MATHEMATICA - REVUE D'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET DE THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION

L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION Tome 9, No 2, 1980, pp. 251-253

THE A LITERAGE LIES BEEN CONTINUED AND RESIDENCE IN THE LINE

the related difference when so virus that the divided difference of y same-

Salari of the shall are that on animon he is a symmet terminal A CHARACTERISATION OF THE DIVIDED DIFFERENCES OF AN OPERATOR WHICH CAN BE REPRESENTED BY RIEMANN INTEGRALS

pseud thair A fell rechestalithan and half you D. quitt the Periodect short at least of the form PLORIAN-ALEXANDRU POTRA

(București) Percently if the opening I as Procedy Hildurgerially on Date if condition

The notion of divided difference of an operator was introduced in 1956 by J. SCHRÖDER [8] and was used, five years later, by A. SERGEEV [10] to the extension of the secant method for the solution of nonlinear operator equations in Banach spaces.

DEFINITION 1. Let f be an operator having the domain of definition included into a Banach space & and taking values into a Banach space F. Let x and y be two distinct points from the domain of definition of f. We shall call a divided difference of f on the points x and y a bounded linear operator $[x, y, f] : \mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{F}$ which satisfies the condition

(1)
$$[x, y; f](x - y) = f(x) - f(y).$$

In the above mentioned paper Sergeev supposed that the divided difference of f is symmetric (i.e. [x, y; f] = [y, x; f]) and that the divided difference of second order is bounded in norm.

In [11] and [12] U. ULM assumed that the divided difference of f satisfies only a Lipschitz condition of the form

(2)
$$||[x, y; f] - [y, x; f]|| \le H ||x - z||$$

This assumption is weaker than the hypotheses of Sergeev but it implies however the symmetry of the divided difference (to see that take z = xin (2)).

L. W. JOHNSON and D. R. SCHOLZ [3] considered that the divided difference of f satisfies the following Lipschitz condition

(3)
$$||[x, y; f] - [u, v; f]|| \le H(||x - u|| + ||y - v||)$$

The above condition does not imply anymore the symmetry of the divided difference. In case the divided difference of f is symmetric then conditions (2) and (3) are equivalent. Condition (3) was also used in [4], [5] and [6]. A different Lipschitz condition was considered by J. W. SCHMIDT [7].

In what follows, when saying that the divided difference of f satisfies a Lipschitz condition we shall always mean condition (3). To be more precise let us state the following definition

DEFINITION 2. Let $\mathcal E$ and $\mathcal F$ be two Banach spaces and let D be a convex subset of $\mathcal E$. We shall say that an operator $f:D\to \mathcal F$ has a Lipschitz divided difference on D if to each pair of distinct points $(x,y)\in D^2$ corresponds a bounded linear operator $[x,y;f]:\mathcal E\to \mathcal F$ which satisfes (1) and if inequality (3) holds for all $x,y,u,v\in D$, $x\neq y,u\neq v$.

It is easy to see that if the operator f has a Lipschitz divided difference on D then f is Fréchet differentiable on D and the Fréchet derivative of f satisfies a Lipschitz condition of the form

(4)
$$||f'(x) - f'(y)|| \le 2H ||x - y||$$

Reversely if the operator f is Fréchet differentiable on D an if condition (4) is satisfied for all x, $y \in D$ then f has a Lipschitz divided difference on D. Indeed one can take

(5) Let
$$[x, y; f] = \int_0^1 f'(x + t(y - x))dt$$
, in notation of $[x, y; f] = \int_0^1 f'(x + t(y - x))dt$, in notation of $[x, y; f] = \int_0^1 f'(x + t(y - x))dt$, in a notation of $[x, y; f] = \int_0^1 f'(x + t(y - x))dt$, in the second of $[x, y; f] = \int_0^1 f'(x + t(y - x))dt$, in the second of $[x, y; f] = \int_0^1 f'(x + t(y - x))dt$, in the second of $[x, y; f] = \int_0^1 f'(x + t(y - x))dt$, in the second of $[x, y; f] = \int_0^1 f'(x + t(y - x))dt$.

the above integral being in the sens of Riemann. The divided difference (5) was first considered by A. BELOSTOTZKII [9].

It is known that the divided difference of f is not unique (except when \mathcal{E} is one-dimensional), so that it is important to characterize the divided differences of f of the form (5). In this respect we have obtained the following result:

THEOREM Let [.,.;f] be a Lipschitz divided difference of f on D. The following two assertions are equivalent:

(i) equality (5) holds for every pair of distinct points $(x, y) \in D^2$;

(ii) for all $u, v \in D$ with $u \neq v$ and $2v - u \in D$ it is satisfied the relation

$$[u, v; f] = 2[u, 2v - u; f] - [v, 2v - u; f]$$

Proof. By substituting w=v-u, relation (6) may be written under the form

(6')
$$[u, u + w; f] + [u + w, u + 2w; f] = 2 [u, u + 2w; f]$$

A CHARACTERISATION OF THE DIVIDED DIFFERENCES

Then the implication (i) \Rightarrow (ii) follows immediately observing that

$$\int_{0}^{1} f'(u+tw)dt + \int_{0}^{1} f'(u+w+tw)dt = .$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n} f'\left(u+\frac{k}{n}w\right) + \sum_{k=1}^{n} f'\left(u+w+\frac{k}{n}w\right) = .$$

$$= 2\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} f'\left(u+\frac{k}{2n}2w\right) = 2\int_{0}^{1} f'(u+2tw)dt$$

In order to prove the implication (ii) \Rightarrow (i) let us first observe that from (6') it follows that for every natural number n holds the relation

$$2^{n}[u, u + 2^{n}w; f] = \sum_{k=1}^{2^{n}} [u + (k-1)w, u + kw; f]$$

Taking u = x and $w = 2^{-n}(y - x)$ and using (3) we get the following inequality

$$\left\| [x, y; f] - 2^{-n} \sum_{k=1}^{2^n} f'(x + k2^{-n}(y - x)) \right\| \le 2^{-n+1} \|y - x\|$$

where from letting n to tend to infinity we infer the equality (5).

REFERENCES

[1] Balázs, M. and Goldner, G., On existence of divided differences in linear spaces
Revue d'analyse numérique et de la théorie de l'approximation, 2, 5-9, (1973)

[2] Balázs, M. și Goldner, G., Observații asupra diferențelor devizate și asupra metodei coardei. Revista de Analiză Numerică și Teoria Aproximației 3, 1,19-30, (1974).

[3] Johnson, L. W. and Scholz, D. R., On Steffensen's method. SIAM J. Numer. Anal. 5, 296-302, (1968).

[4] La a son en, P., Ein überquadratischer konvergenter iterativen Algorithmus. Matematika, Helsinki, Series A, 450, 1-10 (1969).

[5] Potra, F. — A., On a modified secant method. l'Analyse numérique et la théorie de l'approximation, 8, 1 (1979).

[6] Potra, R. - A., An application of the induction method of V. Pták to the study of Regula Falsi. Preprint INCREST no. 11/1979.

[7] Schmidt, J. W., Eine übertragung der Regula Falsi auf Gleichungen in Banach Räumen. I. II. ZAMM, 43, 1-8, 97-110 (1963).

[8] Schröder, J., Nichlineare Majoranten bein Verfahren der Schrittweisen Näherung.

Arch. Math. (Basel), 7, 417-484 (1956).

[9] Белостоцкий, А.Я., Некоторые методы решения функциональных уравнений. Усп. Матем. Наук, 17, 5, 192—193 (1962).

[10] Сергеев, А. С. О методе хорд. Сибир. Матем. Ж., 2, 282-289 (1961).

[11] Ульм, С., Принцип мажорант и метод хорд. ИАН ЭССР, сер. физ-матем, 13 (1964), 56—58

[12] Ульм. С. Обобщение метода Стеффенсена для решения нелинейных операторных уравнений. Ж вычисл. матем. и матем физики, 4, 1083—1097 (1964).

Received 4, IX. 1980