

*1981 tome 10*

ACADÉMIE DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE SOCIALISTE DE ROUMANIE  
FILIALE DE CLUJ-NAPOCA

---

MATHEMATICA — REVUE D'ANALYSE  
NUMÉRIQUE ET DE THÉORIE  
DE L'APPROXIMATION

L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE  
ET  
LA THÉORIE DE  
L'APPROXIMATION

TOME 10

N° 2

1981

CLUJ-NAPOCA  
ÉDITIONS DE L'ACADÉMIE DE LA RÉPUBLIQUE SOCIALISTE DE ROUMANIE

L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE  
ET  
LA THÉORIE DE  
L'APPROXIMATION

Tome 10 N° 2

1981

SOMMAIRE

Dorin Andrica and Nicolae Muşuroia, Cones of Holomorphic Functions with Positive Real Part	133
M. Balázs and I. Fabian, On a Method for Solving the Decision Problem	141
Adrian Dadu, Sur un théorème de Tiberiu Popoviciu	149
A. Tidjane Diallo, Une notion de convexité pour les fonctions opératorielles	155
Pierre Goetgheluck, Approximation des fonctions périodiques de régularité variable	179
Mircea Ivan et Ioan Gavrea, Sur une suite d'opérateurs d'interpolation et d'approximation	187
Mentzel Judith, A Coseparator in a Category of Cones	191
Petru T. Mocanu, Dumitru Ripeanu and Ioan Şerb, On the Order of Starlikeness of Convex Functions of Order $\alpha$	195
Anton S. Mureşan, Some Properties of Solutions of Equation $\Delta^4 u = 0$ (II)	201
Radu Precup, Interpolating Convex Polynomials	205
I. Raşa, Fonctionnelles $P_n$ -exactes et fonctionnelles $P_n$ -simples	211
I. Raşa, On a Measure — Theoretical Concept of Convexity	217
Ervin Schechter, Some Properties of a Nonlinear Parabolic Difference Scheme	225
H. M. Semple, The Boundary Element Method — a Review	233
K. B. Srivastava and R. B. Saxena, On Interpolation Operators — III (A Proof of Telyakovskii-Gopengauz's Theorem for Differentiable Functions)	247

COMITÉ DE RÉDACTION

Oleg Aramă (secrétaire de rédaction), Gheorghe Coman, Sever Groze, Adolf Haimovici, Acad. Cains Iacob (rédacteur en chef), Dumitru V. Ionescu, Carol Kalik, Árpád Pál, Elena Popoviciu (rédacteur en chef adjoint), Ioan A. Rus, Dimitrie Stancu, Acad. Nicolae Teodorescu.

L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE  
 ET  
 LA THÉORIE DE  
 L'APPROXIMATION

1981

SOMMAIRE

133	David Andrica and Nicolae Muşuroia Cone of Holomorphic Functions with Positive Real Part
141	M. Bărbăntaş and I. Păbrăntaş On a Problem of Solving the Bézout Problem
149	A. Târziu Sur un problème de théorie des fonctions
155	P. Găvruta Approximation des fonctions périodiques de variable réelle
179	M. I. Ionescu Sur la convergence de l'approximation de Runge
187	M. I. Ionescu Sur la convergence de l'approximation de Runge
191	M. I. Ionescu Sur la convergence de l'approximation de Runge
195	M. I. Ionescu Sur la convergence de l'approximation de Runge
207	M. I. Ionescu Sur la convergence de l'approximation de Runge
209	M. I. Ionescu Sur la convergence de l'approximation de Runge
211	M. I. Ionescu Sur la convergence de l'approximation de Runge
217	M. I. Ionescu Sur la convergence de l'approximation de Runge
229	M. I. Ionescu Sur la convergence de l'approximation de Runge
233	M. I. Ionescu Sur la convergence de l'approximation de Runge
243	M. I. Ionescu Sur la convergence de l'approximation de Runge

L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION  
 Tome 10, N° 2, 1981, pp. 133—139

$U = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Re} z > 0\}$   
 $W(U) = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U) : f(0) = 1, f(z) \neq 0 \text{ in } U\}$   
 $W_+(U) = \{f \in W(U) : \operatorname{Re} f(z) > 0 \text{ in } U\}$

It is proved that the directed and sufficient family of seminorms  $\{p_U\}$  on  $W_+(U)$  is given by  $p_U(f) = \inf_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re} f(z)$ . The seminorms  $\{p_U\}$  are given by  $p_U(f) = \inf_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re} f(z)$ . The seminorms  $\{p_U\}$  are given by  $p_U(f) = \inf_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re} f(z)$ .

where  $U$  is a linear normed space with a directed and sufficient family of seminorms  $\{p_U\}$  on  $W_+(U)$  is given by  $p_U(f) = \inf_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re} f(z)$ . The seminorms  $\{p_U\}$  are given by  $p_U(f) = \inf_{z \in U} \operatorname{Re} f(z)$ .

CONES OF HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS  
 WITH POSITIVE REAL PART

by  
 DORIN ANDRICA and NICOLAE MUŞUROIA  
 (Cluj-Napoca)

1. Introduction

In this paper we shall study two classes of holomorphic functions cones with positive real part. We recall some notions and results of cones theory in topological linear spaces.

Let  $X$  be a topological linear space and  $K \subset X$ .

DEFINITION 1.1. The set  $K$  is a cone in  $X$  if:

- (i)  $K + K \subset K$
- (ii)  $\lambda K \subset K, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \geq 0$ .

If the condition

- (iii)  $K \cap -K = \{0\}$ ,

is also satisfied, where  $\theta$  is the zero element of the space, we call  $K$  a proper cone in  $X$ .

A cone  $K$  endows  $X$  with a preorder relation (reflexive and transitive):  $x \leq_K y$  iff  $y - x \in K$ . If  $K$  is a proper cone, then this relation is an order on  $X$ .

Let it be  $U_0 \in K, U_0 \neq \theta$ , a fixed element.

We denote  $X_{U_0} = \{x \in X : \exists t_1, t_2 \geq 0 : -t_1 U_0 \leq_K x \leq_K t_2 U_0\}$ . If  $x \in X_{U_0}$ , we say that  $x$  is  $U_0$ -measurable ([1], p. 16). It is easy to see that  $X_{U_0}$  is a linear space. Denote:

$$(1.1) \quad \alpha(x) = \inf \{t_1 : -t_1 U_0 \leq_K x\}, \quad \beta(x) = \inf \{t_2 : x \leq_K t_2 U_0\}.$$

The map  $\phi_{U_0} : X_{U_0} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ ,  $\phi_{U_0}(x) = \max \{\alpha(x), \beta(x)\}$ , is a seminorm on  $X_{U_0}$ . If the condition (iii), Definition 1.1. is satisfied then  $\phi_{U_0}$  is even a norm [1].

Further, we shall denote:

$$U = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| < 1\} \quad \bar{U} = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| \leq 1\}$$

$$H(U) = \{f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \mid f \text{ holomorphic on } U\}$$

$$H(\bar{U}) = \{f : U \rightarrow \mathbf{C} \mid f \text{ holomorphic on } \bar{U}\}.$$

In ([3], p. 88) it is proved that the directed and sufficient family of seminorms  $p_n : H(U) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ , given by

$$(1.2) \quad p_n(f) = \max_{U_n} |f(z)|, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$

where  $U_n = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z| \leq \frac{n}{n+1}\}$ , endows  $H(U)$  with a structure of a complete metrizable locally convex space.  $H(U)$  becomes a Fréchet space which has the Heine-Borel property (any closed and bounded set is compact) but is not a normable space. A metric on  $H(U)$  is given by

$$\rho(f, g) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^n} \frac{p_n(f-g)}{1+p_n(f-g)}.$$

$H(\bar{U})$  is a linear normed space with the norm

$$(1.3) \quad \|f\| = \max_{\bar{U}} |f(z)|,$$

For  $g \in H(U)$  we consider the set

$$(1.4) \quad P_g = \{f \in H(U) : \operatorname{Re} g(z) f(z) \geq 0, z \in U\}$$

and for  $g \in H(\bar{U})$ , the set

$$(1.5) \quad \tilde{P}_g = \{f \in H(\bar{U}) : \operatorname{Re} g(z) f(z) \geq 0, z \in \bar{U}\}.$$

It is easy to see that  $P_g$  and  $\tilde{P}_g$  are cones in  $H(U)$ , respectively  $H(\bar{U})$ , but they are not proper cones.

The problem of studying these cones was proposed by prof. dr. ELENA POPOVICIU at the course of Approximation Schemes.

We shall give some topological properties of these cones in the spaces  $H(U)$  and  $H(\bar{U})$ .

## 2. The locally convex space of holomorphic functions on the open disk. The $P_g$ cones.

We shall use the following result of the general theory of topological linear spaces.

**THEOREM 2.1.** *If  $X$  is a topological linear space and  $K \subset X$  is a cone with  $\overset{\circ}{K} \neq \emptyset$  ( $\overset{\circ}{K}$  being the topological interior of  $K$ ) then  $K$  is a generator cone for  $X$ , namely  $X = K - K$ .*

*Proof.*  $\overset{\circ}{K} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow \exists z_0 \in \overset{\circ}{K} \Rightarrow 0 \in \overset{\circ}{K} - z_0$ . Then  $\forall x \in X$ ,  $\exists \lambda > 0$  such that  $\lambda x \in \overset{\circ}{K} - z_0 \subset K - z_0$ . From here:

$$\lambda x = u - z_0, \quad u \in K \Rightarrow x = \frac{1}{\lambda} u - \frac{1}{\lambda} z_0 \in K - K.$$

**REMARK 2.2.** The condition  $\overset{\circ}{K} \neq \emptyset$  is very strong. The following example shows that in  $H(U)$  there are cones which though rich in elements have an empty interior in any locally convex topology  $H(U)$  is endowed with.

Let it be

$$(2.1) \quad K_n = \left\{ f \in H(U) : f(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i z^i, a_n > 0 \right\},$$

$K_n$  is evidently a cone. Let  $\tau$  be a topology for which  $(H(U), \tau)$  is a locally convex space. Then  $\overset{\circ}{K}_n = \emptyset$ , otherwise Theorem 2.1. implying  $H(U) = K_n - K_n$ , which is impossible due to the form of the holomorphic functions from (2.1).

**PROPOSITION 2.3.** *If  $g(z) \neq 0$ ,  $z \in U$ , then*

$$P_g = \frac{1}{g} P,$$

where  $P = \{f \in H(U) : \operatorname{Re} f(z) \geq 0\}$ , and  $P_g$  is the set given in (1.4).

The proof is immediate and we omit it. This proposition shows the importance of studying the cone  $P$ . That is why we shall now study this cone.

**THEOREM 2.4.**  $\overset{\circ}{P} = \emptyset$ , in the topology given by the (1.1) family of seminorms and  $P$  is a closed set in this topology.

*Proof.* For showing that  $\overset{\circ}{P} = \emptyset$ , in the topology induced by the (1.1) seminorms we suppose that there is  $f \in P$ . Then there are  $n \in \mathbf{N}$  and  $r > 0$ , such as  $f + B_{n,r} \subset P$ , where

$$(2.2) \quad B_{n,r} = \{h \in H(U) : p_n(h) \leq r\}.$$

Two cases are possible.

I.  $f \equiv 0$ . We put in this case  $h(z) = -\frac{rn}{n+1}$ ,  $z \in U$ . We see that  $h(z) \in B_{n,r}$ ,  $\forall n \in \mathbf{N}$ ,  $\forall r > 0$ , but  $h \notin P$ . This is a contradiction. So  $0 \notin P$ .

II.  $f \neq 0$ , on  $U$ . Applying the principle of maximum ([2], p. 142), there is  $z_0 \in \partial U$  with  $f(z_0) \neq 0$ . Two cases are to be considered:

a)  $z_0 \neq -1$ . Let it be then

$$(2.3) \quad h(z) = \frac{r}{n+1} \frac{f(z)}{p_n(f)} \frac{1}{z - z_0},$$

We show that  $h \in B_{n,r}$ :

$$p_n(h) = \max_{U_n} \left| \frac{r}{n+1} \frac{f(z)}{p_n(f)} \frac{1}{z-z_0} \right| = \frac{r}{n-1} \max_{U_n} \frac{|f(z)|}{p_n(f)} \frac{1}{|z-z_0|} \leq \frac{r}{n+1} \frac{1}{|z-z_0|}.$$

But  $|z_0 - z| \geq ||z_0| - |z|| \geq 1 - \frac{n}{n+1} = \frac{1}{n+1}$ , and so

$p_n(h) \leq \frac{r}{n+1} (n+1) = r$ . We show that  $f + h \notin P$ . Let it be  $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$ ,  $z = x + iy$ . Then for  $y = y_0$ , and  $x \leq x_0$ , we have.

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(z) + h(z)) = \operatorname{Re} f(z) \left( 1 + \frac{r}{n+1} \cdot \frac{1}{x-x_0} \right) < 0,$$

because  $\exists \delta > 0$ ,  $|x - x_0| < \delta$  such that  $\left( 1 + \frac{r}{n+1} \frac{1}{x-x_0} \right) < 0$ . So  $f + h \notin P$ , which is a contradiction.

b)  $z_0 = -1$ . We put  $h(z) = \frac{r}{n+1} \frac{f(z)}{p_n(f)} \frac{1}{z-z_0}$ . Reasoning similarly as in the a) case on shows that for  $y = 0$  and  $x \geq -1$ ,  $\exists \delta > 0$ , such that for  $x - 1 < \delta$ , we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(f(z) + h(z)) = \operatorname{Re} f(z) \left( 1 + \frac{r}{n+1} \cdot \frac{1}{x-1} \right) < 0,$$

that is  $f + h \notin P$ . From the a) and b) cases it follows that the case II is impossible too. So  $\tilde{P} = \emptyset$ . It is easy to see that  $P$  is closed in the topology given by the (1.2) family of seminorms. The proof is now complete.

Let it be  $f_0 \in P$ ,  $f_0 \neq 0$ ,  $z \in U$ . Denote:

$$H_{f_0}(U) = \{f \in H(U) : f \text{ is } f_0\text{-measurable}\}.$$

PROPOSITION 2.5.  $H_{f_0}(U)$  is a locally convex space with respect to the  $(q_{n,f_0})_{n \geq 0}$  family of seminorms, where

$$(2.4) \quad q_{n,f_0}(f) = \max_{U_n} \frac{|\operatorname{Re} f(z)|}{\operatorname{Re} f_0(z)},$$

each of these seminorms being induced by the cone  $P$ .

Proof. Let it be  $f \in H_{f_0}(U)$ . Then there are  $t_1, t_2 \geq 0$  such that

$$-t_1 f_0 \leq_P f \leq_P t_2 f_0 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} \operatorname{Re} f + t_1 \operatorname{Re} f_0 \geq 0, \\ t_2 \operatorname{Re} f_0 - \operatorname{Re} f \geq 0 \end{cases}$$

or,

$$-t_1 \leq \frac{|\operatorname{Re} f|}{\operatorname{Re} f_0} \leq t_2.$$

Using the principle of maximum of harmonic functions, ([2], p. 142) we see that for  $\alpha(f)$  and  $\beta(f)$  defined in (1.1), we have

$$\alpha(f) = \beta(f) = \max_{U_n} \frac{|\operatorname{Re} f(z)|}{\operatorname{Re} f_0(z)}.$$

It is easy to see that  $q_{n,f_0}(f) = \max_{U_n} \frac{|\operatorname{Re} f(z)|}{\operatorname{Re} f_0(z)}$ , is a seminorm.

PROPOSITION 2.6.  $P$  is not a normal cone, in the sense of definition given in [6], p. 215.

Proof. If  $P$  would be a normal cone, it would follow that  $P$  is proper in  $H(U)$ , ([6], Corollary 1, p. 216).

But, as we have already seen,  $P$  is not a proper cone.

### 3. The normed space of holomorphic functions on the closed disk.

The  $\tilde{P}_g$  cones.

THEOREM 3.1.  $\tilde{P}_g \supset \{f \in H(\bar{U}) : \operatorname{Re} f(z) g(z) \geq 0, z \in \bar{U}\}$ , and the second set is open in the topology given by (1.3), where  $g \in H(\bar{U})$ .  $P_g$  is closed.

Proof. Let it be  $h_0 \in \tilde{P}_g$ . The continuity of  $\operatorname{Re} g h_0$  on  $\bar{U}$  implies that there exists  $\delta = \min_{z \in \bar{U}} \operatorname{Re} g(z) h_0(z) > 0$ .

We consider  $B = \{h \in H(\bar{U}) : \|h - h_0\| < \frac{\delta}{2G}\}$ , where  $G = \max_{\bar{U}} |g(z)|$ .

We show that  $B \subset \tilde{P}_g$ . We have:

$$|h(z) - h_0(z)| < \frac{\delta}{2G}, \quad z \in \bar{U}$$

and

$$|g(z)| |h(z) - h_0(z)| \leq G |h(z) - h_0(z)| < \frac{\delta}{2}, \quad z \in \bar{U}.$$

So

$$|\operatorname{Re} g h - \operatorname{Re} g h_0| < \frac{\delta}{2}.$$

$$\operatorname{Re} g h > \operatorname{Re} g h_0 - \frac{\delta}{2} > \frac{\delta}{2} > 0, \quad z \in \bar{U}.$$

Similarly to Proposition 2.3 on proves that for  $g \in H(\bar{U})$ ,  $g(z) \neq 0$ ,  $z \in \bar{U}$ , we have  $\tilde{P}_g = \frac{1}{g} \tilde{P}$ , where

$$\tilde{P} = \{f \in H(\bar{U}) : \operatorname{Re} f(z) \geq 0, z \in \bar{U}\}.$$

COROLLARY 3.2.  $H(\bar{U}) = \tilde{P}_g - \tilde{P}_g = \frac{1}{g} \tilde{P} - \frac{1}{g} \tilde{P}$ .

The proof is an immediate consequence of the theorems 2.1 and 3.1.

Denote  $H_f(\bar{U}) = \{f \in H(\bar{U}) : f \text{ is } f_0 - \text{measurable}\}$ .

PROPOSITION 3.3.  $H_{f_0}(\bar{U})$  is a seminormed space where the seminorm is induced by the preorder of  $\tilde{P}$  and is given by

$$p(f) = \max_{\bar{U}} \frac{|\operatorname{Re} f(z)|}{\operatorname{Re} f_0(z)}.$$

REMARK 3.4.  $\tilde{P}$  is not a normal cone in the normed space  $H(\bar{U})$ , in the sense of the definition from [1], p. 17.

The following example shows this.

Let it be  $f_n, g_n \in \tilde{P}$ ,  $f_n(z) = \frac{1}{2n} + i \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}}$ ,  $g_n(z) = \frac{1}{2n} - i \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{4n^2}}$ .

Evidently  $\|f_n\| = \|g_n\| = 1$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ , but  $\|f_n + g_n\| = \frac{1}{n} \rightarrow 0$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ).

#### 4. Extremal directions in the cone $P$ .

DEFINITION 4.1. We call  $x_0 \neq 0$  an extremal point of the cone  $K$  iff  $x_0 = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)y$ ,  $x, y \in K$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ , implies  $x = y$ .

DEFINITION 4.2. The set  $R_{x_0} = \{\lambda x_0 : \lambda \geq 0\}$  is called an extremal ray of the cone  $K$ , generated by the extremal point  $x_0$ .

For finding the extremal directions in the cone  $P$ , the following result will be helpful.

LEMMA 4.3. If  $f: \bar{U} - \{z_0\} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ , with  $z_0 \in \partial U$ , is holomorphic in  $U$  and continuous on  $\partial U - \{z_0\}$ , then:

$$f(z) = 0, z \in \partial U - \{z_0\} \Rightarrow f(z) = 0, z \in \bar{U} - \{z_0\}.$$

Proof. Let it be  $f = u + iv$ . Then  $u, v$  are continuous on  $\partial U - \{z_0\}$ .

Denote  $\Delta_n = \{z \in \mathbf{C} : |z_0 - z| < \frac{1}{n}\}$ ,  $\Omega_n = U \cap \Delta_n$ ,  $C = \partial \Omega_n$ . We consider

$f_n: U - \Omega_n \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ ,  $f_n(z) = f(z)$ ,  $z \in \bar{U} - \Omega_n$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . We have  $\max_{U - \Omega_n} |f_n(z)| = M_n$ , which is reached on  $\partial(U - \Omega_n)$ , and  $M_n \rightarrow 0$ , when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . But by the principle of maximum ([2], p. 142) we have  $|f(z)| = |f_n(z)| \leq M_n$ ,  $z \in \bar{U} - \Omega_n$ . So  $|f(z)| = 0$ ,  $z \in \bar{U} - \{z_0\}$ . Then  $f(z) = 0$ ,  $z \in \bar{U} - \{z_0\}$ .

THEOREM 4.4. The functions

$$(4.3) \quad e_t = \frac{1 + ze^{-it}}{1 - ze^{-it}}, \quad t \in [0, 2\pi]$$

are extremal points in the cone  $P$ .

Proof. Let's suppose that for a fixed  $t$  from  $[0, 2\pi]$  we have the representation

$$(4.4) \quad \frac{1 + ze^{-it}}{1 - ze^{-it}} = \alpha f(z) + (1 - \alpha) g(z),$$

where  $z \in U$ ,  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ ,  $f, g \in P$  and  $f(z) \neq g(z)$ ,  $z \in U$ . It follows from here that

$$\frac{1 + ze^{-it}}{1 - ze^{-it}} = \alpha f(z) + (1 - \alpha) g(z), \quad z \in \bar{U} - \{e^{it}\},$$

which implies

$$(4.5) \quad 0 = \alpha \operatorname{Re} f(z) + (1 - \alpha) \operatorname{Re} g(z), \quad z \in \partial \bar{U} - \{e^{it}\}$$

For  $f, g \in P$  it follows that  $\operatorname{Re} f(z) \geq 0$ ,  $\operatorname{Re} g(z) \geq 0$ . Now, using (4.4) and  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  we have  $\operatorname{Re} f(z) = \operatorname{Re} g(z) = 0$ ,  $z \in \partial U - \{e^{it}\}$ . Using Lemma 4.3. it follows  $\operatorname{Re} f(z) = \operatorname{Re} g(z) = 0$ ,  $z \in \bar{U} - e^{it}$ . But,  $\operatorname{Re} \frac{1 + ze^{-it}}{1 - ze^{-it}} \neq 0$ ,  $z \in U$ , which is a contradiction. So (4.4) holds iff  $f(z) = g(z)$ ,  $z \in U$ .

COROLLARY 4.5. The functions  $\lambda e_t$ ,  $\lambda \geq 0$ , are extremal directions in  $P$ , where  $e_t$  are the functions defined in (4.3).

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Красносельский, М. А., Положительные решения операторных уравнений Москва (1962).
- [2] Могану, П. Т., Funcții complexe, vol. I, Cluj-Napoca, (1974).
- [3] Munteanu, I., Curs și culegere de probleme de analiză funcțională, vol. I, Cluj-Napoca, (1973).
- [4] Peressini, A. L., Ordered topological vector spaces, Harper and Row, New-York, (1969).
- [5] Rudin, W., Functional Analysis, New-York, (1973).
- [6] Schaefer, H. H., Topological vector spaces, New-York, (1966).

Received 2. VI. 1981.

Universitatea Babeș-Bolyai  
Facultatea de matematică  
Str. Kogălniceanu nr. 1  
Cluj-Napoca