

L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION

Tome 6, N° 2, 1977, pp. 193—195

A REMARK ON TCHEBYCHEFF SYSTEMS

by

ION RASA

(Cluj-Napoca)

One of the very useful properties of the Tchebycheff systems is the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let $\{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{2n}\}$ be a Tchebycheff system on $[a, b]$. If x_1, \dots, x_n are distinct points in $[a, b]$, then there exist $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{2n} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that, if we put $F = \sum_{i=0}^{2n} a_i u_i$, then*

1) $F(x_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, n,$

2) $F(x) > 0, x \in [a, b], x \neq x_1, \dots, x_n.$

This property is essentially employed in many proofs, and it is interesting to know if it is characteristic or not for the Tchebycheff systems. We shall answer this question in the second part of the paper. In the first part we deduce from the theorem 1 a result concerning the separation of certain point sets in the plane.

1. THEOREM 2. *Let $\{u_0, \dots, u_{2n}\}$ be a Tchebycheff system on $[a, b]$, $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ an upper — semicontinuous function, x_1, \dots, x_n distinct points in $[a, b]$, and $y_i > f(x_i), i = 1, \dots, n$. Then there exists a linear combination F of the functions u_0, \dots, u_{2n} such that:*

a) $F(x_i) = y_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n,$

b) $f(x) < F(x), x \in [a, b].$

Proof. We denote by $\text{Sp}(u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{2n})$ the set of all linear combinations of the functions u_0, \dots, u_{2n} . Consider $P, Q \in \text{Sp}(u_0, \dots, u_{2n})$ such that $P(x_i) = y_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and

1) $Q(x_i) = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$

2) $Q(x) > 0, x \in [a, b], x \neq x_1, \dots, x_n.$

If we put $g = f - P$, then g is upper — semicontinuous and $g(x_i) = f(x_i) - y_i < 0$. There exists an open neighbourhood V_i of x_i such that if $x \in V_i$ then $g(x) < 0$. Consider the compact set $T = [a, b] \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^n V_i$.

The function g is upper bounded on T by a number M . Q is continuous and positive on T . If $m = \min \{Q(t) : t \in T\}$ then $m > 0$.

Let us consider the number $k > 0$ such that $km > M$. We have $F = P + kQ \in Sp(u_0, \dots, u_{2n})$ and F satisfies a) and b).

2. Now we prove the converse of the theorem 1.

THEOREM 3. Consider the functions $u_0, \dots, u_{2n} \in C[a, b]$ such that for any distinct points x_1, \dots, x_n in $[a, b]$ there exists $F \in Sp(u_0, \dots, u_{2n})$ which satisfies:

- 1) $F(x_i) = 0, i = 1, \dots, n,$
- 2) $F(x) > 0, x \in [a, b], x \neq x_1, \dots, x_n.$

Then $\{u_0, \dots, u_{2n}\}$ is a Tchebycheff system on $[a, b]$.

Proof. Assume that $\{u_0, \dots, u_{2n}\}$ is not a Tchebycheff system on $[a, b]$. Then there exist the distinct points t_0, \dots, t_{2n} in $[a, b]$ such that $|u_i(t_j)| = 0, i, j = 0, 1, \dots, 2n.$

This means that one column of the determinant is a linear combination of the others. Let

$$(1) \quad u_i(t_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} c_j u_i(t_j), \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n.$$

It is easy to prove that if $F \in Sp(u_0, \dots, u_{2n})$ then

$$(2) \quad F(t_0) = \sum_{j=1}^{2n} c_j F(t_j).$$

The numbers c_j do not all vanish. Indeed, if $c_1 = \dots = c_{2n} = 0$, then $u_i(t_0) = 0; i = 1, \dots, 2n$ and $F(t_0) = 0$ for any $F \in Sp(u_0, \dots, u_{2n})$. By choosing $x_i \neq t_0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we contradict the assumptions of the theorem. Without restricting the generality we may assume that the coefficients c_1, \dots, c_h are negative and the others nonnegative. Here $0 \leq h \leq 2n$. There are three cases to be considered:

a) $h \leq n-1$. There exists $F \in Sp(u_0, \dots, u_{2n})$ such that F vanishes on t_0, t_1, \dots, t_h and it is positive on t_{h+1}, \dots, t_{2n} . We obtain, because of (2).

$$c_{h+1} = \dots = c_{2n} = 0.$$

This means that $F(t_0) = \sum_{j=1}^h c_j F(t_j)$ for all $F \in Sp(u_0, \dots, u_{2n})$.

Consider $F_1 \in Sp(u_0, \dots, u_{2n})$ which vanishes on t_0 and it is positive for t_1, \dots, t_h . Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^h c_j F_1(t_j) = 0, \quad c_j < 0, \quad F_1(t_j) > 0, \quad j = 1, \dots, h.$$

This is a contradiction.

b) $h = n$. In this case it is easy to prove that the coefficients c_{n+1}, \dots, c_{2n} do not all vanish. Let $c_{2n} > 0$. It follows from (1)

$$u_i(t_{2n}) = \frac{1}{c_{2n}} u_0(t_0) + \sum_{j=1}^{2n-1} \left(-\frac{c_j}{c_{2n}} \right) u_i(t_j),$$

$i = 0, 1, \dots, 2n.$

Now the coefficients $\frac{1}{c_{2n}}, -\frac{c_1}{c_{2n}}, \dots, -\frac{c_n}{c_{2n}}$ are positive, and the number h' of the negative coefficients is at most $n-1$. Thus, this case can be reduced to the first one.

c) $h \geq n+1$. Consider $F \in Sp(u_0, \dots, u_{2n})$ which vanishes on $t_0, t_{h+1}, \dots, t_{2n}$ and it is positive for t_1, \dots, t_h . From (2):

$$\sum_{j=1}^h c_j F(t_j) = 0.$$

But $c_j < 0, F(t_j) > 0, j = 1, \dots, h$, and we find a new contradiction.

The theorem 3 is herewith proved. Consequently the property expressed in theorem 1 is characteristic for the Tchebycheff systems.

REFERENCES

- [1] Karlin, S. and Studden, W., *Tchebycheff Systems with Applications in Analysis and Statistics*. Interscience Publishers, New-York — London-Sydney (1966).
- [2] Popoviciu, Elena, *Teoreme de medie din analiza matematică și legătura lor cu teoria interpolării* Cluj (1972).
- [3] Popoviciu, Tiberiu, *Sur le rest dans certaines formules linéaires d'approximation de l'analyse*. *Mathematica, Cluj*, **1**, (24), 95—142 (1959).
- [4] Ziegler, Zvi, *Generalized Convexity Cones*. *Pacific J. Math.* **17**, 561—580 (1966).

Received 10. I. 1977.

Liceul Gh. Barițiu
Cluj-Napoca