, i=0,1,2$, then the proof follows from Theorem A.
For $i=0$, it is clear from the first identity of Lemma 1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s t-\lim _{n}\left\|R_{n ; q_{n}}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(e_{0} ; .\right)-e_{0}(.)\right\|=0 \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $i=1$, again Lemma 1 implies,

$$
R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(e_{1}, x\right)-e_{1}(x)=\left(\frac{2 q}{[2]} \frac{[n]}{[n+1]+\beta}-1\right) x+\left(\frac{\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}}{[n+1]+\beta}\right)
$$

from which we can write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(e_{1}, x\right)-e_{1}(x)\right| \leq \frac{1+q^{n+1}+[2] \beta}{[2]([n+1]+\beta)} x+\frac{\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}}{[n+1]+\beta} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for a given $\epsilon>0$, let us define the following sets:

$$
\begin{gathered}
T:=\left\{n:\left\|R_{n, q_{n}}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(e_{1}, .\right)-e_{1}(.)\right\| \geq \epsilon\right\}, \\
T_{1}:=\left\{n: \frac{1+q^{n+1}+[2] \beta}{[2]([n+1]+\beta)} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right\} . \\
T_{2}:=\left\{n: \frac{\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}}{[n+1]+\beta} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{2}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

From (27) it is clear that $T \subseteq T_{1} \cup T_{2}$. So we can write,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(T) \leq \delta\left(T_{1}\right)+\delta\left(T_{2}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the conditions $(25)$, we have

$$
s t-\lim _{n} \frac{1+q^{n+1}+[2] \beta}{[2]([n+1]+\beta)}=0 \text { and } s t-\lim _{n} \frac{\alpha+\frac{1}{[2]}}{[n+1]+\beta}=0
$$

which implies that the right hand side of the inequality $(28)$ is zero. Therefore we have,

$$
\delta\left\{n:\left\|R_{n, q_{n}}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(e_{1}, .\right)-e_{1}(.)\right\| \geq \epsilon\right\}=0
$$

which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
s t-\lim _{n}\left\|R_{n, q_{n}}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(e_{1}, .\right)-e_{1}(.)\right\|=0 \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lastly for $i=2$ we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(e_{2}, x\right) & -e_{2}(x) \left\lvert\,=\left(1-\frac{q}{1-x+q x} \frac{[n][n-1]}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}}\right) x^{2}\right. \\
& +\left(1+\frac{2 q}{[2]} \frac{1-x+q^{n} x}{1-x+q x}+2 \alpha\right) \frac{[n]}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}} x \\
& +\left(\alpha^{2}+\frac{2 \alpha}{[2]}\left(1-x+q^{n} x\right)+\frac{1}{[3]} \frac{\left(1-x+q^{n} x\right)\left(1-x+q^{n+1} x\right)}{1-x+q x}\right) \frac{1}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we have

$$
\left\|R_{n, q}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(e_{2}, .\right)-e_{2}(.)\right\| \leq\left(1-q \frac{[n][n-1]}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+(3+2 \alpha) \frac{[n]}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}}+\left(\alpha^{2}+\frac{2 \alpha}{[2]}+\frac{1}{[3]}\right) \frac{1}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for a given $\epsilon>0$, let us define the following sets:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& K:=\left\{n:\left\|R_{n, q_{n}}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(e_{2}, .\right)-e_{2}(.)\right\| \geq \epsilon\right\} \\
& K_{1}:=\left\{n:\left(1-q \frac{[n][n-1]}{([n+1]+3)^{2}}\right) \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right\} \\
& K_{2}:=\left\{n:(3+2 \alpha) \frac{[n]}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right\} \\
& K_{3}:=\left\{n:\left(\alpha^{2}+\frac{2 \alpha}{[2]}+\frac{1}{[3]}\right) \frac{1}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}} \geq \frac{\epsilon}{3}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

From (30) it is clear that $K \subseteq K_{1} \cup K_{2} \cup K_{3}$. Therefore we have,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(K) \leq \delta\left(K_{1}\right)+\delta\left(K_{2}\right)+\delta\left(K_{3}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the conditions given in (25) into account, one has

$$
\begin{align*}
s t-\lim _{n}\left(1-q \frac{[n][n-1]}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}}\right) & =0 \\
s t-\lim _{n}(3+2 \alpha) \frac{[n]}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}} & =0  \tag{32}\\
s t-\lim _{n}\left(\alpha^{2}+\frac{2 \alpha}{[2]}+\frac{1}{[3]}\right) \frac{1}{([n+1]+\beta)^{2}} & =0
\end{align*}
$$

From (32) the right hand side of (31) becomes zero and hence we get

$$
\delta\left\{n:\left\|R_{n, q_{n}}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(e_{2}, .\right)-e_{2}(.)\right\| \geq \epsilon\right\}=0
$$

i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s t-\lim _{n}\left\|R_{n, q_{n}}^{(\alpha, \beta)}\left(e_{2}, .\right)-e_{2}(.)\right\|=0 \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now by (26), (29) and (33) we conclude from Theorem A that for all $f \in C[0,1]$

$$
s t-\lim _{n}\left\|R_{n, q_{n}}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, .)-f(.)\right\|=0 .
$$

Example 10. Taking $f(x)=x^{2}$, (curve 4), we compute the error estimation of $q$-Lupaş Kantorovich operators given by 10 for $q=0.5$ (curve 3 ), $q=0.7$ (curve 2) and $q=0.85$ (curve 1 ).

| x | Error bound for $q=0.5$ | Error bound for $q=0.7$ | Error bound for $q=0.85$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0.6574686544 | 0.6508325077 | 0.4855162530 |
| 0.3 | 0.0569703701 | 0.3717804595 | 0.2747085315 |
| 0.5 | 0.1057573294 | 0.0748125294 | 0.0569703701 |
| 0.8 | 0.0476530351 | 0.0418758717 | 0.0211787652 |
| 1 | 0.1345946106 | 0.1266259064 | 0.05804583687 |

Table 1. Error estimates of $R_{n, q_{n}}^{(\alpha, \beta)}(f, x)$ for different values of $q .(n=$ $30, \alpha=1$ and $\beta=4$.)


Fig. 1. Estimation of the $q$-Lupaş-Kantorovich operators to the function $f(x)=x^{2}$ for $q=0.5 ; 0.7$ and 1 .
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