

L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION  
Tome 17, N° 2, 1988, pp. 113—124

A NEW CLASS OF LINEAR POSITIVE OPERATORS  
OF BERNSTEIN TYPE

BIANCAMARIA DELLA VECCHIA

(Napoli)

**Sommario.** In questo lavoro si introduce e si studia una nuova classe di operatori lineari e positivi di tipo Bernstein. Si evidenziano numerose proprietà e si dimostrano alcuni teoremi di convergenza.

**Abstract.** In this paper a new class of linear positive operators of Bernstein type is introduced and studied. Several properties and some convergence theorems are given.

**1. Introduction.** Let  $f$  be a continuous function on  $I = [0, 1]$  ( $f \in C^0(I)$ ) and denote by  $(S_n^\alpha f)(x)$  the corresponding Stancu polynomial of degree  $n$ . It is well known that

$$(S_n^\alpha f)(x) = S_n^\alpha(f; x) = \sum_0^n \frac{p_{n,k}^\alpha(x)}{1^{(n,-\alpha)}} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } \alpha \in R^+$$

where

$$p_{n,k}^\alpha(x) = \binom{n}{k} x^{(k,-\alpha)} (1-x)^{(n-k,-\alpha)}, \quad x \in I$$

and

$$x^{(k,-\alpha)} = x(x+\alpha) \dots (x+(k-1)\alpha).$$

This operator was introduced in [16] and studied in [9–11, 17–20].

Moreover, from  $S_n^\alpha$  operator one can obtain [17], as limiting cases, the following two operators :

1) Favard-Szász-Mirakyan operator  $M_n$

$$M_n(f; x) = e^{-nx} \sum_0^\infty \frac{(nx)^k}{k!} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$$

with  $x \geq 0$  and  $|f(x)| = O(x^{\beta x})$ , where  $\beta$  is a positive arbitrary fixed number [4, 7, 13, 22, 24].

2) Baskakov operator  $P_n$

$$P_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+k-1}{k} \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^{n+k}} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)$$

with  $x \geq 0$  and  $|f(x)| = O(e^{\beta x})$ , where  $\beta$  is a positive arbitrary fixed number [1, 6, 23].

It is well known that  $S_n^a$  is a linear positive operator verifying the following relation [11]:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{d^p}{dx^p} S_n^a(f; x) = f^{(p)}(x), \quad n > p \geq 0$$

uniformly on  $I$ ,  $\forall a = a_n = O(n^{-2})$  and  $\forall f \in C^p(I)$ .

In [10] Mastroianni and Occorsio introduced and studied the operator:

$$S_{n,k}^a(f; x) = [I - (I - S_n^a)]^k(f; x) = \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i-1} \binom{k}{i} (S_n^a)^i(f; x)$$

where  $k$  is an integer number greater than or equal to 1 and  $(S_n^a)^i$  is the  $i$ -th iterate of  $S_n^a$  operator defined by

$$(S_n^a)^1 = S_n^a \text{ and } \forall i > 1 \quad (S_n^a)^i = S_n^a(S_n^a)^{i-1}, \quad ((S_n^a)^0 = I).$$

$S_{n,k}^a$  is not positive in general, but, when  $f$  is sufficiently smooth,  $S_{n,k}^a$  approximates the function  $f$  better than  $S_n^a f$  [10].

The aim of this paper is to study the following more general operator

$$(1.1) \quad S_{n,\lambda}^a(f; x) = [I - (I - S_n^a)]^\lambda(f; x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i-1} \binom{\lambda}{i} (S_n^a)^i(f; x)$$

with  $f \in C^0(I)$  and  $a \in R^+$ .

We point out many properties of  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$  (Sections 2 and 3). Finally, in Section 4 we study the convergence for  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$ , separately.

**2. General properties of  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$  operator.**  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$  operator defined by (1.1), for  $a = 0$ , coincides with  $B_{n,\lambda}$  operator, introduced and studied by Mastroianni and Occorsio in [12].

In particular we have

$$S_{n,1}^a = S_n^a, \quad S_{1,\lambda}^a = S_1^a, \quad S_{n,\lambda}^a(f; 0) = f(0), \quad S_{n,\lambda}^a(f; 1) = f(1)$$

and

$$(2.1) \quad S_{n,\lambda}^a(e_i) = e_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \dots, (e_k(x) = x^k, k \in N).$$

So  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$  has exactness degree 1 and interpolates the function  $f$  in 0 and 1.

We denote now by  $L_n f$  the Lagrange polynomial interpolating the function  $f$  on the knots  $\frac{i}{n}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .

Being

$$S_n^{-1/n} f = L_n f,$$

from (1.1), we have also

$$S_n^{-1/n} f = L_n f, \quad \forall \lambda > 0.$$

Finally, again from the definition, it follows that, if  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ ,  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$  is a positive operator.

Now we want to represent  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$  ( $\forall \lambda \in R^+$ ) in a matrix form. We denote by  $S_n^i$  and  $s_n^i$  the Stirling numbers of first and second kind, respectively, defined by

$$x^{(n, h)} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} S_n^j x^{n-h} h^j \text{ and } x^n = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} s_n^j x^{(n-j, h)} h^j, \quad \forall h \in R \text{ and } n \geq 1$$

One can verify easily that,  $\forall k \geq 1$ , it is

$$(2.2) \quad \left[ 0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{l}{n}; e_k \right] = \frac{s_k^k}{n^{k-1}}$$

where  $\left[ 0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{l}{n}; e_k \right]$  is the divided difference of order  $l$ , of the function  $e_k$ , with respect to the nodes  $0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{l}{n}$ . We recall now that  $S_n^a f$  can be expressed in the following form [16]

$$(2.3) \quad S_n^a(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_{n,k}^a x^{(k, -a)} \left[ 0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k}{n}; f \right]$$

with

$$\gamma_{n,k}^a = \frac{1^{(k, 1/n)}}{1^{(k, -a)}}, \quad \forall k \in N, (\gamma_{n,0}^a = 1).$$

From this, by (2.2), it follows

$$(2.4) \quad S_n^a(e_k; x) = \sum_{i=1}^n \gamma_{n,i}^a x^{(i, -a)} s_{k-i}^k n^{1-k}$$

Then, letting

$$h_{k,-a} = (x, x^{(2, -a)}, \dots, x^{(k, -a)}), \quad u_k = (0, 0, \dots, 1)^T \in R^k,$$

$$\Gamma_{k,-a} = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma_{n,1}^a & 0 \\ & \gamma_{n,2}^a \\ 0 & & \ddots & \gamma_{n,k}^a \end{pmatrix}$$

$$T_{k,h} = \begin{pmatrix} h^k & s_k^{k+1} \\ h^{k-1} & s_{k-1}^{k+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots \\ h^2 & s_2^{k+1} \\ h & s_1^{k+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$D_{k,h} = \begin{cases} 1, & k=0 \\ (D_{k-1,h} T_{k,h}), & k>0, \\ 0 & 1 \end{cases} \quad \forall h \in R$$

(2.4) can be written as follows

$$S_n^a(e_k; x) = h_{k,-a} \Gamma_{k,a} D_{k-1,1/n} u_k$$

Now, letting

$$\eta_{k,-a} = x^{(k,-a)}$$

and following [10], we obtain

$$(2.5) \quad \begin{aligned} (S_n)^i(\eta_{k,-a}; x) &= h_{k,-a} (\Gamma_{k,a} D_{k-1,1/n} D_{k-1,-a}^{-1})^i u_k = \\ &= h_{k,-a} N_{k,1/n,-a}^i u_k \quad (\forall i \in N) \end{aligned}$$

The matrix

$$(2.6) \quad N_{k,1/n,-a} = \Gamma_{k,a} D_{k-1,1/n} D_{k-1,-a}^{-1}$$

has as eigenvalues the numbers

$$\gamma_{n,i}^a = \frac{1^{(i,1/n)}}{1^{(i,-a)}} \leq 1, \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, k).$$

Then, we denote by  $W_{k,n,a}$  the upper triangular matrix, having as columns the eigenvectors of  $N_{k,1/n,-a}$ , normalized so that the elements of the main diagonal are 1. So it results

$$(2.7) \quad N_{k,1/n,-a} = W_{k,n,a} \Gamma_{k,a} W_{k,n,a}^{-1}$$

Therefore (2.5) can be written as follows

$$(2.8) \quad (S_n)^i(\eta_{k,-a}; x) = h_{k,-a} W_{k,n,a} \Gamma_{k,a}^i W_{k,n,a}^{-1} u_k$$

From (2.8) and being

$$(S_n^a)^i(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_{n,k}^a (S_n^a)^{i-1}(\eta_{k,-a}; x) \left[ 0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k}{n}; f \right]$$

we obtain

$$(2.9) \quad \begin{aligned} (S_n^a)^i(f; x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_{n,k}^a \left[ 0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k}{n}; f \right] \times \\ &\quad \times \{h_{k,-a} W_{k,n,a} \Gamma_{k,a}^{i-1} W_{k,n,a}^{-1} u_k\} \end{aligned}$$

By this relation, (1.1) becomes

$$(2.10) \quad \begin{aligned} S_{n,\lambda}(f; x) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i-1} \binom{\lambda}{i} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_{n,k}^a \left[ 0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k}{n}; f \right] \times \right. \\ &\quad \times h_{k,-a} W_{k,n,a} \Gamma_{k,a}^{i-1} W_{k,n,a}^{-1} u_k \left. \right\} = \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_{n,k}^a h_{k,-a} W_{k,n,a} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \binom{\lambda}{j+1} \Gamma_{k,a}^j \right\} \times \\ &\quad \times W_{k,n,a}^{-1} u_k \left[ 0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{1}{n}; f \right]. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, being

$$(2.11) \quad \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \binom{\lambda}{j+1} t^i = t^{-1}[1 - (1-t)^\lambda] = \psi(t, \lambda), \quad \forall t \in (0, 1]$$

and

$$\gamma_{n,k}^a \leq 1, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

(2.10) can be written as follows

$$(2.12) \quad S_{n,\lambda}^a(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_{n,k}^a z_{n,k}^a(x, \lambda) \left[ 0, \frac{1}{n}, \dots, \frac{k}{n}; f \right]$$

where

$$z_{n,k}^a(x, \lambda) = h_{k,-a} W_{k,n,a} F_{k,a,\lambda} W_{k,n,a}^{-1} u_k$$

and

$$F_{k,a,\lambda} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \binom{\lambda}{j+1} (\Gamma_{k,a}^j)$$

is a diagonal matrix whose elements are  $\psi(\gamma_{n,i}^a, \lambda)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ . We notice that  $z_{n,k}^a$  is a polynomial in  $x$  of degree not greater than  $k$ . Therefore, from (2.12), it follows that  $S_{n,\lambda}^a f$  is a polynomial of degree not greater than  $n$ . Moreover, if  $f$  is a polynomial of degree not greater than  $p$  ( $p \leq n$ ), then also  $S_{n,\lambda}^a f$  is.

Recalling (1.1), (2.12) can also be written as follows

$$(2.13) \quad S_{n,\lambda}^a(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n p_{n,k}^a(x) y \left( \frac{k}{n} \right) [1^{(n,-a)}]^{-1}$$

where

$$(2.14) \quad y(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \binom{\lambda}{j+1} (S_n^a)^i(f; x)$$

Now we denote by  $R_{n,\lambda}^a$  the remainder of  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$  operator, defined by

$$R_{n,\lambda}^a = I - S_{n,\lambda}^a$$

So we have

$$(2.15) \quad R_{n,\lambda}^a = (I - S_n^a)^\lambda = \sum_0^\infty (-1)^i \binom{\lambda}{i} (S_n^a)^i$$

In particular, by (2.8), it follows

$$R_{n,\lambda}^a(\eta_{k,-a}; x) = h_{k,-a} W_{k,n,a} M_{k,a,\lambda} W_{k,n,a}^{-1} u_k$$

where  $M_{k,a,\lambda}$  is the diagonal matrix whose elements are  $(1 - \gamma_{n,i}^a)^\lambda$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ .

The procedure given above can be used really to evaluate  $S_{n,\lambda}^a f$ . For example, we have

$$(2.16) \quad S_{n,\lambda}^a(e_2; x) = x^2 + x(1-x) \left[ \frac{n\alpha+1}{n(1+\alpha)} \right]^\lambda,$$

$$R_{n,\lambda}^a(e_2; x) = -S_{n,\lambda}^a((t-x)^2; x) = x(1-x) \left[ \frac{n\alpha+1}{n(1+\alpha)} \right]^\lambda,$$

$$\begin{aligned} S_{2,\lambda}^a(f; x) &= 2(1-\vartheta^\lambda)(f_0 - 2f_{1/2} + f_1)x^2 + [(2\vartheta^\lambda - 3)f_0 + 4(1-\vartheta^\lambda)f_{1/2} + \\ &\quad + (2\vartheta^\lambda - 1)f_1]x + f_0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\vartheta = (2a+1)(2a+2)^{-1}.$$

Finally we notice that  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$  operator ( $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ) is a particular case of the operator

$$\tilde{S}_{n,m,\lambda}^a(f; x) = \sum_{m+1}^\infty (-1)^{m+1+i} \binom{\lambda}{i} (S_n^a)^i (f; x), \quad m < \lambda < m+1$$

However, it is easy to verify that,  $\forall m > 0$ ,  $\tilde{S}_{n,m,\lambda}^a f$  does not converge to  $f$ . So  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$  is the only operator of the class  $\{\tilde{S}_{n,m,\lambda}^a\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}^0}$  converging to  $f$ .

**3. The properties of  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$  operator ( $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ).** The following propositions hold :

**PROPOSITION 3.1.**  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$  operator ( $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ) preserves the concavity (convexity) of every order of the function to approximate.

*Proof.* Indeed, we recall [11] that  $S_n^a$ , and therefore its iterates, preserve the concavity or the convexity of every order  $s$  ( $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ) of the function  $f$  to approximate.

So, from (2.14), if  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , also the function  $y$  is concave (convex) of order  $s$ , and then, by (2.13),  $S_{n,\lambda}^a f$  is concave (convex) of order  $s$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.2.**  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$  operator ( $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ) verifies the following relation,  $\forall x \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$(3.1) \quad S_{n+1,\lambda}^a(f; x) - S_{n,\lambda}^a(f; x) = x(1-x)(1+a)^{-\lambda} \left\{ \left[ \frac{(n+1)a+1}{n+1} \right]^\lambda - \left[ \frac{na+1}{n} \right]^\lambda \right\} [\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3; f], \quad \forall f \in C^0(I)$$

where  $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2$  and  $\bar{x}_3$  are suitable points of  $(0, 1)$  generally depending on  $f$ .

*Proof.* Recalling the expression of  $(S_{n+1}^a - S_n^a)(f; x)$  [16], from (2.13) we have

$$\begin{aligned} (S_{n+1,\lambda}^a - S_{n,\lambda}^a)(f; x) &= -\frac{1}{n(n+1)} \sum_0^n \left[ \frac{k}{n}, \frac{k+1}{n+1}, \frac{k+1}{n} \right] \binom{n-1}{k} \times \\ &\quad \times \frac{x^{(k+1,-a)}(1-x)^{(n-k,-a)}}{1^{(n+1,-a)}} \end{aligned}$$

So  $S_{n+1,\lambda}^a - S_{n,\lambda}^a$  has exactness degree 1. Moreover, as noticed before if  $f$  is convex of first order and  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , then also  $y$  is convex of first order; therefore  $(S_{n+1,\lambda}^a - S_{n,\lambda}^a)(f; x) \neq 0 \quad \forall x \in (0, 1)$ . Then, by a theorem of Popoviciu [15], we can find three points  $\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2$  and  $\bar{x}_3 \in (0, 1)$  such that,  $\forall x \in (0, 1)$ ,

$$(S_{n+1,\lambda}^a - S_{n,\lambda}^a)(f; x) = (S_{n+1,\lambda}^a - S_{n,\lambda}^a)(e_2; x)[\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3; f], \quad \forall f \in C^0(I)$$

Recalling (2.16), (3.1) follows. From (3.1), we obtain

**COROLLARY 3.3.** If the function  $f$  is convex (concave) of first order, then,  $\forall x \in (0, 1)$

$$S_{n+1,\lambda}^a(f; x) \leq S_{n,\lambda}^a(f; x).$$

We notice that, if  $a = a_n = 0(1)$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) and if  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ , then, by (2.1) and (2.16),  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$  verifies the hypotheses of Korovkin's theorem and therefore  $S_{n,\lambda}^a f$  converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $I$ .

From this and by a theorem proved by Moldovan in [14], we obtain

**COROLLARY 3.4.** If  $f$  is a continuous function on  $I$  and if the sequence  $\{S_{n,\lambda}^a(f; x)\}_n$  ( $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ ) is not-decreasing (not-increasing), then the function  $f$  is not-convex (not-concave) of first order on  $I$ .

Corollary 3.4, together with the previous Corollary 3.3, gives a characterization of functions convex (concave) of first order on  $I$ .

Now we denote by  $\text{Lip}_{M^\mu}$  the class of Hölder-continuous functions, i.e.

$$\text{Lip}_{M^\mu} = \{f \in C^0(I) / |f(x) - f(y)| \leq M|x-y|^\mu\}.$$

The following proposition holds :

**PROPOSITION 3.5.** If  $a = a_n = 0(1)$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), then

$$(3.2) \quad f \in \text{Lip}_M \mu \Leftrightarrow S_{n,\lambda}^a f \in \text{Lip}_M \mu, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \text{ and } \forall \lambda \in (0, 1].$$

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be a function  $\in \text{Lip}_M \mu$  and  $x$  and  $y$  any two points on  $I$ . Then, by a theorem proved in [3], also the  $j$ -th iterate of Stancu operator ( $\forall j \in N$ )  $\in \text{Lip}_M \mu$ , i.e.

$$(3.3) \quad |f(x) - f(y)| \leq M|x - y|^\mu \Rightarrow (S_n^a)^j(f; x) - (S_n^a)^j(f; y) \leq M|x - y|^\mu$$

Therefore, from the definition of  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$  operator, by (3.3) it follows

$$|S_{n,\lambda}^a(f; x) - S_{n,\lambda}^a(f; y)| = \left| \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} (-1)^j \binom{\lambda}{j} \{(S_n^a)^j(f; x) - (S_n^a)^j(f; y)\} \right| \leq \\ \leq (2^\lambda - 1) M|x - y|^\mu \leq M|x - y|^\mu$$

We notice that, if  $a = a_n = 0(1)$ , ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ) and  $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ , then, as observed before,  $S_{n,\lambda}^a f$  converges uniformly to  $f$  on  $I$ ; so, in these hypotheses, the converse of (3.2) holds too. A simple expression of the remainder  $R_{n,\lambda}^a f$  can also be given. In fact, because  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$  ( $\lambda \in (0, 1]$ ) is a positive operator with exactness degree 1, then, by a theorem proved in [8], we have

$$(3.4) \quad R_{n,\lambda}^a(f; x) = \frac{1}{2} R_{n,\lambda}^a(e_2; x) f''(\xi) = -\frac{x(1-x)}{2} \left[ \frac{na+1}{n(1+a)} \right]^\lambda f''(\xi)$$

$\forall f \in C^2(I)$  and with  $\xi$  a suitable point in  $(0, 1)$ .

Now, letting

$$D_a g(x) = [g(x+a) - g(x)] a^{-1}, \quad D_a^m = D_a(D_a^{m-1}), \quad (D_a^0 g(x) = g(x)),$$

$\forall x \in I$ ,  $\forall \alpha \in R^+$  and  $\forall g$  defined on  $I$ , the following proposition holds :

**PROPOSITION 3.6.** The sequence

$$3.5) \quad \{D_a^m S_{n,\lambda}(f; x)\}_n, \quad 0 < ma < 1, \quad m < n, \quad \lambda \in (0, 1] \text{ and } x \in I$$

verifies the following monotonicity properties :

i) for  $m = 1$

a) if on the interval  $\left[0, \frac{1-a}{2}\right]$  the function  $f$  is convex (concave) of first and second order, then the sequence (3.5) is decreasing (increasing) on  $\left[0, \frac{1-a}{2}\right]$ ;

b) if on the interval  $\left[\frac{1-a}{2}, 1-a\right]$  the function  $f$  is concave (convex) of first order and convex of first order and concave (concave) of second order, then the sequence (3.5) is decreasing (increasing) on  $\left[\frac{1-a}{2}, 1-a\right]$ ;

c) if on the interval  $[1-a, 1]$  the function  $f$  is concave (convex) of first and second order, then the sequence (3.5) is decreasing (increasing) on  $[1-a, 1]$ ;

ii) for  $m \geq 2$

a) if on the interval  $\left[0, \frac{1-(2m-1)a}{2}\right]$  the function  $f$  is concave (convex) of order  $m-1$  and convex (concave) of order  $m$  and  $m+1$ , then the sequence (3.5) is decreasing (increasing) on  $\left[0, \frac{1-(2m-1)a}{2}\right]$ ;

b) if on the interval  $\left[\frac{1-(2m-1)a}{2}, 1-ma\right]$  the function  $f$  is concave (convex) of order  $m-1$  and  $m$  and convex (concave) of order  $m+1$ , then the sequence (3.5) is decreasing (increasing) on  $\left[\frac{1-(2m-1)a}{2}, 1-ma\right]$ ;

c) if on the interval  $[1-ma, 1]$  the function  $f$  is concave (convex) of order  $m-1$ ,  $m$  and  $m+1$ , then the sequence (3.5) is decreasing (increasing) on  $[1-ma, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Proposition 3.6 follows from (2.13) and from a theorem proved in [2].

*Remark.* We notice that, for  $a = 0$ , Proposition 3.6 gives a new monotonicity result for the sequence

$$\{(B_{n,\lambda} f)^{(m)}(x)\}_n, \quad \lambda \in (0, 1], \quad m < n \text{ and } x \in I.$$

This result is more general than that one obtained by Stancu in [21] for the sequence  $\{(B_n f)^{(m)}(x)\}_n$ . Finally we prove

**PROPOSITION 3.7.** Let  $f(x)$  be a piecewise linear function with at most  $n-1$  changes of slope, which can occur only at the points  $\frac{i}{n}$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n-1$ . Then, for all natural numbers  $m$ ,  $S_{nm+1,\lambda}^a(f; x)$  is of degree  $nm$  and, moreover,  $S_{nm+1,\lambda}^a(f; x) = S_{nm+1,\lambda}^a(f; x)$ .

*Proof.* Proposition 3.7 follows from (1.1) and from a theorem proved by Freedman and Passow in [5].

**4. On the convergence of  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$ .** Assume that  $\lambda \in (0, 1)$  and  $a = a_n = 0(1)$  ( $n \rightarrow \infty$ ). Then, as noticed before,  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$  verifies the hypotheses of Korovkin's theorem on  $I$  and  $S_{n,\lambda}^a f$  converges to  $f$  uniformly on  $I$ ,  $\forall f \in C^0(I)$ . Moreover, following [12], we obtain the evaluations :

$$(4.1) \quad \|f - S_{n,\lambda}^a f\| \leq \frac{5}{4} \omega\left(f; \left[\frac{na+1}{n(1+a)}\right]^{\lambda/2}\right), \quad \forall f \in C^0(I)$$

$$(4.2) \quad \|f - S_{n,\lambda}^a f\| \leq \frac{3}{4} \left[\frac{na+1}{n(1+a)}\right]^{\lambda/2} \omega\left(f'; \left[\frac{na+1}{n(1+a)}\right]^{\lambda/2}\right), \quad \forall f' \in C^0(I).$$

and the punctual estimates,  $\forall x \in I$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - S_{n,\lambda}^a(f; x)| &\leq 2\omega\left(f; \sqrt{x(1-x)}\left[\frac{na+1}{n(1+a)}\right]^\lambda\right), \quad \forall f \in C^0(I) \\ |f(x) - S_{n,\lambda}^a(f; x)| &\leq 2\sqrt{x(1-x)}\left[\frac{na+1}{n(1+a)}\right]^\lambda \omega\left(f'; \sqrt{x(1-x)}\left[\frac{na+1}{n(1+a)}\right]^\lambda\right), \\ &\quad \forall f' \in C^0(I) \end{aligned}$$

We notice that, for  $a = 0$  in (4.1) and (4.2), we find the relations obtained by Mastroianni and Occorsio in [12] for  $B_{n,\lambda}$  operator.

If  $\lambda$  is an integer greater than 1, then  $S_{n,\lambda}^a$  was studied by Mastroianni and Occorsio in [10]. So assume that  $\lambda = i + \delta$ , with  $i$  biggest integer number  $< \lambda$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ . Then, from (3.4), it follows:

$$|R_{n,\lambda}^a(f; x)| = |R_{n,\delta}(R_{n,i}^a(f; x))| \leq \frac{1}{8} \| (R_{n,i}^a f)^{(2)} \| \left[ \frac{na+1}{n(1+a)} \right]^\delta$$

On the other hand, because [10]

$$\| (R_{n,i}^a f)^{(2)} \| \leq C(n-2)^{-i} \| f^{(2)} \|_{2i}, \quad \forall f \in C^{2i+2}(I)$$

where

$$\|f\|_{2i} = \max_{0 \leq j \leq 2i} \|f^{(j)}\|$$

and  $C$  is a constant depending on  $i$  and independent of  $f$  and  $n$ , we obtain

**COROLLARY 4.2.** Let  $\lambda = i + \delta$ , with  $i \in N$  and  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ . Then,  $\forall f \in C^{2i+2}(I)$ , it results

$$\|R_{n,\lambda}^a f\| \leq \sigma_i \|f^{(2)}\|_{2i} \left[ \frac{na+1}{1+a} \right]^\delta n^{-i-\delta}, \quad n > 2$$

where  $\sigma_i$  is a constant independent of  $f$  and  $n$ .

Finally we prove

**THEOREM 4.3.** Let  $f \in C^0(I)$ . Then, the following relation holds:

$$(4.5) \quad \lim S_{n,\lambda}^a(f; x) = L_n(f; x)$$

where  $L_n(f; x)$  is Lagrange polynomial interpolating the function  $f$  on the knots  $\frac{i}{n}$ ,  $i = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* First of all, we notice that, from (2.12), it follows

$$\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} S_{n,\lambda}^a(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_{n,k}^a \frac{n^k}{k!} h_{k,-a} W_{k,n,a} [\lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} F_{k,a,\lambda}] \cdot W_{k,n,a}^{-1} u_k \Delta_{1/n}^k f(0)$$

From (2.11), recalling that the eigenvalues  $\gamma_{n,i}^a$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$ , are not greater than 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} S_{n,\lambda}^a(f; x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_{n,k}^a \frac{n^k}{k!} h_{k,-a} W_{k,n,a} \Gamma_{k,a}^{-1} W_{k,n,a}^{-1} u_k \Delta_{1/n}^k f(0) = \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_{n,k}^a \frac{n^k}{k!} h_{k,-a} W_{k,n,a} (W_{k,n,a} \Gamma_{k,a})^{-1} u_k \Delta_{1/n}^k f(0) \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, from (2.7), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} h_{k,-a} W_{k,n,a} (W_{k,n,a} \Gamma_{k,a})^{-1} &= h_{k,-a} W_{k,n,a} (N_{k,1/n,a} W_{k,n,a})^{-1} = \\ &= h_{k,-a} W_{k,n,a} W_{k,n,a}^{-1} D_{k-1,-a} D_{k-1,1/n}^{-1} \Gamma_{k,a}^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

and letting

$$X_k = (x, x^2, \dots, x^k),$$

it results

$$h_{k,-a} W_{k,n,a} (W_{k,n,a} \Gamma_{k,a})^{-1} = X_k D_{k-1,1/n}^{-1} \Gamma_{k,a}^{-1} = h_{k,1/n} \Gamma_{k,a}^{-1}$$

So we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} S_{n,\lambda}^a(f; x) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \gamma_{n,k}^a \frac{n^k}{k!} h_{k,1/n} \Gamma_{k,a}^{-1} u_k \Delta_{1/n}^k f(0) = \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{n^k}{k!} x^{(k,1/n)} \Delta_{1/n}^k f(0) \end{aligned}$$

that is (4.5).

## REFERENCES

1. Baskakov, V. A., *An example of a sequence of linear positive operators in the space of continuous functions*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk., **113** (1957), 249–251.
2. Della Vecchia B., *On monotonicity of some linear positive operators*, to appear in “Proceedings of the Third Conference on Numerical Methods and Approximation Theory”, Niš, Yugoslavia (1987).
3. Della Vecchia B., *On the preservation of Lipschitz constants for some linear operators*, submitted to “Bollettino della Unione Matematica Italiana” (1987).
4. Favard J., *Sur les multiplicateurs d’interpolation*, J. Math. Pures Appl., **23** (1944), 219–247.
5. Freedman D. and Passow E., *Degenerate Bernstein Polynomials*, J. Approx. Theory, **39** (1983), 89–92.
6. Hermann T., *On Baskakov-type operators*, Acta Math. Sci. Hungar., **31** (3–4) (1978), 307–316.
7. Horová I., *A note on the sequence formed by the first order derivatives of the Szasz Mirakyan operators*, Mathem., **24** (47) (1982), 49–52.
8. Mastroianni G., *Sui resti di alcune forme lineari di approssimazione*, Calcolo, **14** (1978), 343–368.
9. Mastroianni G., *Su una classe di operatori lineari e positivi*, Rend. Accad. Sc. M.F.N. Serie IV, **XLVIII** (1980), 217–235.
10. Mastroianni G. and Occorsio M.R., *Una generalizzazione dell’operatore di Stancu*, Rend. Accad. Sc. M.F.N. Serie IV, **XLV** (1978), 151–169.

11. Mastroianni G. and Occorsio M. R., *Sulle derivate dei polinomi di Stancu*, Rend. Accad. Sc. M.F.N. Ser., IV, (1978), 273–281.
12. Mastroianni G. and Occorsio M. R., *A new operator of Bernstein type*, Mathem. Rev. Anal. Num. Theo. Approx., 16 (1987), 1, 55–63.
13. Mirakyani G., *Approximation des fonctions continues au moyen de polynomes de la forme ...*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, 31 (1941), 201–205.
14. Moldovan E., *Observations sur la suite des polynomes de S. N. Bernstein d'une fonction continue*, Math., 4 (27) (1962), 289–292.
15. Popoviciu T., *Sur le reste dans certaines formules linéaires d'approximation de l'analyse Math.*, 1 (24) (1959), 95–142.
16. Stancu D. D., *Approximation of functions by a new class of linear polynomial operators*, Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl., 13 (1968), 1173–1194.
17. Stancu D. D., *Use of probabilistic methods in the theory of uniform approximation of continuous functions*, Rev. Roum. Pures Appl., 16 (5) (1969), 673–691.
18. Stancu D. D., *Approximation properties of a class of linear positive operators*, Math., 3 (1970), 33–38.
19. Stancu D. D., *On the remainder of approximation of functions by means of a parameter-dependent linear polynomial operator*, Math., 5 (1971), 59–65.
20. Stancu D. D., *Approximation of functions by means of some new classes of positive linear operators*, in "Proc. Conf. Math. Res. Inst. Oberwolfach", ed. by L. Collatz and G. Meinardus (1972).
21. Stancu D. D., *Application of divided differences to the study of monotonicity of the derivatives of the sequences of Bernstein polynomials*, Calcolo, 16 (1979), 431–445.
22. Stancu F., *Asupra restului in formulele de aproximare prin operatorii lui Mirakian de una si două variabile*, Anal. Stud. Univ. „Al. I. Cuza”, 14 (1968), 415–422.
23. Stancu F., *Asupra aproximării funcțiilor de una și două variabile cu ajutorul operatorilor lui Baskakov*, Stud. Cerc. Cerc. Mat., 22 (1970), 531–542.
24. Szasz O., *Generalization of S. Bernstein's polynomials to the infinite interval*, J. Res. Nat. Stand., 45 (1950), 239–245.

Received 15.III.1988

*Istituto per Applicazioni della Matematica  
C.N.R. Napoli, via P. Castellino, 111–80131*