

EQUIVALENCE RELATION IN PROBABILISTIC  
SYNTOPOGENOUS SPACES

by

LIVIU FLORESCU

(Iași)

In [4], K. MENGER introduced three types of distinguishability for pairs of points of a probabilistic metric space, depending upon the behaviour of the distance distribution function near zero. If  $(X, F)$  is a *PM* space,  $x, y \in X$  and  $t_{xy} = \inf \{ \alpha : F_{xy}(\alpha) > 0 \}$ , then we say that  $x$  and  $y$  are:

(A) certainly-distinguishable if  $t_{xy} > 0$ ;

(B) barely-distinguishable if  $t_{xy} = 0$  and  $F_{xy}(0^+) = 0$ ;

(C) perhaps-indistinguishable if  $F_{xy}(0^+) > 0$ .

The above mentioned types of distinguishability were reconsidered by B. SCHWEIZER ([5]) who defined two relations on  $X$  as follows:

(1)  $x \rho y$  iff  $x$  and  $y$  are perhaps-indistinguishable, i.e. iff (C) holds;

(2)  $x \delta y$  iff  $x$  and  $y$  are not certainly-distinguishable, i.e. iff either (B) or (C) holds.

In the following we refer only to the relation  $\rho$ . In [5, Th.1]

B. SCHWEIZER. proof that, if  $(X, F, T)$  is a Menger space, where  $T$  is a  $t$ -norm such that:

(3)  $T(a, b) > 0$  whenever  $a > 0$  and  $b > 0$ ,

then  $\rho$  is an equivalence relation on  $X$  and R. J. EGBERT shows that the quotient space  $\dot{X} = X/\rho$  can be endowed with an adequate probabilistic metric; in some conditions  $\dot{x}$  and  $\dot{y}$  are perhaps-indistinguishable in  $\dot{X}$  iff  $x \equiv y$  (see [2, Th. 31]).

In [3] we introduce a probabilistic variant of the Császár's syntopogenous structures (see [1]) — the probabilistic syntopogenous structure. Using these structures, we define, in a natural way, the probabilistic uniformities and the probabilistic proximities; the relations between these structures and the probabilistic metric structures are analogous with the rela-

tions between the uniform structures, the proximity structures and the metric structures on a set.

Let  $I$  be the closed unit interval and let  $T: I \times I \rightarrow I$  be a  $t$ -function ( $T(a_1, b_1) \leq T(a_2, b_2)$  if  $a_1 \leq a_2$  and  $b_1 \leq b_2$ ); for every  $a \in I$  let  $\mathfrak{S}_a$  be a non-empty family of topogenous orders on  $X$ , such that for every  $\langle_1, \langle_2 \in \mathfrak{S}_a$  there is  $\langle \in \mathfrak{S}_a$  with  $\langle_1, \langle_2 \subseteq \langle$ . A probabilistic syntopogenous structure (pss) on  $X$  is a pair  $(\mathfrak{S}, T)$ , where  $\mathfrak{S} = \{\mathfrak{S}_a: a \in I\}$ , satisfying the following conditions:

(PSO)  $\mathfrak{S}_0 = \{\langle_0\}$ , where  $A \langle_0 B$  iff  $A = \Phi$  or  $B = X$ ,

(PS1) for every  $a, b \in I$  with  $a < b$  and for every  $\langle \in \mathfrak{S}_a$  there exists  $\langle' \in \mathfrak{S}_b$  such that  $\langle \subseteq \langle'$ .

(PS2) for every  $\langle \in \mathfrak{S}_{T(a,b)}$  there exist  $\langle' \in \mathfrak{S}_a$  and  $\langle'' \in \mathfrak{S}_b$  such that  $A \langle B$  implies that  $A \langle' C \langle'' B$  for some  $C \subseteq X$ .

A probabilistic syntopogenous space is a triple  $(X, \mathfrak{S}, T)$ , where  $(\mathfrak{S}, T)$  is a pss on  $X$ .

We say that a pss  $(\mathfrak{S}, T)$  on  $X$ ,  $\mathfrak{S} = \{\mathfrak{S}_a: a \in I\}$ , is symmetrical, perfect, or simple if each  $\mathfrak{S}_a$ ,  $a \in I$  is symmetrical, perfect, or consist of a single topogenous order. If  $(X, F, T)$  is a Menger space, where  $T$  is a left-continuous  $t$ -norm, then for every  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $a \in I$ , we define  $\langle_{\alpha, a} \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}(X) \times \mathfrak{Q}(X)$ , letting  $A \langle_{\alpha, a} B$  if  $A \times (X - B) \subseteq \{(x, y): F_{xy}(\alpha) \leq a\}$ . For every  $a \in (0, 1]$ , let  $\mathfrak{S}_a = \{\langle_{\alpha, b}: \alpha > 0, b < a\}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}_0 = \{\langle_0\}$ ; then the pair  $(\mathfrak{S}, T)$ , where  $\mathfrak{S} = \{\mathfrak{S}_a: a \in I\}$ , is a perfect and symmetrical pss on  $X$  — the pss induced by the probabilistic metric of the space (see [3, Th. 6.1.]).

The purpose of this paper is to give an extension of Schweizer's and Egbert's results as well as a probabilistic variant of a Császár's theorem concerning the simple, symmetrical and perfect syntopogenous structures.

We omit the proof of the following easily established

LEMMA. Let  $(X, F, T)$  be a Menger space under a left-continuous  $t$ -norm  $T$ , let  $\rho$  be the relation (1) and let  $(\mathfrak{S}, T)$ ,  $\mathfrak{S} = \{\mathfrak{S}_a: a \in I\}$  be the pss induced by the probabilistic metric of the space. Then  $x \rho y$  iff there exists  $a > 0$  such that for every  $\langle \in \mathfrak{S}_a$  we have  $x \not\langle X - y$ .

DEFINITION 1. Let  $(\mathfrak{S}, T)$  be a pss on  $X$ , where  $\mathfrak{S} = \{\mathfrak{S}_a: a \in I\}$ ; we define a relation  $\rho \subseteq X \times X$  letting  $x \rho y$  iff there exists  $a \in (0, 1]$  such that, for every  $\langle \in \mathfrak{S}_a$ , we have  $x \not\langle X - y$ ; taking into account the result of lemma, is natural to say that  $x$  and  $y$  are perhaps-indistinguishable if  $x \rho y$ .

Now, we give a generalization of Schweizer's theorem (see [5, Th. 1])

THEOREM 1. Let  $(\mathfrak{S}, T)$  be a symmetrical pss on  $X$  under a  $t$ -function  $T$  which satisfies the condition (3). Then, the relation  $\rho$  is an equivalence relation on  $X$ .

PROOF. For every topogenous order  $\langle$  on  $X$  and for every  $x \in X$  we have  $x \not\langle X - x$ ; hence  $\rho$  is a reflexive relation. Because  $(\mathfrak{S}, T)$  is symmetrical it follows that  $\rho$  is a symmetrical relation. Finally, if  $x \rho y$  and  $y \rho z$  then there exist  $a, b \in (0, 1]$  such that for every  $\langle' \in \mathfrak{S}_a$  and  $\langle'' \in \mathfrak{S}_b$  we have  $x \not\langle' X - y$ ,  $y \not\langle'' X - z$ , where  $\mathfrak{S} = \{\mathfrak{S}_a: a \in I\}$ . From

(3),  $c = T(a, b) > 0$ ; suppose that there exists  $\langle \in \mathfrak{S}_c$  such that  $x \langle X - z$ ; then, from (PS2), there exists  $\langle' \in \mathfrak{S}_a$ ,  $\langle'' \in \mathfrak{S}_b$  and  $C \subseteq X$  such that  $x \langle' C \langle'' X - z$ . Because  $y \not\langle'' X - z$ , it follows that  $y \in C$ ; hence  $C \subseteq X - y$  and therefore  $x \langle' X - y$ . But this is a contradiction; thus  $\rho$  is a transitive relation.

Because every probabilistic proximity and every probabilistic uniformity are induced by a unique simple and symmetrical pss, resp. by a symmetrical and perfect pss (see [3, Th. 4.1, and 5.1.]), this result is fulfilled in every probabilistic proximity space as well as in every probabilistic uniformity space.

Let  $(\mathfrak{S}, T)$  be a symmetrical and perfect pss on  $X$  under a  $t$ -function  $T$  which satisfies (3),  $\mathfrak{S} = \{\mathfrak{S}_a: a \in I\}$ , let  $\rho$  be the equivalence relation associated to  $(\mathfrak{S}, T)$  (see Theorem 1) and let  $\dot{X} = X/\rho$  be the quotient space; for every  $a \in I$  and  $\langle \in \mathfrak{S}_a$  we define  $\dot{\langle} \subseteq \mathfrak{Q}(\dot{X}) \times \mathfrak{Q}(\dot{X})$  letting  $\dot{A} \dot{\langle} \dot{B}$  iff for every  $\dot{x} \in \dot{A}$ ,  $\dot{y} \in \dot{X} - \dot{B}$  there is  $u \in \dot{x}$  such that  $u \langle X - \dot{y}$  or there is  $v \in \dot{y}$  such that  $v \langle X - \dot{x}$ . Let  $\dot{\mathfrak{S}}_a = \{\dot{\langle}: \langle \in \mathfrak{S}_a\}$  and  $\dot{\mathfrak{S}}_a = \{\dot{\mathfrak{S}}_a: a \in I\}$ . The following theorem is an extension of the above mentioned Egbert's result.

THEOREM 2.  $(\dot{\mathfrak{S}}, T)$  is a symmetrical and perfect pss on  $\dot{X}$ ; in addition, if  $(\mathfrak{S}, T)$  fulfils the condition:

(4) for every  $\dot{x} \in \dot{X}$  there exists  $a \in (0, 1]$  such that for every  $u, v \in \dot{x}$  and  $\dot{\langle} \in \dot{\mathfrak{S}}_a$  we have  $u \not\dot{\langle} X - v$ ,

then  $\dot{x}$  and  $\dot{y}$  are perhaps-indistinguishable in  $(\dot{X}, \dot{\mathfrak{S}}, T)$  if and only if  $\dot{x} = \dot{y}$ .

PROOF. It follows directly from the definition of the family  $\dot{\mathfrak{S}}_a$  that it is a non-empty family of symmetrical and perfect topogenous orders on  $\dot{X}$ , directed by  $\subseteq$ . (PSO) We suppose that  $\dot{A} \dot{\langle}_0 \dot{B}$  and  $\dot{A} \neq \Phi$  and  $\dot{B} \neq \dot{X}$ ; then, there exist  $\dot{x} \in \dot{A}$ ,  $\dot{y} \in \dot{X} - \dot{B}$  such that  $u \dot{\langle}_0 X - \dot{y}$  for some  $u \in \dot{x}$ , or  $v \dot{\langle}_0 X - \dot{x}$  for some  $v \in \dot{y}$ . It follows that  $X - \dot{y} = \dot{X}$  or  $X - \dot{x} = \dot{X}$  and this is a contradiction, because  $y \in \dot{y}$  and  $x \in \dot{x}$ . (PS1) is obvious. We shall show that the condition (PS2) also is satisfied. Let  $\dot{\langle} \in \dot{\mathfrak{S}}_{T(a,b)}$ ; by the definition of  $\dot{\mathfrak{S}}_{T(a,b)}$ ,  $\dot{\langle} \in \mathfrak{S}_{T(a,b)}$  and, hence, there exist  $\langle' \in \mathfrak{S}_a$ ,  $\langle'' \in \mathfrak{S}_b$  such that  $A \langle B$  implies  $A \langle' C \langle'' B$  for some  $C \subseteq X$ . Let  $\dot{A} \dot{\langle} \dot{B}$ ; for every  $\dot{x} \in \dot{A}$  and  $\dot{y} \in \dot{X} - \dot{B}$  we have:

a). there exists  $u \in \dot{x}$  such that  $u \langle X - \dot{y}$ , or

b). there exists  $v \in \dot{y}$  such that  $v \langle X - \dot{x}$ .

a).  $u \langle X - \dot{y}$  implies that there exists  $C \subseteq X$  such that  $u \langle' C \langle'' X - \dot{y}$ . Let  $\dot{C} = \{\dot{x}: x \in C\}$ ; for every  $\dot{w} \in \dot{X} - \dot{C}$  we have  $C \subseteq X - \dot{w}$  (for every  $z \in C$  we have  $z \in \dot{C}$ , hence  $z \neq \dot{w}$ , therefore  $z \in \dot{w}$ ). Thus  $u \langle' X - \dot{w}$  for every  $\dot{w} \in \dot{X} - \dot{C}$ , hence  $\dot{x} \dot{\langle}' \dot{C}$ . On the other hand, for every  $\dot{x} \in \dot{C}$  there exists  $x \in \dot{x}$  such that  $x \in C \langle'' X - \dot{y}$ , hence  $x \langle'' X - \dot{y}$  herefore  $\dot{C} \dot{\langle}'' X - \dot{y}$ .

b). Similarly, we prove that there exists  $\dot{C} \subseteq \dot{X}$  such that  $\dot{x} \dot{<} \dot{C} \dot{<} \dot{X} - \dot{y}$ . Therefore, for every  $\dot{x} \in \dot{A}$  and  $\dot{y} \in \dot{X} - \dot{B}$  there exists  $\dot{C}_{xy} \subseteq \dot{X}$  such that  $\dot{x} \dot{<} \dot{C}_{xy} \dot{<} \dot{X} - \dot{y}$ . Let  $\dot{C} = \bigcup_{\dot{x} \in \dot{A}} \bigcap_{\dot{y} \in \dot{X} - \dot{B}} \dot{C}_{xy}$ ; because  $\dot{<}'$  and  $\dot{<}''$  are

biprfect, it follows that  $\dot{A} \dot{<} \dot{C} \dot{<} \dot{X} - \dot{B}$ . Hence  $(\dot{\mathfrak{S}}, T)$  is a symmetrical and perfect pss on  $\dot{X}$ .

Now, we suppose that  $\dot{x}$  and  $\dot{y}$  are perhaps-indistinguishable in the space  $(\dot{X}, \dot{\mathfrak{S}}, T)$  with the condition (4) and  $\dot{x} \neq \dot{y}$ . From the definition 1 and from the definition of  $\dot{\mathfrak{S}}$ , there exists  $a \in (0, 1]$  such that for every  $\dot{<} \in \mathfrak{S}_a$  we have  $\dot{x} \dot{<} X - \dot{y}$  and  $\dot{y} \dot{<} X - \dot{x}$ . Because  $\dot{<}$  is a symmetrical and perfect topogenous order on  $X$ , it follows that there exist  $x_{<} \in \dot{x}$ ,  $y \in \dot{y}$  such that  $x_{<} \dot{<} X - y_{<}$  and  $y \dot{<} X - x_{<}$ . From (4) there exists  $b \in (0, 1]$  such that for every  $v, w \in \dot{y}$  and  $\dot{<} \in \mathfrak{S}_b$  we have  $v \dot{<} X - w$ . Let  $c = T(a, b) > 0$  (from (3));  $\dot{x} \neq \dot{y}$  implies that there exists  $\dot{<} \in \mathfrak{S}_c$  such that  $\dot{x} \dot{<} X - \dot{y}$ . From (PS2) there exist  $\dot{<}' \in \mathfrak{S}_a$ ,  $\dot{<}'' \in \mathfrak{S}_b$  and  $C \subseteq X$  such that  $\dot{x} \dot{<}' C \dot{<}'' X - \dot{y}$ . It follows that there exists  $y_{<}' \in \dot{y}$  such that  $\dot{x} \dot{<}' X - y_{<}'$ . Hence  $C \not\subseteq X - y_{<}'$ , so  $y_{<}' \in C$ . But this is a contradiction because  $y_{<}' \in \dot{y}$  implies  $y_{<}' \dot{<}'' X - \dot{y}$ .

We say that  $(\dot{\mathfrak{S}}, T)$  is the quotient pss on  $\dot{X}$  and we note  $\dot{\mathfrak{S}} = \mathfrak{S}/\rho$

In [1, 14.1], A. Császár shows that if  $\mathfrak{F} = \{\dot{<}\}$  is a simple, symmetrical and perfect syntopogenous structure on  $X$  and  $\dot{x} \rho \dot{y}$  iff  $\dot{x} \dot{<} X - \dot{y}$  then  $\rho$  is an equivalence relation and the quotient order  $\dot{<}/\rho$  on  $X/\rho$  is the inclusion  $\subseteq$ . The following theorem is a probabilistic variant of this result.

**THEOREM 3.** Let  $(\cdot, T)$  be a simple, symmetrical and perfect pss on  $X$  under a t-function  $T$  which satisfies the condition (3) and let  $(\dot{\mathfrak{S}}, T)$  be the quotient pss on  $\dot{X} = X/\rho$ , where  $\rho$  is the perhaps-indistinguishability relation on  $X$ ; then  $\dot{\mathfrak{S}} = \{\dot{\mathfrak{S}}_a : a \in I\}$  where  $\dot{\mathfrak{S}}_a = \{\subseteq\}$  for every  $a \in (0, 1]$ .

**Proof.** Let  $\dot{\mathfrak{S}} = \{\mathfrak{S}_a : a \in I\}$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}_a = \{\dot{<}_a\}$ , and  $\dot{<}_a$  is a symmetrical and perfect topogenous order on  $X$  for every  $a \in I$ . Then  $\dot{\mathfrak{S}}_a = \{\dot{<}_a\}$  for every  $a \in I$ ; the condition  $\dot{<}_a \subseteq \subseteq$  is obvious. Now, if  $\dot{A} \subseteq \dot{B}$  then, for every  $u \in \dot{x} \in \dot{A}$  and  $v \in \dot{y} \in \dot{X} - \dot{B}$ , we have that  $u$  and  $v$  are not perhaps-indistinguishable ( $\dot{x} \cap \dot{y} = \emptyset$ ); hence, for every  $a \in (0, 1]$   $u \dot{<}_a X - v$ . Because  $\dot{<}_a$  is symmetrical and perfect we have  $\dot{x} \dot{<}_a X - \dot{y}$ , hence  $\dot{A} \dot{<}_a \dot{B}$ . Therefore  $\subseteq \subseteq \dot{<}_a$ , so that  $\dot{<}_a = \subseteq$  for every  $a \in (0, 1]$ .

**Remark 1.** If  $(\dot{\mathfrak{S}}, T)$  is a simple, symmetrical and perfect pss on  $X$  then  $\dot{x}$  and  $\dot{y}$  are perhaps-indistinguishable in  $\dot{X}$  iff  $\dot{x} = \dot{y}$ . Indeed,  $\dot{x}$  and  $\dot{y}$  are perhaps-indistinguishable iff there exists  $a \in (0, 1]$  such that  $\dot{x} \dot{<}_a \dot{X} - \dot{y}$  where  $\dot{\mathfrak{S}}_a = \{\dot{<}_a\}$  and  $\dot{\mathfrak{S}} = \{\dot{\mathfrak{S}}_a : a \in I\}$  is the quotient pss; because  $\dot{<}_a = \subseteq$  we have  $\dot{x} = \dot{y}$ .

**Remark 2.** Let  $(\mathfrak{S}, T)$ ,  $(\mathfrak{S}'', T)$  be two simple, symmetrical and perfect pss on  $X$ , where  $\mathfrak{S}' = \{\mathfrak{S}'_a : a \in I\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}'' = \{\mathfrak{S}''_a : a \in I\}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}'_a = \{\dot{<}'_a\}$ ,  $\mathfrak{S}''_a = \{\dot{<}''_a\}$  for every  $a \in I$ , and let  $\rho_1$  and  $\rho_2$  be the perhaps-indistinguishability relations associated to  $(\mathfrak{S}', T)$  and  $(\mathfrak{S}'', T)$ ; then  $\mathfrak{S}' = \mathfrak{S}''$  iff  $\rho_1 = \rho_2$ .

Now, if  $\rho$  is an equivalence relation on  $X$ , we define a relation  $\dot{<} \subseteq \subseteq \mathfrak{Z}(X) \times \mathfrak{Z}(X)$  letting  $A \dot{<} B$  iff for every  $x \in A, y \in X - B$   $(x, y) \in \rho$ . Then  $\dot{\mathfrak{S}}_\rho = \{\dot{<}\}$  is a simple, symmetrical and perfect syntopogenous structure on  $X$ ; if  $T$  is a t-function which satisfies the condition:

$$(3') T(a, b) = 0 \text{ iff } a = 0 \text{ or } b = 0,$$

then  $(\dot{\mathfrak{S}}, T)$ ,  $\dot{\mathfrak{S}} = \{\dot{\mathfrak{S}}_a : a \in I\}$  where  $\dot{\mathfrak{S}}_a = \dot{\mathfrak{S}}_\rho$  for every  $a \in (0, 1]$ , is the unique simple, symmetrical and perfect pss on  $X$  for which  $\rho$  is the perhaps-indistinguishability relation.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Császár A., *Fondements de la topologie générale*, Akadémiai Kiadó, Budapest, 1960.
- [2] Egbert R. J., *Products and quotients of probabilistic metric spaces*, Pacific J. Math. **24** 437-455 (1968).
- [3] Florescu, L., *Structures syntopogènes probabilistes*, Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen **28** 15-24 (1981).
- [4] Menger, K., *Probabilistic geometry*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A., **37** 226-229 (1951).
- [5] Schweizer, B., *Equivalence relations in probabilistic metric spaces*, Bul. Inst. Politehnic Iasi **10** (14) 67-70 (1964).

Received 8.I.1982.

Universitatea  
Iasi