

L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION

Tome 13, N° 1, 1984, pp. 65—72

L_p — APPROXIMATION BY LINEAR COMBINATION OF
INTEGRAL, BERNSTEIN-TYPE OPERATORS

by

B. WOOD

(Tucson)

1. Introduction

May [5] and Rathore [6] have described the following linear combination of a sequence, $\{K_n\}$, of positive linear operators:

$$(1.1) \quad L_n(f(t), k, x) = \sum_{j=0}^k c(j, k) K_{d_j, n}(f(t), x),$$

where d_0, d_1, \dots, d_k are $k + 1$ arbitrary, fixed and distinct positive integers and

$$(1.2) \quad c(j, k) = \prod_{\substack{i=0 \\ i \neq j}}^k \frac{d_j}{d_j - d_i}, \quad k \neq 0, \text{ and } c(0, 0) = 1.$$

Recently Sinha [7] proved both direct and inverse results for approximation in the space, $L_p(I)$ ($p \geq 1$), of p -th power Lebesgue integrable functions on the interval $I = [0, 1]$ by means of the linear combination (1.1) of the wellknown Bernstein-Kantorovitch polynomials.

Durrmeyer [2] introduced the following integral version of the Bernstein polynomials:

$$(1.3) \quad M_n(f(t), x) = (n + 1) \sum_{v=0}^n p_{nv}(x) \int_0^1 p_{nv}(t) f(t) dt,$$

where $p_{nv}(x) = \binom{n}{v} x^v (1 - x)^{n-v}$ for $0 \leq x \leq 1$ and $0 \leq v \leq n$. Derri-

enic [1] obtained interesting results concerning both uniform and L_p -approximation by (1.3).

The purpose of this paper is to determine degree of approximation in $L_p(I)$ by the linear combination (1.1) of operators (1.3). Therefore, for $f \in L_p(I)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, our approximation method has the form:

$$(1.4) \quad L_n(f(t), k, x) = \sum_{j=0}^k c(j, k) M_{d_j n}(f(t), x),$$

where we write

$$M_n(f(t), x) = \int_0^1 H_n(x, t) f(t) dt,$$

with

$$(1.5) \quad H_n(x, t) = (n+1) \sum_{v=0}^n P_{nv}(x) P_{nv}(t).$$

We derive a global estimate in terms of the higher order integral modulus of smoothness of the function being approximated.

2. Degree of Approximation

Let $\|\cdot\|_p$ denote the p -norm in I below. We denote by $\omega_{2k+2}(f, p, \cdot)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, the $2k+2$ order p -modulus of smoothness of f on I [8, p. 103].

THEOREM 2.1. *If $f \in L_p(I)$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, then for all sufficiently large n ,*

$$(2.1) \quad \|L_n(f, k, \cdot) - f\|_p \leq C_{p,k} (n^{-(k+1)} \|f\|_p + \omega_{2k+2}(f, p, n^{-1/2}))$$

where the constant $C_{p,k}$ depends on k and p but is independent of f and n .

The method of proof is to first approximate in a smooth subspace of $L_p(I)$ (Lemma 2.5 below) and then use Peetre's K -functional to obtain the degree of approximation in $L_p(I)$. This approach is similar to that of Sinha in [7]. In particular, the proof of Lemma 2.5 below follows closely ideas developed in [7]. We require the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.2. [1]. *For $x, t \in I$, $1 \leq p < \infty$, $j = 1, 2, \dots$, and $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have*

$$(2.2) \quad \int_0^1 H_n(x, t) dt = \int_0^1 H_n(x, t) dx = 1,$$

$$(2.3) \quad \|M_n(f)\|_p \leq \|f\|_p.$$

and

$$(2.4) \quad M_n((t-x)^{2j}, x) \leq C_1 n^{-j},$$

where the constant C_1 depends on j but is independent of x and n .

LEMMA 2.3. *For every $r > 0$ and $x \in I$,*

$$(2.5) \quad M_n(|t-x|^r, x) \leq C_2 n^{-r/2},$$

where the constant C_2 depends on r but is independent of x and n .

Proof. Choose a positive integer j such that $2j > r$. Using Hölder's inequality, (2.2) and (2.4) we have

$$\int_0^1 |t-x|^r H_n(x, t) dt \leq \left(\int_0^1 (t-x)^{2j} H_n(x, t) dt \right)^{r/2j} \left(\int_0^1 H_n(x, t) dt \right)^{1-r/2j} \leq C_2 n^{-r/2}$$

LEMMA 2.4. *For $v = 1, 2, \dots, 2k+2$,*

$$(2.6) \quad \sup_{x \in I} |L_n((t-x)^v, k, x)| = O(n^{-(k+1)}), \quad n \rightarrow \infty,$$

and

$$(2.7) \quad L_n(1, k, x) = 1, \text{ for } x \in I \text{ and } n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Proof. It follows from [1] that, for each $x \in I$ and each $v = 1, 2, \dots$, $M_n((t-x)^v, x)$ can be expressed as a rational function in n . The degree of the numerator is less than the degree of the denominator and degree of both numerator and denominator depends on v . The denominator is independent of x and has distinct integer roots. The coefficients of the polynomial in n in the numerator are polynomials in x of degree at most v . Using partial fractions and (1.4) we obtain polynomials $a_s(x)$ of degree at most v and distinct integers α_s , $s = 1, \dots, g(v)$, such that for $x \in I$, $v = 1, 2, \dots, 2k+2$, and all n sufficiently large,

$$\begin{aligned} L_n((t-x)^v, k, x) &= \sum_{j=0}^k c(j, k) \sum_{s=1}^{g(v)} \frac{a_s(x)}{d_j n - \alpha_s} = \\ &= \sum_{s=1}^{g(v)} a_s(x) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_s^r}{n^{r+1}} \sum_{j=0}^k c(j, k) d_j^{-r+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The result (2.6) now follows from the above, (1.2) and [5, p. 1228]. We obtain (2.7) from (1.4), (2.2), (1.2) and [5, p. 1228].

For $1 \leq p < \infty$ let $L_p^{(2k+2)}(I)$ denote the space of all functions $f \in L_p(I)$ such that the first $2k+1$ derivatives of f are absolutely continuous and $f^{(2k+2)} \in L_p(I)$. Our final lemma concerns approximation in the space $L_p^{(2k+2)}(I)$.

LEMMA 2.5. *If $p > 1$ and $f \in L_p^{(2k+2)}(I)$ then for all n sufficiently large,*

$$(2.8) \quad \|L_n(f, k, \cdot) - f\|_p \leq C_3 n^{-(k+1)} (\|f^{(2k+2)}\|_p + \|f\|_p),$$

where C_3 is a constant which depends on k and p but is independent of f and n .

If $f \in L_1(I)$, f has $2k+1$ derivatives on I with $f^{(2k)}$ absolutely continuous on I and $f^{(2k+1)}$ of bounded variation on I then

$$(2.9) \quad \|L_n(f, k, \cdot) - f\|_1 \leq C_3 n^{-(k+1)} (\|f^{(2k+1)}\|_{B.V.(I)} + \|f^{(2k+1)}\|_1 + \|f\|_1),$$

where C_3 is a constant which depends on k but is independent of f and n .

Proof. Assume $p > 1$. For $x \in I$ and $t \in I$, with the given assumptions on f , we can write

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} \frac{(t-x)^i}{i!} f^{(i)}(x) + \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} \int_x^t (t-w)^{2k+1} f^{(2k+2)}(w) dw.$$

We have, using (2.7),

$$\begin{aligned} L_n(f, k, x) - f(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{2k+1} \frac{f^{(i)}(x)}{i!} \sum_{j=0}^k c(j, k) M_{a_j, n}((t-x)^i, x) + \\ &+ \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^k c(j, k) \int_0^1 H_{a_j, n}(x, t) \int_x^t (t-w)^{2k+1} f^{(2k+2)}(w) dw dt = \Sigma_1 + \Sigma_2, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (2.6) and [3, p. 5] that

$$(2.10) \quad \|\Sigma_1\|_p \leq (\text{constant}) n^{-(k+1)} \cdot (\|f^{(2k+1)}\|_p + \|f\|_p).$$

In order to estimate Σ_2 we first estimate

$$\left\| M_n \left(\left| \int_x^t |t-w|^{2k+1} |f^{(2k+2)}(w)| |dw|, x \right) \right\|_p = J, \text{ say.}$$

Let h_f denote the Hardy-Littlewood majorant [9, p. 244] of $f^{(2k+2)}$. Using Hölder's inequality and (2.4) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 H_n(x, t) \left| \int_x^t |t-w|^{2k+1} |f^{(2k+2)}(w)| dw \right| dt &\leq \int_0^1 H_n(x, t) (t-x)^{2k+2} h_f(t) dt \leq \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 (t-x)^{(2k+2)q} H_n(x, t) dt \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_0^1 |h_f(t)|^p H_n(x, t) dt \right)^{1/p} \leq \\ &\leq (\text{constant}) n^{-(k+1)} \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 |h_f(t)|^p H_n(x, t) dt dx \right)^{1/p} \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Fubini's theorem, (2.2), and [9, p. 244] that

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} J &\leq (\text{constant}) n^{-(k+1)} \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 |h_f(t)|^p H_n(x, t) dt dx \right)^{1/p} \leq \\ &\leq (\text{constant}) n^{-(k+1)} \left(\int_0^1 |h_f(t)|^p dt \right)^{1/p} \leq (\text{constant}) n^{-(k+1)} \|f^{(2k+2)}\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.2), (1.4) and (2.11),

$$(2.12) \quad \|\Sigma_2\|_p \leq (\text{constant}) n^{-(k+1)} \|f^{(2k+2)}\|_p.$$

Combining (2.10), (2.12) and using [3, p. 5], we obtain (2.8). Note that the constants on the right hand side of estimates (2.10) and (2.12) depend on k and p .

Now assume $p = 1$ and χ is the characteristic function of I . With the given assumptions on f we can write, for almost all $x \in I$ and all $t \in I$,

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2k+1} \frac{(t-x)^i}{i!} f^{(i)}(x) + \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} \int_x^t (t-w)^{2k+1} df^{(2k+1)}(w).$$

The proof of (2.9) proceeds exactly as that of (2.8), except that we estimate

$$\Sigma_2^1 = \frac{1}{(2k+1)!} \sum_{j=0}^k c(j, k) \int_0^1 H_{a_j, n}(x, t) \chi(t) \int_x^t (t-w)^{2k+1} df^{(2k+1)}(w) dt$$

as follows.

First consider

$$\left\| M_n \left(\chi(t) \left| \int_x^t |t-w|^{2k+1} |df^{(2k+1)}(w)|, x \right) \right\|_1 = J^1, \text{ say.}$$

For each n let $r = r(n) = [n^{1/2}]$, so that $(r+1)n^{-1/2} \geq 1$. We have, since χ is the characteristic function of $[0, 1]$,

$$\begin{aligned} J^1 &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \chi(t) H_n(x, t) \left| \int_x^t \chi(w) |t-w|^{2k+1} |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| dt dx \leq \\ &\leq \sum_{i=0}^r \int_0^1 \left\{ \int_{x+i n^{-1/2}}^{x+(i+1) n^{-1/2}} \chi(t) H_n(x, t) |t-x|^{2k+1} \cdot \left(\int_x^{x+(i+1) n^{-1/2}} \chi(w) |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| \right) dt + \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \int_{x-(l+1)n^{-1/2}}^{x-ln^{-1/2}} \chi(t) H_n(x, t) |t - x|^{2k+1} \cdot \left(\int_{x-(l+1)n^{-1/2}}^x \chi(w) |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| \right) dt \Big\} dx.$$

Let $\chi_{x,c,d}(w)$ denote the characteristic function of the interval $[x - cn^{-1/2}, x + dn^{-1/2}]$ where c, d are non-negative integers. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} J^1 &\leq \sum_{l=1}^r \int_0^1 \int_{x+ln^{-1/2}}^{x+(l+1)n^{-1/2}} \chi(t) H_n(x, t) l^{-4} n^2 |t - x|^{2k+5} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_x^{x+(l+1)n^{-1/2}} \chi(w) \chi_{x,0,l+1}(w) |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| \right) dt \\ &+ \int_{x-(l+1)n^{-1/2}}^{x-ln^{-1/2}} \chi(t) H_n(x, t) l^{-4} n^2 |t - x|^{2k+5} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_{x-(l+1)n^{-1/2}}^x \chi(w) \chi_{x,l+1,0}(w) |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| \right) dt \Big\} dx \\ &+ \int_0^1 \int_{-n^{-1/2}}^{1+n^{-1/2}} \chi(t) H_n(x, t) |t - x|^{2k+1} \\ &\quad \cdot \left(\int_{x-n^{-1/2}}^{x+n^{-1/2}} \chi(w) \chi_{x,1,1}(w) |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| \right) dt dx \\ &\leq \sum_{l=1}^r \left\{ l^{-4} n^2 \int_0^1 \left(\int_{x+ln^{-1/2}}^{x+(l+1)n^{-1/2}} \chi(t) H_n(x, t) |t - x|^{2k+5} \right. \right. \\ &\quad \cdot \left. \left. \int_0^1 \chi_{x,0,l+1}(w) |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| \right) dt \right. \\ &\quad + \left. \int_{x-(l+1)n^{-1/2}}^{x-ln^{-1/2}} \chi(t) H_n(x, t) |t - x|^{2k+5} \cdot \int_0^1 \chi_{x,l+1,0}(w) |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| dt \right\} dx \\ &+ \int_0^1 \int_{-n^{-1/2}}^{1+n^{-1/2}} \chi(t) H_n(x, t) |t - x|^{2k+1} \cdot \left(\int_0^1 \chi_{x,1,1}(w) |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| \right) dt dx. \end{aligned}$$

We use (2.5) and Fubini's theorem to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J^1 &\leq (\text{constant}) n^{-(2k+1)/2} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^r l^{-4} \left(\int_0^1 \int_0^1 \chi_{x,0,l+1}(w) |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| dx \right. \right. \\ &\quad + \left. \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \chi_{x,l+1,0}(w) |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| dx \right) + \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \chi_{x,1,1}(w) |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| dx \Big\} \\ &= (\text{constant}) n^{-(2k+1)/2} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^r l^{-4} \left(\int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \chi_{x,0,l+1}(w) dx \right) |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| \right. \right. \\ &\quad + \left. \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \chi_{x,l+1,0}(w) dx \right) |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| \right) + \int_0^1 \left(\int_0^1 \chi_{x,1,1}(w) dx \right) |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| \Big\} \\ &\leq (\text{constant}) n^{-(2k+1)/2} \left\{ \sum_{l=1}^r l^{-4} \left(\int_0^1 \left(\int_{w-(l+1)n^{-1/2}}^w dx \right) |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| \right. \right. \\ &\quad + \left. \int_0^1 \left(\int_w^{w+(l+1)n^{-1/2}} dx \right) |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| \right) + \int_0^1 \left(\int_{w-n^{-1/2}}^{w+n^{-1/2}} dx \right) |df^{(2k+1)}(w)| \Big\} \\ (2.13) &\leq (\text{constant}) n^{-(k+1)} \|f^{(2k+1)}\|_{B.V.(I)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (1.2), (1.4) and (2.13) we have

$$(2.14) \quad \|\Sigma_2^1\|_1 \leq (\text{constant}) n^{-(k+1)} \|f^{(2k+1)}\|_{B.V.(I)},$$

where the constant on the right hand side of (2.14) depends on k .

Combining (2.14) with the analog to (2.10) for $p = 1$ completes the proof of (2.9).

Proof of Theorem 2.1. Let $1 \leq p < \infty$, $f \in L_p(I)$ and $g \in L_p^{(2k+2)}(I)$. It follows from (1.2), (1.4) and (2.3) that $\{L_n\}$ is a uniformly bounded sequence of linear operators on $L_p(I)$. Let $R_{p,k} > 0$ be a uniform bound for $\{L_n\}$ and apply Lemma 2.5 and [3, p. 5] to obtain

$$\|L_n(f, k, \cdot) - f\|_p \leq (1 + R_{p,k}) \|f - g\|_p + T_{p,k} n^{-(k+1)} (\|g^{(2k+2)}\|_p + \|g\|_p)$$

for all n sufficiently large, where $T_{p,k}$ is a constant independent of f, g and n . Take the infimum over all $g \in L_p^{(2k+2)}(I)$ and use Peetre's K -functional [4, p. 300] to obtain (2.1).

The choice $k = 0$ in (1.4) and (2.1) yields an estimate for approximation in $L_p(I)$ by the operators (1.3) (see also [1]).

REFERENCES

- [1] Derriennic, M. M., *Sur l'approximation de fonctions intégrables sur $[0, 1]$ par des polynômes de Bernstein modifiés*, *J. Approx. Theory*, **31**, 325–343, (1981).
- [2] Durrmeyer, J. L., *Une formule d'inversion de la transformée de Laplace: Applications à la théorie des moments*, Thèse de 3e cycle, Faculté des Sciences de l'Université de Paris, (1967).
- [3] Goldberg, S. and Meir, A., *Minimum moduli of ordinary differential operators*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **3**, 23, 1–15, (1971).
- [4] Johnen, H., *Inequalities connected with the moduli of smoothness*, *Math. Vesnik*, **9(24)**, 289–303, (1972).
- [5] May, C. P., *Saturation and inverse theorems for combinations of a class of exponential type operators*, *Can. J. Math.*, **XXVIII**, **6**, 1224–1250, (1976).
- [6] Rathore, R. K. S., *Linear Combinations of Linear Positive Operators and Generating Relations in Special Functions*, Thesis, Indian Institute of Technology, Delhi, (1973).
- [7] Sinha, T. A., *Restructured Sequences of Linear Positive Operators for Higher Order L -Approximation*, Thesis, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur (India), (1981).
- [8] Timan, A., *Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable*, Macmillan, N. Y., (1963).
- [9] Zygmund, A., *Trigonometrical Series*, Dover Publications, Inc., N. Y. (1955).

Received 25.X.1983.

Mathematics Department
University of Arizona
Tucson, Arizona, 85721