

SOME REMARKS ON A THEOREM ON BEST  
APPROXIMATIONS

OLGA HADŽIĆ  
(Novi Sad)

*Abstract.* In this paper we give a short proof of the Theorem from [12]. Some generalizations of this theorem in normed, convex metric and topological vector spaces will be obtained.

1. The following result, proved by Ky Fan, is well known [3].

LEMMA 1. *Let  $C$  be a compact convex subset of a normed space  $E$ , and let  $F : C \rightarrow E$  be continuous. Then there exists at least one  $y_0 \in C$  such that :*

$$\|y_0 - F(y_0)\| = \inf_{x \in C} \|x - F(y_0)\|.$$

The proof of this lemma is given by the method of KKM mapping [2].

Definition 1. *Let  $E$  be a vector space and  $X$  be an arbitrary subset of  $E$ . A function  $G : X \rightarrow 2^E$  is called a Knaster-Kuratowski-Mazurkiewicz map (or simply a KKM-map) provided :*

$$\text{co} \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n G(x_i)$$

for each finite subset  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  of  $X$ .

The following theorem is used in the proof of Lemma 1.

THEOREM 1. (Ky Fan) *Let  $E$  be a topological vector space,  $X \subseteq E$  and  $G : X \rightarrow 2^E$  a KKM mapping. If the sets  $G(x)$  are closed, for every  $x \in X$ , and if there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $G(x_0)$  is compact then  $\bigcap_{x \in X} G(x) \neq \emptyset$ .*

In [12] a generalization of Lemma 1 is proved and, in the proof, the author used Bohnenblust's and Karlin's fixed point theorem for set-valued mappings. Using the method of KKM mapping we shall generalize Prollas result. Let  $(E, \|\cdot\|)$  be a Banach space,  $M$  a convex subset of  $E$  and  $g : M \rightarrow E$ . The mapping  $g$  is said to be almost affine if [12] :

$$\|g(t) - y\| \leq \lambda \|g(t_1) - y\| + (1 - \lambda) \|g(t_2) - y\|$$

for all  $t_1, t_2 \in M$  and all  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  where  $y$  is an arbitrary element of  $E$  and  $t = \lambda t_1 + (1 - \lambda)t_2$ .

LEMMA 2. If  $E$ ,  $M$  and  $g$  are as above and  $g$  is almost affine then:

$$(1) \quad \left\| g \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i t_i \right) - y \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \|g(t_i) - y\|$$

for every  $n \in N$  and every  $\lambda_i \geq 0 (i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\})$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$  every  $t_i \in M (i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\})$  and every  $y \in E$ .

*Proof.* For  $n = 2$  (1) is satisfied by the definition of an almost affine mapping. Suppose that for every  $\lambda_i \geq 0 (i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\})$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i = 1$  we have:

$$(2) \quad \left\| g \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i t_i \right) - y \right\| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \lambda_i \|g(t_i) - y\|$$

for every  $t_i \in M (i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\})$  and every  $y \in E$ . Let us prove that (1) holds. Let  $x_i \in M$ ,  $\mu_i \geq 0 (i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\})$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i = 1$ . Then  $x = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\mu_i}{1 - \mu_n} x_i \in M$  since  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\mu_i}{1 - \mu_n} = 1$  and  $M$  is convex. Since  $g$  is almost affine we have that (2) implies:

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| g \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i x_i \right) - y \right\| &= \|g((1 - \mu_n)x + \mu_n x_n) - y\| \leq \\ &\leq (1 - \mu_n) \|g(x) - y\| + \mu_n \|g(x_n) - y\| = (1 - \mu_n) \left\| g \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\mu_i}{1 - \mu_n} x_i \right) - y \right\| + \\ &+ \mu_n \|g(x_n) - y\| \leq (1 - \mu_n) \left[ \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \frac{\mu_i}{1 - \mu_n} \|g(x_i) - y\| \right] + \mu_n \|g(x_n) - y\| = \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \mu_i \|g(x_i) - y\|. \end{aligned}$$

2. The following theorem is proved in [12] and we shall give a new proof of it using Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. Let  $M$  be a compact and convex non-empty subset of a normed space  $E$  and let  $g$  be a continuous almost affine selfmap of  $M$  onto  $M$ . For each continuous mapping  $f: M \rightarrow E$ , there exists some  $y_0 \in M$  such that:

$$\|g(y_0) - f(y_0)\| = \text{dist}(f(y_0); M)$$

where  $\text{dist}(f(y_0); M) = \inf_{m \in M} \|m - f(y_0)\|$ .

*Proof.* The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 1, given in [2]. Define the mapping  $G: M \rightarrow 2^E$  in the following way:

$$(3) \quad G(x) = \{y | y \in M, \|g(y) - f(y)\| \leq \|g(x) - f(y)\|\}.$$

Since  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous it follows that  $G(x)$  is closed in  $M$  and from the compactness of  $M$  it follows the compactness of  $G(x)$ , for every  $x \in M$ . Let us prove that  $G$  is a KKM mapping. If we suppose that  $G$  is not a KKM mapping then there exists a finite set  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq M$  and an element  $y$  from  $\text{co}\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$  so that  $y \notin \bigcup_{i=1}^n G(x_i)$ . From (3) we obtain that:

$$(4) \quad \|g(y) - f(y)\| > \|g(x_i) - f(y)\|, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$$

Suppose that  $y = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i$ ,  $\lambda_i \geq 0 (i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\})$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ .

Then (4) implies that:

$$\|g(y) - f(y)\| > \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \|g(x_i) - f(y)\|$$

and from Lemma 2 we obtain that:

$$\|g(y) - f(y)\| > \left\| g \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \right) - f(y) \right\| = \|g(y) - f(y)\|$$

which is a contradiction. So,  $G$  is a KKM mapping and this implies that there exists  $y_0 \in M$  such that  $y_0 \in \bigcap_{x \in M} G(x)$ . From (3) it follows that  $\|g(y_0) - f(y_0)\| = \inf_{x \in M} \|g(x) - f(y_0)\|$ . Since  $g(M) = M$ , we obtain that:

$$\|g(y_0) - f(y_0)\| = \text{dist}(f(y_0); M).$$

Using Theorem 2 we can prove the following generalization of Ky Fan fixed point theorem ([2], Theorem 2.2).

*Remark.* It is obvious that Theorem 2 holds if the mapping  $g$  satisfies the condition:

$$\left\| g \left( \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i x_i \right) - y \right\| \leq \max \{ \|g(x_i) - y\|, i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \}$$

for every  $x_i \in M$ ,  $\lambda_i \geq 0 (i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\})$ ,  $y \in E$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i = 1$ . A similar condition is introduced by Viorel Sadoveanu in [15].

THEOREM 3. Let  $M$  be a compact and convex non-empty set of a normed space  $E$ ,  $g$  a continuous almost affine mapping of  $M$  into  $M$  and  $f: M \rightarrow E$  continuous such that for each  $m \in M$  with  $g(m) \neq f(m)$  the line segment  $[g(m), f(m)] = \{\lambda g(m) + (1 - \lambda)f(m), 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1\}$  contains at least two points of  $M$ . Then there exists  $y_0 \in M$  such that:

$$g(y_0) = f(y_0).$$

*Proof.* From Theorem 2 it follows that there exists  $y_0 \in M$  such that  $\|g(y_0) - f(y_0)\| = \text{dist}(f(y_0); M)$ .

Suppose that  $g(y_0) \neq f(y_0)$  and let  $x \in M \cap [g(y_0), f(y_0)] \setminus \{g(y_0)\}$ . Then  $x = \lambda g(y_0) + (1 - \lambda)f(y_0)$  for some  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and so:

$$\|g(y_0) - f(y_0)\| \leq \|\lambda g(y_0) + (1 - \lambda)f(y_0) - f(y_0)\| \\ = \lambda \|g(y_0) - f(y_0)\|$$

which implies that  $g(y_0) = f(y_0)$ .

We shall give some generalizations of Theorem 2 in metric spaces with a convex structure [16].

In 1970 Takahashi introduced the notion of a metric space with a convex structure. Some fixed point theorems in such spaces are proved in [5], [11], [13], [17].

*Definition 2.* Let  $X$  be a metric space and  $I = [0, 1]$ . A mapping  $W : X \times X \times I \rightarrow X$  is said to be a convex structure on  $X$  if for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\lambda \in I$  we have:

$$d(u, W(x, y, \lambda)) \leq \lambda d(u, x) + (1 - \lambda)d(u, y)$$

for all  $u \in X$ . Then  $X$ , together with a convex structure, is called a convex metric space.

*Definition 3.* Let  $X$  be a convex metric space. A nonempty subset  $K \subseteq X$  is convex if and only if:

$$W(x, y, \lambda) \in K, \text{ for every } (x, y, \lambda) \in X \times X \times [0, 1].$$

The convex hull  $\text{co}_W(A)$  of a set  $A \subseteq X$  is the intersection of all convex sets containing  $A$ .

*Remark.* The mapping  $W$  is not continuous in general, however if  $X$  is compact that  $W$  is continuous. In a Banach space  $X$ ,  $W$  is defined by  $W(x, y, \lambda) = \lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y$ , for every  $x, y \in X$  and  $\lambda \in I$ . If  $(X, d)$  is a linear metric space with a translation invariant metric  $d$  such that:

$$d(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y, 0) \leq \lambda d(x, 0) + (1 - \lambda)d(y, 0) \quad (x, y \in X, \lambda \in I)$$

then  $X$  is a convex metric space.

In [7] the notion of a pseudo-convex structure is introduced.

*Definition 4.* Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $h : X \times X \times I \rightarrow X$  so that:

$$(i) \quad h(x, y, 0) = y, \quad h(x, y, 1) = x, \text{ for every } (x, y) \in X \times X.$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{For every finite subset } A \text{ of } X:$$

$h|_{\text{co}_h(A) \times \text{co}_h(A) \times I}$  is continuous ( $\text{co}_h(A)$  is defined as  $\text{co}_W(A)$ , for  $W = h$ ). Then  $h$  is a pseudo-convex structure on  $X$  and  $(X, h)$  is a pseudo-convex space.

It is obvious that a convex structure  $W$  on a metric space  $X$  satisfies condition (i). If we suppose that a convex metric space  $(X, d)$  is such that  $\text{co}_W(A)$  is compact for every finite subset  $A$  of  $X$  then  $W|_{\text{co}_W(A) \times \text{co}_W(A) \times I}$  is continuous and  $W$  is a pseudo-convex structure in the sense of Definition 4. In [17] Talman introduced the notion of a strong convex structure (SCS) on a metric space. A strong convex structure on  $X$  is a continuous functions  $K : X \times X \times X \times P \rightarrow X$ , where  $P = \{(t_1, t_2, t_3) \in I \times I \times I : t_1 + t_2 + t_3 = 1\}$ , with the property that for each  $(x_1, x_2,$

$x_3, t_1, t_2, t_3) \in X \times X \times X \times P$ ,  $K(x_1, x_2, x_3, t_1, t_2, t_3)$  is the unique point of  $X$  which satisfies the inequality:

$$d(y, K(x_1, x_2, x_3, t_1, t_2, t_3)) \leq \sum_{k=1}^3 t_k d(y, x_k)$$

for every  $y \in X$ . A metric space with a strong convex structure will be called *strongly convex*. By Theorem 3.1 from Talmans paper [17] it follows that for every finite set  $A$  from a strongly convex metric space  $X$ ,  $\text{co}_{W_K}(A)$  is compact, where  $W_K$  is the induced Takahashi convex structure, defined by:

$$W_K(x_1, x_2, t) = K(x_1, x_2, t, 1 - t, 0)$$

where  $(x_1, x_2, t) \in X \times X \times I$ . From this it follows that  $(X, W_K)$  is a pseudo-convex space.

Let  $(X, h)$  be a pseudo-convex space and  $R : X \rightarrow 2^X$ . The mapping  $R$  is said to be an element of  $\text{KKM}_h(X)$  [7] if and only if for every finite subset  $A$  of  $X$ :

$$\text{co}_h(A) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in A} R(x).$$

In [7] the following proposition is proved.

*PROPOSITION 1.* Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete pseudo-convex metric space and  $R \in \text{KKM}_h(X)$  such that  $R(x)$  is closed for every  $x \in X$ . If for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists a finite set  $A$  such that:

$$\alpha(\bigcap_{x \in A} R(x)) < \varepsilon \quad (\alpha - \text{the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness})$$

then  $\bigcap_{x \in A} R(x)$  is nonempty and compact.

*Definition 5.* Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space with a convex structure  $W$ ,  $M$  a non-empty subset of  $X$  which is convex and  $g : M \rightarrow X$ . The mapping  $g$  is said to be  $W$ -almost affine if and only if for every finite set  $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \subseteq M$  and every  $z \in X$ :

$$y \in \text{co}_W\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\} \Rightarrow d(g(y), z) \leq \max_{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}} d(g(x_i), z).$$

Using the above Proposition and Definition 5 we can prove the following generalization of Theorem 2.

*THEOREM 2'.* Let  $M$  be a compact and convex non-empty subset of a convex metric space  $(E, d)$  with convex structure  $W$ ,  $g$  a continuous  $W$ -almost affine mapping of  $M$  onto  $M$  and  $f : M \rightarrow E$  a continuous mapping. If  $\text{co}_W(A)$  is compact, for every finite subset  $A$  of  $E$ , then there exists  $y_0 \in M$  such that:

$$(5) \quad d(g(y_0), f(y_0)) = \text{dist}(f(y_0); M)$$

*Proof.* As in the proof of Theorem 2 let:

$$G(x) = \{y \mid y \in M, d(g(y), f(y)) \leq d(g(x), f(y))\}, \quad x \in M$$

Since  $M$  is compact it is complete and  $G(x)$  is compact, for every  $x \in M$ . So we have that  $\alpha(\bigcap_{x \in A} G(x)) = 0$ , for every  $A \subseteq M$ . Since  $g$  is  $W$ -almost affine, similarly as in Theorem 2, we conclude that  $G \in \text{KKM}_W(M)$  and

so, using Proposition 1 it follows that  $\bigcap_{x \in M} G(x) \neq \emptyset$ . The rest of the proof is as in Theorem 2.

**COROLLARY.** Let  $E$  be a strongly convex metric space with a strong convex structure  $K$ ,  $M$  a compact, convex and non-empty subset of  $E$ ,  $g$  a continuous  $W_K$ -almost affine mapping of  $M$  onto  $M$  and  $f: M \rightarrow E$  a continuous mapping. Then there exists  $y_0 \in M$  such that (5) is satisfied.

**THEOREM 3'.** Let  $M$  be a compact, convex and non-empty subset of a convex metric space  $(E, d)$  with convex structure  $W$ ,  $g$  a continuous  $W$ -almost affine mapping of  $M$  onto  $M$ ,  $f: M \rightarrow E$  a continuous mapping and for each  $m \in M$  such that  $g(m) \neq f(m)$ :

$$\text{card}(\{W(g(m), f(m), \lambda) \mid \lambda \in [0, 1]\} \cap M) \geq 2.$$

If  $\text{co}_W(A)$  is compact for every finite subset  $A$  of  $E$  then there exists  $y_0 \in M$  such that  $g(y_0) = f(y_0)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $y_0 \in M$  be such that  $d(g(y_0), f(y_0)) = \text{dist}(f(y_0); M)$ . If  $g(y_0) \neq f(y_0)$  then there exists  $x \in [W(g(y_0), f(y_0), \lambda) \cap M] \setminus \{g(y_0)\}$  for some  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ . Then we have:

$$\begin{aligned} d(g(y_0), f(y_0)) &\leq d(W(g(y_0), f(y_0), \lambda), f(y_0)) \\ &\leq d(g(y_0), f(y_0)) + (1 - \lambda)d(f(y_0), f(y_0)) = \lambda d(g(y_0), f(y_0)) \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $g(y_0) = f(y_0)$ .

*Remark.* Let  $(E, d)$  be a convex metric space with convex structure  $W$ ,  $M$  a closed and convex subset of  $E$ ,  $f: M \rightarrow E$  a continuous mapping and  $g$  a continuous mapping of  $M$  onto  $M$  such that:

$$g(W(t_1, t_2, \lambda)) = W(g(t_1), g(t_2), \lambda), \text{ for every } t_1, t_2 \in M$$

and every  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ . Using the same method as in Prollas paper [12] we can prove the existence of  $y_0 \in M$  such that  $d(g(y_0), f(y_0)) = \text{dist}(f(y_0); M)$  if  $M$  is such that  $\text{Fix}(F) \neq \emptyset$  (the set of fixed points of  $F$ ) for every multi-valued mapping  $F: M \rightarrow 2^M \setminus \emptyset$  which is closed (in the sense of the closed graph) and such that  $F(x)$  is closed and convex. To prove this let us define the mapping  $F_f: M \rightarrow 2^M$  in the following way:

$$F_f(x) = \{m \mid m \in M, d(g(m), f(x)) \leq \frac{1}{2} [d(g(x), f(x)) + \text{dist}(f(x); M)]\}$$

for every  $x \in M$ .

As in [12] it follows that  $F_f$  is a closed mapping such that  $F_f(x)$  is closed and non-empty, for every  $m \in M$ . The convexity of  $F_f(x)$  follows from the inequalities:

$$\begin{aligned} d(g(W(t_1, t_2, \lambda)), f(x)) &= d(W(g(t_1), g(t_2), \lambda), f(x)) \\ &\leq \lambda d(g(t_1), f(x)) + (1 - \lambda)d(g(t_2), f(x)) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} [d(g(x), f(x)) + \text{dist}(f(x); M)], \text{ for every } t_1, t_2 \in F_f(x), \lambda \in [0, 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Then for  $y_0 \in \text{Fix}(F_f)$  it follows that  $d(g(y_0), f(y_0)) = \text{dist}(f(y_0); M)$

3. Using the following result proved by Allen in [1] we can prove a generalization of Theorem 2.

**PROPOSITION 2.** Let  $X$  be a nonempty, convex set in a topological vector space and  $f: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:

(a) For each fixed  $x \in X$ ,  $f(x, y)$  is a lower semicontinuous function of  $y$  on  $X$ .

(b) For each fixed  $y \in X$ ,  $f(x, y)$  is a quasi-concave function of  $x$  on  $X$ .

(c)  $f(x, x) \leq 0$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

(d)  $X$  has a nonempty compact convex subset  $X_0$  such that the set  $\{y \mid y \in X, f(x, y) \leq 0 \text{ for all } x \in X_0\}$  is compact. Then there exists a point  $\hat{y} \in X$  such that  $f(x, \hat{y}) \leq 0$ , for all  $x \in X$ .

**THEOREM 4.** Let  $M$  be a non-empty convex set in a normed vector space  $E$ ,  $h: M \rightarrow E$  a continuous mapping,  $g: M \rightarrow E$  almost affine continuous mapping,  $M_0$  a nonempty compact convex subset of  $M$  and  $K$  a nonempty compact subset of  $M$ . If for every  $x \in M \setminus K$  there is a point  $x \in M_0$  such that:

$$\|g(x) - h(y)\| < \|g(y) - h(y)\|$$

then there exists  $\hat{y} \in K$  so that:

$$(6) \quad \|g(\hat{y}) - h(\hat{y})\| = \min \|g(x) - h(\hat{y})\|.$$

*Proof.* Let  $f: M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined in the following way:

$$f(x, y) = \|g(y) - h(y)\| - \|g(x) - h(y)\| \quad (x, y \in M).$$

Let us prove that the set

$$\{y \mid y \in M, f(x, y) \leq 0, \text{ for all } x \in M_0\}$$

is compact. Since for every  $y \in M \setminus K$  there exists  $x \in M_0$  such that  $f(x, y) > 0$ , it follows that

$$A = \{y \mid y \in M, f(x, y) \leq 0, \text{ for all } x \in M_0\} \subseteq K.$$

The set  $A$  is closed and so from the compactness of  $K$  it follows that  $A$  is compact. Let us prove that for each  $y \in X$ ,  $f(x, y)$  is a quasi-concave function. Let  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $y \in M$ ,  $x_i \in \{x \mid x \in M, f(x, y) > t\}$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ,  $u, v \geq 0$ ,  $u + v = 1$ .

Then  $\|g(x_i) - h(y)\| < \|g(y) - h(y)\| - t$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ; and so:

$$\begin{aligned} \|g(ux_1 + vx_2) - h(y)\| &\leq u\|g(x_1) - h(y)\| + v\|g(x_2) - h(y)\| \\ &< \|g(y) - h(y)\| - t. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, all the conditions (a) - (d) are satisfied. If  $\hat{y} \in K$  is such that  $f(x, \hat{y}) \leq 0$ , for all  $x \in M$ , then (6) is satisfied.

*Remark.* Theorem 4 can be generalized to topological vector spaces. Let  $E$  be a topological vector space,  $M$  a convex subset of  $E$  and  $g: M \rightarrow E$ . The mapping  $g$  is said to be almost affine if for every continuous seminorm  $p$  on  $E$ , every  $x_1, x_2 \in M$ , every  $z \in E$  and every  $u, v \geq 0$ ,  $u + v = 1$  we have:

$$p(g(ux_1 + vx_2) - z) \leq up(g(x_1) - z) + vp(g(x_2) - z).$$

Using this definition Theorem 4 can be generalized in the following way: Let  $M$  be a non-empty convex set in a topological vector space  $E$ ,  $h: M \rightarrow E$  a continuous mapping,  $g: M \rightarrow E$  almost affine continuous mapping,  $M_0$  a nonempty compact convex subset of  $M$  and  $K$  a non-empty compact subset of  $M$ . If  $p$  is a continuous seminorm on  $E$  such that for every  $y \in M \setminus K$  there is a point  $x \in M_0$  such that  $p(g(x) - h(y)) < p(g(y) - h(y))$  then there exists  $\hat{y}_p \in K$  so that:

$$(7) \quad p(g(\hat{y}_p) - h(\hat{y}_p)) = \min_{x \in M} p(g(x) - h(\hat{y}_p)).$$

If  $E$  is a topological vector space then  $E$  has sufficiently many continuous linear functionals if for every  $x \neq 0$ ,  $x \in E$  there exists a continuous linear functional  $f$  such that  $f(x) \neq 0$ .

Similarly as in [8] we shall prove the following theorem using the above result on best approximations.

**THEOREM 5.** Let  $M$  be a nonempty, convex subset of a topological vector space  $E$  which has sufficiently many continuous linear functionals,  $h: M \rightarrow E$  a continuous mapping,  $g$  an almost affine continuous mapping of  $M$  onto  $M$ ,  $M_0$  a nonempty compact convex subset of  $M$  and  $K$  a nonempty compact subset of  $M$ . Suppose that the following two conditions are satisfied:

(i) If  $p$  is a continuous seminorm on  $E$  such that  $p(z) > 0$  for every  $z \in B = h(K) - g(K)$  then for every  $y \in M \setminus K$  there exists  $x_p \in M_0$  such that:

$$p(g(x_p) - h(y)) < p(g(y) - h(y)).$$

(ii) For every  $y \in M$ ,  $g(y) \neq h(y)$  implies that there exists  $t \in (-1, 1)$  such that  $tg(y) + (1-t)h(y) \in M$ .

Then there exists  $y_0 \in K$  such that  $g(y_0) = h(y_0)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $g(y) \neq h(y)$ , for every  $y \in K$ . Then  $0 \notin B$  and so for every  $x \in B$  there exists a continuous linear functional  $f_x$  such that  $f_x(x) \neq 0$ . Since  $f_x$  is continuous there exists an open neighbourhood  $U_x$  of  $x \in B$  so that  $f_x(y) \neq 0$  for every  $y \in U_x$ . If  $B \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^n U_{x_i}$ , let  $p(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n |f_{x_i}(u)|$ , for every  $u \in E$ . Then  $p(z) > 0$ , for every  $z \in B$ . From (i) and (7) it follows that there exists  $\hat{y}_p \in K$  so that:

$$0 < p(g(\hat{y}_p) - h(\hat{y}_p)) \leq p(g(x) - h(\hat{y}_p)), \text{ for every } x \in M.$$

Let  $t \in (-1, 1)$  be such that  $tg(\hat{y}_p) + (1-t)h(\hat{y}_p) \in M$ . Then we have:

$$0 < p(g(\hat{y}_p) - h(\hat{y}_p)) \leq p(tg(\hat{y}_p) + (1-t)h(\hat{y}_p) - h(\hat{y}_p)) = tp(g(\hat{y}_p) - h(\hat{y}_p))$$

which is a contradiction.

#### REFERENCES

1. Allen, G., Variational inequalities, complementarity, and duality theorems, J. Math. Appl., 5B (1977), 1-10.
2. Dugundji J., and Granas, A., Fixed Point Theory, Vol. 1, Monografie Matematyczne 61, Warszawa, (1982), 209 pp.

3. Fan, K., Extensions of two fixed point theorems of F. E. Browder, Math. Z., 112 (1969), 234-240.
4. Fan, K., Some Properties of Convex Sets Related to Fixed Point Theorems, Math. Ann., 266 (1984), 519-537.
5. Hadžić O., Common fixed point theorems in convex metric spaces, Numerical Methods and Approximations Theory, Novi Sad, September 4-6, 1985, Institute of Mathematics, Novi Sad (1985), 73-82.
6. Hadžić O., Fixed Point Theory in Topological Vector Spaces, Institute of Mathematics, Novi Sad (1984), 337 pp.
7. Horvath, G. H., Point fixes et coïncidences pour les applications multivoques sans convexité, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t. 296, Série I (1983), 403-406.
8. Kaczynski, T., Quelques théorèmes de points fixes dans des espaces ayant suffisamment de fonctionnelles linéaires, C. R. Acad. Sc. Paris, t. 296, Série I (1983), 873-874.
9. Knaster, B., Kuratowski, C., Mazurkiewicz, Ein Beweis des Fixpunktsatzes für  $n$ -dimensionale Simplexe, Fund. Math. 14 (1929), 132-137.
10. Lassonde, M., On the use of KKM multifunctions in fixed point theory and related topics, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 97 (1983), 151-201.
11. Naimpally S. A., Singh, K. L., Whitfield, J. H. M., Common fixed points for nonexpansive and asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 24, 2 (1983), 287-300.
12. Prohla, J. B., Fixed-point theorems for set-valued mappings and existence of best approximants, Num. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz., 5(4), (1982-83), 449-455.
13. Rhoades, B. E., Singh, K. L., Whitfield, J. H., Fixed points for generalized nonexpansive mappings, Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae, 23, 3 (1982) 443-451.
14. Rus, I. A., Principii și aplicații ale teoriei punctului fix, Editura D.acia, Cluj-Napoca, 1979, 261 pp.
15. Sadoveanu, V., Coincidence theorems, "Babes-Bolyai" University, Fac. Math. Res. Sem., Seminar on Fixed Point Theory, nr. 3, 1983, 158-159.
16. Takahashi, W., A convexity in metric spaces and nonexpansive mappings, I., Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 29 (1977), 62-70.
17. Taiman, L., Fixed points for condensing multifunctions in metric spaces with convex structure, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 29 (1977), 62-70.

Received 20.IX.1985

University of Novi Sad  
Faculty of Science  
Institute of Mathematics  
21000 NOVI SAD  
Dr. Hije Đuričića 4  
YUGOSLAVIA