

APPROXIMATION OF COMMON FIXED POINT IN
A GENERALIZED METRIC SPACE

by

IOAN A. RUS

(Cluj-Napoca)

0. Introduction. Let X be a nonempty set. By definition, a generalized metric on X is a mapping $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ such that

- (i) $d(x, y) \geq 0$, $\forall x, y \in X$ and $d(x, y) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x = y$;
- (ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$, $\forall x, y \in X$;
- (iii) $d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y)$, $\forall x, y, z \in X$.

By a generalized metric space we mean an entity (X, d) consisting of a nonempty set X and a generalized metric d on X .

Example 1. $X = \mathbf{R}^n$, $d(x, y) = (|x_1 - y_1|, \dots, |x_n - y_n|)$

Example 2. $X = C([a, b])$, \mathbf{R}^n , $d(f, g) = (\|f_1 - g_1\|_{C[a,b]}, \dots, \|f_n - g_n\|_{C[a,b]})$.

Example 3. $X = C([a, b], \mathbf{R}^2)$, $d(f, g) = (\|f_1 - g_1\|_{C[a,b]}, \|f_2 - g_2\|_{L^2(a,b)})$.

Several fixed point theorems are known (see [1]—[3]), [5] for mappings in generalized metric space.

In this note we prove the following common fixed point theorem

THEOREM 1. Let (X, d) be a complete generalized metric space ($d(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^k$) and $f, g: X \rightarrow X$ two mappings for which there exists $A \in M_{kk}(\mathbf{R}_+)$, $[(I - A)^{-1}A]^n \rightarrow 0$, when $n \rightarrow +\infty$, such that

$$d(f(x), g(y)) \leq A[d(x, f(x)) + d(y, g(y))], \text{ for all } x, y \in X.$$

Then

(a) $F_f = F_g = \{x^*\}$

(b) for each $x_0 \in X$, the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by

$$x_{2n} = (gf)^n(x_0), \quad x_{2n+1} = f(x_{2n})$$

converges to x^* and

$$d(x_n, x^*) \leq (I - A)(I - 2A)^{-1}[(I - A)^{-1}A]^n d(x_0, x_1)$$

(c) for each $x_0 \in X$, $f^n(x_0) \rightarrow x^*$, $g^n(x_0) \rightarrow x^*$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$d(f(x_0), x^*) \leq (I - A)(I - 2A)^{-1}[(I - A)^{-1}A]^n d(x_0, f(x_0)),$$

$$d(g(x_0), x^*) \leq (I - A)(I - 2A)^{-1}[(I - A)^{-1}A]^n d(x_0, g(x_0)).$$

(d) Let $h: X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping which approximates the mapping f , and $s: X \rightarrow X$ approximates the mapping g , and

$$d(f(x), h(x)) \leq \eta, \quad \forall x \in X$$

$$d(g(x), s(x)) \leq \eta, \quad \forall x \in X$$

If $y_n = h^n(x_0)$, then

$$d(y_n, x^*) \leq (I - A)(I - 2A)^{-1}\eta +$$

$$+ 2(n - 1)[(I - A)^{-1}A]^n d(x_0, f(x_0)) +$$

$$+ (I - A)(I - 2A)^{-1}[(I - A)^{-1}A]^n d(x_0, f(x_0))$$

(e) If $f_n, g_n: X \rightarrow X$, are such that $f_n \rightarrow f$, $g_n \rightarrow g$ and $a_n \in F_{f_n}$, $b_n \in F_{g_n}$, then

$$a_n \rightarrow x^*, \quad b_n \rightarrow x^*, \quad \text{when } n \rightarrow \infty$$

1. Proof of theorem 1

(a) + (b). Let x_0 be any element of X . We have

$$d(x_1, x_2) = d(f(x_0), g(x_1)) \leq A[d(x_0, x_1) + d(x_1, x_2)]$$

and

$$d(x_1, x_2) \leq (I - A)^{-1}A d(x_0, x_1).$$

By induction

$$d(x_n, x_{n-1}) \leq [(I - A)^{-1}A]^n d(x_0, x_1).$$

Hence

$$d(x_n, x_{n+p}) \leq (I - A)(I - 2A)^{-1}[(I - A)^{-1}A]^n d(x_0, x_1).$$

which implies that the sequence $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is fundamental. Let x^* be the limit of this sequence. We have

$$x^* \in F_f \cap F_g = F_f = F_g = \{x^*\}$$

and

$$d(x_n, x^*) \leq (I - A)(I - 2A)^{-1}[(I - A)^{-1}A]^n d(x_0, x_1)$$

(c). We have for all $x \in X$:

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(x), f^2(x)) &\leq d(f(x), g(x^*)) + d(f^2(x), g(x^*)) \leq \\ &\leq A[d(x, f(x)) + d(x^*, g(x^*))] + A[d(f(x), f^2(x)) + d(x^*, g(x^*))] = \\ &= A[d(x, f(x)) + Ad(f(x), f^2(x))]. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$d(f(x), f^2(x)) \leq (I - A)^{-1}A d(x, f(x)), \quad \forall x \in X,$$

this implies

$$d(f^n(x_0), f^{n+p}(x_0)) \leq (I - A)(I - 2A)^{-1}[(I - A)^{-1}A]^n d(x_0, f(x_0))$$

and the sequence $(f^n(x_0))_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is fundamental. Let x_f^* be the limit. We have:

$$d(f^n(x_0), x_f^*) \leq (I - A)(I - 2A)^{-1}[(I - A)^{-1}A]^n d(x_0, f(x_0)).$$

On the other hand from

$$d(f^n(x_0), x^*) \leq Ad(f^{n-1}(x_0), f^n(x_0))$$

we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f^n(x_0) = x^* = x_f^*.$$

In a similar way we prove that the sequence $g^n(x_0)$ converges to x^* .
(d). We have:

$$d(y_n, x^*) \leq d(y_n, f^n(x_0)) + d(f^n(x_0), x^*),$$

$$d(y_n, f^n(x_0)) \leq \eta + d(g(y_{n-1}), f^n(x_0)) \leq$$

$$\leq \eta + Ad(y_{n-1}, g(y_{n-1})) + Ad(f^{n-1}(x_0), f^n(x_0))$$

and

$$d(y_n, g(y_n)) \leq (I - A)^{-1}d(y_n, f^n(x_0)) + Ad(f^{n-1}(x_0), f^n(x_0)).$$

From these we have by induction

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, f^n(x_0)) &\leq (I - A)(I - 2A)^{-1}\eta + \\ &+ 2(n - 1)[(I - A)^{-1}A]^n d(x_0, f(x_0)). \end{aligned}$$

(e). We have

$$\begin{aligned} d(a_n, x^*) &= d(f_n(a_n), f(x^*)) \leq d(f_n(a_n), f(y_n)) + d(f(a_n), g(x^*)) \leq \\ &\leq d(f_n(a_n), f(a_n)) + A[d(a_n, f(a_n)) + d(x^*, g(x^*))] \leq \\ &\leq (I + A) d(f_n(a_n), f(a_n)) \end{aligned}$$

and $d(a_n, x^*) \rightarrow 0$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$.

In the similar way we prove that

$$b_n \rightarrow x^*, \text{ when } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

2. The case when $d(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}$. In the case when $d(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}$ from theorem 1 we have:

THEOREM 2. (X, d) be a complete metric space and $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ two mapping and $\alpha \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$. If

$$d(f(x), g(y)) \leq \alpha[d(x, f(x)) + d(y, g(y))], \forall x, y \in X,$$

then

(i) (Kannan) : $F_f = F_g = \{x^*\}$

(ii) (Kannan) : For each $x_0 \in X$, the sequence defined by

$$x_{2n} = (gf)^n(x_0), x_{2n+1} = f(x_{2n})$$

converges to x^* and

$$d(x_n, x^*) \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{1-2\alpha} \left[\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right]^n d(x_0, x_1)$$

(iii) (new result) : For each $x_0 \in X$, $f^n(x_0) \rightarrow x^*$, $g^n(x_0) \rightarrow x^*$ and

$$d(f^n(x_0), x^*) \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{1-2\alpha} \left[\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right]^n d(x_0, f(x_0))$$

$$d(g^n(x_0), x^*) \leq \frac{1-\alpha}{1-2\alpha} \left[\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right]^n d(x_0, g(x_0))$$

(iv) (see [4] and [6]). Let $h, s : X \rightarrow X$ be such that

$$d(f(x), h(x)) \leq \eta, \forall x \in X$$

$$d(g(x), s(x)) \leq \eta, \forall x \in X$$

If $y_n = h^n(x_0)$, $f_n = s^n(x_0)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_n, x^*) &\leq \frac{1-\alpha}{1-2\alpha} \eta + 2(n-1) \left[\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right]^n d(x_0, f(x_0)) + \\ &+ \frac{1-\alpha}{1-2\alpha} \left[\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right]^n d(x_0, f(x_0)) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(z_n, x^*) &\leq \frac{1-\alpha}{1-2\alpha} \eta + 2(n-1) \left[\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right]^n d(x_0, g(x_0)) + \\ &+ \frac{1-\alpha}{1-2\alpha} \left[\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha} \right]^n d(x_0, g(x_0)) \end{aligned}$$

(v) (see [5]). If $f_n, g_n : X \rightarrow X$, are such that

$$f_n \rightrightarrows f, g_n \rightrightarrows g \text{ and } a_n \in F_{f_n}, b_n \in F_{g_n}, \text{ then}$$

$$a_n \rightarrow x^*, b_n \rightarrow x^*, \text{ when } n \rightarrow \infty$$

3. Open problems. Is theorem 1 true for the mappings which satisfy one of the conditions

(1) $(d(x, y) \in \mathbf{R})$. There exist $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbf{R}_+$, $\alpha + 2\beta < 1$, such that

$$d(f(x), g(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta [d(x, f(x)) + d(y, g(y))]$$

(2) $(d(x, y) \in \mathbf{R})$. There exist $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbf{R}_+$, $\alpha + 2\beta + 2\gamma < 1$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(x), g(y)) &\leq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta [d(x, f(x)) + d(y, g(y))] + \\ &+ \gamma [d(x, g(y)) + d(y, f(x))] \end{aligned}$$

(3) $(d(x, y) \in \mathbf{R}^k)$. There exist $A, B, C \in M_{kk}(\mathbf{R}_+)$, $[(I - B - C)^{-1}(A + B + C)]^n \rightarrow 0$, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} d(f(x), g(y)) &\leq A d(x, y) + B [d(x, f(x)) + d(y, g(y))] + \\ &+ C [d(x, g(y)) + d(y, f(x))]. \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] Bernfeld, S. R., Lakshmikantham, V., *An introduction to nonlinear boundary value problems*. Academic Press, New York, 1974.
- [2] Perov, A. I., Kibenko, A., *On a certain general method for investigation of boundary value problems* Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, **30**, 249-264 (1966)
- [3] Rus, I. A., *Teoria punctului fix în analiza funcțională*. Litografia Univ. Babeș-Bolyai, Cluj-Napoca, 1973.
- [4] — *Results and problems in the metrical fixed point theory*. The first Romanian American seminar on operator theory and applications. Iași, 20-24 III, 1978.
- [5] — *Results and problems in the metrical common fixed point theory*.
- [6] — *Approximation of fixed points of generalized contraction mappings*. Topics in numerical analysis (J.A. Miller ed), II, Academic Press, New-York, 1975, 157-161.

Received 10.X.1978

Facultatea de Matematică
a Universității Babeș-Bolyai
Str. Kogălniceanu 1.
3400 Cluj-Napoca