

THE DENJOY INTEGRAL IN SOME APPROXIMATION PROBLEMS

by

PAULINA PYCH
 (Poznan)

1. Denote by D^* the class of all 2π -periodic functions f integrable in the Denjoy-Perron sense on the interval $\langle 0, 2\pi \rangle$. Let $\{\sigma_n^\alpha(f; x)\}$ be the sequence of Cesàro sums of the Fourier series of $f \in D^*$. As well-known,

$$\sigma_n^\alpha(f; x) = \frac{1}{\pi} (D^*) \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) K_n^\alpha(x-t) dt \quad (\alpha \geq 0),$$

where

$$K_n^\alpha(t) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\alpha} A_{n-k}^\alpha \cos kt, \quad A_n^\alpha = \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2) \dots (\alpha+n)}{n!}.$$

From Theorem 3 of [2] follows that, for all $\alpha > 1$,

$$(1) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^\alpha(f; x) = f(x)$$

at each point x where

$$(2) \quad (D^*) \int_{-h}^h (f(x+u) - f(x)) du = o(h) \quad (h \rightarrow 0).$$

Since the function f is integrable in the Denjoy-Perron sense the last condition is fulfilled almost everywhere, and so is the relation (1). By the result of MARCINKIEWICZ (see [3], p. 360) we have that (1) holds almost everywhere for $\alpha = 1$, too.

For 2π -periodic and Lebesgue-integrable functions the following estimate

$$|\sigma_n^1(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{3}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n w\left(f, x; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right),$$

with

$$w(f, x; h) = \sup_{0 < t \leq h} \left\{ \frac{1}{2t} \int_{-t}^t |f(x+u) - f(x)| du \right\},$$

is known (see [1]). A similar inequality is also true for Cesàro means of order $\alpha > 1$. Indeed, in this case,

$$(3) \quad \sigma_n^\alpha(f; x) - f(x) = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\alpha-2} A_k^1 [\sigma_k^1(f; x) - f(x)].$$

Using further the symbols $C_1(\alpha), C_2(\alpha), \dots$ for positive constants depending only on α , and applying the estimates

$$C_1(\alpha) \leq \frac{A_n^\alpha}{(n+1)^\alpha} \leq C_2(\alpha) \quad (\alpha \geq 0, \quad n \geq 0),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_n^\alpha(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \frac{3C_2(\alpha-2)}{C_1(\alpha)(n+1)^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n (n-k+1)^{\alpha-2} \sum_{i=0}^k w\left(f, x; \frac{\pi}{i+1}\right) \\ &\leq \frac{C_3(\alpha)}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n w\left(f, x; \frac{\pi}{i+1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

The aim of this paper is to obtain the analogues of these results for arbitrary 2π -periodic and D^* -integrable functions.

2. Consider now the points x for which the condition (2) holds. As a measure of deviation of $\sigma_n^\alpha(f; x)$ from $f(x)$ we take the function $w(f, x; h)$ defined by

$$w(f, x; h)_D = \sup_{0 < t \leq h} \left\{ \frac{1}{2t} \left| (D^*) \int_{-t}^t (f(x+u) - f(x)) du \right| \right\}.$$

Evidently, $w(f, x; h)_D$ is non-decreasing in h and, by (2),

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} w(f, x; h)_D = 0$$

almost everywhere. Moreover, if f is a Lebesgue-integrable function we have $w(f, x; h)_D \leq w(f, x; h)$.

THEOREM 1. Let $f \in D^*$, $\alpha \geq 2$. Then,

$$(4) \quad |\sigma_n^\alpha(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{C_4(\alpha)}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n w\left(f, x; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)_D \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$$

and the inequality cannot be improved.

Proof. Write

$$f_x(t) = f(x+t) + f(x-t) - 2f(x), \quad F_x(t) = (D^*) \int_0^t f_x(u) du.$$

By partial integration ([4], p. 244),

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_n^\alpha(f; x) - f(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} (D^*) \int_0^\pi f_x(t) K_n^\alpha(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} F_x(\pi) K_n^\alpha(\pi) - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\pi F_x(t) \frac{d}{dt} K_n^\alpha(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$K_n^\alpha(\pi) = \frac{1}{2A_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} \leq \frac{\alpha}{2(\alpha+n)},$$

we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_n^\alpha(f; x) - f(x)| &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2\pi(\alpha+n)} |F_x(\pi)| + \frac{1}{\pi} \left(\int_0^{\pi(n+1)} + \int_{\pi(n+1)}^\pi \right) |F_x(t)| \frac{d}{dt} K_n^\alpha(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{\alpha}{2\pi(\alpha+n)} |F_x(\pi)| + I_n + Y_n. \end{aligned}$$

If $0 \leq t \leq \pi$, then

$$|F_x(t)| = \left| (D^*) \int_{-t}^t (f(x+u) - f(x)) du \right| \leq 2tw(f, x; t)_D,$$

and

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} K_n^\alpha(t) \right| \leq \begin{cases} C_5(\alpha)n^2 & \text{when } 0 \leq t \leq \pi, \\ \frac{C_5(\alpha)}{n^{\alpha-1}t^{\alpha-1}} & \text{when } \frac{\pi}{n} \leq t \leq \pi \end{cases}$$

for $\alpha \leq 2$ ([7], p. 60). Applying these inequalities we obtain

$$|F_x(\pi)| \leq 2\pi w(f, x; \pi)_D \leq 2\pi \sum_{k=0}^n w\left(f, x; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)_D,$$

$$I_n \leq \frac{2}{\pi} C_5(\alpha) n^2 \int_0^{\pi/(n+1)} t w(f, x; t)_D dt \leq \pi C_5(\alpha) w\left(f, x; \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)_D$$

$$= \frac{\pi C_5(\alpha)}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n w\left(f, x; \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)_D \leq \frac{\pi C_5(\alpha)}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n w\left(f, x; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)_D,$$

$$Y_n \leq \frac{2}{\pi} C_5(\alpha) (n+1)^{1-\alpha} \int_0^{\pi/(n+1)} t^{-\alpha} w(f, x; t)_D dt$$

$$= \frac{2C_5(\alpha)}{\pi^\alpha (n+1)^{\alpha-1}} \int_1^{n+1} t^{\alpha-1} w\left(f, x; \frac{\pi}{t}\right)_D dt$$

$$\leq \frac{2C_5(\alpha)}{\pi^\alpha (n+1)^{\alpha-1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{(k+1)^{\alpha-1}} w\left(f, x; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)_D.$$

Collecting the results we get the desired formula for $\alpha = 2$. In the case of $\alpha > 2$, we can write

$$|\sigma_n^\alpha(f; x) - f(x)| = \frac{1}{A_n^\alpha} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\alpha-3} A_k^2 (\sigma_k^\alpha(f; x) - f(x)) \right|$$

$$\leq \frac{C_4(2)}{A_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k+1} A_{n-k}^{\alpha-3} A_k^2 \sum_{i=0}^k w\left(f, x; \frac{\pi}{i+1}\right)_D$$

$$\leq \frac{C_6(\alpha)}{(n+1)^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n (2+k)(n-k+1)^{\alpha-3} \sum_{i=0}^n w\left(f, x; \frac{\pi}{i+1}\right)_D$$

$$\leq \frac{C_7(\alpha)}{n+1} \sum_{i=0}^n w\left(f, x; \frac{\pi}{i+1}\right)_D.$$

Thus, estimate (4) is proved for all $\alpha \geq 2$.

In order to show that the inequality (4) cannot be essentially improved we shall consider the continuous function $g(t) = 2 \left| \sin \frac{1}{2} t \right|$. Then, for $\alpha \geq 1$,

$$|\sigma_n^\alpha(g; 0) - g(0)| = |\sigma_n^\alpha(g; 0)| = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \sin \frac{1}{2} t K_n^\alpha(t) dt$$

$$= \frac{2}{\pi A_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} \int_0^\pi \sin\left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right) t dt = \frac{2}{\pi A_n^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n A_{n-k}^{\alpha-1} \left(k + \frac{1}{2}\right)^{-1}$$

$$\geq \frac{C_8(\alpha)}{(n+1)^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^n (n-k+1)^{\alpha-1} (k+1)^{-1} \geq \frac{C_8(\alpha)}{(n+1)^\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]} (n-k+1)^{\alpha-1} (k+1)^{-1}$$

$$\geq \frac{C_9(\alpha)}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]} \frac{1}{k+1} \geq C_{10}(\alpha) \frac{\ln n}{n}.$$

Since for $0 < t \leq h$ we have

$$(D^*) \int_{-t}^t (g(0+u) - g(0)) du = \int_{-t}^t 2 \left| \sin \frac{1}{2} u \right| du \leq 2th,$$

it follows that $w(g, 0; h)_D \leq h$. Hence, by (4),

$$|\sigma_n^\alpha(g; 0) - g(0)| \leq \frac{C_4(\alpha)}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n w\left(g, 0; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)_D$$

$$\leq \frac{\pi C_4(\alpha)}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k+1} \leq C_{11}(\alpha) \frac{\ln n}{n},$$

and this completes the proof.

Remark. In the case of $f \in D^*$ and $1 < \alpha < 2$, the above calculation leads to

$$|\sigma_n^\alpha(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{C_{12}(\alpha)}{(n+1)^{\alpha-1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{(k+1)^{2-\alpha}} w\left(f, x; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)_D.$$

3. Following DŽVARŠEŠVILI ([3]), let us introduce in the space D^* the norm

$$\|f\|_D = \sup_{\varphi \in V} \left| (D^*) \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(x) f(x) dx \right|,$$

where V denote the class of all 2π -periodic functions of bounded variation in $< 0, 2\pi >$ and such that

$$\sup_{0 \leq x \leq 2\pi} |\varphi(x)| \leq 1 \text{ and } \text{var } \varphi(x) \leq 1.$$

Denote by $\omega(f; \delta)_D$ the modulus of continuity of $f \in D^*$, i.e.

$$\omega(f; \delta)_D = \sup_{|h| \leq \delta} \|f(\cdot + h) - f(\cdot)\|_D.$$

We shall investigate the convergence of $\sigma_n^\alpha(f; x)$ in the norm $\| \cdot \|_D$.

THEOREM 2. If $f \in D^*$, then for all $\alpha \geq 1$,

$$(5) \quad \|\sigma_n^\alpha(f; \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_D \leq \frac{C_{13}(\alpha)}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \omega\left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)_D \quad (n = 0, 1, 2, \dots).$$

[3] *Proof.* Consider first the case $\alpha = 1$. Applying Theorem 19 I of

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_n^1(f; \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_D &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sup_{\varphi \in V} \left| (D^*) \int_0^{2\pi} \left((D^*) \int_0^\pi \varphi(x) f_x(t) K_n^1(t) dt \right) dx \right| \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \sup_{\varphi \in V} \left| \int_0^\pi \left((D^*) \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(x) f_x(t) dx \right) K_n^1(t) dt \right| \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_0^\pi \omega(f; t)_D K_n^1(t) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi(n+1)} \left(\int_0^{\pi/(n+1)} + \int_{\pi/(n+1)}^\pi \right) \omega(f; t)_D \left(\frac{\sin \frac{1}{2}(n+1)t}{\sin \frac{1}{2}t} \right)^2 dt \leq P_n + Q_n. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,

$$P_n \leq \frac{1}{\pi} (n+1) \int_0^{\pi/(n+1)} \omega(f; t)_D dt \leq \omega\left(f; \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)_D \leq \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \omega\left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)_D,$$

$$Q_n \leq \frac{\pi}{n+1} \int_{\pi/(n+1)}^\pi t^{-2} \omega(f; t)_D dt = \frac{1}{n+1} \int_1^{n+1} \omega\left(f; \frac{\pi}{t}\right)_D dt \leq \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \omega\left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)_D.$$

Hence,

$$\|\sigma_n^1(f; \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_D \leq \frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \omega\left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)_D.$$

Now, using the identity (3) we easily get the desired formula for all $\alpha > 1$.

Inequality (5) cannot be improved. In order to show this, let us consider the function

$$q(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin kx}{k}.$$

Since q is 2π -periodic and Lebesgue-integrable function, we have

$$\|\sigma_n^\alpha(q; \cdot) - q(\cdot)\|_D = \sup_{\varphi \in V} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(x) (\sigma_n^\alpha(q; x) - q(x)) dx \right|$$

$$\geq \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi_1(x) (\sigma_n^\alpha(q; x) - q(x)) dx \right|,$$

where $\varphi_1(x)$ is of class $V_{\frac{1}{2}}$ and such that $\varphi_1(x) = \frac{1}{2}$ for $0 \leq x < \pi$ and $\varphi_1(x) = 0$ for $\pi \leq x < 2\pi$. Reasoning as in [6], p. 428 we find

$$\begin{aligned} \|\sigma_n^\alpha(q; \cdot) - q(\cdot)\|_D &\geq \left| \int_0^\pi (\sigma_n^\alpha(q; x) - q(x)) dx \right| \\ &\geq \frac{C_{14}(\alpha)}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^{[n/2]} \frac{1}{k+1} \geq C_{15}(\alpha) \frac{\ln n}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if $|h| \leq \delta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(q; \delta)_D &= \sup_{\varphi \in V} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} \varphi(x) (q(x+h) - q(x)) dx \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^{2\pi} |q(x+h) - q(x)| dx \leq 2\pi\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by (5),

$$\|\sigma_n^\alpha(q; \cdot) - q(\cdot)\|_D \frac{2\pi^3 C_{12}(\alpha)}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k+1} \leq C_{16}(\alpha) \frac{\ln n}{n},$$

and the assertion follows.

4. Similar results can be obtained for the Riesz means $S_n^r(f; x)$ given by the integral formula

$$S_n^r(f; x) = \frac{1}{\pi} (D^*) \int_0^{2\pi} f(t) Q_n^r(x-t) dt \quad (r > 0, f \in D^*),$$

where

$$Q_n^r(t) = \frac{1}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^n \left\{ 1 - \frac{k^2}{\left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right)^2} \right\}^r \cos kt.$$

It is known ([5]) that for $r > 0$

$$|Q_n^r(t)| \leq \begin{cases} 2n & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \pi, \\ \frac{C_{17}(r)}{n^r t^{r+1}} & \text{if } \frac{\pi}{n} \leq t \leq \pi, \end{cases}$$

and for $r \geq 1$

$$\left| \frac{d}{dt} Q_n^r(t) \right| \leq \begin{cases} 2n^2 & \text{if } 0 \leq t \leq \pi, \\ \frac{C_{10}(r)}{n^{r-1} t^{r+1}} & \text{if } \frac{\pi}{n} \leq t \leq \pi. \end{cases}$$

Applying these inequalities and arguing as in the preceding two sections we get the following statements.

THEOREM 3. Suppose that $f \in D^*$, $r \geq 2$. Then,

$$|S_n^r(f; x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{C_{10}(r)}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \omega\left(f, x; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)_D$$

at each point x where the condition (2) holds.

THEOREM 4. Given any $f \in D^*$, we have

$$\|S_n^r(f; \cdot) - f(\cdot)\|_D \leq \begin{cases} \frac{C_{20}(r)}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \omega\left(f; \frac{\pi}{k+1}\right)_D & \text{if } r = 1, \\ C_{21}(r) \omega\left(f; \frac{\pi}{n+1}\right)_D & \text{if } r > 1. \end{cases}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Aljancic, S., Bojanic, R., Tomic, M., *On the degree of convergence of Fejér-Lebesgue sums*, *L'Enseignement mathématique*, **15**, 21–28 (1969).
- [2] Джваршейшвили, А. Г., *О суммировании сопряженных рядов и рядов Фурье Данжуа*, Труды Тбилисского Матем. Инст., **22**, 203–225 (1956).
- [3] —, *Интеграл Данжуа и некоторые вопросы из анализа*, Труды Тбилисского Матем. Инст., **25**, 273–372 (1958).
- [4] Saks, S., *Theory of the integral*, New York, 1937.
- [5] Taberski, R., *Summability of differentiated interpolating polynomials*, *Commentationes Mathematicae* **13**, 197–213 (1970).
- [6] Ульянов, П. Л., *О приближении функций*, Сибирский Матем. Журнал, **5**, 418–437 (1964).
- [7] Zygmund A., *Trigonometric series*, II, Cambridge 1959.

Received 31. I. 1974.