

SOME PRACTICAL APPROXIMATION METHODS
FOR NONLINEAR EQUATIONS

by

GH. COMAN

(Cluj-Napoca)

1. The goal of this paper is to construct some simple procedure for the approximation of the solutions of a given nonlinear equation, with practical facilities and with a good efficiency.

Let $f: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, with $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}$ be a given function and one considers the equation

$$(1) \quad f(x) = 0, \quad x \in \Omega.$$

Let, also, $F: D \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$, where $D \subset \Omega^n$, be an approximation method, a F -method, of the solutions of the equation (1) and

$$(2) \quad x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n, \dots$$

with $x_i = F(x_{i-n}, \dots, x_{i-1})$, the sequence generated by F , for a given $(x_0, \dots, x_{n-1}) \in D$.

If $x^* \in \Omega$ is a solution of (1), the number $p = p(F)$ with the property that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x^* - F(x_{i-n+1}, \dots, x_i)}{(x^* - x_i)^p} = C \neq 0,$$

where C is a constant, is named the convergence order of F and C is the asymptotic error.

A F -method depends of some informations about the function f . Usually, these information are values of the function f and certain of its derivatives at some previous approximations. Next, will be considered only this case.

Let $v_i = v_i(F)$ be the evaluation number of $f^{(i)}$ and $C_i = C_i(f)$ the number of arithmetic operations for one evaluation of $f^{(i)}$, if $f^{(i)}$ is a rational

function or the number of arithmetic operations for the approximation of the function $f^{(i)}$ with a given error, if it is not rational.

The value [5]

$$(3) \quad CP(F; f) = \sum_i v_i C_i + C(F)$$

where $C(F)$ is the combinatorial cost, is named the complexity of the method F .

The value

$$(4) \quad E(F; f) = \frac{\log_2 p(F)}{CP(F; f)}$$

where $p(F)$ is the convergence order of F is named the efficiency of F .

It is also important to mention that for large classes of functions the methods with a good efficiency are the simple methods, as the Newton like methods, the secant method etc.

Next, will be studied such simple methods, generated by the inverse interpolation of Birkhoff type procedure.

2. Let $x^* \in \Omega$ be a solution of the equation (1) and $V(x^*)$ a neighbourhood of x^* . One supposes that the function f has an inverse $g = f^{-1}$ on $V(x^*)$.

Now, if $y_k = f(x_k)$, where $x_k \in V(x^*)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$, are approximations of x^* , $r_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $I_k = \{0, 1, \dots, r_k\}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$, then if there exist $g^{(j)}(y_k)$, $j \in I_k$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$, one considers the Birkhoff type interpolation problem: to determine the polynomial P of minimum degree which satisfies the conditions:

$$P^{(j)}(y_k) = g^{(j)}(y_k), \quad j \in I_k, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, m.$$

It is known [3] that, if the functionals $g^{(j)}(y_k)$, $j \in I_k$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ possesses the interpolation property, then the interpolating polynomial P exists and it is unique. Let B_n be the corresponding interpolation operator, with n the degree of the interpolating polynomial.

We have

$$(B_n g)(y) = \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{j \in I_k} b_{kj}(y) g^{(j)}(y_k)$$

where b_{kj} are the fundamental interpolating polynomials, i.e.

$$b_{kj}^{(p)}(y_v) = 0, \quad k \neq v, \quad p \in I_v,$$

$$b_{kj}^{(p)}(y_k) = \delta_{pj}, \quad p \in I_k,$$

for $k, v = 0, 1, \dots, m$, $j \in I_k$.

We also have the corresponding interpolation formula

$$(5) \quad g = B_n g + R_n g$$

where $R_n g$ is the remainder term.

Using the property that $B_n h = h$, for any $h \in P_n$ — the set of all polynomials of the degree at most n , it follows that for $g \in C^{n+1}[V(0)]$, where $V(0) = f(V(x^*))$,

$$(R_n g)(y) = \int_{V(0)} \varphi(t; y) f^{(n+1)}(t) dt$$

where

$$\varphi(t; y) = \frac{1}{n!} \left\{ (y - t)_+^n - \sum_{k=0}^m \sum_{j \in I_k} b_{kj}(y) [(y - t)_+^{r_k}]_{y=y_k}^{(j)} \right\}.$$

Taking into account that $x^* = g(0) = (B_n g)(0) + (R_n g)(0)$, $(B_n g)(0)$ defines a new approximation to x^* . Hence

$$(6) \quad F(x_0, \dots, x_m) = (B_n g)(0)$$

is an approximation method for x^* .

Next, we consider some particular cases.

Case 1. $m = 1$, $r_0 = 1$, $r_1 = 0$, $I_0 = \{1\}$, $I_1 = \{0\}$.

The interpolation formula (5) becomes

$$g(y) = (B_1 g)(y) + (R_1 g)(y),$$

where

$$(B_1 g)(y) = (y - y_1) g'(y_0) + g(y_1)$$

and for $y_0 < y_1$

$$(6') \quad (R_1 g)(y) = \frac{1}{2} (y - y_1)(y + y_1 - 2y_0) g''(\eta)$$

with $\eta \in [y_0, y_1]$, for any $y \in [y_0, y_1]$. In this way, we obtain the method F_1 defined by

$$F_1(x_0, x_1) = x_1 - \frac{f(x_1)}{f'(x_0)}.$$

THEOREM 1. Let

i) $f(x_0) < 0 < f(x_1)$

ii) $f'(x)$ exists, it is finite and $f'(x) > 0$ for $x \in [x_0, x_1[$.

iii) $f''(x)$ exists, it is finite and $f''(x) \leq 0$ for $x_0 < x < x_1$.

Then

1) the equation (1) has a unique solution x^* , with $x_0 < x^* < x_1$.

2) the sequence (x_n) defined by the method F_1 , i.e.

$$(7) \quad x_{n+1} = F_1(x_0, x_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

converges to x^* . Furthermore, we have

$$(8) \quad x^* \leq x_{n+1} \leq x_n, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. The existence and the uniqueness follow immediately from the assumptions i) and ii).

By induction, first we prove the inequalities (8). Indeed, we have $x^* < x_1$. Suppose that $x^* \leq x_n$. Then, using the remainder expression (6), one obtains

$$x^* - x_{n+1} = (R_1 g)(0) = \frac{1}{2} f(x_n) [f(x_n) - 2f(x_0)] \frac{f''(\xi_n)}{[f'(\xi_n)]^3}$$

and, from the inequalities $f(x_n) \geq 0$ ($x_n \geq x^*$), $f(x_0) < 0$, $f'(x) > 0$, $f''(x) \leq 0$ for $x \in]x_0, x_1[$, it follows that $x^* - x_{n+1} \leq 0$, i.e. $x^* \leq x_{n+1}$.

From (7) it also follows that

$$x_{n+1} - x_n = -\frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_0)} \leq 0, \text{ i.e. } x_{n+1} \leq x_n \text{ and (8) is proved.}$$

From (8), we have that the sequence (x_n) is decreasing and bounded, so it is convergent. Let \tilde{x}^* be its limit. Then (7) implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_0)} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_{n+1} - x_n) = 0$$

hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = f(\tilde{x}^*) = 0$ and $x_0 < \tilde{x}^* < x_1$. From the uniqueness

of x^* it follows that $\tilde{x}^* = x^*$ and the theorem is completely proved.

The utility of the method F_1 grows up if it is combined with Newton's method.

COROLLARY 1. In the assumptions of theorem 1, the sequence

$$x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots$$

generated by the iteration

$$(9) \quad x_{2n} = x_{2n-2} - \frac{f(x_{2n-2})}{f'(x_{2n-2})}, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$(10) \quad x_{2n+1} = x_{2n-1} - \frac{f(x_{2n-1})}{f'(x_{2n-2})}, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

converges to x^* . Furthermore, $x^* \in [x_{n-1}, x_n]$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Indeed, the iteration (9) is just Newton's method, which in the given conditions satisfy the inequalities $x_n \leq x_{n+1} \leq x^*$, $n = 0, 1, \dots$, while by theorem 1, we have $x^* \leq x_{n+1} \leq x_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$.

Remark 1. The combined method (9)–(10) has the advantage that both components need the evaluation of the first derivative f' at the same point.

Remark 2. A more simple combined method is obtained from (9)–(10) for x_0 fix, i.e.

$$x_{2n} = x_{2n-2} - \frac{f(x_{2n-2})}{f'(x_0)}, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$x_{2n+1} = x_{2n-1} - \frac{f(x_{2n-1})}{f'(x_0)}, n = 1, 2, \dots$$

which uses the evaluation of the first derivative only at x_0 .

This last method is very useful for the problems with a large evaluation cost of f' .

Case 2. $m = 2$, $r_0 = 1$, $r_1 = 1$, $I_0 = \{1\}$, $I_1 = \{0, 1\}$. The interpolation formula is

$$g(y) = (B_2 g)(y) + (R_2 g)(y)$$

with

$$(11) \quad (B_2 g)(y) = \frac{(y-y_1)^2}{2(y_0-y_1)} g'(y_0) + g(y_1) + \frac{(y-y_1)(-y+2y_0-y_1)}{2(y_0-y_1)} g'(y_1)$$

and

$$(R_2 g)(y) = \int_{y_0}^{y_1} \varphi(y; t) g'''(t) dt$$

where

$$\varphi(y; t) = \frac{(y-t)^2}{2} - \frac{(y-y_1)(2y_0-y-y_1)}{2(y_0-y_1)} (y_1-t) - \frac{(y_1-t)^2}{2}.$$

As φ does not change the sign on $[y_0, y_1]$ we obtain, for $g \in C^3[y_0, y_1]$

$$(12) \quad (R_2 g)(y) = \frac{1}{12} (y-y_1)^2 (2y+y_1-3y_0) g'''(\eta)$$

with $y_0 \leq \eta \leq y_1$.

Thus, we have the method F_2 defined by

$$(13) \quad F_2(u, v) = v - \frac{f(v)}{2[f(v)-f(u)]} \left[\frac{f(v)-2f(u)}{f'(v)} + \frac{f(v)}{f'(u)} \right]$$

and

$$(14) \quad x^* - F_2(u, v) = \frac{1}{12} f^2(v) [f(v) - 3f(u)] g'''(\eta')$$

where η' is in the interval defined by u and v .

THEOREM 2. If f satisfies the assumptions of theorem 1, $g'''(y) \leq 0$ for $y \in [y_0, y_1]$ and (x_n) is the sequence generated by F_2 with the initial values x_0, x_1 , i.e.

$$x_{n+1} = F_2(x_0, x_n), n = 1, 2, \dots$$

hen:

- 1°. $x^* \leq x_{n+1} \leq x_n$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$
- 2°. if $x_0 := x_1$; $x_1 := x_0$, then $x_{n+1} \leq x_n \leq x^*$, $n = 1, 2, \dots$
- 3°. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$.

Proof. It follows easy by induction. Let us consider in detail the case 1°. We have $x^* < x_1$. Suppose that $x^* \leq x_n$. Then, from (14), one obtains

$$x^* - x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{12} f^2(x_n) [f(x_n) - 3f(x_0)] g'''(\eta_n) \leq 0, \text{ i.e. } x^* \leq x_{n+1}.$$

From (13), we also have

$$(15) \quad x_{n+1} - x_n = -\frac{f(x_n)}{2[f(x_n) - f(x_0)]} \left[\frac{f(x_n) - 2f(x_0)}{f'(x_n)} + \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_0)} \right] \leq 0$$

for any $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Thus 1° is proved. On the same way can be proved 2°. To prove 3° we also consider first case 1°. Then, the sequence (x_n) is decreasing and bounded, hence convergent. Let \tilde{x}^* be its limit. From (15) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f(x_n)}{2[f(x_n) - f(x_0)]} \left[\frac{f(x_n) - 2f(x_0)}{f'(x_n)} + \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_0)} \right] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - x_{n+1}) = 0$$

i.e. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \delta_n f(x_n) = 0$ with $\delta_n > 0$ for any $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = 0 = f(\tilde{x}^*)$. As $x^* \in]x_0, x_1[$, it follows that $\tilde{x}^* = x^*$, x^* being the unique solution of the equation (1) in this interval.

In the case 2°, the proof of 3° is analogous.

Remark 3. The cases 1° and 2° can be combined to approximate x^* from both parts.

Case 3. $m = 2$, $r_0 = 0$, $r_1 = 1$, $I_0 = \{0\}$, $I_1 = \{0, 1\}$.

In this case we have a Hermite interpolation problem. The interpolation formula is

$$(16) \quad g(y) = (H_2g)(y) + (R_2g)(y)$$

where

$$(H_2g)(g) = \frac{(y - y_1)^2}{(y_0 - y_1)^2} g(y_0) + \frac{(y - y_0)(2y_1 - y - y_0)}{(y_0 - y_1)^2} g(y_1) + \frac{(y - y_0)(y - y_1)}{y_0 - y_1} g'(y_1)$$

and

$$(R_2g)(y) = \frac{(y - y_0)(y - y_1)^2}{6} g'''(\eta), \quad y_0 \leq \eta \leq y_1.$$

Let F_H be the iteration method generated by (14), i.e.

$$(17) \quad F_H(x_0, x_1) = x_1 - \left[\frac{f(x_1)}{f(x_0) - f(x_1)} \right]^2 (x_1 - x_0) - \frac{f(x_1)}{f(x_0) - f(x_1)} \frac{f(x_0)}{f'(x_1)}$$

and

$$(18) \quad x^* - F_H(x_0, x_1) = -\frac{f(x_0)f^2(x_1)}{6} g'''(\eta_0).$$

THEOREM 3. If f satisfies the conditions of theorem 1, $g'''(y) \geq 0$ for $y \in [y_0, y_1]$ and (x_n) is the sequence generated by

$$x_{n+1} = F_H(x_{n-1}, x_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

then x^* belongs to the interval defined by two consecutive approximations, i.e. $(x^* - x_n)(x^* - x_{n+1}) \leq 0$ for any $n = 1, 2, \dots$, and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$.

Proof. By (17)–(18) and the hypothesis of theorem, it follows that $x_2 < x_1$ and $x_2 \leq x^*$. As $f(x_2) < 0$ ($f(x_2) = 0$ means that $x^* = x_2$) and $f(x_1) > 0$, (17)–(18) imply that $x_3 > x_2$ and $x^* \leq x_3$. Let now suppose that $x_{n-1} \leq x^* \leq x_n$. Then $f(x_{n-1}) \leq 0$, $f(x_n) \geq 0$ and it follows that $x_{n+1} \leq x_n$ and $x_{n+1} \leq x^*$, hence the first conclusion is proved. To prove that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$, we observe by the construction of F_H that $x_n \in]x_0, x_1[$

for any $n = 2, \dots$, i.e. the sequence (x_n) is bounded, hence it contains a subsequence (x_{n_k}) with $x_{n_k} \rightarrow \tilde{x}^*$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Thus, $x_{n_{k+1}} - x_{n_k} \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and from (17), we have $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} f(x_{n_k}) = 0 = f(\tilde{x}^*)$, with $\tilde{x}^* \in]x_0, x_1[$.

Also, the uniqueness of x^* implies $\tilde{x}^* = x^*$.

COROLLARY 2. If in theorem 3 the condition $g''' \geq 0$ is changes by $g''' \leq 0$ then:

1°. if (x_n) is defined by the formula

$$(19) \quad x_{n+1} = F_H(x_0, x_n), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

then

$$x^* \leq x_{n+1} \leq x_n, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

2°. if (x_n) is also generated by (19) but with $x_0 := x_1$ and $x_1 := x_0$, then

$$x_n \leq x_{n+1} \leq x^*, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

3°. in each cases, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$.

The proof is a simple verification based on the relations (17)–(18)

REFERENCES

- [1] Baláz s, M., Munteanu I., *A unification of Newton's methods for solving equations*, *Mathematica*, **21** (44), 117—122 (1979).
- [2] Coman, Gh., Pavel, G., Rus, A. I.: *An introduction in the theory of operatorial equations*. (Rumanian), Ed. Dacia, Cluj-Napoca 1976.
- [3] Davis, Ph. J., *Interpolation and Approximation*, Blaisdel Pub. Comp., New York, Toronto, London 1965.
- [4] Păvăloiu, I., *The solution of the equations by interpolation* (Rumanian). Ed. Dacia, Cluj-Napoca, 1981.
- [5] Traub, J. F., *Theory of optimal algorithms*, In: *Software for numerical mathematics* (ed. Evans D.J.), Acad. Press, London, New York, pp. 1—13, 1873.
- [6] Traub, J. F., *Iterative methods for the solution of equations*, Prentice-Hall, New York, 1964

Received 17.XII.1981.

Universitatea Babeş-Bolyai
Facultatea de matematică
3400 Cluj-Napoca
Str. Kogălniceanu 1