#### JOURNAL OF NUMERICAL ANALYSIS AND APPROXIMATION THEORY

J. Numer. Anal. Approx. Theory, vol. 50 (2021) no. 1, pp. 52-59 ictp.acad.ro/jnaat

# SOME INEQUALITIES FOR A STANCU TYPE OPERATOR VIA (1,1) BOX CONVEX FUNCTIONS

IOAN GAVREA\* and DANIEL IANOSI\*

**Abstract.** In this paper we introduce a Stancu type operator and we prove inequalities of Raşa's type.

MSC 2020. 41A36, 41A17. Keywords. Stancu operators, box convex functions.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

D.D. Stancu, in [12] using a probabilistic method, constructs a linear positive polynomial operators  $L_{n,r}^{\alpha,\beta}$  of Bernstein type, depending on a non-negative integer parameter r and on two parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , such that  $0 \leq \alpha \leq \beta$ . More precisely the operators are defined by

(1) 
$$\left(L_{n,r}^{\alpha,\beta}f\right)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{n,k,r}(x) f\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right)$$

for  $f \in C[0, 1]$ , where

$$w_{n,k,r}(x) = \begin{cases} \binom{n-r}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-r-k+1}, & \text{if } 0 \le k < r, \\ \binom{n-r}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-r-k+1} + \binom{n-r}{k-r} x^{k-r+1} (1-x)^{n-k}, & \text{if } r \le k \le n-r, \\ \binom{n-r}{k-r} x^{k-r+1} (1-x)^{n-k}, & \text{if } n-r < k \le n. \end{cases}$$

Let  $b_{n,k}(x)$  be the fundamental Bernstein polynomials,  $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$  defined by

$$b_{n,k}(x) = \begin{cases} \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}, & \text{if } 0 \le k \le n \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

<sup>\*</sup>Department of Mathematics, Technical University of Cluj Napoca, Str. Memorandumului nr. 28, 400114 Cluj-Napoca, Romania, e-mail: Ioan.Gavrea@math.utcluj.ro, dan.ianosi@yahoo.com.

The operators  $L_{n,r}^{\alpha,\beta}$  can be written in the following forms

(3) 
$$\left(L_{n,r}^{\alpha,\beta}f\right)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-r} b_{n-r,k}(x) \left[ (1-x)f\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) + xf\left(\frac{k+r+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) \right]$$
  
(4)  $\left(L_{n,r}^{\alpha,\beta}f\right)(x) = (1-x)\sum_{k=0}^{n-r} b_{n-r,k}(x)f\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) + x\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{n-r,k}(x)f\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right)$ 

(4) 
$$\left(L_{n,r}^{\alpha,\beta}f\right)(x) = (1-x)\sum_{k=0}b_{n-r,k}(x)f\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) + x\sum_{k=r}b_{n-r,k-r}(x)f\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right).$$

REMARK 1. For r = 0,  $L_{n,0}^{\alpha,\beta} = L_n^{\alpha,\beta}$  the operator was introduced by D.D. Stancu in [11]. For  $r = 0, \alpha = \beta = 0$ ,  $L_n^{0,0}$  coincides with the Bernstein operator  $B_n$ .

Inspired by (4) we construct the following operator.

Let  $\varphi$  be an increasing differential function,  $\varphi : [0,1] \to [0,1], r, n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n > 2r, 0 \le \alpha \le \beta \le 1$ .

Then for  $f \in C[0, 1]$  we defined  $L_{n,r,\varphi}^{\alpha,\beta}$  by: (5)

$$\left(L_{n,r,\varphi}^{\alpha,\beta}f\right)(x) = (1-\varphi(x))\sum_{k=0}^{n-r}b_{n-r,k}(x)f\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) + \varphi(x)\sum_{k=r}^{n}b_{n-r,k-r}(x)f\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right),$$

 $x \in [0,1].$ 

The operator defined by (5) can be also written as:

(6) 
$$\left( L_{n,r,\varphi}^{\alpha,\beta}f \right)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} w_{n,r,k,\varphi}(x) f\left(\frac{k+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right),$$

where

(7)  

$$w_{n,r,k,\varphi}(x) = \begin{cases} (1 - \varphi(x)) b_{n-r,k}(x), \text{ if } 0 \le k < r \\ (1 - \varphi(x)) b_{n-r,k}(x) + \varphi(x) b_{n-r,k-r}(x), \text{ if } r \le k \le n - r \\ \varphi(x) b_{n-r,k-r}(x), \text{ if } n - r < k \le n. \end{cases}$$

The sequence  $(L_{n,r,\varphi}^{\alpha,\beta}f)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$  is a linear positive sequence and for  $\varphi = x$  it becomes Stancu's sequence  $(L_n^{\alpha,\beta}f)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ .

In [10], Ioan Raşa recalled his 25-year-old problem relative to preservation of convexity by the Bernstein-Schnabl operators.

Prove or disprove that

(8) 
$$\sum_{i,j=0}^{n} \left( b_{n,i}(x) b_{n,j}(x) + b_{n,i}(y) b_{n,j}(y) - 2b_{n,i}(x) b_{n,j}(y) \right) f\left(\frac{i+j}{2n}\right) \ge 0$$

for each convex function  $f \in C[0, 1]$  and for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ .

In [8], J. Mrowiec, T. Rajba and S. Wąsowicz prove that (8) holds. In the proof of (8) they use the probability theory. As a tool they applied stochastic convex ordering.

In [1], U. Abel gave an elementary proof of (8). An extension of (8) was considered in [5], where  $b_{n,k}(x)$  were replaced by more general functions and

the functional evaluations were replaced by  $A_{\underline{i+j}}(f)$ , where  $\{A_t\}_{t\geq 0}$  is a set of linear positive functionals defined on a linear space of functions satisfying certain assumptions (see [5] for more details).

In [6], Raşa's conjecture (8) was studied for the case of Baskakov-Mastroianni operators.

Given  $f \in C[0, 1]$ , denote

$$\Delta_h^1 f(x) := \Delta_h f(x) := \begin{cases} f(x+h) - f(x), \ x, x+h \in [0,1] \\ 0, \ \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

and for  $q \geq 1$ 

/

$$\Delta_h^{q+1} f(x) := \Delta_h^q \left( \Delta_h f(x) \right)$$

A function f defined on [0,1] is called q-monotone if  $\Delta_h^q f(x) \ge 0$ , for all h > 0 (see [2]).

Abel and Leviatan in [2] proved the following result.

THEOREM A. Let  $q, n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $f \in C[0,1]$  is a q-monotone function, then for all  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ 

$$\operatorname{sgn}(x-y)^q \times \sum_{\partial_1,\dots,\partial_q=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^q (-1)^{q-j} {q \choose j} \left(\prod_{i=1}^j b_{n,\partial_i}(x)\right) \left(\prod_{i=j+1}^q b_{n,\partial_i}(y)\right) \int_0^1 f\left(\frac{\partial_1+\dots+\partial_q+\alpha t}{qn+\alpha}\right) dt \ge 0$$

In [7], it was proved that Theorem A follows from the fact that the tensorial product of Bernstein polynomials, *i.e.* 

$$(B_{n,m}f)(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_{n,i}(x) b_{m,j}(y) f(\frac{i}{n}, \frac{j}{m})$$

preserves (q, s)-convexity (see [4]).

The aim of this article is to prove an inequality of type (8) for operators  $L_{n,r,\varphi}^{\alpha,\beta}$ .

### 2. MAIN RESULTS

If f(x,y) is a function defined on the rectangle  $I \times J, I = [a,b], J =$  $[c, d], x_1, \ldots, x_m$  are distinct points from I and  $y_1, \ldots, y_n$  are distinct points from J, then the double divided difference is defined by

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1, \dots, x_m \\ y_1, \dots, y_n; f \end{bmatrix} = [x_1, \dots, x_m; [y_1, \dots, y_n; f(x, \cdot)]]$$
$$= [y_1, \dots, y_n; [x_1, \dots, x_m; f(\cdot, y)]].$$

Here, for the distinct points  $z_k$ ,  $k = \overline{1, n}$  and a function g defined on a set that contains these points,  $[z_1, \ldots, z_n; g]$  denote the classical divided difference given by

$$[z_1;g] = g(z_1)$$

$$[z_1, z_2; g] = \frac{g(z_1) - g(z_2)}{z_1 - z_2}$$
$$[z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-1}, z_n; g] = \frac{[z_1, \dots, z_{n-1}; g] - [z_2, \dots, z_n; g]}{z_1 - z_n}.$$

T. Popoviciu introduced the notion of (m, n) convexity in [9, p. 78]. In [4], S. Gal and P. Niculescu used box convexity of order (m, n) for (m, n) convexity. We will use the terminology introduced in [4] and say that the function  $f: I \times J \to \mathbb{R}$  is box convex of order (m, n) if all divided differences

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1, & \dots, & x_{m+1} \\ y_1, & \dots, & y_{n+1} \end{bmatrix}$$

are non-negative for any choice of distinct points  $x_1, \ldots, x_{m+1}$  and  $y_1, \ldots, y_{n+1}$ .

For the remaining of this paper, we will consider I = J = [0, 1]. In particular, let us observe that when m = n = 1 for  $f : I \times I \to \mathbb{R}$ , box convexity of order (1, 1) is equivalent to

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1, & x_2\\ y_1, & y_2 \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{(x_1 - x_2)(y_1 - y_2)} \Big[ f(x_1, y_1) - f(x_1, y_2) - f(x_2, y_1) + f(x_2, y_2) \Big] \ge 0.$$

LEMMA 2 ([9]). If  $f \in C^{q+s}(I \times I)$  and  $\frac{\partial^{q+s}f}{\partial x^q \partial y^s} \ge 0$  on  $I \times I$ , then f is (q, s) box convex.

In this paper we will use Lemma 2 for the case q = s = 1.

DEFINITION 3. Let U, V be two operators  $U, V : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$  defined by

$$(Uf)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i(x) f(x_i)$$
$$(Vf)(y) = \sum_{j=0}^{m} b_j(y) f(y_j).$$

for all  $x, y \in I, x_i, y_j \in I, i = 0, 1, \dots, n, j = 0, 1, \dots, m$ .

The operator denoted by  $UV, UV : C(I \times I) \to C(I \times I)$  defined by

(9) 
$$UVf(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{m} f(x_i, y_j) a_i(x) b_j(y)$$

is called the tensor product of the operators U and V.

The following results will be proved in this paper.

THEOREM 4. Let  $\varphi, \psi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$  be two increasing differentiable functions and let  $L = L_{n,r_1,\varphi}^{\alpha,\beta} L_{m,r_2,\psi}^{\gamma,\delta}$  the tensor product of operators  $L_{n,r_1,\varphi}^{\alpha,\beta}, L_{m,r_2,\psi}^{\gamma,\delta}$ defined as in (6) i.e.

(10) 
$$(Lf)(x,y) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m} w_{n,r_1,i,\varphi}(x) w_{m,r_2,j,\psi}(y) f\left(\frac{i+\alpha}{n+\beta}, \frac{j+\gamma}{m+\delta}\right).$$

If f is (1,1) box convex function, then Lf is (1,1) box convex.

THEOREM 5. Let f be a (1, 1) box convex function on  $I \times I$  and  $x, x_1, y, y_1 \in [0, 1]$ . The following inequality holds:

(11)

$$\sup \left[ (x - x_1)(y - y_1) \right] \cdot \\ \cdot \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \left( w_{n,r_1,\varphi}(x) - w_{n,r_1,\varphi}(x_1) \right) \left( w_{m,r_2,\psi}(y) - w_{m,r_2,\psi}(y_1) \right) f\left( \frac{i + \alpha}{n + \beta}, \frac{j + \gamma}{m + \delta} \right) \ge 0.$$

COROLLARY 6. If f is a (1,1) box convex function then

(12)  

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \left[ w_{n,r_{1},\varphi}(x) w_{m,r_{2},\psi}(x) + w_{n,r_{1},\varphi}(y) w_{m,r_{2},\psi}(y) - w_{n,r_{1},\varphi}(x) w_{m,r_{2},\psi}(x) \right] f\left(\frac{i+\alpha}{n+\beta}, \frac{j+\gamma}{m+\delta}\right) \ge 0.$$

COROLLARY 7. If  $f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$  is a convex function, then for all  $x, x_1, y, y_1 \in [0,1]$  the following inequality holds:

$$\sup \left[ (x - x_1)(y - y_1) \right] \cdot \\ \cdot \sum_{i=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^m \left[ w_{n,r_1,\varphi}(x) - w_{n,r_1,\varphi}(x_1) \right] \left[ w_{m,r_2,\psi}(y) - w_{m,r_2,\psi}(y_1) \right] f\left( \frac{i + \alpha}{2(n+\beta)} + \frac{j + \gamma}{2(m+\delta)} \right) \ge 0.$$

## 3. PROOFS

Proof of Theorem 4. From (5) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (14) \quad L'_{n,r_1,\varphi}(f)(x) &= \\ &= \varphi'(x) \sum_{i=0}^{n-r_1} b_{n-r_1,i}(x) \left( f\left(\frac{i+\alpha+r}{n+\beta}\right) - f\left(\frac{i+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) \right) \\ &+ (1-\varphi(x)) \left(n-r_1\right) \sum_{i=0}^{n-r_1-1} b_{n-r_1-1,i}(x) \left( f\left(\frac{i+\alpha+1}{n+\beta}\right) - f\left(\frac{i+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) \right) \\ &+ \varphi(x)(n-r_1) \sum_{i=0}^{n-r_1-1} b_{n-r_1-1,i}(x) \left( f\left(\frac{i+r_1+\alpha+1}{n+\beta}\right) - f\left(\frac{i+r_1+\alpha}{n+\beta}\right) \right) \\ &= \varphi'(x) \frac{r_1}{n+\beta} \sum_{i=0}^{n-r_1} b_{n-r_1,i}(x) \left[\frac{i+\alpha}{n+\beta}, \frac{i+\alpha+r_1}{n+\beta}; f\right] \\ &+ (1-\varphi(x)) \frac{n-r_1}{n+\beta} \sum_{i=0}^{n-r_1-1} b_{n-r_1-1,i}(x) \left[\frac{i+\alpha}{n+\beta}, \frac{i+\alpha+1}{n+\beta}; f\right] \end{aligned}$$

$$+\varphi(x)\frac{n-r_1}{n+\beta}\sum_{i=0}^{n-r_1-1}b_{n-r_1-1,i}(x)\left[\frac{i+r_1+\alpha}{n+\beta},\frac{i+r_1+\alpha+1}{n+\beta};f\right]$$

and

(15) 
$$L'_{m,r_{2},\psi}(f)(y) = \psi'(y) \frac{r_{2}}{m+\delta} \sum_{j=0}^{m-r_{2}} b_{m-r_{2},j}(y) \left[\frac{j+\gamma}{m+\delta}, \frac{j+\gamma+r_{2}}{m+\delta}; f\right] + (1-\psi(y)) \frac{m-r_{2}}{m+\delta} \sum_{j=0}^{m-r_{2}-1} b_{m-r_{2}-1,j}(y) \left[\frac{j+\gamma}{m+\delta}, \frac{j+\gamma+1}{m+\delta}; f\right] + \psi(y) \frac{m-r_{2}}{m+\delta} \sum_{j=0}^{m-r_{2}-1} b_{m-r_{2}-1,j}(y) \left[\frac{j+r_{2}+\gamma}{m+\delta}, \frac{j+r_{2}+\gamma+1}{m+\delta}; f\right]$$

From (14) and (15) we get

$$(16) \quad \frac{\partial^{2} L}{\partial x \partial y}(x, y) = \\ = \varphi'(x)\psi'(y)\frac{r_{1}}{n+\beta}\frac{r_{2}}{m+\delta}\sum_{i=0}^{n-r_{1}}\sum_{j=0}^{m-r_{2}}b_{n-r_{1},i}(x)b_{m-r_{2},j}(y)\begin{bmatrix}\frac{i+\alpha}{n+\beta}, & \frac{i+\alpha+r_{1}}{n+\beta}, \\ \frac{j+\gamma}{m+\delta}, & \frac{j+\gamma+r_{2}}{m+\delta};f\end{bmatrix} \\ + (1-\varphi(x))(1-\psi(y))\frac{(n-r_{1})(m-r_{2})}{(n+\beta)(m+\delta)} \\ \times \sum_{i=0}^{n-r_{1}-1}\sum_{j=0}^{m-r_{2}-1}b_{n-r_{1}-1,i}(x)b_{m-r_{2}-1,j}(y)\begin{bmatrix}\frac{i+\alpha}{n+\beta}, & \frac{i+\alpha+1}{n+\beta}, \\ \frac{j+\gamma}{m+\delta}, & \frac{j+\gamma+1}{m+\delta};f\end{bmatrix} \\ + \varphi(x)\psi(y)\frac{(n-r_{1})(m-r_{2})}{(n+\beta)(m+\delta)} \\ \times \sum_{i=0}^{n-r_{1}-1}\sum_{j=0}^{m-r_{2}-1}b_{n-r_{1}-1,i}(x)b_{m-r_{2}-1,j}(y)\begin{bmatrix}\frac{i+r_{1}+\alpha}{n+\beta}, & \frac{i+r_{1}+\alpha+1}{n+\beta}; f\end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

f being a (1,1) box convex function, from (16) we obtain

$$\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial x \partial y}(x,y) \geq 0.$$

From the last inequality and Lemma 2 the theorem is proved.

Proof of Theorem 5. If  $x = x_1$  or  $y = y_1$ , then the relation (11) is trivial. Let us suppose that  $x > x_1$  and  $y = y_1$ . Then we have

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{m}[w_{n,r_{1},\varphi}(x)-w_{n,r_{1},\varphi}(x_{1})][w_{m,r_{2},\psi}(y)-w_{m,r_{2},\psi}(y_{1})]f\left(\frac{i+\alpha}{n+\beta},\frac{j+\gamma}{m+\delta}\right) = \\ &=\int_{x_{1}}^{x}\int_{y_{1}}^{y}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{n}\sum_{j=0}^{m}w_{n,r_{1},\varphi}'(u)w_{m,r_{2},\psi}'(v)f\left(\frac{i+\alpha}{n+\beta},\frac{j+\gamma}{m+\delta}\right)\right)dudv \\ &=\int_{x_{1}}^{x}\int_{y_{1}}^{y}\frac{\partial^{2}L}{\partial x\partial y}(u,v)dudv. \end{split}$$

From Theorem 4 we have  $\frac{\partial^2 L}{\partial u \partial v}(u, v) \ge 0$ , for all  $(u, v) \in I \times I$ . *Proof of Corollary 6.* Inequality (12) follows from the inequality

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{m} \left( w_{n,r_1,\varphi}(x) - w_{n,r_1,\varphi}(y) \right) \left( w_{m,r_2,\psi}(x) - w_{m,r_2,\psi}(y) \right) f\left( \frac{i+\alpha}{n+\beta}, \frac{j+\gamma}{m+\delta} \right) \ge 0,$$

which follows from (11).

Proof of Corollary 7. If the function f is a convex function then the function  $g: I \times I \to \mathbb{R}, g(x, y) = f\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)$  is a (1,1) box convex function and so inequality (13) is inequality (11) for the function g.

REMARK 8. If f is a (1,1) box convex function, in (11) the evaluation functional  $f\left(\frac{i+\alpha}{n+\beta}, \frac{j+\gamma}{m+\delta}\right)$  can be replaced by

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 f\left(\frac{i+\alpha u}{n+\beta}, \frac{j+\gamma v}{m+\delta}\right) du dv, \qquad i=0,1,\ldots,n, j=0,1,\ldots,m.$$

REMARK 9. For  $m = n, r = 0, \varphi(x) = \psi(x) = x$  the inequality (13) becomes (8).

#### REFERENCES

- U. ABEL, An inequality involving Bernstein polynomials, J. Approx. Theory, 222 (2017), pp. 1–7, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jat.2017.05.006.
- [2] U. ABEL, D. LEVIATAN, An extension of Raşa's conjecture to q-monotone functions, Results Math., 75 (2020) 4, pp. 181–193, https://doi.org/10.1007/s00025-020-013 08-y.
- [3] S. GAL, Shape-Preserving Approximation by Real and Complex Polynomials, Birkhauser, Boston, 2008, https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-0-8176-4703-2. ☑
- [4] S. GAL, P. NICULESCU, A new look at Popoviciu's concept for functions of two variables, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 479 (2019), pp. 903-925, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.201 9.06.057. <sup>[2]</sup>
- [5] B. GAVREA, On a convexity problem in connection with some linear operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 461 (2018), pp. 319-332, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2018.01.010.
- B. GAVREA, On a convexity problem with applications to Mastroianni type operators, Math. Inequal. Appl., 23 (2020), pp. 765-773, https://dx.doi.org/10.7153/mia-202 0-23-64.
- [7] B. GAVREA, I. GAVREA, An inequality involving Bernstein polynomials and box-convex functions, submitted.
- [8] J. MROWIEC, T. RAJBA, S. WĄSOWICZ, A solution to the problem of Raşa connected with Bernstein polynomials, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 446 (2017), pp. 864-878, https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2016.09.009.
- [9] T. POPOVICIU, Sur quelques propriétés des fonctions d'une ou de deux variables réelles, Thèses de l'entre-deux-guerres, Faculte des Sciences de Paris, (1933), http://www.numd am.org/item/THESE\_1933\_146\_1\_0/. <sup>[2]</sup>
- [10] I. RAŞA, Problem 2, pp. 164, in: Report of meeting in: Conference on Ulam's Type Stability, Rytro, Poland, June 2–6, 2014, Ann. Paedagog. Croc. Stud. Math., 13 (2014), pp. 139–169, https://doi.org/10.2478/aupcsm-2014-0011.

- [11] D.D. STANCU, On a generalization of the Bernstein polynomials, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math (Cluj), 14 (1969) no. 2, pp. 31-45, http://www.cs.ubbcluj.ro/~studia-m/old\_issues/subbmath\_1969\_14\_02.pdf
- [12] D.D. STANCU, Approximation of functions by means of a new generalized Bernstein operator, Calcolo, 20 (1983), pp. 211-229, https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02575593.

Received by the editors: September 20, 2021; accepted: October 16, 2021; published online: November 8, 2021.

8