

SETS ON WHICH CONCAVE FUNCTIONS ARE AFFINE
 AND KOROVKIN CLOSURES

I. RAŞA
 (Cluj-Napoca)

1. Let E be a locally convex Hausdorff space over reals and $X \subset E$ a compact convex set. Let $\text{Prob}(X)$ be the set of all probability Radon measures on X . If $\mu \in \text{Prob}(X)$ let $r(\mu)$ be the barycenter of μ and $c(\mu) = \text{cl}(\text{conv}(\text{supp } \mu))$; then $r(\mu) \in c(\mu)$ (see [4, Proposition 1.2]). Let δ_x denote the Dirac measure at $x \in X$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $\mu \in \text{Prob}(X)$ and let $f \in C(X)$ be a concave function. Then :*

- 1) $\mu(f) \leq f(r(\mu))$,
- 2) If $\mu(f) = f(r(\mu))$, then f is affine on $c(\mu)$.

Proof. 1). For proofs and generalizations of this assertion see [4, p. 25], [3, p. 275], [2].

2) We can assume without loss of generality that $r(\mu) = 0$ and $f(0) = 0$; hence $\mu(f) = 0$.

(i) Let $g \in C(X)$ and $M \subset X$. If $fg(p_1x_1 + \dots + p_nx_n) = p_1g(x_1) + \dots + p_n g(x_n)$ for all $n \geq 1$, all $p_i \geq 0$ with $p_1 + \dots + p_n = 1$ and all $x_i \in M$, then g is affine on $\text{cl}(\text{conv}(M))$.

We omit the easy proof of this fact.

(ii) If $x \in \text{supp } \mu$, $x \neq 0$ and V is a neighborhood of x (in E), then there exist $y \in V \cap X$, $z \in X$, $y \neq z$ and $a \in (0,1)$ such that $0 = ay + (1-a)z$ and f is affine on $\text{conv}\{y, z\}$.

Indeed, we can assume that V is closed, convex and $0 \notin V$. Let $a = \mu(V \cap X)$. Then $0 < a < 1$. For each Borel set $B \subset X$ let us denote

$$\nu(B) = (1/a)\mu(B \cap V), \quad \lambda(B) = (1/(1-a))\mu(B \cap (X \setminus V)).$$

Then $\nu, \lambda \in \text{Prob}(X)$, $\mu = a\nu + (1-a)\lambda$, $\nu(X \cap V) = 1$. Let y and z be the barycenters of ν and λ . We have $y \in V \cap X$, $0 = ay + (1-a)z$ and $y \neq z$. Moreover $0 = f(0) = f(ay + (1-a)z) \geq af(y) + (1-a)f(z) \geq a\nu(f) + (1-a)\lambda(f) = \mu(f) = 0$. Therefore

$$(1) \quad f(ay + (1-a)z) = af(y) + (1-a)f(z)$$

The function f is concave on $\text{conv}\{y, z\}$ and (1) holds for a certain $a \in (0,1)$; it follows easily that f is affine on $\text{conv}\{y, z\}$.

(iii) Let $x_1, \dots, x_n \in (\text{supp } \mu) \setminus \{0\}$, $p_1, \dots, p_n \geq 0$, $\sum p_i = 1$. Then $f(\sum p_i x_i) = \sum p_i f(x_i)$.

Indeed, let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists a circled convex neighborhood V of 0 such that $|f(x) - f(y)| \leq \varepsilon/2$ for all $x, y \in X$ with $x - y \in V$. It follows from (ii) that there exist

$y_i \in (x_i + V) \cap X$, $z_i \in X$, $y_i \neq z_i$, $a_i \in (0, 1)$ such that

$0 = a_i y_i + (1 - a_i) z_i$ and f is affine on $\text{conv}\{y_i, z_i\}$. Let $a = \min\{a_i / (1 - a_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$. Then $a > 0$ and $0 \in \text{conv}\{y_i, -a y_i\} \subset \text{conv}\{y_i, z_i\}$. This yields

$$(2) \quad f(-a y_i) = -a f(y_i), \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

From (2) and the concavity of f we deduce

$$\sum p_i f(y_i) \geq -(1/a) f(-a \sum p_i y_i) \geq f(\sum p_i y_i) \geq \sum p_i f(y_i).$$

Therefore

$$(3) \quad f(\sum p_i y_i) = \sum p_i f(y_i)$$

From $y_i - x_i \in V$ and $\sum p_i y_i - \sum p_i x_i \in V$ it follows

$$(4) \quad |f(y_i) - f(x_i)| \leq \varepsilon/2, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$$

$$(5) \quad |f(\sum p_i y_i) - f(\sum p_i x_i)| \leq \varepsilon/2.$$

Now (3), (4) and (5) imply $|f(\sum p_i x_i) - \sum p_i f(x_i)| \leq \varepsilon$. Since this holds for each $\varepsilon > 0$, $f(\sum p_i x_i) = \sum p_i f(x_i)$.

(iv) Suppose that $0 \in (X \setminus \text{supp } \mu) \cup \text{cl}(\text{supp } \mu \setminus \{0\})$. Then $c(\mu) = \text{cl}(\text{conv}(\text{supp } \mu)) = \text{cl}(\text{conv}(\text{supp } \mu \setminus \{0\}))$. By (iii) and (i), f is affine on $c(\mu)$.

(v) Finally, let $0 \in \text{supp } \mu$ be an isolated point of $\text{supp } \mu$. Then $\mu = \nu + a \delta_0$, where $a = \mu(\{0\}) > 0$ and ν is a positive Radon measure on X , $0 \notin \text{supp } \nu$. The case $a = 1$ is trivial, hence let $a < 1$. Then $\lambda = (1/(1-a))\nu$ is in $\text{Prob}(X)$, $r(\lambda) = 0$ and $\lambda(f) = 0$. By (iv), f is affine on $c(\lambda)$. Obviously $c(\lambda) \subset c(\mu)$. Conversely, $r(\lambda) = 0$ implies $0 \in c(\lambda)$; therefore $\text{supp } \mu = \{0\} \cup \text{supp } \lambda \subset c(\lambda)$ and thus $c(\mu) \subset c(\lambda)$. It follows that $c(\mu) = c(\lambda)$ and f is affine on $c(\mu)$.

2. Let now $A(X)$ be the space of all continuous affine functions on X . Let F be a family of concave functions in $C(X)$. We denote by H the uniformly closed linear subspace of $C(X)$ spanned by $A(X)$ and F . Let $\text{Ch}(H)$ denote the Choquet boundary of H .

COROLLARY 1. $\text{Ch}(H)$ coincides with the set of those $x \in X$ for which whenever $y, z \in X$, $y \neq z$, $x = (1/2)(y + z)$, there exists $f \in F$ such that $f(x) > (1/2)(f(y) + f(z))$.

Proof. Let $x \in X$ with the above property. Let $\mu \in \text{Prob}(X)$, $\mu = \delta_x$ on H . By Theorem 1, every $f \in F$ is affine on $c(\mu)$. It follows that x is an extreme point of $c(\mu)$. By a result of H. Bauer (see [4, Proposition 1.4]) $\mu = \delta_x$. Consequently $x \in \text{Ch}(H)$.

The other inclusion is immediate.

COROLLARY 2 ([5]). Suppose that F contains only one function f . Then $\text{Ch}(H) = X$ iff f is strictly concave on X .

Let H' be the topological dual of H endowed with the weak*-topology. As usual we consider the state space S of H , which is the compact convex set $S = \{\varphi \in H' : \varphi \text{ positive, } \varphi(1) = 1\}$.

If $x \in X$, let $j(x) : H \rightarrow R$, $j(x)(h) = h(x)$. Then $j : X \rightarrow j(X) \subset S$ is a homeomorphism and $H = \{a \circ j : a \in A(S)\}$ (see [1]).

Let \bar{P} be the class of the compact convex subsets $A \subset X$ such that all $f \in F$ are affine on A . Let $\text{Kor}(H)$ denote the Korovkin closure of H (see [1]).

THEOREM 2. The function $g \in C(X)$ is in $\text{Kor}(H)$ iff g is affine on every $A \in \bar{P}$.

Proof. Let us denote

$$T = \{(\mu, y) : \mu \in \text{Prob}(j(X)), y \in j(X), r(\mu) = y\}.$$

Then (see [1]):

$$(6) \quad g \in \text{Kor}(H) \text{ iff } \mu(g \circ j^{-1}) = g \circ j^{-1}(y) \text{ for all } (\mu, y) \in T$$

Suppose that g is affine on every $A \in \bar{P}$. Let $(\mu, y) \in T$. Let $\nu \in \text{Prob}(X)$, $\nu(u) = \mu(u \circ j^{-1})$ for all $u \in C(X)$. It is easily seen that $r(\nu) = j^{-1}(y)$ and $\nu(f) = f(r(\nu))$ for each $f \in F$. By Theorem 1, each $f \in F$ is affine on $c(\nu)$, hence $c(\nu) \in \bar{P}$. It follows that g is affine on $c(\nu)$. Then $\mu(g \circ j^{-1}) = \nu(g) = g(r(\nu)) = g \circ j^{-1}(y)$. We deduce from (6) that $g \in \text{Kor}(H)$.

Let now $g \in \text{Kor}(H)$. Let $A \in \bar{P}$, $\nu \in \text{Prob}(A)$; we have to prove that $\nu(g) = g(r(\nu))$. Let $\mu \in \text{Prob}(j(X))$, $\mu(v) = \nu(v \circ j)$ for all $v \in C(j(X))$. Let us denote $y = j(r(\nu))$. Then $r(\mu) = y$. This yields $(\mu, y) \in T$. It follows from (6) that $\nu(g) = \mu(g \circ j^{-1}) = g \circ j^{-1}(y) = g(r(\nu))$.

Remark. Theorem 2 (when F contains only one element) was announced in [1] and was proved there in the special case when X is a compact convex subset of R^n .

REFERENCES

- [1] Bauer, H., Leha G., Papadopolou S., *Determination of Korovkin closures*, Math. Z. **160**, 263-274 (1979).
- [2] Boboc N., Bucur Gh., *Conuri convexe de funcții continue pe spații compacte*, Ed. Acad. RSR, București 1976.
- [3] Meyer P.A., *Probabilités et potentiel*, Hermann, Paris 1966.
- [4] Phelps, R. R., *Lectures on Choquet's Theorem*, Van Nostrand 1966.
- [5] Rașa, I., *On some results of G.A. Micchelli*, Anal. Numér. Théor., Approx. **9**, 125-127 (1980).

Received 14.X.1985

Institutul Politehnic
Catedra de Matematică
3400 Cluj-Napoca, România