## L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION Tome 7, N° 2, 1978 pp. 211-223

(2.1) Further for h = -u, m, let  $\frac{2h\pi}{u_1} + \frac{1}{1} = \frac{0}{1}$ ,  $\frac{\pi}{u_2} + \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} + \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} =$ 

We observe that  $f_{n}t + 0 \stackrel{!}{=} \operatorname{nis}(t + n2)$  (2.2)

ON INTERPOLATION OPERATORS (I)

(A proof of Jackson's theorem for differentiable functions)

odl mileb www. R. B. SAXENA and K. B. SRIVASTAVA (Lucknow, India)

1. Introduction. In the recent years many authors devoted themselves to giving new proofs of the famous theorem of Jackson [2], TIMAN [5] and TELYAKOVSKI [4] GOPENGAUZ [1] on the approximation of continuous function defined on [-1, 1] by algebraic polynomials. A number of constructive proofs now occur in the literature. Many of them involve the construction of procedures which interpolate the function to be approximated at a given set of nodes. Our task in this series is to give interpolation operators which provide with the new proofs of the above theorems for differentiable functions. In this first communication we construct the operators  $V_{nr}(f, x)$  (r = 0,1) and study their approximating properties towards functions f(x) given on [-1, 1]. We find that  $V_{nr}(f, x)$  satisfies Jackson's inequality when  $f(x) \in C^1[-1, 1]^{1}$ . The operators  $V_{n0}(f, x)$  satisfy Timan's inequality for functions  $f(x) \in C[-1, 1]$ . At the same time the Trigub's inequality on the derivative of approximating polynomials is also satisfied. We mention that  $V_{n1}(f_{x},x)$  does not appear to be strong enough so as to satisfy Timan's inequality. However, a little modification may sharpen the estimates, but that does not fulfil our aim of proving Timan's theorem for differentiable functions. For this we have to consider other operators and this will be the content of our next communication. The source of these investigations is the work of KIS-VERTESI [3] which all depends on the identity of A. H. TURECKII [7]. We shall also obtain few identities which, apart from their use here, are interesting in their own right,  $w = s_1 - (w_1 - v_2) \cos \phi$ 

<sup>1)</sup>  $C^1[-1, 1]$  denotes the class of functions whose first derivatives are continuous on [-1, 1].

2. The operator  $V_{nt}(f, x)$ . Let  $-1 \le x \le 1$ ,  $\cos t = x$  and  $\cos t_{kn} = x_{kn}$ with Tome 7, No 2, 1978 pp. 211-223

(2.1) 
$$t_{kn} = \frac{2k \pi}{2n+1}, \ k = \overline{0, n^{2}}; \qquad n = 1, 2, \ldots$$

Further for  $k = \frac{1}{n}$ , n, let

(2.2) 
$$l_{kn}(t) = \frac{\sin\frac{2n+1}{2}(t-t_{kn})}{(2n+1)\sin\frac{1}{2}(t-t_{kn})}$$

and

ON INTERPOLATION OPERATORS (I)

(2.3) 
$$u_{kn}(t) = \frac{1}{3} \left[ 25 \ l_{kn}^4(t) - 32 \ l_{kn}^5(t) + 10 \ l_{kn}^6(t) \right].$$

Then for any arbitrary function f(x) given on [-1, 1], we define the operators

where 
$$v_{on}(x) = u_{on}(t)$$
;  $v_{kn}(x) = u_{kn}(t) + u_{-kn}(t)$ ,  $k = 1, n$ .

The properties of the operators  $V_{nr}(f, x)$  are given in the molecular transfer of the operators  $V_{nr}(f, x)$  are given in the molecular transfer of the operators  $V_{nr}(f, x)$  are given in the molecular transfer of the operators  $V_{nr}(f, x)$  are given in the molecular transfer of the operators  $V_{nr}(f, x)$  are given in the molecular transfer of the operators  $V_{nr}(f, x)$  are given in the molecular transfer of the operators  $V_{nr}(f, x)$  are given in the molecular transfer of the operators  $V_{nr}(f, x)$  are given in the molecular transfer of the operators  $V_{nr}(f, x)$  are given in the molecular transfer of the molecular transfer of the molecular transfer of the operators  $V_{nr}(f, x)$  and  $V_{nr}(f, x)$  are given in the molecular transfer of th THEOREM 1. For r = 0, 1

(a)  $V_{nr}(f, x)$  is an algebraic polynomial of degree  $\leq 6n + 1$  in x,

(b)  $V_{nr}^{(v)}(f, x_{kn}) = f^{(v)}(x_{kn})$  (v = 0, r), k = 0, n.

At the same time the Trigul's inequality on the derivative sonic, foor eting

cation. The source of these investigations is the work of Kis-Vrigitist [3] which all depends on the identity of a m suspected [7]. We satisfied

$$u_{kn}(t)=\sum_{j=0}^{6n}C_{j}\cos j(t-t_{kn}), \qquad k=-\overline{n,\ n},$$
 with the solution of the solution

O CH-1. It depotes the class of functions where the derivatives

where  $C_j$  are some numbers. Hence

$$v_{on}(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{6n} C_j \cos jt$$
 and  $v_{kn}(x) = 2\sum_{j=0}^{6n} C_j \cos jt \cos jt_{kn}$ ,  $k = 1, n,$ 

from which the assertion (a) follows. (2) (412) (CL2) smindmon bound

To prove (b) it is sufficient to show that

(2.7) 
$$v_{kn}(x_{jn}) = \delta_{kj}$$
 and  $v'_{kn}(x_{jn}) = 0$   $(k, j = \overline{0, n})$ . We observe that

3

$$(2.8) l_{kn}(t_{jn}) = \delta_{kj} (k, j = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm n)$$

and so on account of (2.3)

(2.9) 
$$u_{kn}(t_{jn}) = \delta_{kj} (j, k = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm n)$$

from which the first part of (2.7) follows after using (2.5). Further from (2.3) on differentiation

$$u'_{kn}(t) = \frac{1}{3} \left[ 100 \ l^3_{kn}(t) - 160 \ l^4_{kn}(t) + 60 \ l^5_{kn}(t) \right] l'_{kn}(t),$$

$$u_{kn}''(t) = \frac{1}{3} \left[ 100 \ l_{kn}^3(t) - 160 \ l_{kn}^4(t) + 60 \ l_{kn}^5(t) \right] l_{kn}''(t) +$$

$$+\frac{1}{3} \left[300 \ l_{kn}^{2}(t) - 640 \ l_{kn}^{3}(t) + 300 \ l_{kn}^{4}(t)\right] l_{kn}^{2}(t), \tag{2.8}$$

from which on using  $l_{kn}(t_{kn}) = 0$ , which is an easy consequence of (2.6), it follows that

$$(2.10) u'_{kn}(t_{jn}) = u''_{kn}(t_{jn}) = 0 (k, j = 0, \pm 1, 2, \pm 3, \ldots, \pm n)$$

Now differentiating twice the formula  $v_{on}(\cos t) = u_{on}(t)$  we have

$$-\sin t \quad v'_{on}(\cos t) = u'_{on}(t),$$

(2.12) 
$$\sin^2 t \ v''_{on}(\cos t) - \cos t \ v'_{on}(\cos t) = u''_{on}(t).$$

Using (2.10), we have from (2.11) (2.11) (2.11)

(2.13) 
$$v'_{on}(x_{in}) = 0, \quad ij = \overline{1, n}$$

$$(2.14) v'_{on}(x_{on}) = 0, \ v''_{on}(x_{in}) = 0, \quad j = \overline{1, n}.$$

Similarly if we differentiate twice the formula

$$v_{kn}(\cos t) = u_{kn}(t) + u_{-kn}(t), \qquad k = \overline{1, n},$$

a) k = 0, n stands for k = 0, 1, 2, ..., n.

5

use (2.10), then we get and use (2.10), then we get

$$(2.16) v_{kn}''(x_{jn}) = 0, j = 1, n.$$

Hence combining (2.13), (2.14), (2.15) and (2.16), we get

(2.17) 
$$\begin{cases} v'_{kn}(x_{jn}) = 0, & (k, j = \overline{0, n}) \\ v''_{kn}(x_{jn}) = 0, & (k = \overline{0, n}) \\ (j = \overline{1, n}) \end{cases}$$

In the same way it follows that 0 = (-1) and 0 = (-1) and

$$v_{kn}^{\prime\prime\prime}(x_{jn})=0, \quad (k=\overline{0,\ n}, \quad j=\overline{1,\ n})_{\mathrm{HHOODE}}$$
 to be a

3. Some identities. We have the following  $L \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $L \in \mathbb{R}^n$   $L \in \mathbb{R}^n$   $L \in \mathbb{R}^n$   $L \in \mathbb{R}^n$ Lemma 1. Let

From which the first part of (2.7) (all area after using (2.5). Further from (2.3) on differentiation 
$$S_m = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} l_{kn}^m(t), \qquad (2.4)$$

$$L_m(t) = \frac{1}{n} [100 \, l_{kn}^m(t)] = \frac$$

$$(3.1) S_3 = \frac{1}{(2n+1)^2} \left[ (3n^2 + 3n + 1) + n(n+1) \cos (2n+1)t \right],$$

(3.2) 
$$S_4 = \frac{1}{3(2n+1)^2} \left[ (8n^2 + 8n + 3) + 4n(n+1) \cos((2n+1)t) \right],$$

$$(3.3) S_5 = \frac{1}{4!(2n+1)^4} [(230n^4 + 460n^3 + 370n^2 + 140n + 24) + 2(76n^4 + 152n^3 + 104 n^2 + 28n) \cos (2n+1)t + 2n(n^2-1)(n+2) \cos (4n+2)t],$$

$$(3.4) S_6 = \frac{1}{5!(2n+1)^4} \left[ 12(88n^4 + 176n^3 + 142n^2 + 54n + 10) + (416n^4 + 832n^3 + 584n^2 + 168 n) \cos((2n+1)t + (16n^4 + 32n^3 + 4n^2 - 12n) \cos((4n+2)t) \right].$$

For the sums  $S_3$  and  $S_4$ , there holds the identity

$$4S_3 - 3S_4 = 1 (21.2) m$$

For the sums of higher orders we have the identity

$$\frac{1}{3} \left[ 25S_4 - 32S_5 + 10S_6 \right] = 1 + \frac{10n(n+1)}{9(2n+1)^4} \left[ 3 - 4\cos\left(2n+1\right)t + \cos\left(4n+2\right)t \right].$$

The identity (3.5) was first given by A. H. TURECKI [7]. We calculate S<sub>5</sub> and S<sub>6</sub>. Following KIS-VERTESI [3], we have, for a positive integer m

ON INTERPOLATION OPERATORS (I)

(3.7) 
$$(2n+1)^{m-1} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} l_{kn}^{m}(t) = C_{0,m} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m} C_{(2n+1)j,m} \cos(2n+1)jt$$

where the numbers  $C_{j,m}$  are such that  $C_{j,m} = C_{-j,m}$ ,  $j = \overline{1, mn}$  and satisfy

$$(3.8) \qquad \sum_{j=-mn}^{mn} C_{j,\,m} Z^{j} = Z^{-mn} (1 - Z^{2n+1})^{m} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{(j+1) \dots (j+m-1)}{(m-1)} Z^{j}.$$

Thus to calculate  $S_m$  we need to calculate  $C_{j,m}$  only for j in multiples of (2n+1) from (3.8). For m=5.6(3.9)

$$(3.9)$$

$$(2n+1)^4 \sum_{k=-n}^{n} l_{kn}^5(t) = C_{0,5} + 2[C_{2n+1,5}\cos(2n+1)t + C_{4n+2,5}\cos(4n+2)t],$$

$$(3.10)$$

$$(2n+1)^{5} \sum_{k=-n}^{n} l_{kn}^{6}(t) = C_{0,6} + 2 \left[ C_{2n+1,6} \cos (2n+1)t + C_{4n+2,6} \cos (4n+2)t \right].$$

The numbers  $C_{4n+2,5}$ ,  $C_{2n+1,5}$  and  $C_{0,5}$  are the coefficients of  $Z^{4n+2}$ ,  $Z^{2n+1}$  and  $Z_0$ , respectively in the expansion (7) for m=5. Thus

$$C_{4n+2,5} = \frac{1}{4!} [(9n+3)(9n+4)(9n+5)(9n+6) - 5(7n+2)(7n+3)(7n+4)(7n+5) + \frac{1}{4!} [(9n+3)(9n+4)(9n+5)(9n+6) - \frac{1}{4!} [(9n+3)(9n+4)(9n+5)(9n+6) - \frac{1}{4!} [(9n+3)(9n+4)(9n+6) - \frac{1}{4!} [(9n+3)(9n+4)(9n+6) - \frac{1}{4!} [(9n+3)(9n+6) - \frac{1}{4!} [(9n+6)(9n+6) - \frac{1}{$$

$$+ 10(5n + 1)(5n + 2)(5n + 3)(5n + 4) - 10(3n)(3n + 1)(3n + 2)(3n + 3) + + 5(n - 1)n(n + 1)(n + 2)] = \frac{1}{4!}(n^4 + 2n^3 - n^2 - 2n)$$

$$C_{2n+1,5} = \frac{1}{4!} \left[ (7n+2)(7n+3)(7n+4)(7n+5) - 5(5n+1)(5n+2)(5n+3)(5n+4) + \frac{1}{4!} \right]$$

$$= 10(3n)(3n+1)(3n+2)(3n+3) - 10(n-1)n(n+1)(n+2) =$$

$$= \frac{1}{4!} (76n^4 + 152n^3 + 104n^2 + 28n)$$

$$C_{0,5} = \frac{1}{41} \left[ (5n+1)(5n+2)(5n+3)(5n+4) - \right]$$

$$-5(3n)(3n+1)(3n+2)(3n+3)+10(n-1)n(n+1)(n+2)=$$

$$= \frac{1}{4!} (230n^{4} + 460n^{3} + 370n^{2} + 140n + 24)$$

Similarly the coefficients of  $Z^{4n+2}$ ,  $Z^{2n+1}$  and the constant term in (3.8) for m = 6 give and a legister as the lower of the sound o

$$C_{4n+2,6} = \frac{1}{5!} \left[ (10n+3)(10n+4)(10n+5)(10n+6)(10n+7) - 6(8n+2)(8n+3)(8n+4)(8n+5)(8n+6) + + 15(6n+1)(6n+2)(6n+3)(6n+4)(6n+5) - - 20(4n)(4n+1)(4n+2)(4n+3)(4n+4) + + 15(2n-1)(2n)(2n+1)(2n+2)(2n+3) \right] = \frac{2n+1}{5!} \left( 16n^4 + 32n^3 + 4n^2 - 12^n n \right).$$

$$C_{2n+1,6} = \frac{1}{5!} \left[ (8n+2)(8n+3)(8n+4)(8n+5)(8n+6) - - 6(6n+1)(6n+2)(6n+3)(6n+4)(6n+5) + + 15(4n)(4n+1)(4n+2)(4n+3)(4n+4) - - 20(2n-1)(2n)(2n^3+1)(2n+2)(2n+3) \right] = \frac{2n+1}{5!} \left( 416n^4 + 832n^3 + 584n^2 + 168n \right)$$

$$C_{0,6} = \frac{1}{5!} \left[ (6n+1)(6n+2)(6n+3)(6n+4)(6n+5) - 6(4n)(4n+1)(4n+2)(4n+3)(4n+4) + 15(2n-1)(2n)(2n+1)(2n+2)(2n+3) \right] = \frac{2n+1}{5!} \left( 1056n^4 + 2112n^3 + 1704n^2 + 684n + 120 \right)$$
Hence, one simplifying the expression of softeness that

Hence on simplifying the expressions for these numbers and putting in (3.9) and (3.10) we get the required sums  $S_5$  and  $S_6$  in the lemma.

4. The main lemma. An important property of the sum of the polynomials  $v_k(x)^{(3)}$  which plays a vital role in these investigations, is contained in the following lemma 2. We mention here that we have not been able to find an identity similar to (3.6) of Tureckii in the powers of  $l_k(t)$ higher than 4 so as to have the identity

$$= (1 + wi)(2 + \sum_{k=0}^{n} v_k(x)) = (1 - wi)$$

as in the Kis-Vertesi operator. (8 + u8)(8 + u8)(1 - u8)(u8) = -

Lemma 2. For  $-1 \le x \le 1$ , 1 = 1

$$\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n} p_{k}(x) - 1\right| \leqslant \frac{1}{n^{s}},$$

$$\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n} v_k'(x)\right| \leq 3.$$

*Proof.* From (2.3), (2.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} v_k(x) = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} u_k(t) = 1 + \frac{10 \cdot n(n+1)}{9 \cdot (2n+1)^4} \left[ 3 - 4 \cos \left( 2n + 1 \right) t + \cos \left( 4n + 2 \right) t \right]$$

from which we at once have the part (a) of the lemma.

Further, on differentiation, we have
$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} v'_k(x) = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \frac{u'_k(t)}{\sin t} = \frac{20n(n+1)[2\sin((2n+1)t) - \sin((4n+2)t)]}{9(2n+1)^s \cdot \sin t}$$

$$= \frac{40n(n+1)(2n+1)\sin((2n+1)t)}{9(2n+1)^4 \sin t} = \frac{20n(n+1)(2n+1)\sin((4n+2)t)}{9(2n+1)^4 \sin t}$$

$$|\sin nt| \leq n|\sin t|, \text{ we have }$$

$$\left|\sum_{k=0}^{n} v_k'(x)\right| \leqslant 3$$

## 5. Convergence of operators $V_{nr}(f,x)$ .

The following theorem gives the convergence behaviour of the opera-

tors  $V_{nr}(f,x)$ . THEOREM 2. Let  $f(x) \in C'[-1,1]$ , then for the operators  $V_{nr}(f,x)$ , we

(5.1) 
$$||V_{nr}(f,x) - f(x)|| \le \frac{C_1^{4}}{n^r} \omega_{f(r)} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right), \qquad r = 0, 1$$

where  $\omega_{f^{(r)}}$  (.) is the modulus of continuity of  $f^{(r)}$  and  $||.|| = \max_{-1 \le x \le 1} |.|$  is the uniform norm.

For  $V_{no}(f,x)$  a stronger estimate than (5.1) holds, namely, the following theorem is valid.

<sup>19300 4 4 4800 4 8</sup>VOn + 140a + 140a + 24 3) For typographical reasons we shall be writing k instead of ku later on.

<sup>4)</sup> In the sequel we shall denote  $C_1$ ,  $C_2$ , . . . for eight arbitrary constants,

à l

8

THEOREM 3. Let  $f(x) \in C[-1,1]$ , then for the operators  $V_{no}(f,x)$ , we have.

$$|V_{n0}(f, x) - f(x)| \le C_2 \omega_f \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 - x^2}}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right)$$

218

(5.3) 
$$|V_{n0}(f, x)| \le C_3 \left( \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right)^{-1} \omega_f \left( \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right), -1 \le x \le 1.$$

(5.2) is the well-known inequality of A.F. Timan. By proving (5.3) we are able to give a new proof of R. M. Trigub's inequality through interpolation.

For  $V_{nl}(f,x)$  the inequality of A. F. Timan does not appear to hold. However, a better local estimate can be obtained but it is of no interest to us here, so we confine ourselves to proving only  $(5.1)^n$  for r = 1.

Proof of the theorem 2(r=1). On account of (2.4) we have the identity ((2+ nk) ma = 1(1 + n2) max (1 + n) n02

identity 
$$V_{n1}(f, x) = [f(x) - f(-1) - (x + 1)f'(-1)] \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{n} v_k(x) - 1 \right] - \sum_{k=0}^{n} [f(x) - f(x_k) - (x - x_k) f'(x_k)] v_k(x) = \sum_{11} + \sum_{12} \frac{1}{12} \frac{1}$$

Now making use of the well-known relation

(5.5) 
$$f(u) - f(v) - (u - v) f'(v) = O(|u - v| \omega_{f'}(|u - v|)),$$
 we have

we have

$$\left| \sum_{1:1} | \leq C_4(x+1) \, \omega_{i'}(x+1) \right| \sum_{k=0}^{n} v_k(x) - \frac{\alpha}{2} | 1 \right|_{i'}^{1/2}$$

which by virtue of the identity in the part (a) of the lemma 2, gives

$$\left|\sum_{11}\right| \leq \frac{2C_4}{n^2} \omega_{f'}(2) \leq \frac{8C_4}{n} \omega_{f'}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Replacing x by  $\cos t$  and  $x_k$   $\cos t_k$  and using (2.5) we can write

$$\sum_{12} = \sum_{k=-n}^{n} [f(\cos t) - f(\cos t_k) - (\cos t - \cos t_k)f'(\cos t_k)] u_k(t).$$

Denote by  $t_j$  the nearest node to  $t_j$  i.e. let

(5.7) 
$$|t-t_j| \leq \frac{\pi}{2n+1}$$

Since the functions involved in the expression for  $\sum_{12}$  do not change if we increase or decrease the numbers k in multiples of (2n + 1), we

$$(5.8) \sum_{12} = \sum_{k=i-n}^{j+n} \left[ f(\cos t) - f(\cos t_k) - (\cos t - \cos t_k) f'(\cos t_k) \right] u_k(t).$$

Because of (5.5) and the property of modulus of continuity, we have

$$\left|\sum_{12}\right| \leqslant C_5 \sum_{k=j-n}^{j+n} \left|\cos t - \cos t_k\right| \omega_{f'}(\left|\cos t - \cos t_k\right|) \ u_k(t) \leqslant$$

$$C_{b} = \sum_{k=j-n}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor} n (\cos t + \cos t_{k})^{2} + |\cos t - \cos t_{k}| |u_{k}(t)| \leq C_{b} = \sum_{k=j-n}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor} n (\cos t + \cos t_{k})^{2} + |\cos t - \cos t_{k}| |u_{k}(t)| \leq C_{b} = \sum_{k=j-n}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor} n (\cos t + \cos t_{k})^{2} + |\cos t - \cos t_{k}| |u_{k}(t)| \leq C_{b} = \sum_{k=j-n}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor} n (\cos t + \cos t_{k})^{2} + |\cos t - \cos t_{k}| |u_{k}(t)| \leq C_{b} = \sum_{k=j-n}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor} n (\cos t + \cos t_{k})^{2} + |\cos t - \cos t_{k}| |u_{k}(t)| \leq C_{b} = \sum_{k=j-n}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor} n (\cos t + \cos t_{k})^{2} + |\cos t - \cos t_{k}| |u_{k}(t)| \leq C_{b} = \sum_{k=j-n}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor} n (\cos t + \cos t_{k})^{2} + |\cos t - \cos t_{k}| |u_{k}(t)| \leq C_{b} = \sum_{k=j-n}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor} n (\cos t + \cos t_{k})^{2} + |\cos t - \cos t_{k}| |u_{k}(t)| \leq C_{b} = \sum_{k=j-n}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor} n (\cos t + \cos t_{k})^{2} + |\cos t - \cos t_{k}| |u_{k}(t)| \leq C_{b} = \sum_{k=j-n}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor} n (\cos t + \cos t_{k})^{2} + |\cos t - \cos t_{k}| |u_{k}(t)| \leq C_{b} = \sum_{k=j-n}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor} n (\cos t + \cos t_{k})^{2} + |\cos t - \cos t_{k}| |u_{k}(t)| \leq C_{b} = \sum_{k=j-n}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor} n (\cos t + \cos t_{k})^{2} + |\cos t - \cos t_{k}| |u_{k}(t)| \leq C_{b} = \sum_{k=j-n}^{\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \rfloor} n (\cos t + \cos t_{k})^{2} + |\cos t - \cos t_{k}| |u_{k}(t)| |u_{k}$$

$$\leq C_5 \omega_{l'} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right) \sum_{k=j-n}^{j+n} \left[ 4n \sin^2 \frac{t-t_k}{2} + 2 \sin \frac{|t-t_k|}{2} \right] |u_k(t)|,$$

which on using the estimate in part (a) of lemma 3 (§6), gives

$$\left|\sum_{12}\right| \leqslant \frac{C_6}{n} \omega_{f'}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right).$$

Thus (5.4), (5.6) and (5.9) prove theorem 2 for r = 1.

Proof of theorem 3. On account of (2.4), we have

(5.10) 
$$V_{n0}(f, x) - f(x) = [f(x) - f(-1)] \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{n} v_k(x) - 1 \right] -$$

$$-\sum_{k=0}^{n} [f(x) - f(x_k)] v_k(x) = \sum_{0.01} + \sum_{0.02} (-1)^{n} v_k(x) = \sum_{0.01} + \sum_{0.02} (-1)^{n} v_k(x) = \sum_{0.01} (-1)$$

The sum  $\sum_{01}$  in absolute value is

(5.11) 
$$\left| \sum_{01} \right| \leq \frac{1}{n^2} \omega_f(x+1) \leq 4 \ \omega_f\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right) .$$

Similar to the arguments leading to (5.8) we have

$$\sum_{02} = \sum_{k=j-n}^{j+n} \left[ f(\cos t) - f(\cos t_k) \right] u_k(t).$$

We shall now use the following well-known and easy to verify the relation

$$(5.12) \qquad \omega_{f}(|\cos t - \cos t_{k}|) \leqslant \left(2n \sin \frac{t - t_{k}}{2} + 1\right) \omega_{f}\left(\frac{\sin t}{n_{k}}\right) + \left(2n^{2} \sin^{2} \frac{t - t_{k}}{2} + 1\right) \omega_{f}\left(\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right).$$

10

Hence we have 
$$\left|\sum_{02}\right| \leq \omega_f \left|\sin\frac{t}{n}\right| \left\{\sum_{k=-n}^n \left(2n\sin\frac{t-t_k}{2}+1\right) |u_k(t)|\right\} + \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \left(\frac{n}{n}\right)^n\right) \left(1 + \left(\frac$$

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} (1) = \lim_{t \to \infty} \left( \frac{1}{n^2} + \left\{ \sum_{k=-n}^{n} \left[ 2n^2 \sin^2 \frac{t-t_k}{2} + 1 \right] |u_k(t)| \right\}, \quad (B.5)$$

again using part (a) of lemma 3, we have a bit bit (c.c) to part of

$$\left|\sum_{02}\right| \leqslant C_6 \left[\omega_f \left(\sin\frac{t}{n}\right) + \omega_f \left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right].$$

Thus from (5.10), (5.11) and (5.13), we have the first part of the theorem 3. To prove the second part of the theorem, we have from (2.4) on differentiation

$$(5.14) V'_{n0}(f, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (f(x_k) - f(-1)) v'_k(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} (f(x_k) - f(x)) v'_k(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{n} (f(x) - f(-1)) v'_k(x) = \sum_{01}^{n} + \sum_{02}^{n} (f(x) - f(-1)) v'_k(x) = \sum_{02}$$

Now on account of the estimate in part (b) of the lemma 2, we have

(5.15) 
$$\left|\sum_{02}'\right| \leqslant 3 \ \omega_{f}(x+1) \leqslant 6 \ \omega_{f}(1) \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{n} + \frac{1}{n^{2}}$$

$$\left(\sqrt{1-x^{2}} + \frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)$$

$$\left(\sqrt{1-x^{2}} + \frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)$$

$$\left(\sqrt{1-x^{2}} + \frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)$$
(01.5)

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} [f(x_k) - f(x)] v_k'(x) = \sum_{k=j-n}^{j+n} [f(\cos t_k) - f(\cos t)] \frac{u_k'(t)}{\sin t}.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{01}' \right| &\leq \omega_{f} \left( \frac{\sqrt{1 - x^{2}}}{n} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{\sin t} \sum_{k=j-n}^{j+n} \left( 2n \sin \frac{|t - t_{k}|}{n} + 1 \right) u_{k}'(t) \right] + \\ &+ \omega_{f} \left( \frac{1}{n^{2}} \right) \left[ \frac{1}{\sin t} \sum_{k=j-n}^{j+n} \left( 2n^{2} \sin^{2} \frac{t - t_{k}}{2} + 1 \right) u_{k}'(t) \right] \end{split}$$

and using the estimates in part (c) of lemma 3, we have

$$\left|\sum_{01}'\right| \leqslant C_{7} \frac{n}{\sin t} \omega_{f} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{n} + \frac{1}{n^{2}}\right) \leqslant 2C_{7} \frac{\omega_{f} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{2} + \frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)}{\left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{2} + \frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)} \text{ if } \sqrt{1-x^{2}} > \frac{1}{n}.$$

If  $\sqrt{1-x^2} \le \frac{1}{n}$ , then we make use of part (b) of lemma 3, and we have

$$|\Sigma'_{01}| \leq C_8 n^2 \omega_f \left( \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right) \leq |2C_8| \frac{\omega_f \left( \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right)}{\left( \frac{\sqrt{1-x^2}}{n} + \frac{1}{n^2} \right)}.$$

Thus from (5.15), (5.16) and (5.17), we get the second part of the theo-France, on continuing (6.1), (6.2), (6.3) and (6.5), we get

## 6. An auxillary lemma.

Lemma 3. For  $t_i \in [0, \pi]$ , we have for p = 0, 1, 2

(a) 
$$\sum_{k=j-n}^{j+n} \sin^p \frac{|t-t_k|}{2} |u_k(t)| \le \frac{1201}{n^p}$$

(b) 
$$\sum_{k=j+n}^{j+n} \sin^p \frac{|t-t_k|}{2} |u_k'(t)| \leqslant \frac{1707}{n^{p-1}}$$

(c) 
$$\sum_{k=j-n}^{j+n} \sin^{p} \frac{|t-t_{k}|}{2} \frac{|u'_{k}(t)|}{\sin t} \leqslant \frac{1707}{n^{p-2}}.$$

**Proof.** We have  $\frac{1}{6}$  with (i + n2)

(6.1) 
$$\sum_{k=j-n}^{j+n} \sin^{p} \frac{|t-t_{k}|}{2} |u_{k}(t)| = \sin^{p} \frac{|t-t_{j}|}{2} |u_{j}(t)| + \sum_{\substack{k=j-n\\k\neq j}}^{j+n} \sin^{p} \frac{|t-t_{k}|}{2} |u_{k}(t)|.$$

Now, from (2.3) and (4.3), we have

(6.2) with a (6.3) but not in 
$$|u_k(t)| \le \frac{67}{3} l_k^4(t)$$
 (6.2) to see guidant wo.

 $\sum_{i=1}^{l+n} |\sin^{i}\left[\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)\right)}{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)}{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \right)\right)\right)}{\frac{1}{2} \right)\right)}{\frac{1}{2} \right)} \right) \right) \right) \right) \right]} d\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \left($ 

(6.3) 
$$\sin^{p} \frac{|t - t_{k}|}{2^{n} \cdot 2} |l_{k}^{p}(t)| \leq \frac{1}{(2n + 1)^{p}} \text{ for } k \neq j.$$
Let us define

Let us define

(6.4) to use skin sw if 
$$s_k = (2n + 1) \sin \frac{t - t_k}{2}$$
 (d) evail we define near

13

fo (d) from to sen swant ow next 
$$\frac{1}{n} \ge \frac{4x}{2x} - 1$$
, 11 
$$|t - t_k| \le \frac{2|k-j|-1}{2n+1} \pi$$
 evant

we have

$$(6.5) |s_k| \leqslant 2i - 1, (71.5)$$

where

where 
$$i=[k-j]$$
 ,  $(k=j\pm 1,\ j\pm 2,\dots,j\pm n)$  .

Hence, on combining (6.1), (6.2), (6.3) and (6.5) we get

$$\sum_{k=j-n}^{j+n} \sin^p \frac{|t-t_k|}{2} |u_k(t)| \leq \frac{1}{2} |u_k(t)| \leq \frac{1}$$

$$\leq \frac{67}{3} \frac{\pi^{p}}{(2n+1)^{p}} + \frac{67}{3(2n+1)^{p}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2i-1)^{4-p}} \leq \frac{120}{n^{p}}, \text{ for } p = 0, 11, 2.$$

which proves the part (a). Now differentiating the formula (2.2), we get

$$l'_k(t) = \frac{\cos\frac{2n+1}{2}(t-t_k)}{2\sin\frac{1}{2}(t-t_k)} \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sin\frac{2n+1}{2}(t-t_k)\cos\frac{1}{2}(t-t_k)}{(2n+1)\sin^2\frac{1}{2}(t-t_k)}.$$

Hence, we have, on using 
$$(6.4)$$

(6.9) 
$$|l'_{k}(t)| \leq \frac{2n+1}{2} \frac{1}{|s_{k}|}.$$

Now making use of (2.3) after differentiation and (6.3), we have

$$\sum_{k=j-n}^{j+n} \sin^p \frac{|t-t_k|}{2} |u_k'(t)| \leqslant \frac{160}{3} \frac{\pi^p}{(2n+1)^{p-1}} + \frac{160}{3(2n+1)^{p-1}} \sum_{\substack{k=j-n \ k\neq j}}^{j+n} \frac{1}{|s_k|^{4-p}} \leqslant \text{but}$$

$$\leq \frac{160}{3} \frac{\pi^{p}}{(2n+1)^{p-1}} + \frac{160}{3(2n+1)^{p-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(2i-1)^{4-p}} \leq \frac{1707}{n^{p-1}}, \text{ for } p = 0, 1, 2$$

from which we have (b).

In the similar fashion, we can prove (c) if we make use of (4.1).

## REFERENCES

- [1] Gopengauz, I. E., On a theorem of A. F. Timan on the approximation of functions by polynomials on a finite segment. Mat. Zametki No. 2 (1967), 163-172 (Russian).
- [2] Jackson, D., The theory of approximation. Vol. XI, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloquium Publ, New York, 1930.
- [3] Kis, O, & Vertesi, P., On a new interpolation process. Annals Univ. Sci. Budapest . X(1967), 117-128 (Russian).
- [4] Telyakovskii, S. A., Two theorems on the approximation of functions by algebraic polynomials. Math. Sbornik 70 No. 2, 252-265 (Russian).
- [5] Timan, A. F., A strengthening of Jackson's theorem on the best approximation of continuous functions by polynomials on a finite segment of the real axis. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 78 (1951), 17-20 (Russian); MR 12, 823.
- [6] Trigub, R. M., Approximation of functions by polynomials with integral coefficients. Izv. Nauk Mat. SSSR, 26, 261-280 (1962).
- [7] Tureckii, A. H., On certain extremal problems in the theory of interpolation, A collection of modern problems în constructive theory of functions, Baku Pub., AHACCP (1965), 220-232 (Russian).

Received 23.III.1978

Department of Mathematics & Astronomy, Lucknow University, Lucknow, INDIA