## L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION Tome 9, No 2, 1980, pp. 233-243 As well-known particular cases of the (1) primerbod, we have the relative

method (A) when (I - 1) 1 (see S. 5 , the mollod A, when -

sev. 1. 3' (A. is the name us the Abel method) and the method  $E_{\rm s}$  when  $\hat{q}_{\rm s} = (\Gamma(0) + 2 + \Omega(1))^{-1}$  [14] is the some on the observable method)

> ON SOME SEQUENCE TO FUNCTION TRANSFORMATIONS

For two commodility processes of and B,  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}$  will mean that all sequences (series) smallmide  $\{N\}$  are manuable  $\{1\}$ . R.N. MOHAPATRA and G. DAS Laurenn auf an antiquiting (Santa Barbara, California)

(A) If in this statement we replace (A) by a then it will mann that the

1. Suppose that  $q_n \ge 0$  and  $q_n \ne 0$  for infinitely many valeus of n. We shall let x and z stand, throughout, for a real and complex number respectively. Let r denote the radius of convergence of the power series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n z^n$   $(r \leq \infty)$ . The analytic function represented by this power series for |z| < r is given by

$$q(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n z^n \ (|z| < r).$$

Given an infinite series  $\sum a_n$  with partial sum  $\{s_n\}$  we say that the the properties of contract or period of the property of the second method (J,q) is applicable to  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ , if the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n s_n z^n$  converges for |z| < 1< r, say to  $q_s(z)$ , and the sequence to function transformation  $J^q(x) =$  $= q_s(x)/q(x)$  exists for 0 < x < r. Further, if  $J^q(x) \to l(x \to r-)$ , then the series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be summable (J, q) to l. It is said to be absoluthat the proporties of convergence and summability is for all infemily tely summable (J, q) or summable |J, q| if  $J^q(x) \in BV(0, r)$  i.e.  $\int_0^x |dJ^q(x)| < 1$  $< \infty$ . BORWEIN [1] has shown that the method (J,q) is regular if and only if  $q(x) \to \infty$  as  $x \to r$ —. BORWEIN [1, 2, 3] considered the inclusion relati-

<sup>6 -</sup> L'analyse numérique et la théorie de l'approximation - Tome 9, No. 2. 1980.

ons between (J, p) and (J, q) methods of summability. Das [7] has obtained inclusion relation between |J, p| and |J, q| methods.

As well-known particular cases of the (J, q) method, we have the Abel method (A) when  $q_n = (n+1)^{-1}$  (see [9, 5], the method  $A_{\alpha}$ , when  $q_n =$  $=\binom{n+\alpha}{\alpha}$  (see [1, 5] (A<sub>0</sub> is the same as the Abel method) and the method  $B_{\alpha}$  when  $q_n = (\Gamma(n+\alpha+1))^{-1}$  (B<sub>0</sub> is the same as the Borel method) (see [9], p. 222).

A real method of summation T is totally regular if  $s_n \to s$  implies that T-limit of  $s_n \to s$  for all finite and infinite s as  $n \to \infty$ . It is known that a necessary and sufficient condition for a real triangular matrix transformation to be totally regular is that it should be regular and positive (see [9], and [10] for a general result on the subject).

Throughout the paper we shall use the following notations:

For two summability processes A and B, A 

B will mean that all sequences (series) summable (A) are summable (B).

c will denote the space of convergent sequences.

 $\Sigma_n a_n \in (A)$  will mean that the series  $\Sigma_n a_n$  is summable by the method (A). If in this statement we replace (A) by c then it will mean that the series  $\Sigma_n a_n$  is a convergent series.

 $\varepsilon_{n} \in (A, B)$  will stand for the statement that "summability (A) of  $\Sigma a_n$  implies summability (B) of  $\Sigma a_n \varepsilon_n$ ".

2. Eventhough for a regular (J, q) method the summability field of (J,q) includes those of |J,q| and convergence, it is not clear if either of these include the other for general  $\{q_n\}$ . However, when  $q_n = 1$ , n = 1= 1, 2, ..., so that (J, q) is the Abel metod A, whittaker (16], by an example suggested by J. E. LITTLEWOOD showed that a Fourier series may converge at a point without being summable |A|, while PRASAD [14] constructed an example of a Fourier series which is summable |A| at a point without being convergent at that point. Thus we can conclude that the properties of convergence and summability  $|A_{\alpha}|$  of infinite series are independent of each other atleast for  $\alpha = 0$ . This however raises the following problem: Does there exist any  $\alpha > -1$  for which the properties of convergence and  $|A_{\alpha}|$  are not independent of each other. We do not know the answer. If  $= \{u_i\}_{i=1}^n \{u_i\}_{i=1}$ 

When  $q_n = (n \mid)^{-1}$ , so that (J, q) is the Borel method (B), we show that the properties of convergence and summability |B| for an infinite series are independent of each other. Our remak is supported by

PROPOSITION 1. (i) There is a series summable |B| which is not conwe grosswith the bar shown that the metrody A is a rem

(ii) There is a series which is convergent but not summable |B|.

*Proof.* (i). Consider the series for which the nth partial sum is  $(-1)^n$ . This series is not convergent but its Borel transform B(x) =ell he the following theorems  $= e^{-x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^n/n! = e^{-2x} \in BV [0, \infty)$ . Thus the series is summable |B|.

(ii) Let  $a_n = 0$  (n = 0), and  $a_n = (\sin nt)/n$  (n = 1, 2, ...). The series  $\Sigma a_{\cdot \cdot}$  converges for all t. After simplification it can be seen that if this series were summable |B| for t = v then the integral

(2.1) 
$$I = \int_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| e^{-x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x^n \sin(n+1)y)/(n+1)! \right| dx$$

will be convergent. Denoting the term inside the modulus sign by K(x, y)we have

$$k(x, y) = \operatorname{Im} \left\{ e^{-x} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( x^n e^{i(n+1)y} / (n+1)! \right) = \right.$$
  
=  $x^{-1} e^{-2x \sin^2 y/2} \sin(x \sin y) - x^{-1} e^{-x}.$ 

Thus

Thus
$$I \ge \int_{0}^{\infty} x^{-1} e^{-x} dx - \int_{0}^{\infty} |x^{-1} e^{-2x \sin^{2} y/2} \sin(x \sin y)| dx.$$

Choose  $\delta > 0$  so small that  $\sin (x \sin y)$  is non-negative. Then the second integral of (2.2) is not greater than

$$\sin y \int_{0}^{\delta} \{e^{-2x \sin^{2} y/2} \sin (x \sin y) / x \sin y\} dx + \int_{\delta}^{\infty} x^{-1} e^{-2x \sin^{2} y/2} dx =$$

$$= O\left(\int_{0}^{\delta} e^{-2x \sin^{2} y/2} dx\right) + O\left(\delta^{-1} \int_{\delta}^{\infty} e^{-2x \sin^{2} y/2} dx\right) = O((\sin^{2} y/2)^{-1}).$$

Choosing y to be different from an even multiple of  $\pi$ , we have the above integral bounded. But the divergence to infinity of the first integral of (2.2) shows that I is divergent. Hence we establish the assertion.

3. In view of § 2 a natual question is to obtain necessary and sufficient conditions on a sequence  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  such that  $\sum a_n \varepsilon_n$  is either summable | B| or  $|A_{\alpha}|$   $(\alpha > -1)$  whenever  $\Sigma a_n$  is a convergent series. Along this line is the following result:

THEOREM A [15], 
$$\varepsilon_n \in (c, |A|)$$
 if and only if

$$(3.2) \Sigma \mid \varepsilon_n \mid n^{-1} < \infty.$$

Terit ambivous

We shall first obtain  $\varepsilon_n$  such that  $\varepsilon_n \in (c, |J, q|)$  and then obtain results for  $|A_{\alpha}|$  and |B| by assigning particular values to  $q_n$ . Our results will be the following theorems:

THEOREM 1. Let  $q_n \geqslant 0$  and (J, q) method be totally regular. Then  $\varepsilon_n \in$  $\in (c, |J, q|)$  only if = u is the view 0 = u. One so that (u)

(3.3) with It that represents 
$$\sum |\triangle \varepsilon_n| < \infty$$
, is talk. The representation and

and

hold, where

(3.5) 
$$\kappa_n = \int_0^r \left\{ \frac{d}{dx} \left( x_n / q(x) \right) \varphi(x) \right\} dx$$

for every measurable, essentially bounded real function  $\varphi(x)$ .

THEOREM 2. Let  $q_n \geqslant 0$ . Then the sufficient conditions for  $\sum a_n \in \mathbb{R}$  $\in |J, q|$  whenever  $s_n \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k = O(1)$  are (3.3) and

$$(3.6) \Sigma |\varepsilon_n|q_n|\psi_n| < \infty,$$

where

(3.7) 
$$\psi_n = \int_0^{\infty} \frac{d}{dx} \left| \left( x^n / q(x) \right) \right| dx.$$

Remark.  $x_n$  of (3.5) always exists for  $q_n \ge 0$  (see [8]).

4. We shall need the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1 ([6], lemma 8). If  $\Sigma g_n(x)s_n$  converges for 0 < x < r and its sum tends to a limit as  $x \to r - 0$  whenever  $s_n$  is convergent, then there are numbers M, X such that  $\Sigma |g_n(x)| \leq M$  for X < x < r.

LEMMA 2 ([13], see also [15]). If a sequence  $\{p_n\}$  of elements in a Banach space B has the property that there is a number H such that  $\left\|\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \pm p_n\right\| \leq H$ for each k and every set of signs  $\pm$ , then  $\sum f(p_n) \mid < \infty$  for every linear func-

LEMMA 3. Let  $q_n \ge 0$  and (I, q) method be totally regular. Then  $\sum a_n \le c$ and  $\Sigma a_n \varepsilon_n \in (J, q)$  implies  $\varepsilon_n = O(1)$ .

*Proof.* If under the hypotheses of the lemma  $\varepsilon_n$  is not bounded, then  $\limsup |\varepsilon_n| = +\infty$ . Then there exists a sequence of positive non-decreasing sequence of positive integres  $n_{\nu}$ ,  $\nu = 1, 2, \ldots$  such that  $|\varepsilon_{n_{\nu}}| > \nu^2$ . Choose  $a_n$  such that  $a_n = 0$   $(n \neq n_{\nu})$  and  $a_n = \nu^{-2} \operatorname{sgn}^{-1} \varepsilon_{n_{\nu}} (n = n_{\nu})$ . Thus  $\Sigma |a_n| \leqslant \sum_{\nu=2} \nu^{-2} < \infty$ . But when  $n = n_{\nu}$ ,  $a_n \varepsilon_n = a_{n\nu} \varepsilon_{n\nu} = \nu^{-2} |\varepsilon_{n\nu}| > 1$ , and so the series  $\sum a_n \varepsilon_n$  diverges to  $+\infty$ . Since (J,q) is assumed to be a totally a regular method  $\sum a_n \varepsilon_n$  is non-summable (J, q). Thus  $\varepsilon_n = O(1)$ .

LEMMA 4. Let  $q_n \ge 0$  and (J, q) method be totally regular. Then  $\varepsilon_n \in$  $\in$  (c, (I, q)) only if (3.3) holds.

*Proof.* Writing J(x) for the (J, q) mean of the series  $\sum a_n \varepsilon_n$  we have

(4.1) The property of 
$$f(x) = q_s(x) \neq q(x)$$
, which is  $f(x) = q_s(x) \neq q(x)$ .

$$q_s(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n x^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \varepsilon_k.$$

Since, by hypothesis and Lemma 3,  $a_n$  is convergent and  $\varepsilon_n = O(1)$ , we have the with him with a control of the second and the second are the second and the second are the second and the second are the second a

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| q_n x^n \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \varepsilon_k \right| \stackrel{\text{co}}{=} O\left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} n q_n |x|^n \right) = O(1),$$

whenever |x| < r since the radius convergence of  $\sum q_n x^n$  is r. Thus by change of order of summation in (4.2), we obtain

$$(4.3) q_s(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \varepsilon_k \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} q_n x^n.$$

By Abel's transformation

$$(4.4) q_s(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_k \triangle_k \left( \varepsilon_k \sum_{k=0}^n q_n x^k \right)$$

provided that

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \left( s_m \varepsilon_m q_m x^m \right) / q(x) = 0.$$

But by hypothesis  $s_n$  converges, by Lemma 3, since  $\varepsilon_n = O(1)$  and since  $\Sigma q_n x^n$  is convergent for  $0 \le x < r$ ,  $q_n x^n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence (4.5) holds and therefore (4.4) is valid. Now write (4.1) in the from

$$(4.6) J_s(x) = \Sigma g_k(x) s_k$$

where 
$$g_k(x) = (q(x))^{-1} \triangle_k \left( \varepsilon_k \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} q_n x^n \right).$$

Since, by hypothesis, I(x) exists for  $0 \le x < r$  and  $I(x) \to a$  limit as  $x \to x < r$  $\rightarrow r$ — whenever  $\{s_n\}$  converges, by Lemma 2, there exists numbers M and X such that

(4.8) 
$$\Sigma_k |g_k(x)| \leq M$$
 (for  $X < x < r$  and for all  $n$ ).

It follows that

$$\lim_{x \to \tau^-} \sup \Sigma |g_k(x)| \leq M.$$

But since, as  $x \to r$ ,  $\sum q_n x^n \to \infty$ , and so  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n x^n/q(x) = 1 - \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\kappa-1} q_n x^n/q(x)\right)$ which tends to 1, it follows from (4.7) that  $g_k(x) \to \triangle_k \varepsilon_k$ . Hence

$$(4.10) |\triangle_k \varepsilon_k| = \sum_k |\lim_{x \to r} g_k(x)| \leq \liminf_{x \to r} |g_k(x)| \leq \limsup_{x \to r} |g_k(x)| \leq M,$$
 by (4.9).

**5.** Proof of theorem 1. Since  $|(J,q)| \subset (J,q)$ , necessity of (3.3) follows from Lemma 4. In proving the necessity of (3.4) we shall use notations of Lemma 4 for J(x) etc. without restatement.

Since I(x) exists for  $0 \le x < r$  and so is differentiable in [0, r), we obtain by straightforward calculation

(5.1) 
$$J'(x) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \varepsilon_n \frac{d}{dx} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q_k x^k / q(x) \right),$$

By Abel's transformation.

$$(5.2) J'(x) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n \triangle_n \left( \varepsilon_n \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} q_k x^k \right) / q(x) \right\} \right)$$

provided that

(5.3) 
$$\lim_{m\to\infty} s_m \, \varepsilon_{m+1} \, \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \left( \sum_{k=0}^m q_k x^k \right) / q(x) \right\} = 0.$$

But since  $\{s_n\} \in l_{\infty}$ ,  $\{\varepsilon_n\} \in l_{\infty}$  and  $\frac{d}{dx} \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{m} q_n x^n / q(x) \right\} \to 0$ 

$$\to \{(q'(x)q(x) - q'(x)q(x))/(q(x))^2\},$$

as  $m \to \infty$ , for  $0 \le x < r$ , condition (5.3) is satisfied. Now from (5.2), we

$$(5.4) J'(x) = -\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_n \varepsilon_n q_n \frac{d}{dx} (x^n / q(x)) - s_n \triangle \varepsilon_n \frac{d}{dx} \left( \left( \sum_{k=0}^n q_k x^k \right) / q(x) \right) \equiv$$

$$\equiv J_1(x) + J_2(x).$$

It con be checked that

(5.5) 
$$\frac{d}{dx} \left( \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} q_k x^k \right) / q(x) \right) = \left( \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} V_k x^k \right) / q(x) \right)^{s}$$

where

7

$$V_{k} = q_{k}q_{1} + 2q_{2}q_{k-1} + \dots + nq_{n}q_{k-n+1} - (k+1)q_{k+1}q_{0} - kq_{k}q_{1} - \dots - (k-n+1)q_{n}q_{k-n+1} = -(k+1)q_{k+1}q_{0} - (k-1)kq_{k}q_{1} - \dots - (k-2n+1)q_{k-n+1}q_{n},$$

it being understood that  $q_r = 0$  if r is a negative integer. Now we separately consider the cases  $0 \le k \le n$ ,  $n < k \le 2n$ , k > 2n. In each case it can be checked that  $V_k \leq 0$  for all n and for  $0 \leq x < r$  whenever  $q_n \geq 0$ (see McFadden [11]). Hence it follows from (5.5) that

(5.6) 
$$\int_{0}^{r} \left| \frac{d}{dx} \left( \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} q_{k} x^{k} \right) / q(x) \right) \right| dx = - \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} q_{k} x^{k} \right) / q(x) = 1,$$

since  $q(x) \to \infty$  as  $x \to r$ . Now from (5.4), we have by (5.6)

$$\begin{cases} \int_{0}^{r} |f_{2}(x)| dx \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |s_{n}| |\triangle \varepsilon_{n}| \int_{0}^{r} \left\{ \frac{d}{dx} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n} q_{k} x^{k} \right) / q(x) \right\} \right\} dx = \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |s_{n}| |\triangle \varepsilon_{n}| \leq K \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\triangle \varepsilon_{n}| \leq K, \end{cases}$$

by (3.3) and the fact that  $s_n = O(1)$ .

re the part that I have only to the name of the Land A. For the second of the second o We are given  $\int |J'(x)| dx < \infty$ . Since  $\int |J_2(x)| dx < \infty$ , it follows from (5.4) that

$$\int_{0}^{r} |J_{\mathbf{I}}(x)| dx < \infty,$$

which is the same as

$$\int_{0}^{r} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s_{n} \varepsilon_{n} q_{n} \{ (d/dx) (x^{n}/q(x)) \} dx < \infty, \right|$$

8

241

for every convergent sequence  $s_n$ . But (5.7) holds (see [15], lemma 2) if and only if

(5.8) 
$$\int_{0}^{r} \left| \sum s_{n} \varepsilon_{n} q_{n} \frac{d}{dx} \left( x^{n} / q(x) \right) dx \right| \leq H \overline{bd} |s_{n}|$$

for some absolute positive constant H. In particular, (5.8) implies that

(5.9) 
$$\int_{0}^{r} \left| \sum_{n=0}^{k} \pm \varepsilon_{n} q_{n} \frac{d}{dx} \left( x^{n} / q(x) \right) \right| \leq H$$

for each k and every sequence of signs. Hence, by Lemma 3, we have

(5.10) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\varepsilon_n| q_n \left| \int_0^{r} \varphi(x) \frac{d}{dx} (x^n/q(x)) \right| dx < \infty$$

for every bounded, real function  $\varphi(x)$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

Proof of theorem 2. We are given that  $s_n = O(1)$ . Since

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \, \varepsilon_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \triangle \varepsilon_k s_k + \varepsilon_n s_n, \text{ we have } \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k \, \varepsilon_k \leqslant \sup |s_k| \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\triangle \varepsilon_k| +$$

+ O(1) = O(1), so that  $q_s(x) = O(1) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q_n x^n$ . Hence  $q_s(x)$  exists for |x| < r,

Since  $q(x) \neq 0$  for |x| < r, it follows that J(x) exists for |x| < r as a power series expansion and therefore J(x) is differentiable in [0, r). Now we have

 $J'(x) = J_1(x) + J_2(x)$  as in (5.4). But whenever  $\Sigma |\triangle \varepsilon_n| < \infty$ , we have,

as before  $\int_0^r |J_2(x)| dx < \infty$ . We have only to show that  $\int_0^r |J_1(x)| dx < \infty$ .

Now

$$\int_{0}^{r} |J_{1}(x)| dx \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |s_{n} \varepsilon_{n} q_{n}| \int_{0}^{r} \left| \frac{d}{dx} (x^{n}/q(x)) \right| dx \leq k \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\varepsilon_{n}| q_{n} \psi_{n} \leq k.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

**6.** In this section we apply Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 to obtain results for summability method  $|A_{\alpha}|$ . In this case r = 1,  $q(x) = (1-x)^{-\alpha-1} \equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^{\alpha} x^n$ .

THEOREM 3.  $\Sigma a_n$  is convergent implies  $\Sigma a_n \varepsilon_n \in |A_\alpha|$   $(\alpha > -; 1)$  if and only if (3.1) and (3.2) hold.

ON SOME SEQUENCE TO FUNCTION TRANSFORMATIONS

Proof. Sufficiency. In this case

$$\frac{d}{dx}(x^n/q(x)) = (1-x)^{\alpha}x^{n-1}((1-x)n-x(1+\alpha)).$$

Thus

$$\frac{d}{dx}(x^n/q(x)) = \begin{cases} > 0 \ (x \le n/(\alpha + 1 + n); \\ < 0 \ (x > n/(\alpha + 1 + n). \end{cases}$$

If we write f(x) for  $(x^n/q(x))$ , we have,

$$\int_{0}^{1} \left| \frac{d}{dx} \left( x^{n} / q(x) \right) \right| dx = \int_{0}^{n/(n+\alpha+1)} \frac{d}{dx} \left( x^{n} / q(x) \right) dx - \int_{n/(n+\alpha+1)}^{1} \frac{d}{dx} \left( x^{n} / q(x) \right) dx =$$

$$= f(n/(\alpha+1+n)) - f(0) - f(1) + f(n/(\alpha+1+n)) = 2f(n/(\alpha+1+n)) = 0$$

$$= (n/(\alpha+1+n))^{n} (\alpha+1)^{\alpha+1} (n+\alpha+1)^{-\alpha-1} = O(n^{-\alpha-1}).$$

Hence from Theorem 2 we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\varepsilon_n| q_n \psi_n = \mathcal{O}\left(\sum |\varepsilon_n|/n^{\alpha+1}\right) A_n^{\alpha}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\sum n^{-1} |\varepsilon_n|\right) = \mathcal{O}(1),$$

by the hypothesis.

Necessity. We first observe that we do not impose any additional restriction by assuming that (3.4) holds for every bounded complex function  $\varphi(x)$ . We next set  $\varphi(x) = (1-x)^i$   $(i=\sqrt{-1})$  in (3.4). Then the integral is given by

$$\left| \int_0^1 (1-x)^i \frac{d}{dx} \left( (1-x^n)^{\alpha+1} x^n \right) dx \right| = \left| i \int_0^1 (1-x)^{\alpha+i} x^n dx \right| =$$

$$= \left| i \Gamma(1+\alpha+i) \Gamma(n+1) / \Gamma(n+2+\alpha) \right| \approx (1+i+\alpha) |n^{\alpha+1}.$$

Hence

$$\left| \Sigma_n \left| \varepsilon_n \right| A_n^{\alpha} \right| \int_0^1 (1-x)^{i} \frac{d}{dx} \left\{ (1-x)^{\alpha+1} x^n \right\} dx \right| < \infty \quad \text{i.e. } \Sigma_n (\left| \varepsilon_n \right| /n) < \infty$$

Thus the proof of the theorem is complete.

10

11

7. In this section we apply Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 to absolute Borel summability. In this case  $q(x) = e^x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (x^n/n!)$ , and  $r = \infty$ . Our result is the following:

THEOREM 4.  $\varepsilon_n \in (c, |B|)$  if and only if

$$(7.1) \Sigma_n |\triangle \varepsilon_n| < \infty.$$

and

(7.2) 
$$\Sigma_n\{|\varepsilon_n|/n^{\frac{1}{2}}\} < \infty.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f(x) = x^n/q(x) \equiv e^{-x}x^n$ .

Hence  $f'(x) = -e^{-x}x^n + nx^{n-1}e^{-x} = e^{-x}x^{n-1}(n-x)$ . So

(7.3) 
$$f'(x) = \begin{cases} \ge 0 \ (x \le n); \\ < 0 \ (x > n). \end{cases}$$

Sufficiency. In view of (7.3) we find that

$$\int_{0}^{\infty} |f'(x)| dx = \left(\int_{0}^{n} + \int_{n}^{\infty}\right) |f'(x)| dx = \int_{0}^{n} f'(x) dx - \int_{n}^{\infty} f'(x) dx =$$

$$= f(n) - f(0) - f(\infty) - f(n) = 2f(x) = 2n^{n}e^{-n},$$

 $\operatorname{since} f(0) = f(\infty) = 0$ . Now

$$\Sigma_n |\varepsilon_n| q_n \psi_n = 2 \Sigma_n (|\varepsilon_n| n^n e^{-n}/n) \cong \Sigma_n (|\varepsilon_n| / n^{\frac{1}{2}}) < \infty.$$

Necessity.  $x_n = \int_0^\infty f'(x) \varphi(x) dx$  Choose  $\varphi(x) = 1$   $(x \le n)$ , and  $\varphi(x) = -1$  (x > n). Then

$$\varkappa_{n} = \int_{0}^{\infty} f'(x)\varphi(x)dx = \left(\int_{0}^{n} - \int_{n}^{\infty}\right) f'(x)\varphi(x)dx = 2n^{n}e^{-n}.$$

Substituting the value in (3.4) we see the necessity part of (7.2).

**8.** If one sets  $q(x) = \log(1/(1-x))$  and r = 1 then (J, q) method reduces to the logarithmic method (L) (see [4]). Considering a series  $\sum a_n$  such that  $F(x) \equiv \sum_n a_n x^n$  is  $e^{-(1+x)}$ , it is easy to see that F(x) is of bouded variation over (0, 1) and thus making  $\sum_n a_n \in |A|$ . However this series is not summable (C, k) for any  $k \ge 0$  (see [9], p. 109). Since  $|A| \subset |L|$  (see [12], p. 453) not all series |L| are Cesàro summable and a fortiori convergent. This raises the following problem:

PROBLEM. Does there exist a series which is convergent but is not summable |L|?

We feel that the answer will be in the affirmative. Concerning the convergence factors for series summable L we conjecture the following:

COOJECTURE.  $\varepsilon_n \in (c, |L|)$  if and only if

$$|\Sigma_n| \triangle \varepsilon_n| < \infty \text{ and } |\Sigma_n| |\varepsilon_n| / (n \log n) < \infty.$$

## REFERENCES

[1] Borwein, D., On method of summation based on power series. Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, 64, 342-349 (1957).

[2] -, On methods of summation based on integral functions. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 55, 23-30 (1959).

[3], On methods of summability based on integral functions. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 56, 123-131 (1960).

[4] -, A logarithmic metohod of summability. J. London Math. Soc., 33, 212-220 (1958).

[5] -, On a scale of Abel type summability methods. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., 53, 318-322 (1957).

[6] Bosanquet, L. S., Note on Convergence and Summability Factors, (II). Proc. London Math. Soc., 50, 295-304 (1948).

[7] Das, G., On some methods of summability. Quarterly J. Math., 17, 244-356 (1966).

[8] -, Inclusion Theorems for an Absolute Method of Summability, Jour. London Math, Soc., 6, 467-472 (1973).

[9] Hardy, G. H., Divergent Series. (Oxford, 1949).

[10] Hurwitz, H. H., Total Regularity of General Transformations. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 46, 833-837 (1940).

[11] Mc Fadden, L., Absolute Nörlund Summability. Duke Math. Jour., 9, 168-208 (1942).

[12] Mohanty, R., and Patnaik, J. N., On the Absolute L Summability of a Fourier Series. J. London Math. Soc., 43, 452-456 (1968).

[13] Orlicz, M. W., Beiträge zur Theorie der Orthogonalentwicklungen II. Studia Math, 1, 241-255 (1929).

[14] Prasad, B. N., The Absolute Summability (A) of a Fourier Series. Proc. Edin. Math, Soc., 2, 129-134 (1929).

[15] Tatchell, J. B., A Note on a Theorem By Bosanquet. J. London Math. Soc., 29, 203-211 (1954).

[16] Whittaker, J. M., The Absolute Summability of Fourier Series. *Proc. Edin.* Math. Soc., 2. 1-5 (1930).

American University of Beirut,
Beirut, Lebanon
and
University of California,
Santa Barbara, California, U.S.A.

Received 17. IV. 1980