

*1 bue. (unicat!)*

ACADÉMIE DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE SOCIALISTE DE ROUMANIE  
FILIALE DE CLUJ-NAPOCA

---

MATHEMATICA – REVUE D'ANALYSE  
NUMÉRIQUE ET DE THÉORIE  
DE L'APPROXIMATION

L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE  
ET  
LA THÉORIE DE  
L'APPROXIMATION

TOME 9

N° 2

1980

CLUJ-NAPOCA  
ÉDITIONS DE L'ACADÉMIE DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE SOCIALISTE DE ROUMANIE

L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE  
ET  
LA THÉORIE DE  
L'APPROXIMATION

Tome 9, N° 2

1980

COMITÉ DE RÉDACTION

Oleg Aramă (secrétaire de rédaction), Gheorghe Coman, Sever Groze, Adolf Haimovici, Acad. Caius Iacob (rédacteur en chef), Dumitru V. Ionescu, Carol Kalik, Árpád Pál, Elena Popoviciu (rédacteur en chef adjoint), Ioan A. Rus, Dimitrie Stancu, Acad. Nicolae Teodorescu.

SOMMAIRE

Gabriela Cristescu, Cones in a Convexity Space: Ordered Convexity Spaces . . . . .	157
Dorel I. Duca, Necessary Optimality Criteria in Nonlinear Programming in Complex Space with Differentiability	163
G. Godini, Distances for Vector-Valued Norms . . . . .	181
Gh. D. Ionescu, Poliana Boșilcă, Daria Dumitraș, La représentation nomographique d'une classe de systèmes d'équations par des nomogrammes à transparent orienté avec des familles des lignes cotées . . . . .	189
Liana Lupșa, On the Hierarchy of the Efficient Points in Linear Multiple Objective Programs with Zero-One Variables . . . . .	195
I. Marușciac, On a Geometric Programming Problem with Linear Constraints . . . . .	209
Maria Mihoc, La construction automatique des nomogrammes aux droites cotées pour les équations à trois variables . . . . .	221
R. N. Mohapatra and G. Das, On Some Sequence to Function Transformations . . . . .	233
Anton S. Mureșan, On the Uniqueness of the Solution of Dirichlet's Problem relative to a Strong Elliptic System of Second Order Partial Differential Equations	245
Florian-Alexandru Potra, A Characterisation of the Divided Differences of an Operator which can be represented by Riemann Integrals . . . . .	251
Radu Precup, Sur l'axiomatique des espaces à convexité	255
I. Rașa, Sur les fonctionnelles de la forme simple au sens de T. Popoviciu . . . . .	261
Leon Țâmbulea, Data Organization according to the Property of Consecutive Retrieval . . . . .	269

Ștefan Țigan, On The Max-Min Nonlinear Fractional Problem . . . . .	283
José Vitória, Upper Bounds for the Latent Roots of Lambda-Matrices . . . . .	289
Wolfgang Warth, On Approximation of Continuous Functions in the Metric $\int_0^1  x(t) dt $ . . . . .	293

ANALIZĂ NUMERICĂ  
ET  
LA THÉORIE DE  
L'APPROXIMATION

TOME 9, N° 2

283	Ștefan Țigan, On The Max-Min Nonlinear Fractional Problem . . . . .
289	José Vitória, Upper Bounds for the Latent Roots of Lambda-Matrices . . . . .
293	Wolfgang Warth, On Approximation of Continuous Functions in the Metric $\int_0^1  x(t) dt $ . . . . .

**L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION**  
Tome 9, N° 2, 1980, pp. 157—162

**CONES IN A CONVEXITY SPACE;  
ORDERED CONVEXITY SPACES**

by  
**GABRIELA CRISTESCU**  
(Cluj-Napoca)

1. In this paper we define the notion of cone in a convexity space (in the sense of v. w. BRYANT and R. J. WEBSTER [2], [3], [4], [5]) and we study the properties of some types of cones. Also, we define the concept of ordered convexity space and, using the cones theory in a convexity space, we give an example of ordered convexity space in the sense of the definition we'll give. This order relation is similar to the order relation induced by a convex cone in a Banach space.

**2. Preliminaries**

We denote, as in [2], by  $A, B, \dots$  sets, by  $a, b, \dots$  both the elements of a set and the singleton sets. Thus we use, as in [2], the sign  $\subset$  instead of  $\in$  except when a set is a member of a family of sets. The notation  $A \approx B$  means  $A \cap B \neq \emptyset$  and  $(a, b, c, \dots)$  stands for the set formed by the elements  $a, b, c, \dots$ .

Let  $X$  be a nonempty set. We endow  $X$  with an operation  $\cdot : X \times X \rightarrow 2^X$ , called the product or join of  $a$  and  $b$  when  $a, b \subset X$ , and the inverse operation  $/ : X \times X \rightarrow 2^X$ , defined by  $a/b = (x \subset X : a \subset bx)$ , for all  $a, b \subset X$ . The couple  $(X, \cdot)$  is called a convexity space if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (i)  $ab \neq \emptyset, a/b \neq \emptyset$ ;
- (ii)  $a(bc) = (ab)c$ ;
- (iii)  $a/b \approx c/d \Rightarrow ad \approx bc$ ;
- (iv)  $aa = a = a/a$ ;
- (v)  $ab \approx ac \Rightarrow b = c$  or  $b \approx ac$  or  $c \approx ab$ ,

for any  $a, b, c, d \subset X$ . Here  $ab$  denotes  $a \cdot b$ .

For  $a, b \subset X$ ,  $ab$  means the open line segment having its ends in  $a$  and in  $b$ , and  $a/b$  the half-line having the origin in  $a$  and not containing  $b$ .

The product and its inverse are extended to subsets of  $X$  by defining

$$AB = \bigcup_{\substack{a \subset A \\ b \subset B}} ab, \quad A/B = \bigcup_{\substack{a \subset A \\ b \subset B}} a/b$$

A set  $A \subset X$  is said to be convex if  $AA \subset A$  and linear if  $A/A \subset A$ . If  $A \subset X$  we denote by  $[A]$  the convex hull of  $A$ , i.e. the intersection of all convex sets which contain  $A$  and by  $\{A\}$  the linear hull of  $A$ , i.e. the intersection of all linear sets which contain  $A$ . For example,  $[a, b] = ab \cup a \cup b$ ,  $\{a, b\} = a/b \cup a \cup ab \cup b \cup b/a$ .  $[a, b]$  is the closed line segment joining  $a$  and  $b$  and  $\{a, b\}$  is the line which contains  $a$  and  $b$ .

The concepts of independent set, basis, dimension for a convexity space are defined in the natural way, as in linear spaces (see [4]).

A convexity space  $(X, \cdot)$  is said to be complete if whenever  $A \subset X$  is convex,  $a \subset A$  and  $b \not\subset A$ , there exists  $c \subset [a, b]$  such that  $ac \subset A$  and  $bc \subset X \setminus A$ . A set  $H \subset X$ ,  $H \neq \emptyset$ ,  $H \neq X$ , is a hyperplane in  $(X, \cdot)$  if  $H$  is linear and  $\{H \cup x\} = X$  for any  $x \subset X \setminus H$ . The unordered pair  $(C, D)$ ,  $C \subset X$ ,  $D \subset X$ ,  $C \neq \emptyset$ ,  $D \neq \emptyset$  is said to be a convex pair in  $X$  if  $C, D$  are convex sets,  $C \cap D = \emptyset$  and  $C \cup D = X$ . The reader may find in [3] many properties concerning the relation between hyperplanes and convex pairs.

A topological convexity space  $(X, \cdot, \tau)$  is a convexity space  $(X, \cdot)$  with a topology  $\tau$  satisfying:

- (i)  $a \subset ab$  for all  $a, b \subset X$ ;
- (ii) if  $ab \approx U \in \tau$  then there exist  $V, W \in \tau$  with  $a \subset V$ ,  $b \subset W$  and such that  $a'b' \approx U$  whenever  $a' \subset V$ ,  $b' \subset W$ ;
- (iii) if  $a/b \approx U \in \tau$  then there exist  $V, W \in \tau$  with  $a \subset V$ ,  $b \subset W$  and such that  $a'/b' \approx U$  whenever  $a' \subset V$ ,  $b' \subset W$ .

### 3. Cones in a convexity space

Let  $(X, \cdot)$  be a convexity space.

**Definition 1.** Let  $\theta$  be a point of  $X$  and  $S \subset X$ . We call cone generated by  $S$  and having the vertex in  $\theta$  the set

$$\mathcal{C}_\theta S = \{x \subset X : \exists y \subset S, x \subset y \cup \theta y \cup y/\theta \cup \theta\}$$

In some places it is not necessary to put in evidence the set  $S$  which generates the cone. Thus we'll call cone a set  $K$  which was obtained as in definition 1, i.e.  $K$  is a cone if there exist a point  $\theta \subset X$  and a set  $S \subset X$  such that  $K = \mathcal{C}_\theta S$ . It is obvious that a cone  $K$  can be obtained using an infinity of sets  $S$ .

If  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\theta = 0$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_\theta S$  is convex, closed and doesn't contain linear sets except the singleton sets then  $\mathcal{C}_\theta S$  is a cone in sense of [9]. If  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\theta = 0$ , and  $\mathcal{C}_\theta S$  is convex then  $\mathcal{C}_\theta S$  is a wedge in sense of [7].

**Definition 2.** A cone  $K \subset X$  is said to be a generating cone if for every  $x \subset X$  there exist  $u, v \subset K$  such that  $\{0, x\} \approx \{u, v\}$ , where  $\theta$  is the vertex of the cone  $K$ .

In linear spaces this definition is equivalent to the definition of a generating cone given using the group structure of the space: if  $X$  is a Banach space then the convex cone  $K \subset X$  (in sense of [9]) is a generating cone if for every  $x \subset X$  there exist  $u, v \subset K$  such that  $x = u - v$ . In order to prove this equivalence we suppose first that for every  $x \subset X$  there exist  $u, v \subset K$  such that  $x = u - v$ . Then, using the properties of vector operations in  $X$  we get that the line which contains the points  $u$  and  $v$  is parallel to the line which contains the origin of the space and the point  $x$ . Conversely, if  $x \subset X$  and there exist  $u, v \subset K$  such that  $\{0, x\} \approx \{u, v\}$  then let  $z \subset K$  such that  $z/x \subset K$ . Let  $t \subset z/x$  and  $y \subset K$  such that  $\{t, y\} \approx \{0, x\}$  (this  $y$  exists because of the convexity of  $K$ ). But on the line  $\{t, y\}$  there exist a point  $s$  such that  $\{0, s\} \approx \{x, z\}$ . The points  $s$  and  $t$  satisfy the property that  $x = t - s$ .

**Definition 3.** The cone  $K \subset X$  is a convex cone if  $KK = K$ . The theorems which follow are transpositions for some classical results from the vectorial space case. Here is now a theorem of the Carathéodory type:

**THEOREM 1.** If  $(X, \cdot)$  is a convexity space,  $\dim X = n$ ,  $\theta \subset X$  and  $S \subset X$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_\theta S$  is a convex cone and  $\dim \mathcal{C}_\theta S = d$ , then for every  $x \subset \mathcal{C}_\theta S$  there exist independent points  $y_i \subset S$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ,  $p \leq d$ , such that  $x \subset K$ , where  $K$  is the intersection of all convex cones having the vertex in  $\theta$  and including the points  $y_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ .

*Proof.*  $x \subset \mathcal{C}_\theta S$  means that there exist  $y \subset S$  such that  $x \subset \theta y \cup y \cup y/\theta$ . Applying for  $y$  the theorem 20 from [4] we get that there exist independent points,  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_p \subset S$ ,  $p \leq d$ , such that  $y \subset [0, y_1, \dots, y_p]$ . If we put  $K = \mathcal{C}_\theta [y_1, \dots, y_p]$  then we get the cone required by theorem 1.

**THEOREM 2.** If  $(X, \cdot)$  is a convexity space,  $\tau$  a Hausdorff topology on  $X$  and  $K \subset X$  a cone containing interior points (a full cone) then  $K$  is a generating cone.

*Proof.* Let  $x \subset X$  and  $u \subset K$ . This means that there exist a neighborhood  $V$  of the point  $u$ ,  $V \subset K$ ,  $V \neq K$ . Let  $z \subset X$  such that  $\{z, u\} \approx \{0, x\}$ . Then there exist  $v \subset \{z, u\} \cap V$ .  $u$  and  $v$  are the points we looked for.

### 4. Ordered convexity spaces

**Definition 4.** An ordered convexity space  $(X, \cdot, \leq)$  is a convexity space  $(X, \cdot)$  with an order relation,  $\leq$ , satisfying:

- (io) if  $a \leq b$  then for every  $x \subset ab$ ,  $a \leq x \leq b$ ;
- (iio) if  $a \leq b$  then for every  $x \subset a/b$  and  $y \subset b/a$ ,  $x \leq a \leq b \leq y$ ;
- (iiio) if  $a$  and  $b$  are not comparable then any  $x, y \subset \{a, b\}$  are also not comparable.

It's easy to verify that  $(\mathbf{R}^n, \cdot, \leq)$ , where  $\cdot$  is defined by  $a \cdot b = (x \in \mathbf{R}^n: \exists \lambda, 0 < \lambda < 1, x = \lambda a + (1 - \lambda)b)$  and  $\leq$  is the order relation induced by the cone of the nonnegative elements from  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , is an ordered convexity space.

We remark that the axioms (io) and (iio) show that a linear set  $\{a, b\}$ , where  $a \leq b$ , in an ordered convexity space is isomorphic to a line containing two points which are comparable by the order relation induced by the cone of nonnegative elements from  $\mathbf{R}^n$  or to a part of such a line.

**THEOREM 3.** *If  $(X, \cdot)$  is a convexity space and  $K \subset X$  is a convex cone which doesn't contain linear sets (except the singleton sets) then the binary relation  $\leq_K$  defined on  $X$  by:*

1°  $x \leq_K x$  for any  $x \in X$ ;

2°  $x, y \in X, x \leq_K y$  if there exist  $z \subset y/x$  such that  $z/y \subset K$ , is a partial order on  $X$ , and  $(X, \cdot, \leq_K)$  is an ordered convexity space.

*Proof.* 1° implies that  $\leq_K$  is reflexive.

*Antisymmetry.* Let  $x, y \in K$  with  $x \leq_K y, y \leq_K x$  and  $x \neq y$ . Then  $y/x \subset K$  and  $x/y \subset K$ . From the convexity of  $K$  it follows that  $xy \subset K$ , than we have  $\{x, y\} = x \cup y \cup xy \cup x/y \cup y/x \subset K$  which is a contradiction with the hypothesis that  $K$  doesn't contain lines.

If  $x, y \in X \setminus K$  with  $x \leq_K y, y \leq_K x$  and  $x \neq y$  than there exist  $z_1 \subset y/x$  and  $z_2 \subset x/y$  such that  $z_1/y \subset K$  and  $z_2/x \subset K$ . But

$$z_1/y = z_1/x \subset y/x \subset \{x, y\}, \quad z_2/x = z_2/y \subset x/y \subset \{x, y\}.$$

Let  $u \subset z_1/y$  and  $v \subset z_2/x$ . Then  $uv \subset K$  because of the convexity of  $K$ . Since  $z_2 \subset vx, z_1 \subset uy, y \subset z_1x$  and  $x \subset z_2y$  we have  $y \subset uxy$  and  $x \subset vxy$  then  $xy \subset uvxy$ . This can take place only if  $xy \subset uv$ . But  $xy \subset X \setminus K$  and  $uv \subset K$ , which is a contradiction with the convexity of  $K$ . The case  $x \in X, y \in K$  is similar.

*Transitivity.* Let  $x, y, z \in K, x \leq_K y, y \leq_K z$ . Then we have  $y/x \subset K, z/y \subset K$ . Than  $(y/x)(z/y) \subset K$ . If  $y \in \{z, x\}$  than  $x \leq_K z$ . If  $y \notin \{z, x\}$  let  $u \subset z/y$  and  $v \subset y/x$ . Hence  $z \subset uy$  and  $y \subset vx$  and we have  $zy \cup uvxy = uvx$ . This means that  $z \subset uvx$  and than there exist  $w \subset z/x \cap uv$ . But  $w \subset (y/x)(z/y) \subset K$ , than  $w \subset K$ . Because  $u$  and  $v$  was arbitrarily choosed on  $z/y$  respectively on  $y/x$ , by a convenient choice of these points we find that every  $w \subset z/x, w \subset K$ , and than  $z/x \subset K$ , hence  $x \leq_K z$ . If  $x, y, z \in X \setminus K, x \leq_K y, y \leq_K z$  than there exist  $a \subset y/x$  and  $b \subset z/y$  such that  $a/y \subset K$  and  $b/z \subset K$ . A similar argument for  $a$  and  $b$  proves that  $z/x \subset (y/x)(z/y)$  and hence there exist  $c \subset z/x$  such that  $c/z \subset K$ , than we have also  $x \leq_K z$ .

We use analogous arguments for the cases  $x, y \in X \setminus K, z \in K$  and  $x \in X \setminus K, y, z \in K$ . The axioms (io), (iio), (iiiio) are now obvious.

*Remark.* If  $X$  is a Banach space and  $K$  a convex cone in  $X$  the order relation defined in theorem 3 is equivalent to: if  $x, y \in K$  or  $x \in X \setminus K, y \in K, x \leq_K y$  if  $y - x \in K$ , and if  $x, y \in X \setminus K, x \leq_K y$  if  $y - x \in K^\circ$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *If  $(X, \cdot, \tau)$  is a complete topological convexity space locally convex and Hausdorff,  $K \subset X$  a convex full cone which doesn't contain linear sets (except the singleton sets) and  $x, y \in X$  with  $x \leq_K y$  than there exist two neighborhoods  $U \in \mathcal{O}(x)$  and  $V \in \mathcal{O}(y)$  such that if  $a \subset U$  and  $b \subset V$  than either  $a \leq_K b$  or  $a$  and  $b$  are not comparable by  $\leq_K$ .*

*Proof.* Let us suppose that  $x, y \in K$ . Then let  $K' \subset K$  a convex full cone such that  $y \in K', x \notin \hat{K}'$  (see [2]) and  $x \leq_K y$ . Since  $\tau$  is Hausdorff and  $X$  is locally convex there exist a convex and open neighborhood  $U \in \mathcal{O}(x)$  such that  $U \cap K' = \emptyset$ . Than, the theorem of Eidelheit type (see [1]) shows us that there exist a hyperplane  $H$  which separates the sets  $U$  and  $K'$ . Let  $V \in \mathcal{O}(y) \cap \tau, a \subset U$  and  $b \subset V$ . Let  $H = \hat{C} \cap \hat{D}$  where  $\hat{C}$  and  $\hat{D}$  are the closed half-spaces associated with  $H$  (see [3]) and let us suppose that  $K' \subset \hat{C}$ . Than  $b/a \subset \hat{C}$  and we have either  $b/a \subset K' \subset K, b/a \subset K$  or there exist a  $z \subset b/a$  such that  $z/a \subset \hat{C} \setminus K$ . Hence we have either  $a \leq_K b$  or  $a$  and  $b$  are not comparable by  $\leq_K$ .

If  $x \in X \setminus K, y \in K$  we take  $K' = K$  and we use the same reasoning.

If  $x \in X \setminus K, y \in X \setminus K$  we take instead of  $K'$  the set  $K'' = [y \cup K]$  and we use the same separating theorem and the same argument. The lemma is now completely proved.

**THEOREM 4.** *If  $(X, \cdot, \tau)$  is a complete topological convexity space, locally convex, Hausdorff,  $K \subset X$  a convex cone which doesn't contain linear sets (except the singleton sets),  $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subset X, (y_n)_{n=1}^\infty \subset X$  with  $x_n \leq_K y_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$  and if  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) than either  $x \leq_K y$  or  $x$  and  $y$  are not comparable by  $\leq_K$ .*

*Proof.* Let us suppose that the assertion  $x \leq_K y$  is false. Than either  $y \leq_K x$  or  $x$  and  $y$  are not comparable by the order relation induced by  $K$ . Since the topology  $\tau$  is Hausdorff and  $X$  locally convex than there exist  $U \in \mathcal{O}(x), V \in \mathcal{O}(y)$  convex and open such that  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Than, from [1] it follows that the sets  $U$  and  $V$  can be separated by a hyperplane  $H$ . Let  $a \subset xy \cap H$ . By the hypothesis it follows that there exist the convex and open neighborhoods  $U' \in \mathcal{O}(x), V' \in \mathcal{O}(y), A \in \mathcal{O}(a)$  such that Lemma 1 and (iit) take place. This means that for any  $u \subset U'$  and  $v \subset V'$  we have either  $v \leq_K u$  or  $v$  and  $u$  are not comparable by  $\leq_K$ . But since  $x_n \rightarrow x$  and  $y_n \rightarrow y$  when  $n \rightarrow \infty$  it follows that there exist a  $n_0 \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $x_n \subset U'$  and  $y_n \subset V'$  for  $n \geq n_0$ . Hence for  $n \geq n_0$  we have either  $y_n \leq_K x_n$  or  $y_n$  and  $x_n$  are not comparable by  $\leq_K$ , which is a contradiction with the hypothesis  $x_n \leq_K y_n$  for every  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Bair J., *Separation of Two Convex Sets in Convexity Spaces and in Straight Line Spaces.* Journal of Math. Anal. and Appl., **49**, 3, 696-704 (1975).
- [2] Bryant V. W., Webster R. J., *Convexity Spaces I, The Basic Properties.* Journal of Math. Anal. and Appl., **37**, 1, 206-213 (1972).

- [3] Bryant V. W., Webster R. J., *Convexity Spaces II, Separation*. J. Math. Anal. and Appl., **43**, 2, 321–327 (1973).
- [4] Bryant V. W., Webster R. J., *Convexity Spaces III, Dimension*. J. Math. Anal. and Appl., **57**, 2, 382–392 (1977).
- [5] Bryant V. W., *Topological convexity spaces*. Proc. of the Edinburgh Math. Soc., **19** (II), 2, 125–132 (1974).
- [6] Cristescu G., *Conuri în spații de convexitate*. Seminarul itinerant de ec. funcț., aprox. și conv., Cluj-Napoca 16–17 mai 1980.
- [7] Cristescu R., *Topological vector spaces*. Ed. Academiei, București, Noordhoff International Publishing, Leyden, 1977.
- [8] Ghika A., *Separarea mulțimilor convexe în spații liniare non-vectoriale*. Acad. R. P. Române, Bul. Ști. Sect. Ști. Mat. Fiz., **7**, 287–296 (1955).
- [9] Popoviciu E., *Teoreme de medie din analiza matematică și legătura lor cu teoria interpolării*. Ed. Dacia, Cluj, 1972.
- [10] Prenowitz W., *A contemporary approach to classical geometry*. Amer. Math. Monthly, **68**, 1–67 (1961).
- [11] Stöer, J., Witzgall Ch., *Convexity optimization in finite dimensions I*. Berlin – Heidelberg – New York, Springer-Verlag, 1970. —

Received, 30. VII. 1980

NECESSARY OPTIMALITY CRITERIA IN NONLINEAR  
PROGRAMMING IN COMPLEX SPACE WITH  
DIFFERENTIABILITY

by

DOREL I. DUCA

(Cluj-Napoca)

In this paper we consider the problem

$$(P) \text{ Minimize } \operatorname{Re} f(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) \text{ subject to } \mathbf{z} \in X, \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{z}, \bar{\mathbf{z}}) \in S,$$

where  $X$  is a nonempty open set in  $\mathbf{C}^n$ ,  $S$  is a polyhedral cone in  $\mathbf{C}^m$ ,  $f: X \times \bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$  and  $\mathbf{g}: X \times \bar{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}^m$ .

The paper is divided into four sections. In Section 1 notation is introduced and some preliminary results are given. In Section 2 we establish a necessary condition of the Fritz John type for Problem (P). In Section 3 seven kinds of complex constraint qualification (CCQ) are given and relations between them are established. In Section 4 we prove a Kuhn-Tucker type necessary condition for Problem (P).

### 1. Notation and Preliminary Results

Let  $\mathbf{C}^n$  ( $\mathbf{R}^n$ ) denote the  $n$ -dimensional complex (real) vector space with Hermitian (Euclidean) norm  $\|\cdot\|$ ,  $\mathbf{R}_+^n = \{\mathbf{x}/\mathbf{x} = (x_j) \in \mathbf{R}^n, x_j \geq 0, j = 1, \dots, n\}$  the non-negative orthant of  $\mathbf{R}^n$ , and  $\mathbf{C}^{m \times n}$  the set of  $m \times n$  complex matrices.

If  $\mathbf{A}$  is a matrix or vector, then  $\mathbf{A}^T$ ,  $\bar{\mathbf{A}}$ ,  $\mathbf{A}^H$  denote its transpose, complex conjugate and conjugate transpose respectively. For  $\mathbf{z} = (z_j)$ ,  $\mathbf{w} = (w_j) \in \mathbf{C}^n$ ;  $\langle \mathbf{z}, \mathbf{w} \rangle = \mathbf{w}^H \mathbf{z}$  denotes the inner product of  $\mathbf{z}$  and  $\mathbf{w}$  and  $\operatorname{Re} \mathbf{z} = (\operatorname{Re} z_j) \in \mathbf{R}^n$  denotes the real part of  $\mathbf{z}$ .