## MATHEMATICA — REVUE D'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET DE THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION

## L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION Tome 15, N° 1, 1986, pp. 21-25

## APPLICATION OF SOME METHODS OF APPROXIMATION THEORY

PIERRE GOETGHELUCK (Paris)

Abstract. We establish inequalities for powers of polynomials in several metrics by applying Markov-Bernstein's type theorems. The results are extended to some classes of regular functions which (from Jackson's theorem) are well approximated by polynomials.

**Introduction.** Let f be a real-valued function in  $C^p([-1, 1])$  and  $m(x) = \prod_{i=1}^k |x - a_i|^{\sigma_i}$  where  $(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k)$  is a sequence of (not necessarily distinct) points in [-1, 1] and  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k$  are real positive exponents. The purpose of this paper is to prove that one can give a lower bound for  $\sup_{|x| \le 1} |f(x)m(x)|$  depending only on f,  $f^{(b)}$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^k \sigma_i$  but not on the positions

of the  $a_i'$  s in [-1,1]. We use here methods coming from approximation theory: in the first part, we prove the result for polynomials by means of Markov's inequality; in the second part the result is extended to functions in  $C^p([-1,1])$  which from Jackson's theorem can be approximated up to a well-controlled error, by polynomials.

Notation. We denote by  $H_n$  the set of polynomials of degree n or less. For any continuous function f on [-1,1], we set  $||f(x)|| = ||f|| = \sup_{|x| \le 1} |f(x)|$ .

1. The aim of this first part is to establish the two following theorems:

THEOREM 1. For  $a_1, \ldots, a_k$  real, for  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k$ ,  $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k$ ,  $\delta$  real satisfying  $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ ,  $\beta_i \neq 0$   $(i = 1, \ldots, k)$ ,  $\delta \geq 0$ , and for any  $P \in H_n$  we have

$$\begin{split} & \left\| |P(x)|^{\delta} \prod_{i=1}^{k} |x - a_{i}|^{\alpha_{i}} \right\| \leqslant \\ & \leqslant \mathrm{e}^{2k} \bigg( n\delta + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i} \bigg)^{2 \sum\limits_{i=1}^{k} (\beta_{i} - \alpha_{i})} \left\| |P(x)|^{\delta} \prod_{i=1}^{k} |x - a_{i}|^{\beta_{i}} \right\|. \end{split}$$

2

THE DRIVE THE BUT LANGUAGEST AND THE Theorem 2. Let l be such that  $0 \le l < 1$ .

\* For  $a_1, \ldots, a_k$  real satisfying  $|a_i| \leq l \ (i = 1, \ldots, k)$ ,

\* for  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k, \delta$  real satisfying  $0 \leq \alpha_i \leq \beta_i, \beta_i \neq 0$  ( $i = 1, \ldots, \beta_k, \delta$ )

 $,\ldots,k),\ \delta\geqslant 0,$ 

\* for any  $P \in H_n$ , we have

$$\left| |P(x)|^{\delta} \prod_{i=1}^{k} |x - a_i|^{\alpha_i} \right| \leq$$

$$\mathrm{e}^{k} \left[ 2(1-l)^{-1} \left( n\delta + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{i} \right) \right]^{2 \sum\limits_{i=1}^{k} (\beta_{i} - \alpha_{i})} \left\| |P(x)|^{\delta} \prod_{i=1}^{k} |x - a_{i}|^{\beta_{i}} \right\| \cdot$$

Remark. We note the fact that the result is quite independent of the (not necessarily distinct) positions of the  $a_i$ 's in either R (first theorem) or in  $\lceil -l, l \rceil$  (second theorem).

Before proving Theorems 1 and 2 we establish some lemmas.

LEMMA 1. For any polynomial  $P \in H_n$  and any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  we have

$$\|P^{(p)}\| \leqslant n^{2p}(1/p!)\|P\|.$$

Proof. We have [1, p. 141]:

Proof. We have 
$$[1, p. 141]$$
:
$$\|P^{(p)}\| \leq \frac{n^2(n^2-1)\dots(n^2-(p-1)^2)}{1.3.5\dots(2p-1)} \|P\|$$

whence the lemma follows immediately.

LEMMA 2. For any polynomial  $P \in H_n$ , any  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ? we have  $||P|| \le (n+p)^{2p} (1/p!)^2 ||(x-a)^p| P(x)||$ .

*Proof.* First we assume  $|a| \le 1$  and we put  $R(x) = (x - a)^p P(x)$ . Applying Taylor's formula up to order p gives:

$$(x-a)^p P(x) = R(x) = (1/p!)(x-a)^p R^{(p)}(b)$$

for some b between x and a. Therefore,  $P(x) = (1/p!)R^{(p)}(b)$  and, using Lemma 1:

$$\|P\| \leqslant (1/p!)\|R^{(p)}\| \leqslant (1/p!)^2(n+p)^{2p}\|R\|.$$

Now let us assume |a| > 1. Clearly we have:

$$\|(x-a)^p P(x)\| \ge \begin{cases} \|(x-1)^p P(x)\| & \text{if } a > 0 \\ \|(x+1)^p P(x)\| & \text{if } a < 0 \end{cases}$$

which leads us to the case  $|a| \leq 1$ .

LEMMA 3. For any polynomial  $P \in \mathcal{H}_n$ , any  $p \in \mathbb{N}$  and any

$$x \in ]-1, 1[we have |P^{(p)}(x)| \le (n/(1 - |x|))^p ||P||.$$

*Proof.* From [2, p. 227], for any  $P \in H_n$  and any interval [c, d] we have  $|P^{(p)}((c+d)/2)|^2 \le (2n/(d-c))^p \sup |P(x)|$ . Let  $x \in [-1, 1]$ . If  $x \ge 0$  the interval [2x - 1, 1] is included in [-1, 1] and has his centre at x. Hence

 $|P^{(p)}(x)| \leq (n/(1-x))^p \sup_{y \in [2x-1,1]} |P(y)| \leq (n/(1-|x|))^p ||P||.$ 

We have an analogous proof when  $x \leq 0$ .

LEMMA 4. Let l be such that  $0 \le l < 1$ . For any  $P \in H_n$ , any a satisying  $|a| \leq l$  and any  $p \in N$  we have

$$||P|| \le (1/p!) (2/(1-l))^p (n+p)^p ||(x-a)^p P(x)||.$$

*Proof.* Let us assume  $a \ge 0$ . We set  $R(x) = (x - a)^p P(x)$ . Applying Taylor's formula up to order p yelds // welling land and a

 $(x-a)^{p}P(x) = R(x) = (1/p!)(x-a)^{p}R'(b)$  for some b between x and a, therefore P(x) = (1/p!)R'(b). If  $x \in [a - (1 - a)/2, a + (1 - a)/2] = [(a - 1)/2, (a + 1)/2], b$  belongs to the same interval and, from Lemma 3

$$|P(x)| \le (1/p!) [(n+p)/(1-(a+1)/2)]^p ||R||$$
  
=  $(1/p!) [2(n+p)/(1-a)]^p ||R||$ .

If  $x \notin [(a-1)/2, (a+1)/2], |x-a| > (1-a)/2$  and

$$|P(x)| \le [2/(1-a)]^p |x-a|^p |P(x)| \le [2/(1-a)]^p ||R||.$$

Clearly,  $[2/(1-a)]^p \le (1/p!)[2(n+p)/(1-a)]^p$  therefore

$$||P|| \le (1/p!)[2(n+p)/(1-a)]^p ||(x-a)^p P(x)||.$$

To complete the proof we observe that  $1/(1-a) \le 1/(1-l)$ . We have an analogous proof when  $a \leq 0$ .

*Proof of Theorem* 1. First we remark that for any given  $a_1, \ldots, a_j, P$ , the function

$$(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_j, \delta) \to \| |P(x)| |x - a_1|^{\gamma_1} \ldots |x - a_j|^{\gamma_j} \|$$

is continuous from  $(0, + \infty)^{j+1}$  to  $R^+$ . It is then sufficient to prove the theorem when  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k, \delta$  are rational. From now on we will suppose this to be the case.

One can find an integer t such that  $t\delta$ ,  $t\alpha_i$ ,  $t\beta_i$  (i = 1, ..., k) are integers. We put

$$\begin{split} N_1 = & \parallel |P(x)|^{\delta} \prod_{i=1}^k |x - a_i|^{\alpha_i} \parallel = \|(P(x))^{t\delta} \prod_{i=1}^k (x - a_i)^{t\alpha_i} \|^{1/t} \\ N_2 = & \parallel |P(x)|^{\delta} \prod_{i=1}^k |x - a_i|^{\beta_i} \parallel = \|(P(x))^{t\delta} \prod_{i=1}^k (x - a_i)^{t\beta_i} \|^{1/t}. \end{split}$$
 Then applying Lemma 2  $k$ -times gives:

$$N_1 \leqslant \left[ \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{\left[ t \left( n\delta + \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i \right) \right]^{2t(\beta_i - \alpha_i)}}{((t \alpha_i - t\beta_i) \,!)^2} \right]^{1/t} N_2.$$

Or, setting  $t(\beta_i - \alpha_i) = d_i$ ,

$$N_1\leqslant \left(n\delta+\sum\limits_{i=1}^keta_i
ight)^{2\sum\limits_{i=1}^k(eta_i-lpha_i)}\prod_{i=1}^k((t^2)^{d_i}/(d_i\,!)^2/(d_i\,!)^2)^{1/t}N_2.$$

Therefore to prove Theorem 1 it is sufficient to observe that

$$((t^2)^{d_i}/(d_i\,!)^2)^{1/t}=((t^{d_i}/d_i\,!)\,(t^{d_i}/d_i\,!))^{1/t}\leqslant (e^t\cdot e^t)^{1/t}=e^2.$$

Proof of Theorem 2. We carry out the same proof as in Theorem 1 but using Lemma 4 instead of Lemma 2.

2. Let  $a_1, \ldots, a_k$  be (not necessarily distinct) points in [-1, 1] and  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k$  be real and positive. We put  $\sigma = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sigma_i$  and  $m(x) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} |x - a_i|^{\sigma_i}$ .

We are going to use Theorems 1 and 2 to prove the following result:

THEOREM 3. For each  $p \in N^*$  there exist two positive constants A and B (depending in  $\sigma$  and k) such that, for any function  $f \in C^p([-1,1])$ we have

either

$$||fm|| \geqslant A \, ||f||$$

 $||fm|| \ge B ||f||^{1+(2\sigma/(p-2\sigma))} ||f^{(p)}||^{-2\sigma/(p-2\sigma)}.$ 

Furthermore if there exists l satisfying  $0 \leqslant l < 1$  such that  $|a_i| \leqslant l$  $(i=1,\ldots,k)$  then  $\sigma$  can be replaced by  $\sigma/2$  in the second inequality.

Remark. The constants A and B depend neither on the individual  $\sigma_i$ 's (but only on their sum and number) nor on the  $a_i$ 's positions in [-1, 1] and [-l, l]. The stringship the ground was observed little to the

*Proof.* From Jackson's theorem (see [1, p. 128]) there exists a constant  $C_1$  such that, for any n satisfying n > p, one can find  $P \in H_n$  such that  $||f - P|| \le C_1 n^{-p} ||f^{(p)}||$ . Therefore

$$||f|| \leq ||f - P|| + ||P|| \leq C_1 n^{-p} ||f^{(p)}|| + ||P||.$$

But applying Theorem 1 with  $\delta = 1$ ,  $\alpha_i = 0$ ,  $\beta_i = \sigma_i$  (i = 1, ..., k) yelds  $||P|| \leq e^{2k} (n + \sigma)^{2\sigma} ||Pm|| \leq e^{2k} n^{2\sigma} (1 + \sigma/p)^{2\sigma} ||Pm||$ ,

(2) i.e. 
$$||P|| \leqslant C_2 n^{2\sigma} ||Pm||$$
.

On the other hand,  $||Pm|| \leq ||Pm - fm|| + ||fm||$ 

$$\leq ||m|| ||P - f|| + ||fm||$$

Therefore, since  $||m|| \leq 2^{\sigma}$ 

(3) 
$$||Pm|| \leq C_3 n^{-p} ||f^{(p)}|| + ||fm||$$

From (1), (2) and (3) we deduce the existence of a constant  $C_4$  such that, for any integer n strictly grater than p,

(4) 
$$||f|| \leqslant C_4 n^{2\sigma} (n^{-\rho} ||f^{(\rho)}|| + ||fm||).$$

We will now consider two cases separately.

Firstly, let us assume that  $(\|f^{(p)}\|/\|fm\|)^{1/p} \leq p$ . Then,  $\|f^{(p)}\| \leq p$  $\leq p^p ||fm||$  which implies, by (4) with n = p + 1:  $||fm|| \geq A ||f||$ . Now, let us assume that  $(\|f^{(p)}\|/\|fm\|)^{1/p} > p$ . Let n an integer satisfying

$$(\|f^{(p)}\|/\|fm\|)^{1/p} \le n < (\|f^{(p)}\|/\|fm\|)^{1/p} + 1.$$

Then we have  $n < 2(\|f^{(p)}\|/\|fm\|)^{1/p}$  and  $n^{-p}\|f^{(p)}\| \leq \|fm\|$ . Substituting in (4) yelds

$$\begin{split} \|f\| &\leqslant \, C_5 \, \|f^{(\rho)}\|^{2\sigma/\rho} \|fm\|^{1-(2\sigma/\rho)}, \text{ therefore} \\ \|fm\| &\geqslant \, \|f\|^{1+(2\sigma/(\rho-2\sigma))} \|f^{(\rho)}\|^{-2\sigma/(\rho-2\sigma)}. \end{split}$$

If the  $a_i$ 's belongs to [-l, l], the proof is carried out in the same way. using Theorem 2 instead of Theorem 1.

*Remark.* Let  $E = C^p([-1, 1])$  with the norm  $|||f_E = ||f^{(p)}|| + ||fm||$ and  $F = C^p[(-1,1])$  with the norm  $||f||_F = ||f||$ . Inequality (4) then says that the inclusion map of E in F is continuous.

## REFERENCES

1. Natanson I. P., Constructive Function Theory, Vol. I, Ungar, New York, 1964. 2. Timan, A. F., Theory of Approximation of Functions of a Real Variable, Pergamon, Elmsford, New York, 1963.

A function of the Decide of New York Karnburger Countries

Received 28.V.1985

Université de Paris-Sud, Centre d'Orsay, Département de mathématiques, Bâtiment 425, The proof of till bounds in 11820 to 91405 ORSAY CEDEX, FRANCE IN