

ON A METHOD OF THIRD ORDER IN FRÉCHET SPACES

by

M. BALÁZS

(Cluj-Napoca)

Let X be a real Fréchet space with a quasinorm induced by an invariant distance d i.e. $\|x\| = d(x, 0)$ (see e.g. [4, p. 14]) and $P: X \rightarrow X$ a continuous mapping. We shall note by $[x', x''; P]$ and $[x', x'', x'''; P]$ the symmetrical divided difference of the first, respectively the second order of the mapping P in the points $x', x'', x''' \in X$. Let's consider the equation

$$(1) \quad P(x) = x - \Phi(x) = 0.$$

If we have the sequence (x_n) in X , then the sequence (u_n) is defined by $u_n = \Phi(x_n)$, and $\Gamma_n = [x_n, u_n; P]^{-1}$ the inverse of the linear mapping $[x_n, u_n; P]$, if it exists. There exist the results concerning the existence of the solution of the equation (1) in Banach spaces [1], as the limit of the sequence given by

$$(2) \quad x_{n+1} = x_n - \Gamma_n [P(x_n) + P(x_n - \Gamma_n P(x_n))], \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

The purpose of this paper is to generalize these results for the case of the Fréchet spaces.

Remark. In this paper we use the quasinorm of the linear mappings L having Lipschitz-property i.e. there exists $M > 0$ so that for every

$$x \in X, \|L(x)\| \leq M\|x\|$$

and

$$\|L\| = \inf \{M > 0 : \|L(x)\| \leq M\|x\| \text{ for every } x \in X\}.$$

THEOREM. We suppose that there is a point $x_0 \in X$ and the numbers B_0, d_0, K and M so that:

(i) there exists $\Gamma_0 = [x_0, u_0; P]^{-1}$ and $\|\Gamma_0\| \leq B_0$;

(ii) $\|\Gamma_0\| \cdot \|P(x_0)\| = \|\Gamma_0\| \cdot \|x_0 - \Phi(x_0)\| \leq B_0 \|x_0 - u_0\| \leq d_0$;

- (iii) $\sup \{ \|[x', x''; \Phi]\| : x', x'' \in \bar{S} \} \leq M$ and
 $\sup \{ \|[x', x'', x'''; P]\| : x', x'', x''' \in \bar{S} \} \leq K$;
 (iv) $B_0(\|P(x_0)\| + \|P(y_0)\|) \leq d_0(1 + B_0KMd_0) = \eta_0$,

where $y_0 = x_0 - \Gamma_0 P(x_0)$;

$$(v) h_0 = B_0K(1 + M)\eta_0 < \frac{4}{9},$$

where $\bar{S} = \{x \in X : \|x - x_0\| \leq r\}$, $r = \eta_0 \left(2 + \frac{5}{B_0}\right)$.

In these conditions there exists the sequence (x_n) defined by (2) having the following properties:

- (j) $x^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n$, $x^* \in \bar{S}$ exists and x^* is a solution of equation (1);
 (jj) the rapidity of the convergence of the sequence (x_n) to the solution x^* of the (1) is given by

$$\|x_n - x^*\| \leq \frac{9}{4} \left(\frac{5}{9}\right)^n \left(\frac{51}{25} h_0\right)^{3^{n-1}} \eta_0, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Remark. The radius of \bar{S} and the numerical estimation in inequality (jj) are not optimal but they are chosen for the convenience of calculation.

Proof. We consider the mapping

$$F: X \rightarrow X, F(x) = x - \Gamma_0 P(x).$$

We have

$$F(x_0) = F(u_0) = y_0, [x', x''; F] = I - \Gamma_0[x', x''; P]$$

and

$$[x', x'', x'''; F] = -\Gamma_0[x', x'', x'''; P]$$

therefore

$$[x_0, u_0; F] = I - \Gamma_0[x_0, u_0; P] = 0$$

and

$$[x_0, u_0, y_0; F] = -\Gamma_0[x_0, u_0, y_0; P],$$

where I is the identity mapping of X . According to the definition of the divided differences [2] we obtain

$$[x_0, u_0, y_0; F](y_0 - x_0)(y_0 - u_0) = -\Gamma_0 P(y_0).$$

We have thus $P(y_0) = [x_0, u_0, y_0; P](y_0 - x_0)(y_0 - u_0)$ wich by

$$\|y_0 - x_0\| = \|\Gamma_0 P(x_0)\| \leq d_0 \leq \eta_0 < r, \|u_0 - x_0\| = \|P(x_0)\| \leq \frac{d_0}{B_0} \leq \frac{\eta_0}{B_0} < r,$$

that means $y_0, u_0 \in \bar{S}$ and

$$(3) \quad \|P(y_0)\| \leq K\|y_0 - x_0\| \cdot \|y_0 - u_0\|.$$

Further we have

$$y_0 - u_0 = \Gamma_0 P(u_0) = \Gamma_0[x_0, u_0; \Phi](x_0 - u_0),$$

from which using $\|x_0 - u_0\| \leq \frac{d_0}{B_0}$ we obtain

$$(4) \quad \|y_0 - u_0\| \leq M d_0.$$

From (3) we get

$$(5) \quad \|P(y_0)\| \leq d_0^2 KM.$$

By (i) and (2) we can construct the point x_1 , thus $u_1 = \Phi(x_1)$ too. We check the conditions (i)-(v) for the point x_1 with analogous numbers B_1, d_1, K and M . Using (2) by (i), (ii) and (5) it results:

$$(6) \quad \|x_1 - x_0\| \leq \|\Gamma_0 P(x_0)\| + \|\Gamma_0 P(y_0)\| \leq \eta_0 < r,$$

which means that $x_1 \in \bar{S}$.

Considering that $x_1 \in \bar{S}$ we obtain

$$(7) \quad \|u_1 - u_0\| = \|\Phi(x_1) - \Phi(x_0)\| = \|[x_1, x_0; \Phi](x_1 - x_0)\| \leq M\|x_1 - x_0\| \leq M\eta_0.$$

According to the definition of the divided differences we have

$$P(x_1) = [x_0, y_0, u_0; P](y_0 - u_0)\Gamma_0 P(y_0) + [x_1, x_0, y_0; P](x_1 - x_0)(x_1 - y_0).$$

Using (4), (5), (6) and the equality $x_1 = y_0 - \Gamma_0 P(y_0)$ we obtain

$$(8) \quad \|P(x_1)\| \leq \frac{B_0^2 K^2 \eta_0^2}{B_0} (1 + M)^2 \eta_0 = \frac{h_0^2}{B} \eta_0 < \frac{\eta_0}{B}.$$

From (8) it results

$$\|x_0 - u_1\| = \|x_0 - \Phi(x_1)\| = \|x_0 - x_1 + P(x_1)\| \leq \eta_0 \left(1 + \frac{1}{B_0}\right),$$

therefore $u_1 \in \bar{S}$.

Using the condition (iii), (6) and (7) we may write:

$$(9) \quad \|\Gamma_0([x_0, u_0; P] - [x_1, u_1; P])\| \leq \Gamma_0([x_0, u_0; P] - [x_1, u_0; P]) + \|\Gamma_0([x_1, u_0; P] - [x_1, u_1; P])\| \leq B_0K(\eta_0 + \eta_0M) = B_0K(M + 1)\eta_0 = h_0 < 1.$$

Because

$$\{\Gamma_0[x_1, u_1; P]\}^{-1} = \{I - \Gamma_0([x_0, u_0; P] - [x_1, u_1; P])\}^{-1}$$

from (9) it results the existence of the mapping

$$\{\Gamma_0[x_1, u_1; P]\}^{-1},$$

and the inequality

$$\{\Gamma_0[x_1, u_1; P]\}^{-1} \leq \frac{1}{1 - h_0}.$$

Using further the equality

$$\{\Gamma_0[x_1, u_1; P]\}^{-1}\Gamma_0 = \Gamma_1$$

we obtain

$$(10) \quad \|\Gamma_1\| \leq \frac{B_0}{1 - h_0} = B_1,$$

which means that (i) is satisfied for the points x_1 and u_1 with the number B_1 . By (8) and (10) we have

$$\|\Gamma_1\| \cdot \|P(x_1)\| \leq \frac{h_0^2}{1 - h_0} \eta_0 = d_1.$$

Thus the hypothesis (ii) for x_1 is verified with the number d_1 . From the existence of the mapping $\Gamma_1 = [x_1, u_1; P]^{-1}$ it results that we can construct x_2 . If $y_1 = x_1 - \Gamma_1 P(x_1)$, then $y_1 \in \bar{S}$, because

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_1 - x_0\| &\leq \|y_1 - x_1\| + \|x_1 - x_0\| \leq \|\Gamma_1 P(x_1)\| + \eta_0 \leq \\ &\leq \left(\frac{h_0^2}{1 - h_0} + 1\right) \eta_0 \leq \frac{61}{45} \eta_0 < r. \end{aligned}$$

Making the same reasoning we used for obtaining $P(y_0)$ we get

$$\|\Gamma_1\| \cdot \|P(y_1)\| \leq B_1 d_1^2 KM,$$

whence using (8) it results

$$\|x_2 - x_1\| \leq B_1(\|P(x_1)\| + \|P(y_1)\|) \leq d_1(1 + B_1 d_1 KM) = \eta_1,$$

which means that (iv) is satisfied for the points x_1 with the numbers B_1 , d_1 , K , M and the following relations are true:

$$\eta_1 = \frac{h_0^2}{1 - h_0} \left(1 + \frac{h_0^2}{(1 - h_0)^2}\right) \eta_0 \leq \frac{1}{5} \left(\frac{17}{5}\right)^2 h_0^2 \eta_0 \leq \eta_0.$$

We have

$$\|x_2 - x_0\| \leq \|x_2 - x_1\| + \|x_1 - x_0\| \leq \eta_1 + \eta_0 < r,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= B_1 K(M + 1) \eta_1 = \frac{B_0}{1 - h_0} K(M + 1) d_1 (1 + B_1 d_1 KM) \leq \\ &\leq \left(\frac{68}{75}\right)^2 h_0 < h_0 < \frac{4}{9}. \end{aligned}$$

So the numbers B_1 , d_1 , K and M verify the conditions (i)–(v). By induction we can prove the following relations:

$$B_n = \frac{B_{n-1}}{1 - h_{n-1}};$$

$$d_n = \frac{h_n^2}{1 - h_{n-1}} \eta_{n-1},$$

$$\eta_n = d_n (1 + B_n d_n KM);$$

$$h_n = B_{n-1} K(M + 1) \eta_{n-1}$$

and

$$x_n, u_n, y_n \in \bar{S}$$

for all positive integers. From the former inequalities we obtain

$$(11) \quad h_n \leq \frac{25}{51} \left(\frac{51}{25} h_0\right)^{3^n}$$

and

$$(12) \quad \eta_n \leq \left(\frac{5}{9}\right)^n \left(\frac{51}{25} h_0\right)^{3^{n-1}} \eta_0.$$

Using the inequality $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq \eta_n$, and the relation (12) we obtain

$$(13) \quad \|x_{n+p} - x_n\| \leq \eta_n + \eta_{n+1} + \dots + \eta_{n+p-1} < \left(\frac{5}{9}\right)^n \left(\frac{51}{25} h_0\right)^{3^{n-1}} \eta_0.$$

The space X being complete it results the existence of the limit of the sequence (x_n) , and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^* \in \bar{S}$. For $p \rightarrow \infty$, the inequality (13) gives the rapidity of the convergence of the sequence (x_n) .

We shall prove that the point x^* is the solution of the equation (1). By the inequality

$$\|P(x_n)\| \leq \frac{d_n}{B_n},$$

using the relation $B_n \geq B_0$ for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and the formula of d_n , it results

$$(14) \quad \|P(x_n)\| \leq \frac{9}{4B_0} h_{n-1}^2 \eta_{n-1}.$$

The inequality (14), using (11) and (12) gives

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|P(x_n)\| = \|P(x^*)\| = 0 \text{ thus } P(x^*) = 0.$$

REFERENCES

- 1] Balázs, M., *On a Method of Third Order*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Mathematica, XXV, 1, 1980, 54-59.
- 2] Balázs, M., Goldner, G., *Diferențe divizate în spații Banach și unele aplicații ale lor*, Studii și Cercet. Mat., 7, 21, 1969, 985-996.
- 3] Bosarge, M. E. and Falb, P. L., *A Multipoint Method of Third Order*, Houston Scientific Center IBM Publication, No. 320.2350, Octobre, 1968.
- 4] Rolewicz, S., *Metric Linear Spaces*, PWN, Warszawa, 1972.

Received 12.X.1982.

Universitatea Babeş-Bolyai
Facultatea de matematică
Str. Kogălniceanu 1
3400 Cluj-Napoca