

BEST APPROXIMATION BY CHEBYSHEVIAN SPLINES
AND GENERALIZED LIPSCHITZ SPACES

by

KARL SCHERER

(Aachen)

The purpose of this note is to extend results in SCHERER [7] on the characterization of generalized Lipschitz spaces by best approximation by means of polynomial splines to the more general case of Chebyshevian splines. Thereby results of RICHARDS-DEVORE [5], [6] in the case of approximation in the $C[a, b]$ -norm are completed and further extended to approximation in the $L_p(a, b)$ -norm, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

The procedure is the following: first a direct theorem is proved by extending a corresponding result of JEROME [2] in the $C[a, b]$ case to the $L_p(a, b)$ spaces; then, by the methods in [7], it is shown that a converse theorem holds with respect to moduli of continuity introduced in [6] via generalized divided differences. In the third section relations between these moduli of continuity and the ordinary ones are established. A combination of all these results then yields the desired characterization of generalized Lipschitz spaces.

1. A direct theorem

Let $C^m[a, b]$ be the space of m -times ($m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$) continuously differentiable functions on $[a, b]$, and $L_p^m(a, b)$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, be the space of functions on (a, b) whose $(m - 1)$ th derivative is absolutely continuous and whose m -th derivative belongs to $L_p(a, b)$. The splines in question are defined as the elements of the classes

$$(1) \text{Sp}(L, \Delta, m) = \{S \in L_\infty^m(a, b) : LS(x) = 0, x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i), 1 \leq i \leq N,$$

where Δ is a partition of the interval $[a, b]$, i.e.

(2) $\Delta: a = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_N = b$, $\underline{\Delta} = \min(x_i - x_{i-1})$, $\bar{\Delta} = \max(x_i - x_{i-1})$,

L is a differential operator of the form ($0 \leq m \leq n-1$)

$$(3) \quad L = D_{n-1} \dots D_0,$$

where $D_i f(x) = d/dx [f(x)/w_i(x)]$ with strictly positive functions $w_i(x) \in C^{n-i}[a, b]$. Then there exists a basis of the null space of L of the form

$$u_0(x) = w_0(x)$$

$$(4) \quad u_1(x) = w_0(x) \int_a^x w_1(\xi_1) d\xi_1$$

\vdots

$$u_{n-1}(x) = w_0(x) \int_a^x w_1(\xi_1) \int_a^{\xi_1} \dots \int_a^{\xi_{n-2}} w_{n-1}(\xi_{n-1}) d\xi_{n-1} \dots d\xi_1.$$

The system (u_0, \dots, u_{n-1}) forms an extended complete Chebyshev (E.C.T) system on $[a, b]$ (KARLIN [4, p. 276]), in particular the Wronskian $W(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ is strictly positive on $[a, b]$, i.e.,

$$(5) \quad \begin{vmatrix} u_0(x) & \dots & u_{n-1}(x) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ D^n u_0(x) & \dots & D^n u_{n-1}(x) \end{vmatrix} > 0 \quad (x \in [a, b]).$$

Denoting by u_j^* the elements of the last column of $W^{-1}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{n-1})$ one sets

$$\hat{\theta}(x, \xi) = \begin{cases} \theta(x, \xi), & x \geq \xi \\ 0, & x < \xi, \end{cases}$$

where $\theta(x, \xi) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} u_k(x) u_k^*(\xi)$. Then by definition

$$(6) \quad D_x^j \hat{\theta}(x, \xi) \Big|_{x=\xi} = \delta_{j, n-1} \quad (0 \leq j \leq n-1)$$

so that for $f \in C^n[a, b]$ the generalized Taylor formula

$$(7) \quad f(x) = u(x) + \int_a^b \hat{\theta}(x, \xi) Lf(\xi) \Phi(\xi) d\xi$$

holds, where $\Phi(\xi) = w_0(\xi) w_1(\xi) \dots w_{n-1}(\xi)$ and $u(x)$ satisfies $Lu(x) = 0$ on $[a, b]$ and $D^j u(a) = D^j f(a)$, $0 \leq j \leq n-1$. By taking a sequence

of functions $\{f_m\}$ in $C^n[a, b]$ which converge in $L_1^n(a, b)$ to an element $f \in L_p^n(a, b)$, it is seen that (7) holds more generally for functions in $L_p^n(a, b)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$.

The linear approximation method of JEROME [2] (defined more generally for an arbitrary nonsingular linear differential operator with suitable constants) is now described as follows:

For each $\xi \in [a, b]$ let the points $t_1(\xi), \dots, t_n(\xi)$ be consecutive points of the mesh (2) satisfying

$$\underline{\Delta} \leq |t_1 - \xi| \leq 2\bar{\Delta}; |t_1 - \xi| < |t_2 - \xi| < \dots < |t_n - \xi|,$$

and let β_0, \dots, β_n be any (non-trivial) solution of the system

$$\beta_0 u_1^*(t_0) + \dots + \beta_n u_1^*(t_n) = 0$$

\vdots

$$\beta_0 u_n^*(t_0) + \dots + \beta_n u_n^*(t_n) = 0.$$

Setting $t_0(\xi) = \xi$, the approximating spline $S(x) = S(f; x)$ is defined by

$$(8) \quad S(x) = u(x) + \int_a^b \gamma(x, \xi) Lf(\xi) d\xi$$

with $u(x)$ being as in (7) and

$$\gamma(x, \xi) = \hat{\theta}(x, \xi) - (1/\beta_0) \sum_{j=0}^n \beta_j \hat{\theta}(x, t_j).$$

Obviously $S(x)$ belongs to $\text{Sp}(L, \Delta, n)$ by (6). JEROME [2] has shown that $\sum_{j=0}^n \beta_j \hat{\theta}(x, t_j)$ has compact support in $[t_0, t_n]$ and that $\beta_j/\beta_0 \leq C$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ independent of the choice of $\{t_i\}$ provided $\bar{\Delta}/\underline{\Delta}$ remains bounded. By the Taylor expansion (see (6)) one has furthermore

$$\hat{\theta}(x, y) = (x - y)_+^{n-1} / (n-1)! + O((x - y)_+^n),$$

so that one may estimate

$$(9) \quad |f(x) - S(f; x)| \leq \int_a^b \chi(x, \xi) |Lf(\xi)| d\xi$$

where $\chi(x, \xi) \geq 0$ has support in $|x - \xi| \leq (n+2)\bar{\Delta}$ and satisfies $\chi(x, \xi) \leq C_0 \bar{\Delta}_{n-1}$, the constant $*$ being independent of x and f .

From this one easily concludes (with constants C_1, C_2)

* The constants will be denoted successively by C_0, C_1, \dots

$$\|f - S(f)\|_\infty \leq C_1 \bar{\Delta}^n \|Lf\|_\infty \quad (f \in L_\infty^n(a, b)),$$

$$\|f - S(f)\|_1 \leq C_2 \bar{\Delta}^n \|Lf\|_1 \quad (f \in L_1^n(a, b)).$$

By arguments of the theory of interpolation of Banach spaces these inequalities imply

$$(10) \quad \|f - S(f)\|_p \leq C_3 \bar{\Delta}^n \|Lf\|_p, \quad (f \in L_p^n(a, b), 1 \leq p \leq \infty).$$

Indeed, by definition of Peetre's K -functional one has for $f \in L_1^n(a, b)$

$$K(t, f - S(f); L_1(a, b), L_\infty(a, b)) \leq \inf_{g \in L_\infty^n(a, b)} (\|S(f - g) - (f - g)\|_1 + t\|S(g) - g\|_\infty) \leq$$

$$\leq \max(C_1, C_2) \bar{\Delta}^n \inf_{g \in L_\infty^n(a, b)} (\|L(f - g)\|_1 + t\|Lg\|_\infty) \leq$$

$$\leq \max(C_1, C_2) \bar{\Delta}^n K(t, Lf; L_1(a, b), L_\infty(a, b)).$$

But since $\int_0^\infty [t^{-1+1/p} K(t, f; L_1, L_\infty)] dt/t$ is a norm equivalent to $\|f\|_p$ (see [1, p. 185]), there follows (10).

From this one obtains in a standard manner for the best approximation $E_{\bar{\Delta}}^{(L, m)}(f; p) = \inf_{s \in Sp(L, \Delta, m)} \|f - s\|_p$ the Jackson-type inequality

$$E_{\bar{\Delta}}^{(L, m)}(f; p) \leq C_4 K(t, f; L_p(a, b), L_p^n(a, b)).$$

Using an estimate in [3] therefore establishes the direct theorem

THEOREM 1. *Under the condition that the ratio $\bar{\Delta}/\Delta$ remains bounded there holds for each $f \in L_p(a, b)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ (or $f \in C[a, b]$ if $p = \infty$)*

$$(12) \quad E_{\bar{\Delta}}^{(L, m)}(f; p) \leq C_5 [\bar{\Delta}^n \|f\|_p + \omega_n(f; \bar{\Delta})_p].$$

Here $\omega_n(f; \bar{\Delta})_p$ is the n th modulus of continuity defined by

$$(13) \quad \omega_n(f; t)_p = \begin{cases} \sup_{0 < |h| \leq t} \left\{ \int_{x, x+nh \in [a, b]} |\Delta_h^n f(x)|^p dx^{1/p} \right\}, & 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \sup_{0 < |h| \leq t} \text{ess. sup}_{x, x+nh \in [a, b]} |\Delta_h^n f(x)|, & p = \infty. \end{cases}$$

Here $\Delta_h^n f(x)$ is the n th (forward) difference of $f(x)$ with increment h .

2. An inverse theorem

Following KARLIN [4, p. 523] the generalized divided difference of f at x_i, \dots, x_{i+n} is defined by

$$(14) \quad f(x_i, \dots, x_{i+n}) = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} u_0(x_i) & \dots & u_{n-1}(x) & f(x_i) \\ \vdots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ u_0(x_{i+n}) & \dots & u_{n-1}(x_{i+n}) & f(x_{i+n}) \end{vmatrix}}{\begin{vmatrix} u_0(x_i) & \dots & u_n(x_i) \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ u_0(x_{i+n}) & \dots & u_n(x_{i+n}) \end{vmatrix}}$$

where $u_n(x) = w_0(x) \int_a^x w_1(\xi_1) \dots \int_a^{\xi_{n-1}} w_n(\xi_n) d\xi_n \dots d\xi_1$ with $w_n(x) \equiv 1$.

The generalized divided difference has the property

$$(15) \quad Lf = 0 \Rightarrow f(x_i, \dots, x_{i+n}) = 0.$$

In generalization of (13) one defines (see [6])

$$(16) \quad \omega_L(t; f)_p = \begin{cases} \sup_{0 < |h| \leq t} \left\{ \int_{x, x+nh \in (a, b)} |\delta_h^n f(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p}, & 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \sup_{0 < |h| \leq t} \text{ess. sup}_{x, x+nh \in (a, b)} |\delta_h^n f(x)|, & p = \infty \end{cases}$$

with

$$(17) \quad \delta_h^n f(x) = h^n f(x, x+h, \dots, x+nh).$$

Since $f(x_i, \dots, x_{i+n})$ is linear in f , the generalized modulus of continuity $\omega_L(t; f)_p$ is sublinear in f , i.e.,

$$(18) \quad \omega_L(t; f_1 + f_2)_p \leq \omega_L(t; f_1)_p + \omega_L(t; f_2)_p.$$

For the following the relation

$$(19) \quad \delta_h^n f(x) = \frac{1}{B(x, h)} \sum_{r=0}^n h^r \Delta_h^{n-r} f(x + rh) B_r(x, h)$$

together with (see RICHARDS-DEVORE [6])

$$(20) \quad \|B_r(x, t)/B(x, t)\|_\infty \leq C_7 \quad (0 \leq r \leq n; 0 < t \leq 1),$$

$$(21) \quad \|B_0(x, t)/B(x, t)\|_\infty \geq C_8 \quad (0 < t \leq 1),$$

is essential.

A consequence of (19), (20) is

$$(22) \quad \omega_L(t; f)_p \leq C_9 \|f\|_p.$$

With the help of the properties (15), (18) and (22) the following converse theorem can be proved

THEOREM 2. Let $E_{\Delta_N}^{(L,m)}(f; p)$ denote the best approximation $E_{\Delta_N}^{(L,m)}(f; p)$, where Δ_N is the equidistant partition of $[a, b]$ into segments of lengths $(b-a)/N$. Then one has for $f \in L_p(a, b)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$ or $f \in C[a, b]$ if $p = \infty$, N being odd and $N \geq 3$,

$$(23) \quad \omega_L(N^{-1}; f)_p \leq C_{10} [E_{\Delta_N}^{(L,0)}(f; p) + E_{\Delta_{N+1}}^{(L,0)}(f; p)].$$

Proof. Since it is very similar to that one of the corresponding theorem in [7] for polynomial splines only a brief sketch is given.

Let S denote an element of best approximation of $\text{Sp}(L, \Delta_N, 0)$ to $f \in L_p(a, b)$. By (18) one has for $0 < t \leq 1$

$$\omega_L(t; f)_p \leq C_{11} \|f - S\|_p + \omega_L(t; S)_p.$$

By (15) and (22) one may estimate further ($0 < t \leq C_{12}N^{-1}$ with suitable small constant C_{11} , the points $x_{i,N}$ being the knots of Δ_N)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_L(t; S)_p &\leq \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \int_{x_{i,N-h}}^{x_{i,N}} |\delta S_h^n(x)|^p dx \right\}^{1/p} \leq \\ &\leq C_{13} \left\{ \|f - S\| + \sup_{0 < h \leq t} \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \int_{x_{i,N-h}}^{x_{i,N}} |\delta_h^n f(x)| dx \right\}^{1/p} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

But the last term can be estimated by $E_{\Delta_{N+1}}^{(L,0)}(f; p)$ in exactly the same manner as in [7] using property (15).

3. Connections between moduli of continuity

The following theorem is proved:

THEOREM 3. There exist constants C_{14} , C_{15} and a δ , $0 < \delta \leq 1$, such that for every $f \in L_p(a, b)$ and $0 < t \leq \delta$ there hold the inequalities*

$$(24) \quad \omega_L(t; f)_p \leq C_{14} [t^n \|f\|_p + \omega_n(t; f)_p],$$

$$(25) \quad \omega_n(t; f)_p \leq C_{15} [t^n \|f\|_p + \omega_L(t; f)_p].$$

Proof. By relation (19) and the estimates (20), (21) there follows immediately

$$(26) \quad \omega_n(t; f)_p \leq C_7 \left[t^n \|f\|_p + \omega_n(t; f)_p + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} t^r \omega_{n-r}(t; f)_p \right]$$

* In general the term $t^n \|f\|_p$ cannot be dropped since this would imply that the null-space of D^n is contained in that of L , or conversely.

and

$$(27) \quad \omega_n(t; f)_p \leq C_8^{-1} \max(1, C_7) \left[t^n \|f\|_p + \omega_L(t; f)_p + \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} t^r \omega_{n-r}(t; f)_p \right].$$

It remains to estimate the sum in (26) or (27) in a suitable manner. By Marchaud's inequality (see e.g. [3]) one has

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} t^r \omega_{n-r}(t; f)_p &\leq C_{17} \sum_{r=1}^{n-1} t^n \left[\|f\|_p + \int_0^1 u^{-n+r-1} \omega_n(u; f)_p du \right] \leq \\ &\leq C_{18} \left[t^n \|f\|_p + t^n \int_0^1 u^{-n} \omega_n(u; f)_p du \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Splitting up the integration from t to δ and from δ to 1, and observing

$$t_1^{-n} \omega_n(t_1; f)_p \leq 2^n t_2^{-n} \omega_n(t_2; f)_p \quad (0 < t_2 \leq t_1 \leq 1),$$

one obtains

$$(29) \quad \begin{aligned} t^n \int_0^1 u^{-n} \omega_n(u; f)_p du &\leq t^n \left[\int_0^\delta u^{-n} \omega_n(u; f)_p du + \int_\delta^1 u^{-n-1} \omega_n(f; u)_p du \right] \leq \\ &\leq 2^n \left[\omega_n(t; f)_p (\delta - t) + t^n \|f\|_p n (\delta^{-n} - 1) \right] \leq \\ &\leq 2^n [\omega_n(t; f)_p \delta + n t^n \delta^{-n} \|f\|_p]. \end{aligned}$$

Now one takes $\delta = 2^{-n-1} [C_{18} C_8^{-1} \max(1, C_7)]^{-1}$. Then (26) and the estimates (28), (29) establish the first inequality (24) of the theorem. Furthermore, inserting (28), (29) into (27) yields with this choice of δ

$$\omega_n(t; f)_p \leq \frac{1}{2} \omega_n(t; f)_p + C_{19} [t^n \|f\|_p + \omega_L(t; f)_p],$$

whence (25) immediately follows.

4. Characterization of generalized Lipschitz spaces

The generalized Lipschitz spaces in question are defined by

$$\text{Lip}(\Phi, q, n; p) = \begin{cases} f \in L_p(a, b) : \left\{ \int_0^1 [\Phi(t)^{-1} \omega_n(t; f)_p] dt/t \right\}^{1/q}, & 1 \leq q < \infty \\ \sup_{0 < t \leq 1} \Phi(t)^{-1} \omega_n(t; f)_p, & q = \infty \end{cases}$$

for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ (in case $p = \infty$ one assumes $f \in C[a, b]$ instead of $L_\infty(a, b)$), where $\Phi(t)$ is a positive non-decreasing function on $(0, 1]$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \Phi(t) = 0$. Combining the previous results one arrives at the following characterization theorem for these Lipschitz spaces (in case $\Phi(t) = t^\alpha$ they are the familiar Besov spaces on the interval $[a, b]$) in terms of the best approximation $E_N^{(L, m)}(f; p)$:

THEOREM 4. Let Φ be as above and satisfy $\int_0^1 \Phi(t)^{-1} t^{n-1} dt < \infty$. Then under the above notations the following assertions are equivalent for $1 \leq q < \infty$:

- i) $f \in \text{Lip}(\Phi, q, n; p)$,
- ii) $\int_0^1 [\Phi(t)^{-1} \omega_L(t; f)_p]^q dt/t^{1/q} < \infty$,
- iii) $\left\{ \sum_{N=1}^{\infty} [\Phi(N^{-1})^{-1} E_N^{(L, m)}(f; p)]^q N^{-1} \right\}^{1/q} < \infty$.

In case $q = \infty$ and $t^n = O(\Phi(t))$ the following are equivalent:

- i)' $f \in \text{Lip}(\Phi, \infty, n; p)$,
- ii)' $\omega_L(t; f)_p = O(\Phi(t))$,
- iii)' $E_N^{(L, m)}(f; p) = O(\Phi(N^{-1}))$.

If $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \inf \Phi(t)^{-1} t^n = \infty$, assertion i)' implies that f is a polynomial of degree $n - 1$, whereas assertion ii)' or iii)' imply that $Lf = 0$, $1 < p \leq \infty$.

Note that condition $\int_0^1 \Phi(t)^{-1} t^{n-1} dt < \infty$ implies a smaller decrease of $\Phi(t)$ for $t \rightarrow 0+$ than condition $t^n = O(\Phi(t))$, since from the boundedness of the integral it follows that $t^n = O(\Phi(t))$. On the other hand, $\Phi(t)^{-1} t^n = O(1)$ means a smaller decrease than $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0+} \inf \Phi(t)^{-1} t^n = \infty$ which is just the saturation case since f being a polynomial of degree $n - 1$ or $Lf = 0$ imply that the quantities in assertion i)' - iii)' vanish identically with respect to t and N , respectively.

Proof of Theorem 4. The preceding theorems yield the following chain of inequalities ($0 \leq m \leq n - 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_L(N^{-1}; f)_p &\leq C_{10} [E_N^{(L, m)}(f; p) + E_{N+1}^{(L, m)}(f; p)] \leq \\ &\leq C_{20} [N^{-n} \|f\|_p + \omega_n(N^{-1}; f)_p] \leq \\ &\leq C_{21} [N^{-n} \|f\|_p + \omega_L(N^{-1}; f)_p], \end{aligned}$$

from which the equivalences stated above immediately follow. The saturation case is handled by the same arguments as in RICHARDS-DEVORE [6].

REFERENCES

- [1] Butzer P. L., Berens, H. *Semigroups of Operators and Approximation*, Springer New York, 1967.
- [2] Jerome, J. W., *On uniform approximation by certain generalized spline functions*. *J. Approximation Theory* 7 (1973), 143-154.
- [3] Johnen H., *Inequalities connected with the moduli of smoothness*. *Mat. Vesnik* 9 (24) (1972), 289-303.
- [4] Karlin, S., *Total Positivity*, Vol. I, Stanford Univ. Press, Stanford 1968.
- [5] DeVore, R., Richards, F., *Saturation and inverse theorems for spline approximation*. In „Spline Functions and Approximation Theory“, Proceedings of the Symposium, Edmonton 1972 (A. Meir - A. Sharma, eds.) ISNM 21, Birkhäuser, Basel 1973.
- [6] DeVore, R., Richards, F., *The degree of approximation by Chebyshevian splines* (to appear).
- [7] Scherer, K., *Characterization of generalized Lipschitz classes by best approximation with splines*. To appear in *SIAM J. Numer. Anal.* 11 (1974).

Lehrstuhl A für Mathematik,
Technische Hochschule Aachen

Received 2. II. 1974.