L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIOUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION Tome 15, N° 2, 1986, pp. 141-144

ON THE EXISTENCE OF LIMIT CYCLES FOR AN AUTONOMOUS SYSTEM

restriction is the time to be the above to bridge the second control of the second plants CALL THE CONTRACT OF THE PROPERTY OF THE CALL THE CALL THE CONTRACTORS

> N. LUNGU (Cluj-Napoca)

The number of limit cycles of an autonomous system can be studied in the case when the system has one or more singular points. Papers [1], [2] gave the conditions that a system of the form

$$\left\{ egin{align} \dot{x} = y - F(x) \ \dot{y} = -g(x)
ight\} = 0$$

admits exactly n limit cycles, where the origin is the only singular point. In our papers [4] and [5] the above system is generalized, and the conditions that the system admits exactly n small amplitude limit cycles, the origin being the only singular point, are given. Denisov gives in [3] conditions for the existence of a limit cycle which surrounds three singular points, or an a odd number of singular points lying on the Ox-axis. There are also given existence conditions for two limit cycles surrounding three singular points. In [6] and [7] Zhilevich establishes conditions that an autonomous system of the form:

$$egin{cases} \dot{x} = y - F(x) \ \dot{y} = - g(x) \end{cases}$$

admits k limit cycles surrounding an odd number of singular points lying on the Ox-axis. We generalize further down the system studied by Zhilevich and give existence conditions for k limit cycles surrounding 2n + 1

23

singular points lying on the
$$Ox$$
-axis.

Let the system
$$\begin{cases}
\dot{x} = \bar{y}h(x) - F(x) \\
\dot{y} = -g(x)
\end{cases}$$

be, where $F(x) = \int f(x) \ dx$, g(x), f(x) and h(x) are continuous functions.

$$\begin{array}{ll} (A) & g(\alpha_i) = 0, \ i = -1, \ 0, \ 1, \ \dots, 2n-1 \ ; \ \alpha_{-1} < \alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_{2n-1} \\ g(x) > 0 & \forall x \in (\alpha_{2i-3}, \ \alpha_{2i-2}), \ i = \overline{1, \ n}, \ x \in (\alpha_{2n-1}, \infty) \\ g(x) < 0, \ \forall x \in (\alpha_{2i-2}, \ \alpha_{2i-1}), \ i = \overline{1, \ n}, \ x \in (-\infty, \ \alpha_{-1}) \end{array}$$

2

Likewise, let the functions:

$$F_i(x) = F(x) - \varphi_i(x) \; h(x), \emptyset_i(x) = F(x) - \varphi_i(x) \; h(x) - \frac{g(x)}{\varphi_i'(x)}.$$

Theorem 1. Let the function g(x) be which satisfies the conditions (A). There exist the functions $\varphi_1(x)$, $\varphi_2(x)$, ..., $\varphi_k(x)$, $k \ge 2$ and the systems of numbers:

$$x_{-k} < x_{-k+1} < \ldots < x_{-1} < \alpha_{-1}; \ x_k > x_{k-1} > \ldots > x_1 > \alpha_{2n-1}.$$

We impose the supplementary conditions:

(i)
$$\varphi_i(0) = 0, \ \varphi_i'(x) \ (-1)^i < 0, \ i = \overline{1, \ k}, \ x_{-i} \leqslant x \leqslant x_i,$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} (ii) & h(x_{-i}) \, F_i(x_{-i}) \, (-1)^{i+1} < \emptyset_i \, (x) \, (-1)^{i+1} < h(x_i) \, F_i(x_i) \, (-1)^{i+1}, \\ & h(x) > 0 \\ & x \in [x_{-i}, x_i] \end{array}$$

and

$$h(x_{-1}) \cdot F_i(x_{-i}) \cdot (-1)^{i+1} \leqslant (-1)^{i+1} h(x) \cdot F_i(x) \leqslant h(x_i) \cdot F_i(x_i) \cdot (-1)^{i+1},$$

$$x \in [x_{-i}, x_i], i = \overline{1, k}$$

(iii)
$$h(x_i) F_i(x_i) (-1)^{i+1} > (-1)^{i+1} F_i(-i+1) x(h_{-i+1})$$

$$h(x_{-i}) F_i(x_{-i}) (-1)^i > (-1)^i F_i(x_{i-1}) h(x_{i-1}).$$

Then, the system (1) has k-1 limit cycles sorrounding 2n+1 singular points. In every domain $x_{-i} < x < x_i$, $i = \overline{1, k}$, there are at most i-1limit cycles, out of which [i/2] are stable and [(i-1)/2] are unstable.

Proof. Let k = 2. We substitute in (1):

$$\bar{y} = y + \varphi_1(x).$$

Then the system (1) becomes:

(3)
$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = yh(x) - F_1(x) \\ \dot{y} = -\varphi_1'(x) \left[yh(x) - \mathcal{O}_1(x) \right] \end{cases}$$

We build a rectangle Γ_1 having the legs parallel to the coordinate axes, and the vertices $B_1(x_1, h(x_1) F_1(x_1)), B_{-1}(x_{-1}, h(x_{-1}) F_1(x_{-1}))$. From the conditions of the theorem it results that the trajectories of the system (3) which cross the rectangle legs for increasing t penetrate inside the rectangle. Then, we substitute in (3):

(4)
$$\overline{\overline{y}} = y + \varphi_1(x) - \varphi_2(x),$$

obtaining the system:

(5)
$$\dot{x} = h(\mathscr{E}) \, \bar{\bar{y}} - F_2(x) \\ \dot{\bar{\bar{y}}} = - \, \phi_2'(x) \, [\bar{\bar{y}} h(x) - \mathcal{O}_2(n)].$$

Let $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ be the closed curve into which the border of the rectangle Γ_1 passes through the transformation (4). The trajectories of the system (5) crossing the curve $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ penetrate inside it. Then we build the rectangle Γ_2 having the legs parallel to the coordinate axes, and the vertices $B_2(x_2,$ $h(x_2)$ $F_2(x_2)$, $B_{-2}(x_{-2}, h(x_{-2})F_2(x_{-2}))$. The trajectories of the system (5) crossing the legs of Γ_2 go out of the rectangle.

Then, in the ring domain bounded by Γ_2 and $\overline{\Gamma}_1$ there exist at least one unstable limit cycle, therefore the theorem is proved for k=2. For $k \ge 3$, the proof is analogous.

Notice. If the conditions (i)-(iii) are adequately modified, then the limit cycle in the case k=2 is stable.

THEOREM 2. If the conditions of Theorem 1 hold, and if we impose the supplementary conditions:

(1a)
$$f(x) < 0, \ \forall x \in (\beta_{-1}, \beta_1); \ f(\beta_{-1}) = f(\beta_1) = 0, \ \beta_1 < \alpha_{-1},$$

$$\beta_2 > \alpha_{2} = 1$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} (1b) \quad F(\alpha_i)=g(\alpha_i), \ i=-1, \ 0, 1, \ \ldots, 2n-1 \ ; \ F(\beta_{-1})=F(\beta_1)=0, \\ & \beta_{-1}<\alpha_{-1}, \\ & \beta_1>\alpha_{2n-1} \ ; \ F(x) \ g(x)/h(x)<0, \ \forall x\in (\beta_{-1}, \ \beta_1), \ x\neq \alpha_i, \\ & i=-1, \ 0, 1, \ldots, 2n-1, \end{array}$$

and, additionally,

(2)
$$\int_{0}^{\beta_{i}} \frac{g(x)}{h(x)} dx > 0, \ i = -1, 1; \int_{0}^{i} \frac{g(x)}{h(x)} dx \leqslant \min_{i} \int_{0}^{\beta_{i}} \frac{g(x)}{h(x)} dx,$$

 $t \in (\alpha_1, \alpha_{2n-2})$

then system (1) has at least k limit cycles surrounding 2n + 1 singular points, since in every domain $x_{-1} < x < x_i$ there are at least i limit cycles, out of which $\lceil (i+1)/2 \rceil$ are stable, and $\lceil i/2 \rceil$ are unstable.

Proof. The proof is analogous to that in the case of Theorem 1, the difference consisting of the fact that as the first border we consider the curve:

$$c_0 = rac{(y + \varphi_1)^2}{2} + \int_0^s rac{g(s)}{h(s)} \, ds,$$

where
$$c_0=\min_i\int\limits_0^{eta_i}rac{g(s)}{h(s)}\;ds,\;i=-1,1.$$

REFERENCES Let I'. be the obsect outside

[1] Blows, T. R., Lloyd, N. G., The Number of Small-Amplitude Limit Cycles of Lienard Equations, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc., 95, 259-366, 1984.

[2] Blows, T. R., Lloyd, N. G., The Number of Limit Cycles of Certain Polynomial Differential Equations, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, 98A, 215-239, 1984.

[3] Denisov, V. S., The Limit Cycles of an Autonomous System, Diff. Urav., T XV, Nº 9,

1572-1579, 1979 (Russ.).

[4] Lungu, N., Mureşan, M., On the Number of the Limit Cycles of Certain Generalized Lienard Systems of Differential Equations, Research Seminaries Babes-Bolyai University, Faculty of Mathematics, Preprint Nº7, 59-64, 1985.

[5] Lungu N., Mureşan, M., On the Number of Small-Amplitude Limit Cycles of Liénard Type Systems of Differential Equations, Research Seminaries, Babes-Bolyai University, Faculty of Mathematics, Preprint Nº9, 15-26, 1985.

[6] Zhilevich, L. I., On the Existence Conditions of Limit Cycles for a System of Differential Equations, Dokl. AN BSSR, T XXIII, Nº6, 495-498, 1979 (Russ.).

[7] Zhilevich, L. I., On the Existence and Distribution of Limit Cycles for an Autonomous

System, Diff. Urav. T XXI, Nº 6, 1079-1081, 1985 (Russ.)

 $\frac{1}{16} \frac{1}{16} \frac$

المنط فين المناز المناز المنط الموجة الأراف المنطوب الموجم والموري عبر الأراز الموجمانية

The transfer of the party of the second of the party of t with the training party of the party of the party of the party of the contract of

P. Salamana was 1941 of the Alberta Land Land Land Land Land Land

Received 20.111.1986

Department of Mathematics Polytechnic Institute, 15 Emil Isuc 3400 Cluj-Napoca Romania