# JOURNAL OF NUMERICAL ANALYSIS AND APPROXIMATION THEORY

J. Numer. Anal. Approx. Theory, vol. 53 (2024) no. 1, pp. 63-77, doi.org/10.33993/jnaat531-1408 ictp.acad.ro/jnaat

# PRESERVING PROPERTIES OF SOME SZÁSZ-MIRAKYAN TYPE OPERATORS

#### JORGE BUSTAMANTE\*

**Abstract.** For a family of Szász-Mirakyan type operators we prove that they preserve convex-type functions and that a monotonicity property verified by Cheney and Sharma in the case Szász-Mirakyan operators holds for the variation study here. We also verify that several modulus of continuity are preserved.

MSC. 41A36, 41A99.

**Keywords.** Szász-Mirakyan type operators, positive linear operators, shape preserving properties.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout the work  $\mathbb{N}$  is the set of all positive integers,  $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , and  $\mathbb{P}_n$  is the family of all algebraic polynomials of degree non greater than n. Moreover, for each  $j \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , we use the notations

$$e_i(x) = x^j, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}.$$

and  $I = [0, \infty)$ . Let C(I) the family of all continuous functions  $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ . The Szász-Mirakyan operators are defined by (see [5] and the references therein)

$$S_n(f,x) = e^{-nx} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{n^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) x^k, \quad x \in I.$$

It is known that  $S_n(e_0, x) = 1$  and  $S_n(e_1, x) = x$  (see [5]).

For a fixed real  $p \ge 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , Schurer defined ([26] and [27])

(1) 
$$S_{n,p}(f,x) = e^{-(n+p)x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+p)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) x^k, \quad x \in I.$$

Some studies concerning these operators were given by Sikkema in [28] and [29] (see also [25]).

It is known that (see [25, p. 82]), for each  $x \ge 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_{n,p}(e_0, x) = 1$ and

$$S_{n,p}(e_1, x) = x + \frac{px}{n}.$$

<sup>\*</sup>Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Facultad de Ciencias Físico-Matemáticas, Avenida San Claudio y 18 Sur, Colonia San Manuel, Edificio FM1-101B, Ciudad Universitaria, C.P. 72570, Puebla, México. e-mail: jbusta@fcfm.buap.mx.

Hence one has  $S_{n,p}(e_1, x) = x$  only when p = 0.

In this work we study properties of a modification  $M_{n,p}$  of Schurer operators satisfying  $M_{n,p}(e_0, x) = 1$  and  $M_{n,p}(e_1, x) = x$ .

Let  $\{\beta(n)\}\$  be an strictly increasing sequence of positive real numbers such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty}\beta(n)=\infty$ . For  $p\geq 0, n\in\mathbb{N}, x\geq 0$ , and a function  $f\in C(I)$  consider the operator

(2) 
$$M_{n,p}(f,x) = e^{-(\beta(n)+p)x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\beta(n)+p)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{\beta(n)+p}\right) x^k,$$

whenever the series converges absolutely. Let  $\mathcal{L}(I)$  be the family of all functions  $f \in C(I)$  such that, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the series  $M_{n,p}(f)$  converges absolutely.

Notice that  $M_{n,p}$  can be considered a more natural extension of Szász-Mirakyan operators. This modification appeared in [7] and [8]. In [7] they were studied in spaces defined by the weight  $\rho_m(x) = 1/(1+x)^m$ , with  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and in [8] some weighted space of bounded functions were considered.

There is a long list of papers devoted to study properties of Szász-Mirakyan operators. Here we recall some of them: [1], [3], [4], [5], [10], [17], [20], [21], [22], [32], [33], [34], [35], and [36]. It is worth asking when the results presented in the cited articles can be extended to the case  $M_{n,p}$  operators.

For a fixed  $p \ge 0$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $x \ge 0$  we use the notations

(3) 
$$g_{n,p}(x) = e^{-(\beta(n)+p)x}$$
 and  $a_{n,p} = \beta(n) + p$ 

For  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $C_r(I)$  is the family of all  $f \in C(I)$  such that

(4) 
$$||f||_r = \sup_{x \in I} \frac{|f(x)|}{(1+x)^r} < \infty.$$

For  $r \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , let  $C_{r,\infty}(I)$  be the class of all functions  $f \in C_r(I)$  such that  $f(x)/(1+x)^r$  has a finite limit as  $x \to \infty$ .

In Section 2 we present some general properties of operators  $M_{n,p}$ . In Section 3 we show that some known properties related with monotone and convex functions and Szász-Mirakyan operators also holds for the operators  $M_{n,p}$ . In Section 4 we prove that several modulus of continuity are preserved (up to a constant) by the operators  $M_{n,p}$ .

#### 2. SOME BASIC PROPERTIES

Since the series

(5) 
$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^k}{k!} x^k = e^{(\beta(n)+p)x} = g_{n,p}(x),$$

converges uniformly on each interval [0, a], a > 0, it can be differentiated term by term. For  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , we will use several times the equations

(6) 
$$g_{n,p}^{(i)}(x) = \sum_{k=i}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k}}{(k-i)!} x^{k-i} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k+i}}{k!} x^{k} = a_{n,p}^{i} g_{n,p}(x).$$

THEOREM 1. If  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and

(7) 
$$P_{i+1}(x) = x \left( x - \frac{1}{a_{n,p}} \right) \cdots \left( x - \frac{i}{a_{n,p}} \right), \quad x \ge 0,$$

then

(8) 
$$M_{n,p}(P_{i+1}, x) = x^{i+1}$$

In particular, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,  $e_i \in \mathcal{L}[0, \infty)$  and  $M_{n,p}(e_i, x) \in \mathbb{P}_i$ . *Proof.* Notice that  $P_{i+1}(x) \in \mathbb{P}_{i+1}$  and, for  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$ ,

$$a_{n,p}^{i+1}P_{i+1}\left(\frac{k}{a_{n,p}}\right) = k(k-1)\cdots(k-i).$$

In particular  $P_{i+1}(k/a_{n,p}) = 0$  for  $0 \le k \le i$ . Therefore, for each fixed x > 0,

$$a_{n,p}^{i+1}g_{n,p}(x)M_{n,p}(P_{i+1},x) = \sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k}x^{k}}{(k-i-1)!} = x^{i+1}\sum_{k=i+1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k}x^{k-i-1}}{(k-i-1)!}$$
$$= x^{i+1}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k+i+1}}{k!}x^{k} = x^{i+1}g_{n}^{(i+1)}(x),$$

where we use (6). Therefore  $M_{n,p}(P_{i+1}, x) = x^{i+1} \in \mathbb{P}_{i+1}$ , for each  $i \ge 0$ .

Since, for  $i \ge 0$ ,  $x^i$  can be written as a linear combination of the polynomials  $P_1, \ldots, P_i$ , we know that  $e_i \in \mathcal{L}[0, \infty)$  and  $M_{n,p}(e_i, x) \in \mathbb{P}_i$ . For i = 0 it is a simple assertion because  $M_{n,p}(e_0, x) = 1$ .

For the case of Szász-Mirakyan operators the last assertion in Theorem 1 was verified by Becker in [5, Lemma 3].

PROPOSITION 2. If  $r \in \mathbb{N}$ , there exists a constant  $C(r) \ge 1$  such that, for every real a > 0,

$$M_{n,p}((a+e_1)^r, x) \le C(r)(1+a+x)^r.$$

*Proof.* From Theorem 1 we know that, for each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , there is an algebraic polynomial  $P_i \in \mathbb{P}_n$ , say  $P_i(x) = \sum_{k=0}^i b_{i,k} x^k$ , such that

$$M_{n,p}(e_i, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{i} b_{i,k} x^k.$$

If  $0 \le x \le 1$ , then

$$\left|\sum_{k=0}^{i} b_{i,k} x^{k}\right| \leq \sum_{k=0}^{i} |b_{i,k}| \leq (1+x)^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{i} |b_{i,k}|.$$

If  $1 \leq x$ , then

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{i} b_{i,k} x^{k} \right| \le x^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{i} |b_{i,k}| \le (1+x)^{i} \sum_{k=0}^{i} |b_{i,k}|.$$

Therefore  $0 \leq M_{n,p}(e_i, x) \leq C_i(1+x)^i$ , where the constant  $C_i$  depends only on *i*.

If a > 0,

$$M_{n,p}\Big((a+e_1)^r,s\Big) = \sum_{j=0}^r {r \choose j} a^{r-j} M_{n,p}(e_j,s)$$
  
$$\leq C\Big(a^r + \sum_{j=1}^r {r \choose j} a^{r-j} (1+x)^j = C(1+a+x)^r.\Box$$

Theorem 3 was proved in [8] when  $\beta(n) = n$ , but it can be easily extended to the case of a general  $\beta(n)$ .

THEOREM 3. The operators  $M_{n,p}$  has the following properties:

- (i)  $M_{n,p}: \mathcal{L}(I) \to C^1(I).$
- (ii)  $M_{n,p}(e_0, x) = 1$

(iii) For every  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{L}(I)$  and x > 0,

(9) 
$$\frac{1}{a_{n,p}^m} M_{n,p}^{(m)}(f,x) = M_{n,p} \Big( \Delta_{1/a_{n,p}}^m f(t), x \Big),$$

where  $\Delta_h^k g(u)$  stands the usual k-th forward difference of the function g at u with step h.

The following result can be proved as Theorem 1 in [30] (it is a consequence of the Korovkin theorem).

THEOREM 4. If  $f \in \mathcal{L}(I)$  and a > 0 then  $M_{n,p}(f, x)$  converges uniformly to f(x) on [0, a].

#### 3. MONOTONICITY AND CONVEX FUNCTIONS

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , a function  $g: I \to \mathbb{R}$  is said to be k-convex, if  $\Delta_h^k g(u) \ge 0$  for each h > 0. In particular, 2-convexity agrees with the usual notion of convex functions.

For each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , Szász-Mirakyan operators preserve k-convexity [24]. That is, if  $\Delta_h^k g(u) \geq 0$  and  $S_n(g, x)$  is well defined, then  $\Delta_h^k S_n(g, u) \geq 0$ . If follows from (9) that the operators  $M_{n,p}$  share this property Szász-Mirakyan operators. But the assertion must be presented in a more convenient form. Let us explain why we need that. In [38, Th. 1], Zhen proved that, if f'(x) > 0, then  $S'_n(f, x) > 0$ , and if f''(x) > 0, then  $S''_n(f, x) > 0$ . Theorem 5 shows that these types of results are trivial.

THEOREM 5. (i) If 
$$f \in \mathcal{L}(I)$$
 increases, then  $M'_{n,p}(f,x) \ge 0$ .  
(ii) If  $f \in \mathcal{L}(I)$  is convex, then  $M''_{n,p}(f,x) \ge 0$ .

*Proof.* It follows directly from (9).

Cheney and Sharma proved in [9] that, if f is convex, for each x and every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $S_{n+1}(f,x) \leq S_n(f,x)$ . Horová [14] obtained a converse theorem. In Theorem 6 we verify that a similar result holds for the operators  $M_{n,p}$ . A converse result can also be proved (see [14] and [18]). But we do not want to consider that problem here.

THEOREM 6. (i) If  $f \in \mathcal{L}(I)$  is convex then, for each  $x \ge 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$f(x) \le M_{n+1,p}(f,x) \le M_{n,p}(f,x).$$

(ii) If  $f \in \mathcal{L}(I)$  is concave then, for each  $x \ge 0$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$M_{n,p}(f,x) \le M_{n+1,p}(f,x) \le f(x).$$

*Proof.* Assume f is convex. If we set

$$c_{n,k} = (\beta(n+1) - \beta(n))^k$$
 and  $b_{n,p} = \frac{a_{n,p}}{\beta(n+1) - \beta(n)}$ ,

then

$$c_{n,k}\sum_{r=0}^{k} {\binom{k}{r}} b_{n,p}^{r} = (\beta(n+1) - \beta(n))^{k} \left(\frac{\beta(n) + p}{(\beta(n+1) - \beta(n))} + 1\right)^{k} = a_{n+1,p}^{k}.$$

That is

$$\frac{c_{n,k}}{a_{n+1,p}^k} \, k! \sum_{r=0}^k \frac{1}{r!} \frac{b_{n,p}^r}{(k-r)!} = 1.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{split} \frac{c_{n,k}}{a_{n+1,p}^{k}}k! \sum_{r=0}^{k} \frac{r}{a_{n,p}} \frac{1}{r!} \frac{b_{n,p}^{r}}{(k-r)!} &= \frac{k}{a_{n+1,p}^{k}} \frac{c_{n,k}}{(\beta(n+1)-\beta(n))} \sum_{r=1}^{k} \binom{k-1}{r} b_{n,p}^{r-1} \\ &= \frac{k}{a_{n+1,p}^{k}} \frac{c_{n,k}}{(\beta(n+1)-\beta(n))} \left(\frac{\beta(n)+p}{(\beta(n+1)-\beta(n))} + 1\right)^{k-1} \\ &= \frac{k}{a_{n+1,p}^{k}} \left(\beta(n+1) + p\right)^{k-1} = \frac{k}{a_{n+1,p}^{k}}. \end{split}$$

This proves that  $k/a_{n+1,p}$  is a convex combination of the points  $\{r/a_{n,p}: 0 \le r \le k\}$ .

If f is convex, then

$$f\left(\frac{k}{a_{n+1,p}}\right) \le \frac{c_{n,k}}{a_{n+1,p}^{k}} k! \sum_{r=0}^{k} f\left(\frac{r}{a_{n,p}}\right) \frac{1}{r!} \frac{b_{n,p}^{r}}{(k-r)!} = \frac{k!}{a_{n+1,p}^{k}} \sum_{r=0}^{k} c_{n,k}^{k-r} f\left(\frac{r}{a_{n,p}}\right) \frac{1}{r!} \frac{a_{n,p}^{r}}{(k-r)!}.$$

By the Cauchy multiplication rule for product of series,

$$e^{(\beta(n+1)-\beta(n))x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k}}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{a_{n,p}}\right) x^{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{m+r=k}^{(\beta(n+1)-\beta(n))x} \frac{a_{n,p}^{r}}{m!} f\left(\frac{r}{a_{n,p}}\right) x^{r} \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{r=0}^{k} \frac{(\beta(n+1)-\beta(n))^{k-r}}{(k-r)!} \frac{a_{n,p}^{r}}{r!} f\left(\frac{r}{a_{n,p}}\right) \right\} x^{k}.$$

Therefore

$$e^{a_{n+1,p}x} \Big( M_{n,p}(f,x) - M_{n+1,p}(f,x) \Big) =$$
  
=  $e^{(\beta(n+1)-\beta(n))x} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^k}{k!} f\Big(\frac{k}{a_{n,p}}\Big) x^k - \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n+1,p}^k}{k!} f\Big(\frac{k}{a_{n+1,p}}\Big) x^k$ 

$$=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \Big\{ \sum_{r=0}^{k} \frac{(\beta(n+1)-\beta(n))^{k-r}}{(k-r)!} \frac{a_{n,p}^{r}}{r!} f\Big(\frac{r}{a_{n,p}}\Big) - \frac{a_{n+1,p}^{k}}{k!} f\Big(\frac{k}{a_{n+1,p}}\Big) \Big\} x^{k} \ge 0.$$

This proves that  $M_{n,p}(f,x) \ge M_{n+1,p}(f,x)$ . From Theorem 4 we know that  $M_{n,p}(f,x) \to f(x)$  as  $n \to \infty$  (pointwise convergence). Thus  $M_{n+1,p}(f,x) \ge f(x)$ .

The concave functions follows by changing f by -f.

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and let  $f \in C_r(I)$  be a non-negative function (see (4)).

For a non-negative function  $f \in C_r(I)$ , in [37], Zhao proved that if f(x)/x is non-increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ , then for each  $n \ge 1$ ,  $S_n(f, x)/x$  is non-increasing. A similar result can be proved for the operators  $M_{n,p}$  by modifying the arguments of Zhao. Since the work [37] is not well known, we include the complete proof. Notice that the condition  $f \in C_r(I)$  (assumed by Zhao) will be replaced by the more general  $f \in \mathcal{L}(I)$ .

THEOREM 7. Let  $f \in \mathcal{L}(I)$  be a non-negative function. If f(x)/x is non-increasing on  $(0, \infty)$ , then for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $M_{n,p}(f, x)/x$  is non-increasing.

*Proof.* We will prove that  $(d/dx)(M_{n,p}(f,x)/x) \leq 0$ . We use the notations in (3).

Since

$$\frac{M_{n,p}(f,x)}{x} = f(0)\frac{g_{n,p}(x)}{x} + g_{n,p}(x)\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k}}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{a_{n}}\right) x^{k-1}$$

and

$$\frac{d}{dx}\frac{g_{n,p}(x)}{x} = \frac{g_{n,p}(x)}{x^2} \Big( -a_{n,p}x - 1 \Big) < 0,$$

we should consider the derivative of the previous series. Note that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k}}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{a_{n,p}}\right) \frac{d}{dx} \left(g_{n,p}(x)x^{k-1}\right) =$$

$$= g_{n,p}(x) \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k}(k-1)}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{a_{n,p}}\right) x^{k-2} - g_{n,p}(x) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k+1}}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{a_{n}}\right) x^{k-1}$$

$$= g_{n,p}(x) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k+1} k}{(k+1)!} f\left(\frac{k+1}{a_{n,p}}\right) x^{k-1} - g_{n,p}(x) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k+1}}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{a_{n,p}}\right) x^{k-1}$$

$$= g_{n,p}(x) \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{a_{n,p}}{k+1} f\left(\frac{k+1}{a_{n,p}}\right) - \frac{a_{n}}{k} f\left(\frac{k}{a_{n}}\right) \right\} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k} x^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \le 0.$$

The result is proved.

## 4. PRESERVATION OF MODULUS OF CONTINUITY

DEFINITION 8. A function  $\omega : I \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is called a modulus of continuity if  $\omega(0) = 0$ ,  $\lim_{t\to 0} \omega(t) = 0$ ,  $\omega$  is non-negative and non-decreasing in I and  $\omega(t)$  is continuous in  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

DEFINITION 9. A function  $\omega : I \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is called subadditive if for any  $s, t \ge 0$ 

$$\omega(s+t) \le \omega(s) + \omega(t).$$

If a subadditive function  $\omega : I \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is continuous at zero and  $\omega(0) = 0$ , then it is continuous. If  $\omega$  is subadditive, then  $\omega(2t) \leq 2\omega(t)$  and it follows from standard arguments that, if  $t, \lambda > 0$ , then

(10) 
$$\omega(\lambda t) \le (1+\lambda)\,\omega(f,t).$$

It is known that (see [11, p. 43]), for any modulus of continuity  $\omega$  on I, there exists a concave modulus of continuity (the least concave majorant)  $\tilde{\omega}$  such that

(11) 
$$\omega(t) \le \widetilde{\omega}(t) \le 2\omega(t).$$

For Szász-Mirakyan operators preservation of the usual modulus of continuity has been considered in [31], [15] and [3]. For instance, if  $\omega(t)$  is a concave modulus of continuity and

$$\Lambda(\omega, A) = \Big\{ f \in C(I) : \ \omega(f, t) \le A\omega(t) \Big\},\$$

it is asserted in [15] that  $f \in \Lambda(\omega, A)$  if and only if  $S_n(f) \in \Lambda(\omega, A)$ , for each each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . On the other hand, in [3] the authors considered functions f such that  $0 < \omega(f, 1) < \infty$ , where  $\omega(f, t)$  is the usual modulus of continuity. Of course the condition  $0 < \omega(f, 1)$  holds whenever f is not a constant function.

Of course, since the usual modulus of continuity is not well defined for all  $f \in C(I)$ , such a result must be handled with care. In fact in [13] Hermann presented a negative result. Let

$$C_0 = \left\{ f \in C(I) : \sup_{x \in I} | f(x+\delta) - f(x) | < \infty \text{ for any } \delta > 0 \right\}$$

Notice that for any  $f \in C_0$  the usual modulus of continuity is well defined, but the conditions  $f \in C_0$  and  $\delta \to 0$  does not necessarily imply  $\omega(f, \delta) \to 0$ . Set  $C_0^* = \{f \in C_0 : \omega(f, t) > 0\}$ . In [13] Hermann proved that

$$\sup_{f \in C_0^*} \frac{\|S_n(f) - f\|_C}{\omega(f, 1/n)} = \infty.$$

In this section we prove some results related with preservation of some modulus of continuity by the operators  $M_{n,p}$ .

Although Theorem 3 is sufficient to prove the preservation of convexity of different order by the operators  $M_{n,p}$ , we need other kind of representations for studying modulus of continuity.

The ideas for the proof of Proposition 10 have been used for different authors in the case of Szász-Mirakyan operators (see [31] and [15]).

PROPOSITION 10. If  $f \in \mathcal{L}(I)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $x \in I$  and s > 0, then

$$M_{n,p}(f,x+s) - M_{n,p}(f,x) = e^{-a_{n,p}(x+s)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k} x^{k}}{k!} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{i}}{i!} s^{i} \left( f\left(\frac{k+i}{a_{n,p}}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{a_{n,p}}\right) \right).$$

*Proof.* Notice that

$$e^{a_{n,p}(x+s)}M_{n,p}(f,x+s) =$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{j}{a_{n,p}}\right) \frac{a_{n,p}^{j}}{j!} (x+s)^{j} = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{j}{a_{n,p}}\right) \frac{a_{n,p}^{j}}{j!} \sum_{k=0}^{j} {\binom{j}{k}} x^{k} s^{j-k} =$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} {\binom{j}{k}} f\left(\frac{j}{a_{n,p}}\right) \frac{a_{n,p}^{j}}{j!} x^{k} s^{j-k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} \sum_{j=k}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{j}{a_{n,p}}\right) \frac{a_{n,p}^{j}}{(j-k)!} s^{j-k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{k}}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{i+k}{a_{n,p}}\right) \frac{a_{n,p}^{i+k}}{i!} s^{i}.$$

On the other hand,

$$e^{a_{n,p}(x+s)}M_{n,p}(f,x) = e^{a_{n,p}s} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f\left(\frac{k}{a_{n,p}}\right) \frac{a_{n,p}^{k}}{k!} x^{k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k}x^{k}}{k!} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{i}}{i!} s^{i} f\left(\frac{k}{a_{n,p}}\right)\right).$$
  
It follows from the equation given above the announced result.

It follows from the equation given above the announced result.

Let  $UC_b(I)$  the class of all bounded uniformly continuous functions  $f: I \to I$  $\mathbb{R}$ . For  $f \in UC_b(I)$  and  $t \ge 0$ , define

(12) 
$$\omega(f,t) = \sup_{0 \le h \le t} \sup_{x \ge 0} |f(x+h) - f(x)|.$$

It can be proved that  $\omega(f,t)$  is subadditive modulus of continuity in the sense of Definition 8.

THEOREM 11. If  $f \in UC_b(I)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and s > 0, then  $M_{n,p}(f, x)$  is uniformly continuous and

$$\omega(M_{n,p}(f),s) \le 2\,\omega(f,s).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\widetilde{\omega}(f,t)$  be the least concave majorant of  $\omega(f,t)$ . If  $f \in UC_b(I)$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{L}(I)$ . From Proposition 10 one has

$$| M_{n,p}(f, x + s) - M_{n,p}(f, x) | \leq \\ \leq e^{-a_{n,p}(x+s)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k} x^{k}}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{i}}{i!} s^{i} | f\left(\frac{k+i}{a_{n,p}}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{a_{n,p}}\right) | \\ \leq e^{-a_{n,p}(x+s)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k} x^{k}}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{i}}{i!} s^{i} \omega\left(f, \frac{i}{a_{n,p}}\right) \\ = M_{n,p}(\omega(f, e_{1}), s) \leq M_{n,p}(\widetilde{\omega}(f, e_{1}), s).$$

Since  $\tilde{\omega}(f,t)$  is a concave function, it follows from Theorem 6 that

$$M_{n,p}(\widetilde{\omega}(f),s) \le \widetilde{\omega}(f,s) \le 2\,\omega(f,s).$$

In particular if  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\omega(f,s) \le \varepsilon/2$ ,  $0 \le y < x$ ,  $x - y \le s$  and we set x = y + t

$$|M_{n,p}(f,x) - M_{n,p}(f,y)| = |M_{n,p}(f,y+t) - M_{n,p}(f,y)| \le \varepsilon.$$

This proves that  $M_{n,p}(f)$  is uniformly continuous.

For  $f \in UC_b(I)$ ,  $0 < \alpha \le 1$ , and t > 0 define

$$\theta_{\alpha}(f,t) = \sup_{0 < s \leq t} \sup_{x \in I, 0 < h \leq s} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{h^{\alpha}},$$

 $\theta_{\alpha}(f,0) = 0$ , and

$$K^{\alpha}(f) = \sup_{0 \le t} \, \theta_{\alpha}(f, t).$$

For  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ , let us set  $\operatorname{Lip}^{\alpha}(I)$  for the family of all  $f \in UC_b(I)$  such that  $K^{\alpha}(f) < \infty$ .

For  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , we also we consider the subspace

(13) 
$$\operatorname{lip}^{\alpha}(I) = \left\{ f \in \operatorname{Lip}^{\alpha}(I) : \ \lim_{t \to 0} \theta_{\alpha}(f, t) = 0 \right\}$$

This type of spaces appears when we study the approximation in Hölder type norms (see [6]).

We will analyze the problem of the preservation of the constants  $K^{\alpha}(f)$  and the class  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha(I)$  by the operators  $M_{n,p}$ .

For an analogous of Theorem 12 for Szász-Mirakyan operators see [15] and [12].

THEOREM 12. (i) If  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  and  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}^{\alpha}(I)$ , then  $M_{n,p}(f) \in \operatorname{Lip}^{\alpha}(I)$ , and

(14) 
$$K^{\alpha}(M_{n,p}(f)) \le K^{\alpha}(f),$$

for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

(ii) If 
$$0 < \alpha \le 1$$
,  $f \in \mathcal{L}(I)$ ,  $M_{n,p}(f) \in \operatorname{Lip}^{\alpha}(I)$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  
 $K := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} K^{\alpha}(M_{n,p}(f)) < \infty$ ,

then  $f \in \operatorname{Lip}^{\alpha}(I)$ .

*Proof.* (i) Set  $g(x) = x^{\alpha}$ . Since the function g(x) is concave function and  $M_{n,p}(g,x) \to g(x)$  (Theorem 4) and it follows Theorem 6 that  $M_{n,p}(g,x) \leq g(x)$ .

For any  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$|f\left(\frac{k+i}{a_{n,p}}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{a_{n,p}}\right)| \le \omega\left(f, \frac{i}{a_{n,p}}\right) \le \theta_{\alpha}\left(f, \frac{i}{a_{n,p}}\right) \left(\frac{i}{a_{n,p}}\right)^{\alpha} \le K^{\alpha}(f)g\left(\frac{i}{a_{n,p}}\right).$$

From Proposition 10 we know that, for  $x \in I$  and h > 0,

$$|M_{n,p}(f, x+h) - M_{n,p}(f, x)| \le K^{\alpha}(f)M_{n,p}(g, h) \le K^{\alpha}(f)h^{\alpha}.$$

(ii) From Theorem 4 we know that, for each fixed  $x \in I$ ,  $M_{n,p}(f, x) \to f(x)$ , as  $n \to \infty$ .

For  $x \ge 0$ , h > 0 fixed, and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , one has  $|f(x+h) - f(x)| \le |f(x+h) - M_{n,p}(f, x+h)|$ 

+ 
$$|M_{n,p}(f, x+h) - M_{n,p}(f, x)| + |M_{n,p}(f, x) - f(x)|$$
  
 $\leq |f(x+h) - M_{n,p}(f, x+h)| + Kh^{\alpha} + |M_{n,p}(f, x) - f(x)|.$ 

The result follows by taking  $n \to \infty$ .

For the preservation of the class  $lip^{\alpha}(I)$  we need some previous results.

PROPOSITION 13. For  $0 < \alpha < 1$  and each  $f \in lip^{\alpha}(I)$ ,

$$\theta_{\alpha}(f,t) = \sup_{0 < s \le t} \sup_{0 < h \le s} \frac{\omega(f,h)}{h^{\alpha}},$$

where  $\omega(f,t)$  is defined by (12).

*Proof.* By definition, if  $f \in UC_b(I)$ , then  $\omega(f, s)$  is well defined. It is clear that

$$\sup_{x \in I, 0 < h \le s} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{h^{\alpha}} \le \sup_{0 < h \le s} \frac{\omega(f,h)}{h^{\alpha}}$$

On the other hand, given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , for any  $0 < h \leq s$ , there exists  $x_h \in I$  such that

$$\omega(f,h) \le \varepsilon h^{\alpha} + |f(x_h + h) - f(x_h)|.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\omega(f,h)}{h^{\alpha}} \leq \varepsilon + \frac{|f(x_h+h) - f(x_h)|}{h^{\alpha}} \leq \varepsilon + \sup_{x \in I, \, 0 < h \le s} \frac{|f(x+h) - f(x)|}{h^{\alpha}}.\Box$$

PROPOSITION 14. If  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , for each  $f \in \text{lip}^{\alpha}(I)$ , the functional  $\theta_{\alpha}(f,t)$  is a subadditive modulus of continuity.

*Proof.* (a) By definition  $\theta_{\alpha}(f,0) = 0$  and  $\theta_{\alpha}(f,t) \to 0$  as  $t \to 0$ . Moreover it is clear that  $\theta_{\alpha}(f,t)$  is non-negative and non-decreasing in I

(b) Let us verify that  $\theta_{\alpha}(f,t)$  is subadditive. Assume  $0 < v \leq t$  and fix any s and h such that  $0 < s \leq v + t$  and  $0 < h \leq s$ .

If  $x \in I$  and  $h \leq t$  it is clear that

$$\frac{|f(x+h)-f(x)|}{h^{\alpha}} \leq \sup_{0 < u \leq t} \sup_{y \in I, \ 0 < w \leq u} \frac{|f(y+w)-f(y)|}{w^{\alpha}} = \theta_{\alpha}(f,t).$$

We still have to consider the case  $v \le t < h$ . Since t < h and 0 < h - t < h, one has

$$\frac{|f(x+h)-f(x)|}{h^{\alpha}} \leq \frac{|f(x+h-t+t)-f(x+h-t)|}{t^{\alpha}} + \frac{|f(x+h-t)-f(x)|}{(h-t)^{\alpha}}$$
$$\leq \theta_{\alpha}(f,t) + \theta_{\alpha}(f,h-t) \leq \theta_{\alpha}(f,t) + \theta_{\alpha}(f,v),$$

because  $\theta_{\alpha}(f,t)$  increases and  $h-t \leq s-t \leq v$ . Therefore

$$\theta_{\alpha}(f, t+v) \le \theta_{\alpha}(f, t) + \theta_{\alpha}(f, v).$$

(c) Taking into account that  $\theta_{\alpha}(f,0) = 0$  and  $\theta(f,t)$  is subadditive, it is a continuous function.

THEOREM 15. If 
$$0 < \alpha < 1$$
,  $f \in \operatorname{lip}^{\alpha}(I)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $t > 0$ , then  
 $\theta_{\alpha}(M_{n,p}(f), t) \leq 2\theta_{\alpha}(f, t).$ 

*Proof.* If  $0 < s \le t$ , taking into account Theorem 11, one has

 $|M_{n,p}(f,x+s) - M_{n,p}(f,x)| \le 2\omega(f,s) = 2\frac{\omega(f,s)}{s^{\alpha}}s^{\alpha} \le 2\theta_{\alpha}(f,s)s^{\alpha} \le 2\theta_{\alpha}(f,t)s^{\alpha}.$ This is sufficient to prove the result.  $\Box$ 

For each  $r \ge 0$ ,  $f \in C_r(I)$  (see (4)), and  $t \ge 0$ , define

$$\Omega_r(f,t) = \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \sup_{x \ge 0} \frac{|f(x+s) - f(x)|}{(1+x+s)^r}.$$

We will use this modulus only in the case  $f \in C_{r,\infty}(I)$ .

Before proving some properties of this modulus, let us compare them with others that have been used previously.

The following functional was considered by Kratz and Stadtmüller in [19]. For  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  and a function  $f \in C_r(I)$  set

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_r(f,t) = \sup_{s,v \in I, |s-v| \le t} \frac{|f(s) - f(v)|}{(1+s+v)^r} = \sup_{x \ge 0} \sup_{0 < s \le t} \frac{|f(x+s) - f(x)|}{(1+2x+s)^r}.$$

Taking into account that  $1 + x + s \le 1 + 2x + s \le 2(1 + x + s)$ , we know that

$$\frac{1}{2^r}\Omega_r(f,t) \le \Omega_r(f,t) \le \Omega_r(f,t).$$

Kratz and Stadtmüller proved that, for Szász-Mirakyan operators, there exists a constant C such that, for all  $f \in C_r(I)$ , every  $t \ge 0$  and each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,

$$\widetilde{\Omega}_r(S_n(f), t) \le C\widetilde{\Omega}_r(f, t).$$

They did not proved that  $\lim_{t\to 0^+} \hat{\Omega}_r(f,t) = 0$ . We will verify that, if  $f \in C_{r,\infty}(I)$ , then  $\lim_{t\to 0^+} \Omega_r(f,t) = 0$ .

For  $f \in C_{2,\infty}(I)$ , another modulus was considered in [2] by setting

$$\Omega(f,t) = \sup_{0 \le s \le t} \sup_{x \in I} \frac{|f(x+s) - f(x)|}{(1+s)^2(1+x)^2}.$$

For  $0 \le t \le 1$ ,  $\Omega(f,t)$  and  $\Omega_2(f,t)$  are equivalent. In fact, suppose that  $s \le 1$ . First one has

 $(1+s^2)(1+x^2) = 1+s^2+x^2+s^2x^2 \le 2(1+s^2+x^2) \le 2(1+x+s)^2.$ 

On the other hand, if  $x \leq 1$ ,

$$(1+s+x)^2 = 1+2x+2s+x^2+2xs+s^2 \le 7(1+s^2+x^2) \le 7(1+s^2)(1+x^2).$$
  
and, if  $x > 1$ ,

$$(1+s+x)^2 \le 3+5x^2+s^2 \le 5(1+s^2+x^2) \le 5(1+s^2)(1+x^2).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{2}\Omega_2(f,t) \le \Omega(f,t) \le 7\Omega_2(f,t).$$

PROPOSITION 16. If r is a non negative real and  $f \in C_{r,\infty}(I)$ , then  $\Omega_r(f,t)$  is a subadditive modulus of continuity in the sense of Definition 8.

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\Omega_r(f,0) = 0$  and  $\Omega_r(f,t)$  is non-negative and nondecreasing in I

(a) We consider first the case r = 0. As in the case of the classical modulus of continuity, it is easy to prove that the functional  $\Omega_r(f,t)$  is a subadditive. In order to prove continuity, it is sufficient to verify continuity a zero, but if follows from the condition  $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = 0$ .

(b) Assume r > 0. Denote  $A = \lim_{x\to\infty} f(x)/(1+x)^r$ . Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $x_0$  such that

$$\left|\frac{f(x)}{(1+x)^r} - A\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}, \qquad x \ge x_0.$$

$$\begin{split} \text{If } t &> 0 \text{ and } 0 < s \leq t \leq 1, \text{ then} \\ \sup_{x \geq 0} \frac{|f(x+s) - f(x)|}{(1+x+s)^r} \leq \sup_{0 \leq x \leq x_0} \frac{|f(x+s) - f(x)|}{(1+x+s)^r} + \sup_{x \geq x_0} \frac{|f(x+s) - f(x)|}{(1+x+s)^r} \\ &\leq \sup_{0 \leq x \leq x_0} | f(x+s) - f(x)| + \sup_{x \geq x_0} \frac{|f(x+s) - A|}{(1+x+s)^r} + \sup_{x \geq x_0} \frac{|f(x) - A|}{(1+x)^r} \\ &\leq \omega_1(f, t)_{[0, x_0 + 1]} + \varepsilon, \end{split}$$

where  $\omega_1(f,t)_{[0,x_0+1]}$  is the usual modulus of continuity in the interval  $[0, x_0 + 1]$ .

This is sufficient to prove that  $\lim_{t\to 0} \Omega_r(f,t) \to 0 = 0$ .

(c) Let us verify that  $\Omega_r(f,t)$  is subadditive:  $\Omega_r(f,v+t) \leq \Omega_r(f,v) + \Omega_r(f,t)$ . Without losing generality we assume that  $0 < v \leq t$ .

Fijemos  $x \ge 0$  and  $0 < s \le t + v$ .

If  $s \leq t$ , it is clear that

$$\frac{f(x+s)-f(x)|}{1+(x+s)^r} \le \sup_{0 < s \le t} \frac{|f(x+s)-f(x)|}{1+(x+s)^r} = \Omega_r(f,t).$$

Let us consider the case  $v \le t < s$ . Since 0 < s - t, one has  $(1 + x + s - t)^r < (1 + x + s)^r$ . Therefore

$$\frac{|f(x+s)-f(x)|}{(1+x+s)^r} \le \frac{|f(x+s-t+t)-f(x+s-t)|}{(1+(x+s-t)+t)^r} + \frac{|f(x+s-t)-f(x)|}{(1+x+s-t)^r} \le \Omega_r(f,t) + \Omega_r(f,s-t) \le \Omega_r(f,t) + \Omega_r(f,v).$$

It is sufficient to prove that  $\Omega_r(f,t)$  is a modulus of continuity.

THEOREM 17. If r is a non negative real, there exists a constant C such that, for  $f \in C_{r,\infty}(I)$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and t > 0,

$$\Omega_r(M_{n,p}(f),t) \le C\Omega_r(f,t).$$

*Proof.* Notice that, for s > 0, taking into account (10), with t = s and  $\lambda = i/(sa_{n,p})$ ,

$$\left| f\left(\frac{k+i}{a_{n,p}}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{a_{n,p}}\right) \right| \le \Omega_r \left(\frac{i}{a_{n,p}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{k}{a_{n,p}} + \frac{i}{a_{n,p}}\right)^r \\ \le \Omega_r (f,s) \left(1 + \frac{i}{a_{n,p}s}\right) \left(1 + \frac{k}{a_{n,p}} + \frac{i}{a_{n,p}}\right)^r,$$

because  $\Omega_r(f,s)$  is a subadditive modulus.

Therefore (see Proposition 10)

$$| M_{n,p}(f, x+s) - M_{n,p}(f, x) | =$$

$$= \left| e^{-a_{n,p}(x+s)} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k} x^{k}}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{i}}{i!} s^{i} \left( f\left(\frac{k+i}{a_{n,p}}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{a_{n,p}}\right) \right) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{\Omega_{r}(f,s)}{e^{a_{n,p}(x+s)}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k} x^{k}}{k!} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{i}}{i!} s^{i} \left(1 + \frac{i}{a_{n,p}s}\right) \left(1 + \frac{k}{a_{n,p}} + \frac{i}{a_{n,p}}\right)^{r}$$

Taking into account Proposition 2 (with  $a = 1 + k/a_{n,p}$ ), we obtain

$$e^{-a_{n,p}s} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{i}}{i!} s^{i} \left(1 + \frac{k}{a_{n,p}} + \frac{i}{a_{n,p}}\right)^{r} = M_{n,p} \left( \left(1 + \frac{k}{a_{n,p}} + e_{1}\right)^{r}, s \right) \le C(r) \left(2 + \frac{k}{a_{n,p}} + s\right)^{r} \le 2^{r} C(r) \left(1 + \frac{k}{a_{n,p}} + s\right)^{r}.$$
On the other hand

On the other hand

$$\frac{e^{-a_{n,p}s}}{s} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{i}}{i!} s^{i} \frac{i}{a_{n,p}} \left(1 + \frac{k}{a_{n,p}} + \frac{i}{a_{n,p}}\right)^{r} = \\ = e^{-a_{n,p}s} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{i-1}}{(i-1)!} s^{i-1} \left(1 + \frac{k}{a_{n,p}} + \frac{i}{a_{n,p}}\right)^{r} \\ = M_{n,p} \left(\left(1 + \frac{1}{a_{n,p}} + \frac{k}{a_{n,p}} + e_{1}\right)^{r}, s\right) \le M_{n,p} \left(\left(2 + \frac{k}{a_{n,p}} + e_{1}\right)^{r}, s\right) \\ \le C(r) \left(3 + \frac{k}{a_{n,p}} + s\right)^{r} \le 3^{r} C(r) \left(1 + \frac{k}{a_{n,p}} + s\right)^{r}.$$

From the estimates given above one has

$$| M_{n,p}(f, x+s) - M_{n,p}(f, x) | \leq 3^{r} C(r) \frac{\Omega_{r}(f,s)}{e^{a_{n,p}x}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{n,p}^{k}x^{k}}{k!} \left(1 + \frac{k}{a_{n,p}} + s\right)^{r} \\ \leq 6^{r} C(r) \Omega_{r}(f,s) (1 + x + s)^{r},$$

where we use again Proposition 2.

## REFERENCES

- U. ABEL, M. IVAN, X.M. ZENG, Asymptotic expansion for Szász-Mirakyan operators, AIP Conference Proceedings, 936 (2007), pp. 779–782. https://doi.org/0.1063/1 .2790269 <sup>[2]</sup>
- [2] T. ACAR, A. ARAL, I. RASA, The new forms of Voronovskaya's theorem in weighted spaces, Positivity, 20 (2015) no. 1, pp. 25—40. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11117-0 15-0338-4 <sup>I</sup>
- J.A. ADELL, A. LEKUONA, Best constants in preservation of global smoothness for Szász-Mirakyan operators, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 338 (2008), pp. 753-757. https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2007.05.064 <sup>[2]</sup>
- [4] N.T. AMANOV, On the uniform weighted approximation by Szász-Mirakjan operators, Analysis Mathematica, 18 (1992), pp. 167–184. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF0191 1084

J.	Bustamante
----	------------

- [5] M. BECKER, Global approximation theorems for Szász-Mirakjan and Baskakov operators in polynomial weight spaces, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 27 (1978) no. 1, pp. 127–142.
- [6] J. BUSTAMANTE, C. CASTAÑEDA ROLDÁN, Direct and inverse results in Hölder norms, J. Approx. Theory, 138 (2006), pp. 112–123. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jat.2005.10.004 <sup>L</sup>
- J. BUSTAMANTE, J.M. QUESADA, L. MORALES DE LA CRUZ, Direct estimate for positive linear operators in polynomial weighted spaces, J. Approx. Theory, 162 (2010), pp. 1495–1508. urlhttps://doi.org/10.1016/j.jat.2010.04.001
- [8] J. BUSTAMANTE, A. CARRILLO-ZENTELLA, J.M. QUESADA, Direct and strong converse theorems for a general sequence of positive linear operators, Acta Math. Hungar., 136 (2012) nos. 1–2, pp. 90–106. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10474-012-0196-5 Z
- [9] E. CHENEY, A. SHARMA, Bernstein power series, Canad. J. Math., 16 (1964), pp. 241–252.
- [10] M. CHU, On the Szász operators Voronoskaja type theorem, J. Anhui Normal Univ. (Nat. Sci.), 18 (1995) no. 1, pp. 20–23.
- [11] R.A. DEVORE, G.G. LORENTZ, Constructive Approximation, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- H. DONG, Q. QI, Shape preserving properties of parametric Szász type operators on unbounded intervals, Symmetry, 15 (2023), art. no. 1755. https://doi.org/10.339
   0/sym15091755
- [13] T. HERMANN, On the Szász-Mirakian operators, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar, 32 (1978) nos. 1–2, pp. 163–173. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01902211
- [14] I. HOROVÁ, Linear positive operators of convex functions, Mathematica, 10 (1968), pp. 275–283.
- [15] X.Q. HOU, Y.C. XUE, On the property of some linear positive operators preserving the class  $\Lambda_{\omega}(A)$ , J. Ningxia Univ. (Nat. Sci. Ed.),16 (1995), pp. 11–16 (in Chinese).
- [16] N. İSPIR, On Modified Baskakov operators on weighted spaces, Turk. J. Math., 25 (2001), pp. 355–365.
- [17] G. JIANG, On the inverse theorem for Szász-Mirakjan operators, Liupanshui Normal Univ., 4 (1993), pp. 8–10 (in Chinese).
- [18] M.K. KHAN, B. DELLA VECCHIA, A. FASSIH, On the monotonicity of positive linear operators, J. Approx. Theory, 92 (1998), pp. 22–37.
- [19] W. KRATZ, U. STADTMÜLLER, On the uniform modulus of continuity of certain discrete approximation operators, J. Approx. Theory, 54 (1988), pp. 326-337. https: //doi.org/10.1016/0021-9045(88)90009-3
- [20] C. LI, Y. ZHAO, Weighted approximation with Szász-Mirakjan operators, Acta Sci. Natur. Univ. Pekinensis, 37 (2001) no. 1, pp. 6–11 (in Chinese).
- [21] L. LIU, Y. XUE, W. SUN, Strong converse inequalities for Szász-Mirakjian operators with weights, Chi. Quart. Math., 23 (2008) no. 3, pp. 384–389.
- [22] L. LIU, G. YANG, S. GUO, Strong converse inequality for Szász operators, J. Math. Research Expo., 28 (2008) no. 1, pp. 147–155.
- [23] A.J. LÓPEZ-MORENO, Weighted simultaneous approximation with Baskakov type operators, Acta Math. Hungar., 104 (2004) nos. 1-2, pp. 143-151. https://doi.org/ 10.1023/B:AMHU.0000034368.81211.23 <sup>C</sup>
- [24] A. LUPAŞ, Some properties of the linear positive operators (I), Mathematica Cluj, 9 (1967), pp. 77–83.
- [25] D. MICLĂUŞ, O.T. POP, The generalization of certain results for Szász-Mirakjan-Schurer operators, Creat. Math. Inform., 21 (2012) no. 1, pp. 79–85.
- [26] F. SCHURER, Linear positive operators in approximation theory, Math. Inst. Techn., Univ. Delft Report, 1962.

- [27] F. SCHURER, On Linear positive operators in approximation theory, Delft University of Technology, Delft, 1965.
- [28] P.C. SIKKEMA, On some linear positive operators, Indag. Math., 32 (1970), pp. 327– 337.
- [29] P.C. SIKKEMA, Über die Schurerschen linearen positiven Operatoren I, Indag. Math., 78 (1975) no. 3, pp. 230–242.
- [30] O. SZÁSZ, Generalization of S. Bernstein's polynomials to the infinite interval, J. Res. Nat. Bur. Standards, 45 (1950), pp. 239-245. https://doi.org/10.6028/JRES.045 .024 <sup>[2]</sup>
- [31] B.D. VECHIA, On the preservation of Lipschitz constants for some linear operators, Bollettino Un. Mat. Ita., 16 (1989) no. 1, pp. 125–136.
- [32] X. WANG, On the proof of a theorem for Szász-Mirakjan operators, J. Hangzhou Univ. (Nat. Sci.), 19 (1992) no. 2, pp.139–143 (in Chinese).
- [33] X. WANG, X. LI, W. WANG and S. DING, Equivalent description on derivatives of Szász-Mirakjan operators, J. South. West Univ. (Nat. Sci.), 8 (2011), pp. 115–118 (in Chinese).
- [34] L. XIE, On direct theorems for Szász-Mirakian operators, J. Lishui Teach. Colle., 17 (1995) no. 2, pp. 1–2 (in Chinese).
- [35] L. XIE, Inverse theorems for Szász-Mirakjian operators, J. Lishui Teach. Colle., 22 (2000) no. 2, pp. 1–3 (in Chinese).
- [36] Y. XIN, C. LI, Q. GAO, Approximation qualities for the iterated Boolean sums of Szász operators, J. Hebei Normal Univ., 32 (2008) no. 6, pp. 713–717 (in Chinese).
- [37] Z. ZHAO, On the property of Szász-Mirakyan polynomials preserving the monotonicity, J. Gansu Edu. Coll., 15 (2001) no. 3, pp. 1–3 (in Chinese).
- [38] L. ZHEN, The shape preserving property and approximation order of Szász-Mirakjan operators, J. Hunan Univ. Tech., 25 (2011) no. 2, pp. 5–9 (in Chinese).

Received by the editors: March 16, 2024; accepted: April 18, 2024; published online: July 11, 2024.