

ESTIMATES OF THE DEGREE OF COMONOTONE
INTERPOLATING POLYNOMIALS

by

RADU PRECUP

(Cluj-Napoca)

1. Let there be given $m \in \mathbf{N}$, $m \geq 1$ and the points:

$$(x) \quad 0 = x_0 < x_1 < \dots < x_m = 1,$$

$$(y) \quad 0 = y_0, y_1, \dots, y_m \in \mathbf{R}.$$

We suppose that $\Delta_i(y) = y_i - y_{i-1} \neq 0$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

s. w. YOUNG [1] has proved the existence of a polynomial P satisfying the following two conditions:

$$(1) \quad P(x_i) = y_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m$$

$$(2) \quad P'(x)\Delta_i(y) > 0, \quad x \in]x_{i-1}, x_i[, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

Such a polynomial is named comonotone interpolating polynomial.

An estimate of the degree of comonotone interpolating polynomials can be found in [2]. Other estimates have been established [3], [4], [5], [6], [7], only for the particular cases:

$$(3) \quad y_i < y_{i+1}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1; \text{ or } y_i > y_{i+1}, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, m-1$$

and

$$(4) \quad 0 = x_0 < \dots < x_k = 1/2 < x_{k+1} < \dots < x_m = 1,$$

$$1 \geq y_0 > \dots > y_k = 0 < y_{k+1} < \dots < y_m \leq 1.$$

The purpose of this note is to show how we can continue, from a constructive viewpoint, the proof of Young's existence theorem, for to obtain estimates of the degree of comonotone interpolating polynomials.

After, we show that Nikolčeva's estimate [4], [5] for the particular case (3) can be adapted for the general case.

2. To prove the existence of a polynomial which satisfies (1) and (2), Young constructs the following convex cone of polynomials:

$$(5) \quad D = \{Q \in \mathfrak{E} \mid Q(x) \cdot \Delta_i(y) > 0, x \in]x_{i-1}, x_i[, i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$$

and he considers the function F defined on the $[0, 1]$ - integrable real functions set, with values in \mathbf{R}^m :

$$(6) \quad F(f) = \left(\int_0^{x_i} f(x) dx \right)_{1 \leq i \leq m} \in \mathbf{R}^m.$$

It is obvious that $F(D) \subset \mathbf{R}^m$ is a convex cone.

After, he shows: $y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m)^T \in F(D)$.

For, he observes that

$$(7) \quad y = \sum_{j=1}^m |\Delta_j(y)| \lambda_j$$

where $\lambda_j = (0, 0, \dots, 0, \sigma_j, \dots, \sigma_j)^T \in \mathbf{R}^m$ has the first $j-1$ components null, and $\sigma_j = \text{sign } \Delta_j(y)$.

Because $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$ is a base for \mathbf{R}^m , $a_j = |\Delta_j(y)| > 0, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, and $\bar{\lambda}_j \in \overline{F(D)}, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, a new base for \mathbf{R}^m : $\bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\lambda}_2, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_m \in \overline{F(D)}$ can be found such that

$$(8) \quad y = \sum_{j=1}^m (a_j + \bar{a}_j) \bar{\lambda}_j, \quad a_j + \bar{a}_j > 0, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

and consequently $y \in F(D)$. Let $Q \in D$ be such that $y = F(Q)$, then $P(x) =$

$$= \int_0^x Q(x) dx \text{ is the required polynomial.}$$

Let us denote by A and $A + \bar{A}$ the matrices having $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_m$, respectively $\bar{\lambda}_1, \bar{\lambda}_2, \dots, \bar{\lambda}_m$, as columns. If we put

$$a = (|\Delta_1(y)|, \dots, |\Delta_m(y)|)^T, \quad a + \bar{a} = (a_1 + \bar{a}_1, \dots, a_m + \bar{a}_m)^T$$

then the systems (7) and (8) can be written under the following form:

$$(7') \quad y = Aa, \quad a > 0$$

$$(8') \quad y = (A + \bar{A})(a + \bar{a}).$$

A first problem on the systems (7') and (8') is that of determining the delimitation for the norm of the perturbation \bar{A} under which (8') has a positive solution $a + \bar{a}$.

To solve this problem we observe that

$$a = A^{-1}(A + \bar{A})(a + \bar{a})$$

or, equivalently $a = (I + A^{-1}\bar{A})(a + \bar{a})$, and we infer from this that

$$(9) \quad \bar{a} = -(I + A^{-1}\bar{A})^{-1}(A^{-1}\bar{A}a).$$

The existence of the inverse $(I + A^{-1}\bar{A})^{-1}$ follows from a well known C. NEUMANN's theorem (see [10], theorem 2, p. 69) if the following condition holds:

$$(10) \quad \|A^{-1}\bar{A}\| < 1.$$

Moreover, in this case, we have

$$(11) \quad \|(I + A^{-1}\bar{A})^{-1}\| \leq \frac{1}{1 - \|A^{-1}\bar{A}\|}.$$

From (9) and (11) we obtain

$$(12) \quad \|\bar{a}\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} |\bar{a}_j| \leq \frac{\|A^{-1}\bar{A}\|}{1 - \|A^{-1}\bar{A}\|} \|a\|.$$

If we put $\alpha = \|a\| = \max_{1 \leq j \leq m} a_j$, and $\beta = \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} a_j > 0$,

then a sufficient condition in order to have $a + \bar{a} > 0$ is that $\|\bar{a}\| < \beta$. In virtue of (12) the last relation takes place if:

$$\frac{\|A^{-1}\bar{A}\|}{1 - \|A^{-1}\bar{A}\|} \alpha < \beta,$$

from where we finally get

$$(13) \quad \|A^{-1}\bar{A}\| < \frac{\beta}{\alpha + \beta}.$$

We remark that the relation (13) assures (10).

Thus (13) is a sufficient condition in order that (8') has a positive solution $a + \bar{a}$ (whenever (7') has an unique positive solution a).

Remark. The anterior result has been directly proved, without using C. NEUMANN's theorem, in [7] (see also [4]).

3. The second problem on the systems (7') and (8') is that of constructing approximations $\bar{\lambda}_j \in \overline{F(D)}$, of the vectors $\lambda_j \in F(D), j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, in a such way that (13) will be satisfied.

If $Q \in D$ is a fixed polynomial and s_j are the functions

$$(14) \quad s_j(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \notin [x_{j-1}, x_j] \\ c_j, & x \in [x_{j-1}, x_j], \end{cases}$$

where $c_j = 1 / \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} |Q|(x) dx$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

then we see that

$$(15) \quad \lambda_j = F(s_j Q), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

S. W. YOUNG [1] indicates the Weierstrass's approximation theorem for to approximate "uniformly" the functions s_j by positive polynomials \bar{s}_j ; thus his approximations of the vectors λ_j are $\bar{\lambda}_j = F(\bar{s}_j Q)$.

Clearly to construct $\bar{\lambda}_j$ it is not necessary to approximate "uniformly" s_j , it suffices to approximate uniformly the functions $\int_0^x s_j(t) Q(t) dt$ by comonotone polynomials. This is done by M. NIKOLČEVA and G. ILIEV [4], [5], [6], [7] under the assumption (3) (in [6], [7] the case (4) is also studied). In the general case this idea permits to M. IVAN [8] to avoid the use of Weierstrass's theorem in the proof of the existence Young's theorem, but no estimates are made.

Next we show that the Nikolčeva's estimates on the degree of monotone interpolating polynomials can be adapted for the general case.

LEMMA (M. Nikolčeva). *If $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 0$ are such that $1 \leq k \leq n/(21 \ln n)$ then there exists a polynomial, $A_{n,k}$ of degree $\leq 2n$ which satisfies the following three conditions:*

$$(16) \quad A_{n,k}(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in [-1, 1],$$

$$(17) \quad A_{n,k}(x) \leq 2e^{2n-2k+1}, \quad \lambda_{k,n} \leq |x| \leq 1, \quad \lambda_{k,n} = kn^{-1} \ln n$$

$$(18) \quad \int_{-\lambda_{k,n}}^{\lambda_{k,n}} A_{n,k}(x) dx \geq 1.$$

Let δ be such that

$$(19) \quad \lambda_{k,n} \leq \delta/2 \leq \min_{1 \leq j \leq n} \Delta_j(x)/2$$

and let us denote

$$(20) \quad d_j = 1 / \int_{x_{j-1}}^{x_j} A_{n,k}(t) |Q|\left(t + \frac{x_{j-1} + x_j}{2}\right) dt.$$

We look for the comonotone interpolating polynomial in the following form :

$$(21) \quad P_{n,k}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^m (a_j + \bar{a}_j) \int_0^x d_j A_{n,k}\left(t - \frac{x_{j-1} + x_j}{2}\right) Q(t) dt.$$

Therefore, the elements of $A + \bar{A} = (\alpha_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$ are

$$(22) \quad \alpha_{ij} = \int_0^x d_j A_{n,k}\left(t - \frac{x_{j-1} + x_j}{2}\right) Q(t) dt, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m.$$

Now, if we observe that $A^{-1} = (\sigma_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$, where

$$\sigma_{ij} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } i \neq j+1 \\ \sigma_i, & \text{if } i = j \\ -\sigma_i, & \text{if } i = j+1, \end{cases}$$

then by (22) it follows that the elements of $I + A^{-1}A = (\beta_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}$ are

$$(23) \quad \beta_{ij} = \int_{x_{i-1}}^x d_j A_{n,k}\left(t - \frac{x_{j-1} + x_j}{2}\right) |Q|(t) dt, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m.$$

We shall determine n such that (13) will be satisfied. More precisely we shall establish the following:

THEOREM. *Let $Q \in D$, $n, k \in \mathbb{N}$, $n > 0$. If k, δ, q, n are such that:*

$$(24) \quad 1 \leq k \leq n/(21 \ln n)$$

$$(25) \quad 2kn^{-1} \ln n \leq \delta < \min_{1 \leq j \leq m} \Delta_j(x)$$

$$(26) \quad 0 < q \leq |Q|(x) \text{ for any } x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^m \left[\frac{x_{i-1} + x_i}{2} - \frac{\delta}{2}, \frac{x_{i-1} + x_i}{2} + \frac{\delta}{2} \right]$$

$$(27) \quad \ln n > (2k-1)^{-1} \ln \left(2e^4 \frac{\alpha + \beta}{\beta} \cdot \frac{\|Q\|}{q} \cdot m \right),$$

then there are $a_j + \bar{a}_j > 0$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$, such that the polynomial $P_{n,k}$ given by (21) satisfies (1) and (2), and

$$(28) \quad \text{degree } P_{n,k} \leq 2n + 1 + \text{degree } Q.$$

Proof. Let us give estimates for β_{ij} , $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. We begin with the case $i = j$; we have

$$\beta_{ii} = \int_{-\Delta_i(x)/2}^{\Delta_i(x)/2} d_i A_{n,k}(t) |Q| \left(t + \frac{x_{i-1} + x_i}{2} \right) dt =$$

$$= \int_{-\delta/2}^{-\Delta_i(x)/2} d_i A_{n,k}(t) |Q| \left(t + \frac{x_{i-1} + x_i}{2} \right) dt +$$

$$+ \int_{-\delta/2}^{\delta/2} d_i A_{n,k}(t) |Q| \left(t + \frac{x_{i-1} + x_i}{2} \right) dt + \int_{\delta/2}^{\Delta_i(x)/2} d_i A_{n,k}(t) |Q| \left(t + \frac{x_{i-1} + x_i}{2} \right) dt$$

and hence, since by (20) the second term is equal to 1, we have on using lemma and (25),

$$|\beta_{ii} - 1| \leq \|Q\| d_i (\Delta_i(x) - \delta) 2e^k n^{-2k+1}.$$

From (20), (26) (25) and (18) we see that $d_i \leq 1/q$ and so

$$(29) \quad |\beta_{ii} - 1| \leq \frac{\|Q\|}{q} 2e^k n^{-2k+1}.$$

To give such estimates for β_{ij} when $i \neq j$ let write β_{ij} in the following form:

$$(30) \quad \beta_{ij} = \int_{x_{i-1} - (x_{j-1} + x_j)/2}^{x_i - (x_{j-1} + x_j)/2} d_j A_{n,k}(t) |Q| \left(t + \frac{x_{j-1} + x_j}{2} \right) dt.$$

If $i > j$ we have

$$\delta/2 < \Delta_{i-1}(x)/2 = x_{i-1} - \frac{x_{i-2} + x_{i-1}}{2} \leq x_{i-1} - \frac{x_{j-1} + x_j}{2} =$$

$$= x_i - \frac{x_{j-1} + x_j}{2} - \Delta_i(x).$$

Therefore by (30)

$$(31) \quad \beta_{ij} \leq \frac{\|Q\|}{q} 2e^k n^{-2k+1}.$$

If $i < j$ we have

$$-\delta/2 > -\Delta_{i+1}(x)/2 = x_i - \frac{x_i + x_{i+1}}{2} \geq x_i - \frac{x_{j-1} + x_j}{2} =$$

$$= x_{i-1} - \frac{x_{j-1} + x_j}{2} + \Delta_i(x)$$

and consequently the estimates (31) are also true.

Now (29) and (31) yield

$$(32) \quad \|A^{-1}\bar{A}\| \leq \frac{\|Q\|}{q} \cdot 2e^k n^{-2k+1} \cdot m.$$

Lastly let us observe by (32) that to have (13) it suffices to have (27). This completes the proof.

Remarks. It is obvious that we can take $Q \in D$ such that degree Q will be equal to the number of monotony changes of (y) .

We obtain Nikolčeva's results when (y) satisfies (3) and therefore $Q \equiv 1$.

REFERENCES

- [1] Young, S. W., *Piecewise monotone interpolations*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., **73**, 643--645 (1967).
- [2] Passow, E., Raymon, L., *The degree of piecewise monotone interpolations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **48**, 2, 409--411 (1975).
- [3] Passow, E., *An improved estimate of the degree of monotone interpolation*, J. Approx. Th., **17**, 115--118 (1976).
- [4] Nikolčeva, M., *Monotone interpolation and its applic. to parametric approx.*, C.R. Acad. Bulgare Sci., **29**, 469 (1976).
- [5] Nikolčeva, M., *Interpolation of monotone and convex functions*, Proceedings Intern. Conf. Constr. Funct. Th. Blagoevgrad, May 30 - June 6, 1977, Sofia 1980, 437--442.
- [6] Iliev, G., *Partially monotone interp.*, Serdica, **4**, 2/3, 267 (1978).
- [7] Iliev, G., *Exact estimates for monotone interpolation*, J. Approx. Th., **28**, 2, 101--112 (1980).
- [8] Ivan, M., *Polinoame de interpolare monotone*, Lucr. Sem. Itin. Ec. Funct., Aprox., Conv., Timișoara, 7-8 nov. 1980, 119--123.
- [9] Precup, R., *Estimări ale gradului polinoamelor de interpolare comonotone*, Lucr. Sem. Itin. Ec. Funct., Aprox., Conv., Cluj-Napoca, 10-12 dec. 1981, 321--326.
- [10] Yosida, K., *Functional Analysis*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1965.

Received 18.XII.1981.

Grupul școlar
Traian Vuia
Bd. Lenin
3400 Cluj-Napoca