

L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION
 Tome 14, N° 2, 1985, pp. 159 — 170

A-POSTERIORI BOUNDS FOR STEFFENSEN-LIKE
 METHODS

RUDOLF L. VOLLER
 (Düsseldorf)

1. Introduction. Let X be a Banach space, D an open subset of X and $F: D \rightarrow X$ a nonlinear operator. Given the problem

$$(1) \quad F(x) = 0$$

we are interested in solutions $x^* \in D$ for the equations (1) and if there exists at least one solution, we want to compute it approximately. In this paper we shall do this by Steffensen-like methods, which are modifications of the iteration method, which has been established for one-dimensional fixed-point-equations by J. F. Steffensen in 1933 [16]. This method has been generalized by Chen [5] and Ul'm [17] for equations in Banach spaces in the following way. Given $x_0 \in D$ then for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ compute

$$(2) \quad \bar{x}_n = x_n - F(x_n)$$

solve

$$(3) \quad J(\bar{x}_n, x_n)c_n = -F(x_n)$$

and take

$$(4) \quad x_{n+1} = x_n - c_n$$

where $J(\cdot, \cdot)$ is a generalized divided — difference — operator. The advantage of this method is that it converges quadratically as well as Newton's method but the computation of the derivative is avoided. Many authors have given convergence-proofs for Steffensen's method — local convergence has been proved by J. W. Schmidt [13], semilocal convergence by Chen [5], Ul'm [17], Bel'tyukov [4], Kippel' [10], Johnson and Scholz [8], Balász [1] and for the one-dimensional case by Baptist [3] —, but no a-posteriori bound has been established, which approximates the error in a numerically sufficient way. Only in the case that Steffensen's method converges monotonically satisfying a-posteriori bounds are known — comp. Mönch [11], Hofmann [7] and Schneider [15] — but then the operator F has to fulfill some additional conditions.

A further generalisation for equations in Fréchet spaces has been done by Balász and Goldner [2].

Since the way of calculating \tilde{x}_n by (2) might be crucial, in this paper we take

$$(5) \quad \tilde{x}_n = x_n - \lambda_n F(x_n)$$

with a certain parameter $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$, so that \tilde{x}_n is not "too far away" from x_n and present a new semilocal-convergence-theorem for the Steffensen-like method (5), (3), (4). The proof is very similar to that given by B. Döring [6] to establish an a-posteriori bound for Newton's method. Furthermore the conditions are somewhat weaker than those in [1], [4], [5], [8], [10], since we use "consistent approximations" (see [12] and [14]) instead of "generalized divided differences", so that our theorem has a wider range of application. The goodness of the a-posteriori bound is shown by two examples.

2. Preliminaries. Let X, D be given as in section 1, Y be a Banach space and F Gateaux-differentiable operator from D into Y . If there exists an operator $J : D \times D \rightarrow B(X, Y)$, where $B(X, Y)$ is the set of all linear, continuous maps from X to Y , such that

$$(7) \quad \forall x, y, z \in D : \|J(x, y) - F'(z)\| \leq C_1 \|x - z\| + C_2 \|y - z\|$$

with $C_1, C_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ independent of x, y, z , then J is called a consistent approximation of F' .

It is easily seen that if there exists a consistent approximation J of F' on D , then

$$(8) \quad \forall x \in D : F'(x) = J(x, x)$$

and

$$(9) \quad \forall x, y \in D : \|F'(x) - F'(y)\| \leq [C_1 + C_2] \|x - y\|$$

that means F' is Lipschitz-continuous. Furthermore

$$(10) \quad \forall x, y, z \in D : \|J(x, y) - J(y, z)\| \leq C_1 \|x - y\| + C_2 \|y - z\|$$

On the other hand, if an operator $J : D \times D \rightarrow B(X, Y)$ exists satisfying (8) and (10), then J is a consistent approximation of F' and F' is Lipschitz-continuous.

From (9) it follows also (see [12], Th. 3.2.12) that if D is convex, then

$$(11) \quad \forall x, y \in D : \|F(x) - F(y) - F'(y)(x - y)\| \leq \frac{C_1 + C_2}{2} \|x - y\|^2$$

Using the concept of consistent approximation J we now solve (1) by the Steffensen-like method (5), (3), (4) and call the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ the Steffensen-iteration-sequence (SIS).

3. Main result. Let X, D, F be given as in section 1. Furthermore let D be convex, F be continuous and J be a consistent approximation of F' on D . Then we can prove the following

THEOREM: If there exist elements $\tilde{x}_0, x_0 \in D$, such that

$$(V1) \quad J(\tilde{x}_0, x_0)^{-1} \text{ exists and } \|\tilde{x}_0 - x_0\| \leq \zeta$$

with $\zeta \geq \|J(\tilde{x}_0, x_0)^{-1} F(x_0)\|$

$$(V2) \quad \|J_0(x_0, x_0)^{-1}\| \leq \beta$$

$$(V3) \quad \eta := \beta K \zeta \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

with $K \geq \max\{C_1 + C_2, 2C_1\}$, C_1, C_2 from (7)

where $x, y \in S := \{x \in D \mid \|x - x_0\| \leq \zeta\}$

then

(B1) (SIS) determined by (7) with λ_n from (12) exists and lies in S if $F(x_n) \neq 0$ —otherwise the iteration stops and $x_n = x^*$ is a solution of (1).

(B2) (SIS) converges quadratically against a solution $x^* \in S$ of (1).

(B3) We have the following error bounds

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \|x_n - x^*\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} \zeta \quad (\text{a-priori bound 1})$$

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \|x_n - x^*\| \leq \frac{(4\eta)^{2^n - 1}}{2^{n-1}} \quad (\text{a-priori bound 2})$$

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_n - x^*\| \leq \frac{2\beta_{n-1} K \zeta_{n-1}^2}{1 - 2\eta_{n-1} + \sqrt{1 - 4\eta_{n-1}}}$$

(a-posteriori bound)

with

$$(12) \quad \eta_0 := \eta, \beta_0 := \beta$$

$$\zeta_n := \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0$$

$$\beta_n := \frac{\beta_{n-1}}{1 - 2\eta_{n-1}}, \quad \eta_n = \beta_n K \zeta_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$\lambda_n = \min \left\{ \frac{2}{3 \|J(\tilde{x}_{n-1}, x_{n-1})\|}, \frac{\|x_n - x_{n-1}\|}{\|F(x_n)\|} \right\}$$

(B4) x^* is the only solution of (1) in S and

$$(13) \quad \|x^* - x_0\| \leq \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\eta}}{2\eta} \zeta$$

Proof: (B1) We show by induction

$$(A1)_n : J_n^{-1} := J(\tilde{x}_n, x_n)^{-1} \text{ exists and } \|J_n^{-1}\| \leq \beta_n$$

$$(A2)_n : \eta_n \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

$$(A3)_n : S_n := \{x \in D \mid \|x - x_n\| \leq 2\zeta_n\} \subset \dots \subset S_0 := S$$

$$(A4)_n : \|\tilde{x}_n - x_n\| \leq \zeta_n$$

(A1)₀, (A2)₀, (A3)₀, (A4)₀ are true because of (V1), (V2) and (V3). Therefore we suppose that (A1)_{k-1}, (A2)_{k-1}, (A3)_{k-1} and (A4)_{k-1} are true for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We show, that (A1)_k, (A2)_k, (A3)_k and (A4)_k are true as well.

First let us show that $\tilde{x}_k, x_k \in S$. By (A3)_{k-1} we know that $S_{k-1} \subset S$. Then

$$\|x_k - x_{k-1}\| = \zeta_{k-1} \text{ because of (12)}$$

so that $x_k \in S_{k-1} \subset S$. Furthermore

$$\|\tilde{x}_k - x_{k-1}\| \leq \|\tilde{x}_k - x_k\| + \|x_k - x_{k-1}\|$$

$$\leq \lambda_k \|F'(x_k)\| + \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| \text{ by (12)}$$

$$\leq 2\|x_k - x_{k-1}\| = 2\zeta_{k-1}$$

and $x_n \in S_{k-1} \subset S$ as well.

Now let E be the identity-map in X and define the operator $U_k : X \rightarrow X$ by

$$(14) \quad U_k := E - J_{k-1}^{-1} J_k = J_{k-1}^{-1} (J_{k-1} - J_k).$$

Then

$$\|U_k\| \leq \|J_{k-1}\| [\|J_{k-1} - F'(x_{k-1})\| + \|F'(x_{k-1}) - J_k\|]$$

$$\leq \beta_{k-1} [C_1 \|\tilde{x}_{k-1} - x_{k-1}\| + C_1 \|x_k - x_{k-1}\| + C_2 \zeta_{k-1}] \text{ (A1)}_{k-1} \text{ (7), (13)}$$

$$\leq 2\beta_{k-1} K \zeta_{k-1} = 2\eta_{k-1} \leq \frac{1}{2} \text{ (V3), (A3)}_{k-1}, \text{ (A2)}_{k-1} \text{ (A4)}_{k-1}$$

By Banach's lemma (see [9], page 154/5) $E - U_k = J_{k-1}^{-1} J_k$ is invertible, so that J_k^{-1} exists as well and

$$(15) \quad \|J_k^{-1}\| \leq \frac{\beta_{k-1}}{1-2\eta_{k-1}} = \beta_k$$

and (A1)_k is shown.

From (A2)_{k-2} and (15) it follows, that

$$(16) \quad \beta_k \leq 2\beta_{k-1} \leq \dots \leq 2^k \beta.$$

Next we estimate

$$\|F'(x_k)\| = \|F'(x_k) - F'(x_{k-1}) - J_{k-1} C_{k-1}\| \text{ by (3)}$$

$$\leq \|F'(x_k) - F'(x_{k-1}) - F'(x_{k-1})(x_k - x_{k-1})\|$$

$$+ \|F'(x_{k-1}) - J_{k-1}\| \zeta_{k-1}$$

$$\leq \frac{K}{2} [\zeta_{k-1} + \|\tilde{x}_{k-1} - x_{k-1}\|] \zeta_{k-1} \text{ by (11), (7)}$$

$$\leq K \zeta_{k-1}^2 \text{ by (A4)}_{k-1}$$

so that

$$\zeta_k \leq \beta_k \|F'(x_k)\| \leq \beta_k K \zeta_{k-1}^2$$

$$\leq \frac{\beta_{k-1} K \zeta_{k-1}}{1-2\eta_{k-1}} \zeta_{k-1} \text{ by (12)}$$

$$(17) \quad = \frac{\eta_{k-1}}{1-2\eta_{k-1}} \zeta_{k-1} \text{ by (12) and (A2)}_{k-1}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \zeta_{k-1}.$$

Therefore we have by (17)

$$\eta_k = \beta_k K \zeta_k \leq 2\beta_{k-1} K \frac{1}{2} \zeta_{k-1} = \eta_{k-1} \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

so that (A2)_k is shown.

Let $x \in S_k$, then

$$\|x - x_{k-1}\| \leq \|x - x_k\| + \|x_{k-1}\| \leq 2\zeta_k + \zeta_{k-1} \leq 2\zeta_{k-1} \text{ by (17)}$$

and $x \in S_{k-1}$, that means $S_k \subset S_{k-1} \subset S$, that is (A3)_k. At least

$$\|\tilde{x}_k - x_k\| = \lambda_k \|F(x_k)\| \leq \lambda_k \|J_k\| \zeta_k \text{ by (3)}$$

but $\|J_k\| \leq \|J_{k-1}\| + \|J_{k-1} - J_k\|$

$$\leq \|J_{k-1}\| + \|J_{k-1}\| \|U_k\| \text{ by (14)}$$

$$\leq \frac{3}{2} \|J_{k-1}\|$$

so that by (12)

$$\|\tilde{x}_k - x_k\| \leq \zeta_k \text{ by (12)}$$

and (A4)_k and even (B1) is shown.

(B2) From $\zeta_n \leq \frac{1}{2} \zeta_{n-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ it follows by induction that

$$(18) \quad \zeta_n \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \zeta_0$$

and $\{\zeta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ converges to zeros. Then we have for $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+m} - x_n\| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \|x_{n+j+1} - x_{n+j}\| = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \zeta_{n+j} \\ &\leq \zeta_n \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^j \leq 2\zeta_n \end{aligned}$$

from which it follows that $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ is a Cauchy-sequence in the closed ball S and therefore has a limit $x^* \in S$. For $m \rightarrow \infty$ we have

$$(19) \quad \|x^* - x_n\| \leq 2\zeta_n$$

and from the continuity of F we conclude from the derivation of (17) that

$$0 \leq \|F(x^*)\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|F(x_n)\| \leq K \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta_n^2 = 0$$

and thus x^* is a solution of (1).

Now we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^* - x_n\| &\leq \|x^* - x_{n-1} + J_{n-1}^{-1}F(x_{n-1})\| \leq \\ &\leq \|J_{n-1}^{-1}\| \{ \|J_{n-1}(x^* - x_{n-1}) - F'(x_{n-1})(x^* - x_{n-1})\| \} \leq \\ &\leq \|J_{n-1}^{-1}\| \frac{K}{2} \{ \|\tilde{x}_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\| + \|x_{n-1} - x^*\| \} \|x_{n-1} - x^*\| \end{aligned}$$

by (V3), (7), (11)

and

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{x}_{n-1} - x_{n-1}\| &= \lambda_{n-1} \|F(x^*) - F(x_{n-1})\| \leq \lambda_{n-1} \left\{ \|F'(x^*)\| + \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{K}{2} \|x^* - x_{n-1}\| \right\} \|x^* - x_{n-1}\| \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$(20) \quad \|x^* - x_n\| \leq M_{n-1} \|x^* - x_{n-1}\|^2$$

with

$$M_n = \|J_n^{-1}\| \frac{K}{2} \left\{ \lambda_n \left(\|F'(x^*)\| + \frac{K}{2} \|x^* - x_n\| \right) + 1 \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_0.$$

Since $\|F'(x^*)\|$ is constant and we know from (18) and (19) that

$$\|x^* - x_n\| \leq 2\zeta_n \leq 2\zeta_0$$

and from (12)

$$\lambda_n \leq \frac{2}{3\|J_{n-1}\|} \leq \frac{2}{3} \|J_{n-1}^{-1}\|$$

we only have to estimate $\|J_n^{-1}\|$ uniformly in n . Defining $T_n := E - J_0^{-1}J_n$ we have as in the proof of (A1)_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n\| &\leq \beta[2C_1\zeta + C_1\|x_0 - \tilde{x}_n\| + C_2\|x_0 - x_n\|] \\ &\leq \beta[2C_1\zeta + (C_1 + C_2)\|x_0 - x_n\| + C_1\|\tilde{x}_n - x_n\|] \\ &\leq \beta[2C_1\zeta + (C_1 + C_2)2\zeta + C_1\zeta_n] \text{ by (A3)}_n \\ &\leq \frac{7}{2} \beta K \zeta = \frac{7}{2} \eta < 1 \quad \text{by (V1), (V3), (18)} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|J_n^{-1}\| \leq \frac{\beta}{1 - \frac{7}{2}\eta}, \text{ which is also true for } n = 0 \text{ because of (V2).}$$

Therefore

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : M_n \leq \frac{\beta K}{1 - \frac{7}{2}\eta} \frac{1}{2} \left\{ 1 + \frac{\beta}{1 - \frac{7}{2}\eta} (\|F'(x^*)\| + K\zeta_0) \right\} =: C$$

and from (20) the quadratic convergence follows

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x^* - x_n\| \leq C \|x^* - x_{n-1}\|^2$$

and (B2) is shown.

(B3) From (18) and (19) we have

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \|x^* - x_n\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} \zeta$$

that is the a-priori bound 1.

From the deviation of (17) we have

$$(21) \quad \zeta_n < \frac{\eta_{n-1}}{1 - 2\eta_{n-1}} \zeta_{n-1}$$

so that by (12) and (A2)_n

$$(22) \quad \eta_n < \frac{\eta_{n-1}}{1 - 2\eta_{n-1}} \frac{\beta_{n-1}K}{1 - 2\eta_{n-1}} \zeta_{n-1} < \frac{\eta_{n-1}^2}{(1 - 2\eta_{n-1})^2}.$$

Now let us define the function

$$N(h) := \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4h}}{2h} = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4h}} \text{ for } 0 < h \leq \frac{1}{4}$$

then
(23) $1 < N(h) \leq 2$ and

$$\zeta_{n+1}N(\eta_{n+1}) \leq \frac{\eta_n}{1-2\eta_n} \zeta_n \frac{2}{1+\sqrt{1-4\eta_{n+1}}} \quad \text{by (21)}$$

$$\leq \frac{2\eta_n}{1-2\eta_n} \zeta_n \frac{1-2\eta_n}{1-2\eta_n+\sqrt{1-4\eta_n}} \quad \text{by (22)}$$

$$\leq \frac{2\eta_n}{1-2\eta_n+\sqrt{1-4\eta_n}} \zeta_n = \frac{1-2\eta_n-\sqrt{1-4\eta_n}}{2\eta_n} \zeta_n = \zeta_n N(\eta_n) - \zeta_n$$

from which it follows that

$$\|x_{n+m} - x_n\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \zeta_{n+j} \leq \zeta_n N(\eta_n) - \zeta_{n+m} N(\eta_{n+m})$$

and for $m \rightarrow \infty$ because of (23) and (18)

$$(24) \quad \|x^* - x_n\| \leq \zeta_n N(\zeta_n) = \frac{2\zeta_n}{1+\sqrt{1-4\eta_n}}$$

For $n \geq 1$ we then have by (17) and (22)

$$\|x^* - x_n\| \leq \frac{2\eta_{n-1}\zeta_{n-1}}{1-2\eta_{n-1}+\sqrt{1-4\eta_{n-1}}} = 2 \frac{\beta_{n-1}K\zeta_{n-1}^2}{1-2\eta_{n-1}+\sqrt{1-4\eta_{n-1}}}$$

From (21) and (22) we have

$$\zeta_n \leq 2\eta_{n-1}\zeta_{n-1}$$

$$\eta_n \leq 4\eta_{n-1}^2$$

Now we show by induction

$$(25) \quad \eta_n \leq \frac{(4\eta)^{2^n}}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_n \leq \frac{(4\eta)^{2^n-1}}{2^n} \zeta$$

$$n=0: \eta_0 = \eta = \frac{(4\eta)^{2^0}}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \zeta_0 = \zeta = \frac{(4\eta)^{2^0-1}}{2^0} \zeta$$

Now let (25) be true for some $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$, then

$$\eta_{k+1} \leq 4\eta_k^2 \leq 4 \left(\frac{(4\eta)^{2^k}}{4} \right)^2 = \frac{(4\eta)^{2^{k+1}}}{4}$$

$$\zeta_{k+1} \leq 2\eta_k \zeta_k \leq \frac{1}{2} (4\eta)^{2^k} \frac{(4\eta)^{2^k-1}}{2^k} \zeta = \frac{(4\eta)^{2^{k+1}-1}}{2^{k+1}} \zeta$$

so that (25) is proved for all $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Thus

$$\|x_{n+m} - x_n\| \leq \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} \zeta_{k+j} \leq \frac{(4\eta)^{2^n-1}}{2^n} \zeta \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots \right) \leq \frac{(4\eta)^{2^n-1}}{2^{n-2}} \zeta$$

from which the a-priori bound 2 follows as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore (B3) is shown.

(B4) Let x' be a solution of (1) with $x^* \neq x' \in S$.

Then

$$\|x' - x_0\| \leq 2\zeta$$

We show by induction

$$(26)_n \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0: \|x' - x_n\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} \zeta$$

For $k \geq 1$ we have analogously to the deviation of (20)

$$\begin{aligned} \|x^* - x_k\| &\leq \frac{\beta_{k-1}K}{2} [\|\tilde{x}_{k-1} - x_{k-1}\| + \|x_{k-1} - x'\|] \cdot \|x_{k-1} - x'\| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} 2^{k-1} \beta K \left[\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-2} \zeta + \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-2} \zeta \right] \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-2} \zeta \end{aligned}$$

by (26)_{k-1}, (18), (16) and (A4)_{k-1}.

$$= 2\beta K \zeta \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-2} \zeta = 2\eta \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-2} \zeta \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k-1} \zeta$$

Therefore x_n tends to x' as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in contradiction to $x' \neq x^*$. Thus x^* is the only solution of (1) in S and (13) follows from (24) for $n=0$.
Q.E.D.

REMARK 1. The a-posteriori bound can be improved if we take $\|J_{n-1}^{-1}\|$ instead of β_{n-1} and if K -respectively C_1 and C_2 - is restricted at each iteration step to the ball S_n .

EXAMPLE 1. This example shows the optimality of the a-posteriori bound.

Let $X = \mathbb{R}$, $F(x) = x^2 - \frac{104}{25}x - \frac{22}{25}$, so that $F(x) = 0$ has the solutions

$$x^* \doteq -0.2017536$$

$$\text{and } y^* \doteq 4.3617536$$

with $x_0 = 0$, $\tilde{x}_0 = 0.1$ and $J(x, y) = x + y - \frac{104}{25}$ we have $K = 2$, $\beta \doteq 0.2463055$, $\zeta \doteq 0.216749$ and therefore $\eta \doteq 0.1067728 < \frac{1}{4}$, $x_1 = -0.2167487$ so that the exact error $\|x_1 - x^*\| \doteq 1.49951 \cdot 10^{-2}$ while the a-posteriori bound is $\|x_1 - x^*\| \leq 1.49951 \cdot 10^{-2}$ which is the same value.

REMARK 2. If we choose $\lambda_n = 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, that means if we take (2) instead of (5) even for $n=0$, we have the original method of Steffensen. Then we get the following

COROLLARY. Let X, D, F, J be given as in the preceding theorem. If there exists an element $x_0 \in D$, such that

$$(V4) \quad J(\tilde{x}_0, x_0)^{-1} \text{ exists with } \tilde{x}_0 \text{ from (2)}$$

$$S := \{x \in X \mid \|x - x_0\| \leq r\} \subset D \text{ where}$$

$$r \geq \max \{\|F(x_0)\|, 2\|J(\tilde{x}_0, x_0)^{-1}F(x_0)\|\}$$

$$(V2) \quad \|J(x_0, x_0)^{-1}\| \leq \beta$$

$$(V5) \quad \eta := \beta K(\zeta + r) < \frac{1}{2} \text{ with } \zeta \geq \|J(x_0, x_0)^{-1}F(x_0)\|$$

and $K = \max \{C_1 + C_2, 2C_1\}$ with C_1, C_2 from (7) where, $x, y \in S$ then (B5) the method of Steffensen determined by (2), (3), (4) gives a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset S$, which

(B6) converges quadratically against a solution $x^* \in S$ for (1).

(B7) We have the following error bounds

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \|x_n - x^*\| \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n-1} \zeta \text{ (a-priori bound 1)}$$

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \|x_n - x^*\| \leq \frac{(2\eta)^{2^{n-1}}}{2^{n-1}} \zeta \text{ (a-priori bound 2)}$$

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \|x_n - x^*\| \leq \frac{\beta_{n-1}K(\zeta_{n-1} + \tilde{\zeta}_{n-1})}{1 - \eta_{n-1} + \sqrt{1 - 2\eta_{n-1}}} \zeta_{n-1} \text{ (a-posteriori bound)}$$

with

$$\eta_0 := \eta, \beta_0 := \beta, \zeta_0 := \zeta, r_0 := r$$

$$\zeta_n := \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|, \tilde{\zeta}_n := \|\tilde{x}_n - x_n\| = \|F(x_n)\|$$

$$r_n := \max \{2\zeta_n, \tilde{\zeta}_n\}, \beta_n := \frac{\beta_{n-1}}{1 - \eta_{n-1}}$$

$$\eta_n = \beta_n K(\zeta_n + r_n)$$

(B8) x^* is the only solution of (1) in S and

$$(27) \quad \|x^* - x_0\| \leq \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 2\eta}}{\eta} \zeta.$$

The corollary can be proved in a similar way as the theorem, so that the proof can be omitted. We only give an example which shows that our error-bounds are much better than the bounds from [1], [17], [10] and [7].

$$(28) \quad F(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 5 & -\xi_1\xi_2 \\ \xi_1 & \xi_1\xi_2 + \xi_2 - 13 \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{!}{=} 0, \quad x = (\xi_1, \xi_2)^T$$

be given. It is easy to see that a solution of this system, which is symmetric in ξ_1 and ξ_2 , is given by the roots of the quadratic polynomial $t^2 - 8t + 5$, which are $4 \pm \sqrt{11}$, that means

$$x^* = \begin{pmatrix} 4 + \sqrt{11} \\ 4 - \sqrt{11} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 7.3166 & 24790 & 35540 & 0 \\ P.6833 & 75209 & 65560 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a solution of (29). If we choose for $J(\cdot, \cdot)$ the symmetric operator

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\xi_2 + \xi_2}{2} & -\frac{\xi_1 + \eta_1}{2} \\ 1 + \frac{\xi_2 + \eta_2}{2} & 1 + \frac{\xi_1 + \eta_1}{2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{matrix} x = (\xi_1, \xi_2)^T \\ y = (\eta_1, \eta_2)^T \end{matrix}$$

then the example is simple enough to compare all known bounds except the estimates of Chen [5], where a contraction-condition has to be fulfilled. With

$$x_0 = (7.317, 0.683)^T$$

using the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ -norm we have $\beta = 2.3572$, $K = 2$, $\zeta = 3.7533 \cdot 10^{-4}$, $\tilde{\zeta} = 2.489 \cdot 10^{-3}$ and $\eta = 0.01351 < \frac{1}{2}$ and Steffensen's method gives

$x_0 = 7.317$						0.683
$x_1 = 7.31662$	46707	57539	0.68337	53292	42461	
$x_2 = 7.31662$	47903	55388	0.68337	52096	44612	
$x_3 = 7.31662$	47903	55400	0.68337	52096	44600.	

The error-estimates are as follows

	$\ x^* - x_0\ _\infty$	$\ x^* - x_1\ _\infty$	$\ x^* - x_2\ _\infty$
Balász [1]	not applicable	$534146.7 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$9078077500 \cdot 10^{-13}$
Ul'm [19]	$40.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2019.0 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$5630000 \cdot 10^{-13}$
Koppel' [9]	$39.6 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$2033.3 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$6300000 \cdot 10^{-13}$
Johnson & Scholz [7]	$37.9 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1413.3 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$1010000 \cdot 10^{-13}$
a-priori 2 aus (B7)	$7.51 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$101.4 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$36966 \cdot 10^{-13}$
(28)	$3.78 \cdot 10^{-4}$	—	—
a-posteriori aus (B7)	—	$25.7 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$2.6 \cdot 10^{-13}$
exact error	$3.76 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.2 \cdot 10^{-7}$	$0.122 \cdot 10^{-13}$

and we see, that especially for $n \geq 1$, the only error-bound of practical use is given by the a-posteriori bound in (B7). At least it should be mentioned that for many problems (for example (28) with $x_0 = (7.31, 0.683)$ only the corollary of this paper is applicable.

REFERENCES

- [1] Balás z, M.: *A note on the convergence of Steffensen's method*, Math., Revue d'Anal. Num. Th. Approx., **10**, 5–10 (1981).
- [2] Balás z, M. and Goldner, G.: *On Steffensen's method in Fréchet spaces*, Stud. Univ. Babeş-Bolyai Math., **28**, 34–37, (1983).
- [3] Baptist, P.: *Konvergenz and monotone Einschließung für das Steffensen-Verfahren*, Elemente der Mathematik, **37**, 33–40 (1982).
- [4] Bel'tyukov, B. A.: *A method of solving nonlinear functional equations*, USSR Comp. Math. Phys., **5**, 210–217 (1965).
- [5] Chen, K. W.: *Generalisation of Steffensen's method for operator equations*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae, **5**, 47–77 (1964).
- [6] Döring, B.: *Über das Newtonsche Näherungsverfahren*, Math.-Phys. Sem.-Ber., **16**, 27–40 (1969).
- [7] Hofmann, W.: *Monotonieigenschaften des Steffensen-Verfahrens*, Aequationes Math., **12**, 21–31 (1975).
- [8] Johnson, L. W. and Scholz, D. R.: *On Steffensen's method*, SIAM J. Num. Anal., **5**, 296–302 (1968).
- [9] Kantorovich, L. V. and Akilov, G. P.: *Functional analysis*, 2nd ed., New York, Pergamon Press (1982).
- [10] Koppel, H.: *Convergence of the generalised method of Steffensen (in Russian)* Eesti NSV Tead. Akad. Toimetised Füüs. Mat. Techn.-tead. Seer., **15**, 531–539 (1966).
- [11] Mönch, W.: *Inversionsfreie Verfahren zur Einschließung von Nullstellen nichtlinearer Operatoren*, Beitr. Numer. Math., **2**, 125–136 (1974).
- [12] Ortega, J. M. and Rheinboldt, W. C.: *Iterative solutions of nonlinear equations in several variables*, 1st ed., New York, Akad. Press (1970).
- [13] Schmidt, J. W.: *Konvergenzgeschwindigkeit der Regula-falsi und des Steffensen-Verfahrens in Banachräumen*, ZAMM, **46**, 146–148 (1966).
- [14] Schmidt, J. W.: *Regula-falsi-Verfahren mit konsistenter Steigung und Majorantenprinzip*, Period. Math. Hung., **5**, 187–193 (1974).
- [15] Schneider, N.: *Results about monotone convergence of Steffensen-like-methods*, BIT, **21**, 347–354 (1981).
- [16] Steffensen, J. F.: *Remarks on iteration*, Skand. Aktuar. Tidsskr., **16**, 64–72 (1933).
- [17] Ul'm, S. Y.: *Extension of Steffensen's method for solving nonlinear operator equations*, USSR Comp. Math., **4**, 159–165 (1964).

Received 24.II.1985

Mathematisches Institut der
Universität Düsseldorf
Universitätsstraße 1
D-4000 Düsseldorf 1