### MATHEMATICA - REVUE D'ANALYSE NUMÉRIOUE ET DE THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION

### L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION Tome 7, No. 1, 1978, pp. 107-112 with the state of the state of

The street of th

# a negative and a global cream lacing a 0, aspectively a the H ON UNIFORMLY EQUICONTINUOUS DYNAMICAL SYSTEMS

Longaring The all of the obey antition, and from the welling we have

## Address maker plantic from the state of GH. TOADER as the state of the

(Cluj-Napoca) and the second state the experimental experiments of the form for the second state of the second second second

1. M. BEBUTOV defined in [1] the shift system  $\tau$  on  $C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$  which was much studied later in the mathematical literature. He used the compactopen topology on  $C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$ . It is natural to look for such metrics (or topologies) on  $C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$  in respect to which  $\tau$  becomes an uniformly continuous (equicontinuous, respectively, uniformly equicontinuous) dynamical system (s. [3]). The first temptation is to use the uniform metric, but in respect to it τ is not, at least, continuous.

In this paper, we obtain  $\tau$  as uniformly equicontinuous dynamical system using for  $C(\mathbf{R}, \mathbf{R})$  the metric S which we defined in [8]. We call it ue-Bebutov system and study an universality property of them. Also we compare the usual and the ue-Bebutov systems.

2. We recall some notations and definitions from topological dynamics.

Let X be a metric space with a fixed metric d.

Definition 1. A dynamical system (respectively a uniformly equicontinuous dynamical system) on X is a function  $\pi: X \times \mathbf{R} \to X$  that satisfies the following axioms:

(1) 
$$\pi(x, 0) = x, \text{ for every } x \text{ in } X$$

(3)

(2) 
$$\pi(\pi(x, t), s) = \pi(x, t + s), \text{ for } x \text{ in } X, t \text{ and } s \text{ in } \mathbf{R}$$

 $\pi$  is continuous (respectively uniformly continuous).

For a fixed point x in X we use the following notations:

(4) 
$$\gamma^{+}(x) = \{ y \in X : \exists \ t \ge 0, \ y = \pi(x, \ t) \}$$
(5) 
$$I^{+}(x) = \{ y \in X : \exists \ t \ge 0, \ y = \pi(x, \ t) \}$$

$$L^+(x) = \{ y \in X : \exists t_n \rightarrow + \infty, y = \lim \pi(x, t_n) \}$$

(6) 
$$J^+(x) = \{ y \in X : \exists x_n \to x, \exists t_n \to +\infty, y = \lim_{n \to \infty} \pi(x_n, t_n) \}$$

to denote the positive trajectory, the positive limit set, respectively the positive prolongational limit set of x.

Definition 2. A point  $x \in X$  is called:

a) positively Lagrange stable if  $\gamma^+(x)$  is relatively compact

b) positively Poisson stable if  $x \in L^+(x)$ c) positively nonwandering if  $x \in I^+(x)$ .

Definition 3. A set  $M \subseteq X$  is called:

a) positively invariant if for any  $t \ge 0$ ,  $\pi(M, t) \subseteq M$ 

b) positively Lyapunov stable if it is positively invariant and for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a  $\delta > 0$ , such that for each y in X with  $d(y, M) = \inf \{d(y, x):$  $x \in M$   $< \delta$ , and for each  $t \ge 0$  we have  $d(\pi(y, t), \pi(M, t)) < \varepsilon$ .

Convention. For all of the above notations and definitions we have a "negative" and a global version taking  $t \leq 0$ , respectively  $t \in \mathbf{R}$ . If the dynamical system is uniformly equicontinuous we add a lower index ",u" in the notations and the adjective ",uniform" in definitions.

Definition 4. Let  $\pi$  and  $\sigma$  be dynamical systems defined on X respectively on Y. They are isomorphic (respectively uniformly isomorphic) if there exists a homeomorphism  $h: X \to Y$  (with h and  $h^{-1}$  uniformly continuous) such that the following diagram:

$$X \times \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{\pi} X$$

$$X \times \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow$$

is comutative. If  $\pi$  is isomorphic (uniformly isomorphic) with a subsystem of  $\sigma$ , one says that  $\pi$  is embeddable (uniformly embeddable) in  $\sigma$ . If the bijection h is only continuous, one says that  $\pi$  may be applied on  $\sigma$ .

3. Let  $C(\mathbf{R}, X)$  be the set of all continuous functions from  $\mathbf{R}$  to X. To define some metrics for  $C(\mathbf{R}, X)$  we shall use the function I:[0, X] $\infty$ ]  $\rightarrow$  [0, 1] given by: Ly a condition and have been all enough as

(7) 
$$I(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{t+1} & \text{for } t \in [0, \infty) \\ 1 & \text{for } t = \infty \end{cases}$$

The uniform metric T for  $C(\mathbf{R}, X)$  may be defined by:

(8) 
$$T(f, g) = I \text{ (sup } \{d(f(t), g(t)) : t \in \mathbf{R}\}).$$

The compact-open topology on  $C(\mathbf{R}, X)$  is generated by the metric K defined as follows: entirely all the first that the

(9) 
$$K(f, g) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 2^{-n}. \ I(\max \{d(f(t), g(t)) : |t| \le n\}).$$

In [8] we defined a Pompeiu - Hausdorff type metric by:

(10) 
$$S(f, g) = I(\sup \{S_0(f, g), S_0(g, f)\})$$

where i multiplicate the contribution of the c

(10')  $S_0(f, g) = \inf \{r > 0 : \forall t \in \mathbf{R}, \inf \{d(f(t), g(s)) : |t - s| < r\}$ with the usual convention:  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ .

Using the metric K for  $C(\mathbf{R}, X)$ , M. BEBUTOV studied in [1] (for  $X = \mathbf{R}$ ) the shift  $\tau : C(\mathbf{R}, X) \times \mathbf{R} \to C(\mathbf{R}, X)$  defined by:

(11) 
$$\tau(f, t) = f_t$$
, where  $f_t(s) = f(t + s)$ .

He proved that  $\tau$  is a dynamical system.

If one uses the metric T for  $C(\mathbf{R}, X)$ , the shift  $\tau$  is generally discontinuous. For example, if X is a normed space and  $x \neq 0$  is a fixed element in X,  $\tau$  is discontinuous in f defined by  $f(t) = \exp(t) \cdot x$ .

Let us denote by  $\tau_n$  the function  $\tau$  defined by (11) on  $C(\mathbf{R}, X)$ metrized by S.1 (vivilegen hum) vivilition at it recovered (orner viril metrized by S.1)

THEOREM 1.  $\tau_u$  is an uniformly equicontinuous dynamical system. *Proof.* Let r > 0 and suppose S(f, g) < r/2 and |t - s| < r/2. For any  $\alpha \in \mathbf{R}$  there is an  $\beta$  in  $\mathbf{R}$  such that  $|\alpha + t - \beta| < r/2$  and  $d(f(\alpha + t), g(\beta)) < r/2$ . For  $u = \beta - s$  we have:

$$|\alpha - u| = |\alpha - \beta + s| \le |\alpha + t - \beta| + |s - t| < r$$

$$d(f_i(lpha),\ g_s(u)) < r/2 < r.$$
 Hence

This was a second state of the solution of 
$$S_0(f_t, g_s) < r$$
 and solutions where the solutions of the sol

and interchanging f and g we get:

$$S(f_t, g_s) < r$$

 $S(f_{
u},g_{
m s})< r.$ Definition 5. We call  $\tau_u$  ue-Bebutov system on X. Remark 1. It was proved in [8] that the metric S is finer than K, that is the identity function

$$i: (C(\mathbf{R}, X), S) \rightarrow (C(\mathbf{R}, X), K)$$

- is continuous. It follows that the ue-Bebutov system may be applied on Bebutov system τ. As an easy consequence we obtain the following: THEOREM 2. For each  $f \in C(\mathbf{R}, X)$  we have:
- a)  $L_u^+(f) \subseteq L^+(f)$  into dupo wingoing no) behavior radially and +
- b) If f is uniformly positively Lagrange stable then it is positively Lagrange stable.
- c) If f is uniformly positively Poisson stable it is positively Poisson stable.
- d) If f is uniformly positively nonwandering, it is positively nonwandering. The like a matter of medical (in a relevit property of the rely markets this

Remark 2. As concerns Lyapunov stability, the implication is converse. More exactly, every positively invariant set is uniformly positively Lyapunov stable.

All these statesments remain true if we replace "positive" by "nega-

tive".

Generally the above inclusions and implication are strictly even in the case  $X = \mathbf{R}$  as one see in following examples.

Example 1. Let us consider the function:

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 1 - |t| & \text{for } |t| \leqslant 1 \\ 0 & \text{for } |t| > 1 \end{cases}$$

The nul application belongs to  $L^+(f)$  and to  $L^-(f)$  but not to  $L_u^+(f) \cup L_u^-(f)$ . Moreover  $L_{i}(f) = \emptyset$ , hence (s. [6]) f is not uniformly Lagrange stable (in any sense). However it is positively (and negatively) Lagrange stable.

Example 2. Let us construct the double infinite sequence  $(a_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ as follows: we start with the arbitrary non-constant sequence  $(a_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ (for example  $a_n = n$ ). Then, step by step, for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$  we put the block  $a_{-n}, \ldots, a_0, \ldots, a_n$  as the block  $a_{-(n+1)^2}, \ldots, a_{n^2+n+1}$ . Then we define the function  $f: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  by:

$$f(t) = (t - n)(a_{n+1} - a_n) + a_n$$
 for  $t \in [n, n + 1), n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

For it  $K(f, f_{n^2+n+1}) \leq 1/n$ , hence  $f \in L^+(f)$ . But  $f \not\in L_u^+(f)$  because  $S(f, f_s) \geq$  $\geq 1/2$  for s > 1 (at least in the concrete example which was given).

Similarly, starting with a kernel of distinct numbers  $a_0$ ,  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$  and constructing alternatively on the left and on the right the sequence  $(a_n)_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}$ , we obtain, as before, an f which is Poisson stable (in both directions) but is not uniformly Poisson stable in any direction.

Example 3. As we said, any critical point is uniformly Lyapunov stable. On the contrary, no critical point is Lyapunov stable. Indeed, if  $f(t) = x_0 = \text{constant}$  and  $0 < \delta < \varepsilon < 1$  are arbitrarily, the continuous function:

$$g^{\varepsilon}(t) = \begin{cases} x_0 & \text{if } t \not\in (-1/\varepsilon - 1, -1/\varepsilon) \\ x_0 + 1 & \text{for } t = -1/\varepsilon - 1/2 \\ \text{linear} & \text{in rest} \end{cases}$$

satisfies  $K(g^{\varepsilon}, f) < \delta$  but  $K(g^{\varepsilon}_{1/\varepsilon + 1/2}, f) = 1 > \varepsilon$ .

4. For a given dynamical (or uniformly equicontinuous dynamical) system defined on a metric space X, let us denote:

$$\Pi = \{\pi_x \colon \mathbf{R} \to X, \ \pi_x(t) = \pi(x, t), \ \forall t \in \mathbf{R}, \ x \in X\}.$$

The shift system  $\tau$  (respectively  $\tau_n$ ) on  $C(\mathbf{R}, X)$  induces on  $\Pi$ , which is invariant, a dynamical (respectively an uniformly equicontinuous dynamical) system. We call  $\tau | \pi$  (respectively  $\tau_n | \pi$ ) Bebutov system associated to  $\pi$ .

THEOREM 3. Any dynamical (uniform equicontinuous dynamical) system is isomorphic (respectively uniformly isomorphic) with its associated Bebulov system.

*Proof.* The application  $i: X \to \Pi$  defined by  $i(x) = \pi_x$  is a bijection and the diagram : bodyou out will a mi I to multipalane may ad had

$$X \times \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{\pi} X$$

$$\downarrow^{i \times 1}_{R} \downarrow^{i}$$

$$\Pi \times \mathbf{R} \xrightarrow{(\mathbf{\tau}_{n}/\pi)} \Pi$$

is commutative. We have to prove the continuity (uniform continuity) of i and  $i^{-1}$ .

Case a)  $\pi$  is a dynamical system:

Let  $x_n \to x$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Fix  $i_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{i=i_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ . Because  $\pi(x_n, t) \to \pi(x, t)$ , for every  $t \in \mathbb{R}$  there is a  $N_t$  such that  $n > N_t$  implies  $d(\pi(x_n, t), \pi(x, t)) < \varepsilon/2$ . The corespondence  $t \to N_t$  being continuous, we may find  $N_0 = \max \{N_t : |t| \le i_0\}$ . Then for every  $n > N_0$ :

$$K(\pi_{x_n}, \pi_x) \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{i_0} 2^{-i} \ I \ (\max \{d(\pi(x_n, t), \pi(x, t)) : |t| \leqslant i\}) +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=i_0+1}^{\infty} 2^{-i} \leqslant \varepsilon/2 \sum_{i=1}^{i_0} 2^{-i} + \varepsilon/2 < \varepsilon$$

that is i is continuous.  $i^{-1}$  is also continuous because

 $I(d(x, y)) \leq K(\pi_v, \pi_v).$ 

Case b)  $\pi$  is an uniformly equicontinuous dynamical system.

For any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is an  $\delta > 0$  ( $\delta < \varepsilon$ ) such that  $d(x, y) < \delta$  and  $|t-s|<\delta$  implies  $d(\pi(x, t), \pi(y, s))<\varepsilon$ . That is  $d(x, y)<\delta$  implies  $S(\pi_x, \pi_y)<\varepsilon$ , hence i is uniformly continuous. Also if  $S(\pi_x, \pi_y)<\delta$  there exists  $s=s(x, y), |s|<\delta$  such that  $d(\pi(x, 0), \pi(y, s))<\delta$ . Hence

$$d(x, y) \leq d(\pi(x, 0), \pi(y, s)) + d(\pi(y, s), \pi(y, 0)) < \delta + \varepsilon < 2\varepsilon$$

that is  $i^{-1}$  is uniformly continuous.

Remark 3. It is well known from [1] and [5] that the Bebutov system (on R) has an universality property for compact systems which is easy to generalize (s. [7]) as follows: the dynamical system  $\pi$  defined on a compact metric space X is embeddable in Bebutov's system on the Banach space Y if and only if the set of all critical points of  $\pi$ is embeddable in Y, i.e. is homeomorphic with a subset of Y. Analogously, we have the following:

THEOREM 4. The dynamical system  $\pi$  defined on a compact metric space X is embeddable (uniformly embeddable) in the ue-Bebutov system on Banach space Y if and only if it is uniformly equicontinuous and the

set of its critical points is uniformly embeddable in Y.

Proof. Necessity is immediately because any dynamical system which is uniformly embeddable in an uniformly equicontinuous dynamical sys-

tem is uniformly equicontinuous.

Sufficiency: let us denote by  $\Gamma$  the set of all critical points of  $\pi$  and by  $\gamma$  an embedding of  $\Gamma$  in Y. By the method used in [5], it was proved in [7] that there is a continuous function  $f: X \to Y$  such that  $f|\Gamma = \gamma$  and for any pair of distinct points  $x, y \in X$ :

(12) 
$$f(\pi(x, t)) \neq f(\pi(y, t))$$

for at least a real t. Define the function  $h: X \to (C(\mathbf{R}, Y), S)$  by h(x) ==  $f \cdot \pi_x$ . The space X being compact, f is uniformly continuous hence for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is  $\eta < 0$  such that  $||f(x) - f(y)|| < \varepsilon$  if  $d(x, y) < \eta$ . By the uniform equicontinuity of  $\pi$ , there is  $\delta$ ,  $0 < \delta \le \eta$ , such that  $d(x, y) < \delta$  implies  $d(\pi(x, t), \pi(y, t)) < \eta$  for any  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ . Hence  $S(h(x), h(y)) < \varepsilon$ , therefore h is uniformly continuous. By (12) h is injective and X being compact and  $C(\mathbf{R}, Y)$  Hausdorff in respect to S, it follows that  $h^{-1}: h(\bar{X}) \rightarrow X$  is uniformly continuous. Consequentely  $\pi$  is uniformly embedded in the ue-Bebutov system on Y.

Consequence. Any dynamical system defined on a compact metric

sbace is uniformly embeddable in ue-Bebutov system on l2.

#### REFERENCES

[1] Bebutov, M. V., Sur les systèmes dynamiques dans l'espaces des fonctions continuous,

C.R. (Dokl.) Acad. Sci. URSS 27, 904-906, (1940).

[2] Dugundji, J., Topology, Boston: Allyn & Bacon, 1966.

[3] Gottschalk, W. H., Hedlund G. A., Topological dynamics, A.M.S. Coll. Publ. vol. XXXVI, 1955.

- [4] Hajek, O., Dynamical systems in the plane, Acad, Press, 1968.
  [5] Kakutani, S.., A proof of Bebutov's theorem, J. Diff. Equ. 4, 194-201, (1968). [6] Sibirskii, K. S., Introduction to topological dynamics (Russian), Kishinev, 1970.
- [7] Toader, Gh., An universal dynamical system (Romanian), Revista de Analiză numerică și Teoria Aproximației 3, 2, 215-223, (1974).
- [8] To a der, Gh., A metric of Pompeiu Hausdorff type for the set of continuous functions, Revue d'Analyse Numérique et de la Théorie de l'Approximation, in press.

Received 12, IV, 1977.

colmissi uli sulli fre Sont II most a const Centrul teritorial de calcul electronic Str. Republicii

April 1 Str. Republicii 3400 Cluj – Napoca sy 19 generalize (s. [7]) as follows: the dynamical eachem z-drined

ously, pur have the following a tree of the street of the





I. P. Cluj, Municipiul Cluj-Napoca 489/1978.

