MATHEMATICA — REVUE D'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET DE THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION

L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION Tome 17, Nº 1, 1988, pp. 29-32

a - 13 1 M. M. M. M. Lydresser of besser of M. B. Tor senior M. M. M. M. M. S. - W.

You every in a Mark Marky William has of ganguality 1848.

resort of the a first basis of M and M and horis and M

corners the full system confirmation of the second forms and the bird for second

AN ALGORITHM FOR DETERMINING THE BASES OF A REGULAR MATROID

ÐĂNUT MARCU (Bucharest)

The regular matroids mark an interesting half-way stage between the matroids corresponding to graphs on the one hand, and the binary matroids, corresponding to chain-groups over GF(2), on the other (see [1]).

In this note we give a matrix algorithm for finding all bases of a regular

matroid.

The terminology used in this paper is that of [1] and [2]. Let E = $= \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n\}$ be a finite set and M a regular matroid on E whose rank is r. We shall denote by \widetilde{W} the weakly representative matrix of M. If S is any subset of E we define $\widetilde{W}(S)$ as the submatrix of \widetilde{W} consisting of those columns that correspond to members of S. If |S| = r it may happen that $\widetilde{W}(S)$ is a diagonal matrix, that is, the diagonal elements are all nonzero and the nondiagonal elements are all zero. We then say that \widetilde{W} is in diagonal form with respect to S.

Let \widetilde{W} be a weakly representative matrix of M diagonal with respect to a subset S of E. It may happen that $\widetilde{W}(S)$ is the unit matrix. In this case \widetilde{W} is a true representative matrix of M (see [1]) and is called standard representative matrix of M associated with S.

THEOREM 1 (W. T. Tutte, [2]). If W is a standard representative matrix of M, then W is completely unimodular.

THEOREM 2 (W. T. Tutte, [2]). Let W be a standard representative matrix of M and B a subset of E. The determinant of W(B) has one value 1 or -1 if B is a basis of M and 0 otherwise.

By standard results of linear algebra the property of being a representative matrix of M is invariant (see [1]) under the following "elemen-

tary operations":

O₁. Permuting two rows (or columns).

O₂. Adding to one row (or column) a multiple of another by 1 or

 O_3 . Multiplying a row (or column) by -1.

Let \widetilde{W} be any weakly representative matrix of M and S a subset of E of r elements. Then we can find a standard representative matrix of M

31

URDANI AT TRANSPORTATION AND ARTHUR associated with S if and only if $\widehat{W}(S)$ is nonsingular (see [1]), i.e., by theorems 1 and 2, if and only if S is a basis of M.

Let B_0 be a fixed basis of M and $k \leq r$ a nonnegative integer. We shall denote by $B(M, B_0, k)$ the set of bases of M for which $|B_0 - B| = k$ for every $B \in B(M, B_0, k)$. Without loss of generality let B_0 be $B_0 =$ $=\{e_1,e_2,\ldots,e_r\}$ and W the standard representative matrix of M associated to $B_0(W)$ can be obtained using O_1-O_3). Thus W is of the form

$$(1) W = [I_r][W_{r,m}]_{r} + \dots$$

where I_r is the unit matrix of order r and m = n - r. Let $i \in \{1, 2, ..., r\}$ and $j \in \{r+1, r+2, ..., n\}$. The expansion of det $W[(B_0 - \{e_i\}) \cup \{e_i\}]$, using the rows in $W[(B_0 - \{e_i\}) \cup \{e_i\}]$, shows that det $W[(B_0 - \{e_i\}) \cup \{e_i\}] = W_{ij}$ (see (1)). Therefore, by theorems 1 and 2, we have:

(2) $(B_0 - \{e_i\}) \cup \{e_j\} \in B(M, B_0, 1)$ if and only if $W_{ij} \neq 0$. Let $1 < k \le r$ be fixed, $g_i = e_{r+i}$, i = 1, 2, ..., m and W partitioned as follows:

$$W = \begin{bmatrix} I_k & O_{k,r-k} & W_{k,k}^a & W_{k,m-k}^b \\ O_{r-k,k} & I_{r-k,r-k} & W_{r-k,k}^c & W_{r-k,m-k}^a \end{bmatrix},$$

where O_{pq} denotes the null matrix with p rows and q columns and W_{pq} denotes a submatrix of W with p rows and q columns.

Interchanging the columns corresponding to e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k with the columns corresponding to g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k respectively, we obtain the matrix:

$$W' = \left[egin{array}{c|c} W^a & O_{k,r-k} & I_k & W^b \ \hline W^c & I_{r-k,r-k} & O_{r-k,k} & W^a \end{array}
ight].$$

Obviously, the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} W^a & O_{k,r-k} \\ & & \end{bmatrix}$ is nonsingular if and only if W^a with the massive production of \mathbf{W}^{o} , $[\mathbf{I}_{r-k,r-k}]$ define [0,M] , solutions

is nonsingular. Hence, by theorems 1 and 2, the set $\{g_1, g_2, \ldots, g_k, e_{k+1},$ $\{e_{k+2},\ldots,e_r\}$ is a basis of M if and only if W^a is nonsingular. Therefore, for obtain all bases of M by replacing $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k\}$ in B_0 we must find all nonsingular square (of order k) submatrices of the matrix

$$\widetilde{W}(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k) = [W^a \mid W^b].$$

 $\widetilde{W}(e_1,\,e_2,\,\ldots,\,e_k)=\lceil W^a\mid W^b
ceil.$ It is easy to see that $\widetilde{W}(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k)$ is a weakly representative matrix of the minor $M(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k) = [M \cdot (E - \{e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \ldots, e_r\})] \times (E - \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r\})$ \ldots, e_k) of M. By [1], $M(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k)$ is also a regular matroid and the columns of every nonsingular submatrix of order k of the matrix $\widetilde{W}(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k)$ corresponds to a basis of $M(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k)$. Obviously, the union of every basis of $M(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k)$ with the set $\{e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \ldots, e_r\}$ is a basis of M. Thus, for obtain all bases of M by replacing $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k\}$ in B_0 it is sufficiently to find all bases of $M(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k)$.

It is also easy to see that using $O_1 - O_3$ the matrix $\widetilde{W}(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k)$ can be transformed in a standard representative matrix of M denoted $W(e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k)$. We shall denote by $B(B_0, e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k)$ the set of all bases of M obtained by replacing $\{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k\}$ in B_0 . Summarizing we have the following

Algorithm

Step 1. Starting with a weakly representative matrix W of M and with a basis B_0 we obtain (using $O_1 - O_3$) a standard representative matrix W of M associated to B_0 .

Step 2. We obtain $B(M, B_0, 1)$ according to (2).

Step 3. For every combination of k > 1 elements $\epsilon_{i_1}, \epsilon_{i_2}, \ldots, \epsilon_{i_k}$ with $1 \leqslant i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k \leqslant r$ we find $B(B_0, e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \ldots, e_{i_k})$. For determining all members of $B(B_0, e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \ldots, e_{i_k})$ we shall consider all the nonsingular square (of order k) submatrices of the matrix $\widetilde{W}(e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \ldots, e_{i_k})$ obtained with the columns of $W_{r,m}$ and the rows of W containing 1 in the column $e_{i_*}, \ s=1,2,\ldots,k$. For the simplicity, the matrix $\widetilde{W}(e_{i_1},\ e_{i_2},\ldots,e_{i_k})$ can be considered as a weakly representative matrix of $M(e_i, e_{i_2}, \ldots, e_{i_k})$ for which we reconsider the problem to finding all bases by repeating (if it is necessary) the steps 1, 2 and 3 starting with the step 1. To each basis obtained for $M(e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, \ldots, e_{i_k})$ corresponds a basis of M by adding B_0 $-\frac{1}{2}\{e_{i_1},e_{i_2},\ldots,e_{i_k}\}.$

REMARK. If, for a k > 1, we denote by I(k) the set of all combinations as the form $(1 \le i_1 < i_2 < \ldots < i_k \le r)$ we then have:

$$B(M, B_0, k) = \bigcup_{\{i_1, i_2, ..., i_k\} \in I(k)} B(B_0, e_{i_1}, e_{i_2}, ..., e_{i_k}).$$

It is also easy to see that the above algorithm does not produce duplications and generates all bases of M.

Worked Example. Let $E = \{a, b, c, d, e, f\}$ and M a matroid on Ewhose family of circuits is $C(M) = \{\{b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{d, e, f\}, \}$ $\{a, b, e, f\}, \{a, c, e, f\}\}$. It is easy to check that the following matrix

is a weakly representative matrix of M. Let $B_a = \{a, b, e\}$ be a fixed basis

Step 1. Using $O_1 - O_3$ we obtain the following standard representative matrix of M:

$$W=egin{array}{c|ccccc} e_1 & e_2 & e_3 & e_4 & e_5 & e_6 \ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \ 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \ \end{array}
ight.,$$

where $e_1 = a$, $e_2 = b$, $e_3 = e$, $e_4 = f$, $e_5 = c$, $e_6 = d$ and $B_0 = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$.

Step 2. According to (2) we have $B(M, B_0, 1) = \{\{e_4, e_2, e_3\}, \{e_6, e_3, e_3\}, \{e_6, e_3\}, \{e_6, e_3\}, \{e_6, e_3\}, \{e_6$ $\{e_1, e_4, e_3\}, \{e_1, e_5, e_3\}, \{e_1, e_6, e_3\}, \{e_1, e_2, e_4\}\}.$

Step 3. For finding $B(M, B_0, 2)$ we repeat the steps 1 and 2 as

follows:

$$\widetilde{W}(e_1,e_2) = egin{array}{cccc} e_4 & e_5 & e_6 \ 1 & 0 & 1 \ 1 & -1 & 1 \ \end{bmatrix}$$
 , $W(e_1,e_2) = egin{array}{cccc} e_4 & e_5 & e_6 \ e_5 & 0 & 1 & 0 \ \end{bmatrix}$,

 $B_0^1 = \{e_4, e_5\} \text{ and } B[M(e_1, e_2), B_0^1, 1] = \{\{e_6, e_5\}\};$

$$\widetilde{W}(e_1,e_3) = egin{array}{ccc} e_4 & e_5 & e_6 & & & e_6 & e_4 & e_5 \ e_3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ W(e_1,e_3) = egin{array}{ccc} e_6 & e_4 & e_5 \ e_3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

 $B_0^2 = \{e_6, e_4\} \text{ and } B[M(e_1, e_3), B_0^2, 1] = \emptyset;$

$$\widetilde{W}(e_2,e_3) = egin{array}{cccc} e_4 & e_5 & e_6 & & & e_6 & e_4 & e_5 \ 1 & -1 & 1 \ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ W(e_2,e_3) = egin{array}{cccc} e_6 & e_4 & e_5 \ e_4 & \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 \ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ B_0^3 = \{e_6,e_4\} \ ext{and} \ B[M(e_2,e_3),B_0^3,1] = \{\{e_5,e_4\}\}. \end{array}$$

Thus we have $B(M, B_0, 2) = \{\{e_4, e_5, e_3\}, \{e_6, e_5, e_3\}, \{e_6, e_4, e_2\}, \{e_6, e_4, e_1\}, \{e_5, e_4, e_1\}\}$. For finding $B(M, B_0, 3)$ we must consider:

Now the process is finished and all bases of M are generated without duplications (the matroid of our example contains 13 bases).

REFERENCES

[1]. Tutte, W. T., Introduction to the Theory of Matroids, Elsevier, New York, 1971. [2]. Tutte, W. T., A Class of Abelian Groups, Gan. J. Math., 8 (1956), 13-28.

Received 1.XI.1987

Faculty of Mathematics, University of Bucharest. Academici 14, 70109-Bucharest, Romania.

4