L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION Tome 10, N° 2, 1981, pp. 205-209 convex and increasing on the discrete rulervals

(4) (4 usia) - 125 phasyl | (arespectively day, day, day, but 0 = , a worlw for the even values of j = (0, 1, ..., g), and concave and decreasing on the discrets intervals (4) for the orld values of 5 or xonversely; convex and increasing for the old values of joind concleve and decreasing too the We contract suptler how 0 in 10 which is assignful old over aw

INTERPOLATING CONVEX POLYNOMIALS

Proof. We may assume vo = Q . Under the above presunting on y we have $\Delta^{(2)}(y) \neq 0$, $\Delta^{(3)} = 0$, which is Cluj-Napoca)

1. In this paper we define the piecewise retarded convex interpolating polynomials and piecewise anticipated convex interpolating polynomials.

We say that a polynomial P is a piecewise retarded convex interpolating polynomial if $P(x_i) = y_i, i = 0, 1, ..., n$

(2)
$$P(x_i) = y_i, i = 0, 1, ..., n$$

(3)
$$P''(x)[x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}, x_i; y] \ge 0, x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i], i = 3, ..., n$$

 $P''(x)[x_0, x_1, x_2; y] \ge 0, x \in [0, x_2].$

A polynomial Q is called a piecewise anticipated convex interpolating polynomial if it satisfies (2) and

(3')
$$Q''(x)[x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}, x_i; y] \ge 0, x \in [x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}], i = 2, ..., n-1$$

 $Q''(x)[x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_n; y] \ge 0, x \in [x_{n-2}, 1].$

In the following we suppose that the knots $x = (x_i | i = 0, 1, ..., n)$ are equidistant, more precisely $x_i = i/n$, i = 0, 1, ..., n, $x_{-1} = -1/n$ and we note:

$$\Delta_{i}^{(3)}(y)=2[x_{i},\ x_{i+1},\ x_{i+2};\ y]$$
 $\Delta_{i}^{(2)}(y)=(1/n)[x_{i+1},\ x_{i+2};\ y],\ i=-1,\ 0,\ 1,\ \ldots,\ n-2$

INTERPOLATING

MATHEMATICA ... LEINER ILANANSE NURBERTER where $[x_i, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}; y]$ and $[x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}; y]$ are divided differences and = y_0 . On the values y from (1) we suppose that there exists $p \in \mathbb{Z}$, $p \ge 0$ and the indices $0 = k_0 < k_1 < \ldots < k_p < k_{p+1} = n$ such that y will be convex and increasing on the discrets intervals

(4)
$$[x_{k_{j-1}}, x_{k_{j+1}}], \text{ respectively } [x_{k_{j}}, x_{k_{j+1}}],$$

for the even values of $j \in \{0, 1, ..., p\}$, and concave and decreasing on the discrets intervals (4) for the odd values of j, or conversely: convex and increasing for the odd values of j and concave and decreasing for the even values of i.

We have the following:

THEOREM. If the above conditions on x and y are satisfied, there exists then P a piecewise retarded convex interpolating polynomial.

Proof. We may assume $y_0 = 0$. Under the above presumtion on y we have $\Delta_i^{(3)}(\gamma) \neq 0$, $\Delta_i^{(2)} \neq 0$, $i = -1, 0, \ldots, n-2$ and, which is important:

(5)
$$\operatorname{sign} \Delta_{k_{j-1}}^{(3)}(y) = \operatorname{sign} \Delta_{k_{j-1}}^{(2)}(y), \ j = 0, 1, \dots, p$$
Denote

Denote
$$\Delta_{i}(y) = \begin{cases} \Delta_{i}^{(3)}(y) & \text{if } i+1 \not\in \{k_{0}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{p}\} \\ \Delta_{i}^{(2)}(y) & \text{if } i+1 \in \{k_{0}, k_{1}, \ldots, k_{p}\}, \quad i=-1, 0, \ldots, n-2 \end{cases}$$

Using (5) we have sign $\Delta_i(y) = \operatorname{sign} \Delta_i^{(3)}(y)$, $i = -1, 0, \ldots, n-2$. We denote by D the following convex cone of polynomials

(6)
$$D = \{Q \in \mathfrak{A} | Q(x)[x_{i-2}, x_{i-1}, x_i; y] \ge 0, x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i], i = 3, ..., n, Q(x)[x_0, x_1, x_2; y] \ge 0, x \in [0, x_2]\}$$

and we define a linear function $F: D \to \mathbb{R}^n$ by

(7)
$$F(Q) = \left(\int_{0}^{x_i} dt \int_{0}^{t} Q(x) dx\right)_{i=1}^{n} \text{ below the problem of the problem}$$

Clearly F(D) is a convex cone in \mathbb{R}^n . We will prove that $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots$ $\dots, y_n \in F(D).$

 $y_n \in F(D)$. For each $1 \le i \le n$ we construct a vector $\Phi_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ in the following

where $k_j + 1 \le i \le k_{j+1}$, the first i - 1 components are 0 and the last $n - k_{j+1} + 1$ components are equals to $k_{j+1} - i + 1$. We remark that

 $\Delta_i^{cri}(y) = (1/n)[x_{i+1}, x_{i+1} : j \mid j = -1, 0, 1, ..., y = 2]$

 $\Phi_1, \Phi_2, \ldots, \Phi_n$ are linearly independent and therefore they form a base in \mathbb{R}^n . Moreover, for each $z \in \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_{i-2}(z) \Phi_{i} \qquad (OV)$$

where $z_0 = 0$. For each $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ denote $\lambda_i = (\text{sign } \Delta_{i-2}(y)) \Phi_i$. We have $\Phi_i = (\text{sign } \Delta_{i-2}(y)) \lambda_i$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and using (9):

(10)
$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} |\Delta_{i-2}(y)| \lambda_{i}, |\Delta_{i-2}| > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

We construct another base θ in \mathbb{R}^n which is defined by

$$\theta_{i} = \begin{cases} \lambda_{i} - \lambda_{i+1} & \text{if } i \notin \{k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{p}\} \\ \lambda_{i} & \text{if } i \in \{k_{1}, k_{2}, \ldots, k_{p}\}, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, n \end{cases}$$

Clearly if $z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_i \lambda_i$, $b_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ then $z = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \theta_i$, $a_i > 0$, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and in particular using (10). $\Delta_{con}(T(PO)) = \Delta^{(0)}(T(PO)) \approx 0.$

(11)
$$y = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \theta_i, \quad a_i > 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Let us prove that for any $i \in \{1, 2, ..., n\}\theta_i$ is an accumulation point of F(D). Indeed, consider $Q \in D$. By (9) we have

(12)
$$F(Q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta_{i-2}(F(Q)) (\operatorname{sign} \Delta_{i-2}(y)) \lambda_{i}.$$

Using the Weierstrass' polynomial approximation theorem we find for each interval $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$ a polynomial \hat{P} , P > 0 on [0, 1] such that

$$P(x) \cong 0, \ x \not\in [x_{i-1}, \ x_i]$$

$$P(x) \cong 1/\left|\int\limits_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} \mathrm{dt} \int\limits_{x_{i-1}}^{t} Q(x) \mathrm{d}x\right|, \ x \in [x_{i-1}, \ x_i]$$
 Therefore

Therefore
$$P(x) \ Q(x) \cong 0, \ x \not\in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$$

$$P(x) Q(x) \cong Q(x) / \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} dt \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} Q(x) dx \right|, x \in [x_{i-1}, x_i]$$

Then we have all property independent supposed with the refere all property decided in the control of the contr

Then we have
$$\Delta_{i-2}(F(PQ)) \cong \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} dt \int_{x_{i-1}}^{t} \left(Q(x) / \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} dt \right| Q(s) ds \right) dx =$$

$$= \sup_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} Q(x) = \operatorname{sign} \Delta_{i-2}(y)$$

and if $i \notin \{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_p\}$:

$$\Delta_{i-1}(F(PQ)) \cong -\int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} dt \int_{x_{i-1}}^{t} \left(Q(x) / \left| \int_{x_{i-1}}^{x_i} dt \int_{x_{i-1}}^{t} Q(s) ds \right| \right) dx =$$

$$= -\sup_{x \in (x_{i-1}, x_i)} Q(x) = -\operatorname{sign} \Delta_{i-2}(y) = -\operatorname{sign} \Delta_{i-1}(y).$$
If $i \in \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_p\}$ then

$$\{k_p\}$$
 then
$$\Delta_{i-1}(F(PQ)) = \Delta_{i-1}^{(2)}(F(PQ)) \cong 0.$$

Thus $F(PQ) \cong \lambda_i - \lambda_{i+1}$ if $i \notin \{k_1, \ldots, k_p\}$ and $F(PQ) \cong \lambda_i$ if $i \in \{k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_p\}$ and consequently for any $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ θ_i is an accumulation point of F(D). So, going back to (11) we can find a base $\overline{\theta}$ in \mathbf{R}^n formed by elements of F(D) such that $y = \sum_{i=1}^n b_i \overline{\theta}_i$, $b_i > 0$, $i=1,\ 2,\ \ldots,\ n$ and consequently $y=(y_1,\ y_2,\ \ldots,\ y_n)\in F(D)$. Thus, y=F(Q) for some $Q\in D$ and

Using the Weierstrass polynomial
$$P(x) = \int_0^x dt \int_0^t Q(s) ds$$
 and the fact that

P(x) 2 0 v 2 v 0 E(x) T is the desired polynomial.

REMARKS. 1. The piecewise retarded convex interpolating polynomial P found in the proof of our theorem is monotone on each of the intervals

 $[x_{k_{j}+1}, x_{k_{j+1}}], j = 0, 1, \ldots, p.$

in tell settlet 2. If y is convex on the equidistant points x, there exists then an interpolating convex polynomial. Indeed, let us add to y a linear polynomial P_1 such that $y+P_1$ be increasing on x. Clearly the convexity of y is not affected by P_1 . Now, if we apply the above theorem to $y + P_1$ we find a polynomial P. It is easy to see that $P-P_1$ is the desired interpolating convex polynomial.

3. If f is a convex continuous real function on [0, 1], then f is the uniform limit of a sequence of convex polynomials on $[0, 1]P_*$ which interpolates f on the knots $\{i/n|i=0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ respectively $(n \in \mathbb{N})$.

4. Similar results can be obtained for the piecewise anticipated convex

interpolating polynomials.

REFERENCES

- [1] Young, S., Piecewise monotone interpolations. Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 73, 642-643
- [2] Iliev, G. L., Exact estimates for monotone interpolations. J. Approximation Theory, 28, 2, 101-112 (1980).
- [3] I v a n. M., Polinoame de interpolare monotone, Lucrările seminarului itinerant de ecuații functionale, aproximare, și convexitate, Timișoara 1980.

configuration and you have the function of the district of the R get differ P.

so the P. within at Physical Self of mode one will a self on your time.

Received 5.III.1981.

Grupul scolar Traian Vuia Bul. Lenin Cluj-Napoca

6 - L'analyse numerique et la théorie de l'approximation - Tome 10, No. 2, 1981;