L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION Tome 18, N° 1, 1989, pp. 81—88

GENERALIZATION OF THE THEOREM OF A.O. GUELFOND ON APPROXIMATION BY POLYNOMIALS WITH INTEGRAL COEFFICIENTS

for all $0 \le k_1 \le s_0$ with $k = k_1 + ... \le k_n$ where C does not depend on

FRANCISCO LUQUIN MARTÍNEZ

(Bilbao)

mes, we have to menise the following lemens,

1. Introduction. The problem of uniform approximation of functions with a finite number of derivatives by polynomials with integral coefficients (see pp. 125—138, [1]), is more complicated than the problem of uniform approximation of continuous functions. It seems that in order to get a good approximation we need to impose a number of conditions on the function. The best results in this respect are due to A. O. Guelfond [2] and R. M. Trigub [5]. For the standard notions of the theory of approximation by polynomials with integral coefficients we refer to the book by Le Baron O. Ferguson [1].

In this work, we stablish a generalization to n-variables of a theorem of A. O. Guelfond (p. 54 [2]). To this end we give some inequalities of Markov-Bernstein type for polynomials of several variables with positive coefficients in x_i and $1-x_i$, in the cube n-dimensional $0 \le x_i \le 1$, $i=1,\ldots,n$, (see [3]).

The statement of the theorem is as follows:

THEOREM 1. "Let $(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \equiv f(\mathbf{X})$ be a continuous function, with continuous s_i first derivatives with respect to each x_i , on n-dimensional cube $D_n: 0 \leq x_i \leq 1, \ i=1,\ldots,n$. Let

$$\omega_i(\delta) = \sup_{\substack{(\overline{\mathbf{X}} - \mathbf{X}^*| < \delta \\ \overline{\mathbf{X}_i} \, \mathbf{X}^* \in D_n}} \left| \frac{\partial^{s_i} f(\overline{\mathbf{X}})}{\partial x_i^{s_i}} - \frac{\partial^{s_i} f(\mathbf{X}^*)}{\partial x_i^{s_i}} \right|$$

 $i=1,\ldots,n$ be the moduli of continuity of all the partial derivatives of order s_i with respect to x_i . If all the numbers

$$\frac{1}{k_1! \dots k_n!} \frac{\partial^k f(u_1, \dots, u_n)}{\partial x_1^{k_1} \dots \partial x_n^{k_n}} \equiv \frac{1}{k_1! \dots k_n!} f_{k_1, \dots, k_n}^{(k)}(u) \qquad 0 \leqslant k_i \leqslant s_i$$

$$k = k_1 + \dots + k_n$$

are integers, where each u_i is either one or zero, there exists m_{i_0} , such that, for all $m_i > m_{i_0}$, such that $m_i = O(m_j)$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, $j = 1, \ldots, n$, then there is, a polynomial with integral coefficients $Q(\mathbf{X})$, of degrees $\leq m_i$, with respect to each x_i , such that, in the n-dimensional cube $D_n : 0 \leq x_i \leq 1$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$,

83

the following bound holds

$$|f_{k_1,\dots,k_n}^{(k)}(\mathbf{X}) - Q_{k_1,\dots,k_n}^{(k)}(\mathbf{X})| < C \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\omega_i \left(\frac{1}{m_i} \right) + \frac{1}{m_i}}{m_i^{s_i t^{-k}}} \right)$$

OF THEOREMS OF L'ADTROCKELATION

for all $0 \le k_i \le s_i$, with $k = k_1 + \ldots + k_n$, where C does not depend on m_i , $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Theorem 1 first appeared in Guelfond [2] for n = 1. The n = 2 case was shown by G. A. Zirnova [6]. Although the techniques employed are much in the same spirit as the ones used in [2] and [6], the additional difficulties which arise for n > 2 require some technical adjustments which may be of some independent interest.

2. Proof of Theorem 1. The proof of this theorem being fairly complex, we have to premise the following lemmas.

LEMMA 1. The following holds a maddan soft and substitute of

$$(1) \qquad \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}^k}{\mathrm{d}x^k} \left[x^{n-s} (1-x)^s \right] \right| = \left| \frac{\mathrm{d}^k}{\mathrm{d}x^k} \left[x^s (1-x)^{n-s} \right] \right| < \frac{2^k (n-s)^{n-s} s^s}{(n-k)^{n-k}},$$

where we take $0^{\mathbf{0}} = 1$, for all $x \in [0, 1]$; and

(2)
$$\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}^k}{\mathrm{d}x^k} \left[x^{n-s} (1-x)^s \right] \right| < \frac{n^k}{(1-x)^k x^k} x^{n-s} (1-x)^s, \ 0 < x < 1.$$

Proof. Applying Leibnitz's formula, we get

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}^{k}}{\mathrm{d}x^{k}} \left[x^{n-s} (1-x)^{s} \right] = \sum_{v=v_{0}}^{v_{1}} \frac{k!(n-s)!s!}{v!(k-v)!(n-s-v)!(s-k+v)!} x^{n-s-v} (1-x)^{s-k+v}$$

$$v_0 = \max(0, k - s), \quad v_1 = \min(k, n - s)$$

On the other hand, the maximum of $x^{n-s-v}(1-x)^{s-k+v}$ is attained at

with continuous 8, first derivatives with
$$n$$
 spect to such x_i on nothinessimal rate $D_i:0\leq x_i\leq 1$, $i=1$, $\frac{1}{x}\frac{1}{x}\frac{1}{x}\frac{1}{x}$

being equal to

$$\max_{0 \le x \le 1} \left[x^{n-s-v} (1-x)^{s-k+v} \right] = \frac{(n-s-v)^{n-s-v} (s-k+v)^{s-k+v}}{(n-k)^{n-k}}$$

and since in the training and the to plantitum to dishom and add a 1 = 3

$$\frac{(n-s)!s!}{(n-s-v)!(s-k+v)!} < (n-s)^v s^{k-v},$$

we then get

we then get
$$\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}^k}{\mathrm{d}x^k} \left[x^{n-s} (1-x)^s \right] \right| < \sum_{v=v_0}^{v_1} \frac{k! (n-s)^v s^{k-v} (n-s-v)^{n-s-v} (s-k+v)^{s-k+v}}{v! (k-v)! (n-k)^{n-k}} < \sum_{v=v_0}^{v_1} \binom{k}{v} \frac{(n-s)^{n-s} s^s}{(n-k)^{n-k}} < \frac{2^k (n-s)^{n-s} s^s}{(n-k)^{n-k}}, \text{ for all } x \in [0,1].$$

$$\leq \sum_{v=v_0}^{v_1} {k \choose v} rac{(n-s)^{n-s} s^s}{(n-k)^{n-k}} \leq rac{2^k (n-s)^{n-s} s^s}{(n-k)^{n-k}}, ext{ for all } .x \in [0,1].$$

3711 -2440

With regard to the second inequality,

$$\left| \frac{\mathrm{d}^{k}}{\mathrm{d}x^{k}} \left[x^{n-s} (1-x)^{s} \right] \right| \leq \frac{k! (n-s)! s!}{v! (k-v)! (n-s-v)! (s-k+v)} x^{n-s-v} (1-x)^{s-k+v} < \frac{1}{(1-x)^{k} x^{k}} \sum_{v=v_{0}}^{v_{1}} \frac{k!}{v! (k-v)!} (n-s)^{v} s^{k-v} x^{n-s} (1-x)^{s} < \frac{1}{(1-x)^{k} x^{k}} \sum_{v=v_{0}}^{v_{1}} \frac{k!}{v! (k-v)!} (n-s)^{v} s^{k-v} x^{n-s} (1-x)^{s} < \frac{1}{(1-x)^{k} x^{k}} \sum_{v=v_{0}}^{v_{1}} \frac{k!}{v! (k-v)!} (n-s)^{v} s^{k-v} x^{n-s} (1-x)^{s} < \frac{1}{(1-x)^{k} x^{k}} \sum_{v=v_{0}}^{v_{1}} \frac{k!}{v! (k-v)!} (n-s)^{v} s^{k-v} x^{n-s} (1-x)^{s} < \frac{1}{(1-x)^{k} x^{k}} \sum_{v=v_{0}}^{v_{1}} \frac{k!}{v! (k-v)!} (n-s)^{v} s^{k-v} x^{n-s} (1-x)^{s} < \frac{1}{(1-x)^{k} x^{k}} \sum_{v=v_{0}}^{v_{1}} \frac{k!}{v! (k-v)!} (n-s)^{v} s^{k-v} x^{n-s} (1-x)^{s} < \frac{1}{(1-x)^{k} x^{k}} \sum_{v=v_{0}}^{v_{1}} \frac{k!}{v! (k-v)!} (n-s)^{v} s^{k-v} x^{n-s} (1-x)^{s} < \frac{1}{(1-x)^{k} x^{k}} \sum_{v=v_{0}}^{v_{1}} \frac{k!}{v! (k-v)!} (n-s)^{v} s^{k-v} x^{n-s} (1-x)^{s} < \frac{1}{(1-x)^{k} x^{k}} \sum_{v=v_{0}}^{v_{1}} \frac{k!}{v! (k-v)!} (n-s)^{v} s^{k-v} x^{n-s} (1-x)^{s} < \frac{1}{(1-x)^{k} x^{k}} \sum_{v=v_{0}}^{v_{1}} \frac{k!}{v! (k-v)!} (n-s)^{v} s^{k-v} x^{n-s} (1-x)^{s} < \frac{1}{(1-x)^{k} x^{k}} \sum_{v=v_{0}}^{v_{1}} \frac{k!}{v! (k-v)!} (n-s)^{v} s^{k-v} x^{n-s} (1-x)^{s} < \frac{1}{(1-x)^{k} x^{k}} \sum_{v=v_{0}}^{v_{1}} \frac{k!}{v! (k-v)!} (n-s)^{v} s^{k-v} x^{n-s} (1-x)^{s} < \frac{1}{(1-x)^{k} x^{k}} x^{n-s} (1-x)^{v} s^{k}$$

$$<rac{n^k}{(1-x)^k x^k} x^{n-s} (1-x)^s, \ \ ext{ for all } x\in (0,1).$$

Remark. It is clear that of (1), we can write

(3)
$$\left|\frac{\mathrm{d}^k}{\mathrm{d}x^k}\left[\left[x^{n-s}(1-x)^s\right]\right|\right|=O\left(n^{k-s}\right), \text{ for fixed } k \text{ and } s.$$

own Jiemma 2. Let wast as himonylog onk on (X) And at AREE.

$$(4) \quad P(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{v_1=0}^{m_1} \dots \sum_{v_n=0}^{m_n} a_{v_1 \dots v_n} x_1^{v_1} \dots x_n^{v_n} (1-x_1)^{m_1-v_1} \dots (1-x_n)^{m_n-v_n}$$

be a polynomial of degree total m, and degrees m_t with respect to each x_t with positive coefficients $a_{\nu_1...\nu_n} \geq 0$, such that in the n-dimensional cube

$$0 \leqslant x_i \leqslant 1, \ i=1,\ldots,n \ satisfy \ the \ inequality \ P(\mathbf{X}) \leqslant 1. \ Then$$
 $|P_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}^{(k)}(\mathbf{X})| < rac{m_1^{k_1} \ldots m_n^{k_n}}{(1-x_1)^{k_1} \ldots (1-x_n)^{k_n} x_1^{k_1} \ldots x_n^{k_n}}.$

where $k = k_1 + \ldots + k_n$, $0 \leq k_i \leq m_i$, $0 < x_i < 1$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

$$|P_{k_1,...,k_n}^{(k)}(\mathbf{X})| = \left| \sum_{v_1=0}^{m_1} \dots \sum_{v_n=0}^{m_n} a_{v_1...v_n} \frac{\partial^k [x_1^{v_1} \dots x_n^{v_n} (1-x_1)^{m_1-v_1} \dots (1-x_n)^{m_n-v_n}]}{\partial x_1^{k_1} \dots \partial x_n^{k_n}} \right| < \infty$$

$$<\sum_{v_1=0}^{m_1}\cdots\sum_{v_n=0}^{m_n}a_{v_1...v}\ rac{m_1^{k_1}}{(1-x_1)^{k_1}x_1^{k_1}}\cdotsrac{m_n^{k_n}}{(1-x_n)^{k_n}x_n^{k_n}}.$$

$$x_1^{v_1} \dots x_n^{v_n} (1-x_1)^{m_1-v_1} \dots (1-x_n)^{m_n-v_n}$$

the last inequality by (2). The theorem follows from this last expression by simply bearing in mind that $P(\mathbf{X}) \leqslant 1$.

$$P(\mathbf{X}) \leq 1$$

LEMMA 3. Under the conditions of lemma 2, then have the

$$\|P_{k_1,\dots,k_n}^{(k)}(\mathbf{X})\| < rac{2^k m_1^{m_1}(m_1+1)\,\dots\,m_n^{m_n}(m_n+1)}{(m_1-k_1)^{m_1-k_1}\,\dots\,(m_n-k_n)^{m_n-k_n}}$$

where $k = k_1 + \ldots + k_n$, $0 \leq k_i \leq m_i$, $0 \leq x_i \leq 1$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Proof. It is easy to deduce that

(5)
$$a_{v_1...v_n} \leqslant \left(\frac{m_1}{v_1}\right)^{v_1} \left(\frac{m_1}{m_1 - v_1}\right)^{m_1 - v_1} \dots \left(\frac{m_n}{v_n}\right)^{v_n} \left(\frac{m_n}{m_n - v_n}\right)^{m_n - v_n}$$

for $0 \le v_i \le m_i$, i = 1, ..., n; where if some $v_i = m_i$ or $v_i = 0$ we take

$$\left(rac{m_i}{v_i}
ight)^{v_i} \left(rac{m_i}{m_i-v_i}
ight)^{m_i-v_i} = 1.$$

Reasoning with similar method as in lemma 2 and applying (1) and (5) the lemma follows.

If in the last lemma we impose some restrictive conditions on the coefficients of the polynomial, the result can be improved, using similar reasoning. We prove the following technical result.

LEMMA 4. Let $P(\mathbf{X})$ be the polynomial in lemma 2 with total degree $m > m_0, m = O(m_i), i = 1, \ldots, n$. If there is an arbitrary but fixed n-tuple $(s_1, \ldots, s_n), s = s_1 + \ldots + s_n, s_0 = 1 + \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} s_i, \text{ such that}$

 $(6) a_{v_1 \dots v_n} \leqslant m^{s+n}, s_i + 1 \leqslant v_i \leqslant m_i - s_i - 1, i = 1, \dots, n$ and

$$(7) a_{v_1 \dots v_n} \leqslant m^{s_0}$$

for those coefficients that have at least one $s_i + 1 \leq v_i \leq m_i - s_i - 1$ but not all; then in n-dimensional cube $0 \leq x_i \leq 1, i = 1, \ldots, n$ for $k = 1, \ldots, s$

(8)
$$|P_{k_1,\ldots,k_n}^{(k)}(\mathbf{X})| < Cm^k, \ k = k_1 + \ldots + k_n, \quad 0 \leqslant k_i \leqslant s_i$$
 where C does not depend on m .

Proof. Let us divide $|P_{k_1,\dots,k_n}^{(k)}(\mathbf{X})|$ into three summands S_1 , S_2 and S_3 . In the first sum S_1 the index v_i runs over all $0 \leq v_i \leq s_i$ and $m_i - s_i \leq v_i \leq m_i$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$. In the second sum S_2 the summands corresponding to the index $s_1 + 1 \leq v_1 \leq m_1 - s_1 - 1, \dots, s_n + 1 \leq s_1 \leq m_n - s_n - 1$; whereas in S_3 the sum is taken over all the other index. This means that in S_3 some subindices v_i vary like in S_1 , whereas other v_j vary like S_2 ; in other words, there are some v_i such that either $0 \leq v_i \leq s_i$ or $m_i - s_i \leq v_i \leq m_i$ and other v_j such that $s_j + 1 \leq v_j \leq s_1 \leq m_j - s_j - 1$.

Let us now proceed to the bounds to each of these summands.

Applying (5) for the coefficients and (1) for the partial derivatives, we get

we get
$$|S_1| \leqslant \sum_{v_1} \cdots \sum_{v_n} \frac{2^{k_1} m_1^{m_1}}{(m_1 - k_1)^{m_1 - k_1}} \cdots \frac{2^{k_n} m_n^{m_n}}{(m_n - k_n)^{m_n - k_n}} < C_1 m^k$$

For the summand S_2 , the coefficients are bounded by (6) while for the partial derivatives we apply the bound (see [2])

(10)
$$\sum_{v=s+1}^{m-s-1} \frac{\mathrm{d}^k}{\mathrm{d}x^k} | [x^{m-v}(1-x)^v] | = O(m^{k-s-1})$$

$$|S_2| \leqslant m^{s+n} \prod_{i=1}^n 0(m_i^{k_i - s_i - 1}) < C_2 m^k.$$

Finally, for S_3 we use the bound (7) for the coefficients, and the bounds (3) and (10) for the partial derivatives.

When bounding

5

$$(12) A = \sum_{v_1} \dots \sum_{v_n} \left| \frac{\partial^k [x_1^{v_1} \dots x_n^{v_n} (1-x_1)^{m_1-v_1} \dots (1-x_n)^{m_n-v_n}]}{\partial x_1^{k_1} \dots \partial x_n^{k_n}} \right|$$

we will find in each term, groups of n factors of two types

$$\sum_{\nu_{s}} O(m_{i}^{k_{i}-\nu_{i}})$$
 and $O(m_{j}^{k_{j}-s_{j}-1})$

with $0 \le v_i \le s_i$. Let us group these terms in the following way: all those with only one $O(m_i^{k_i-v_f})$, those with two, $O(m_i^{k_i-v_f})$ and $O(m_j^{k_j-v_f})$, and following in this way, up to those with (n-1) factors of this first type. For the bound for (12) we proceed in the following way:

$$A < \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{v_{i_{1}}=0}^{s_{i_{1}}} O(m^{k-v_{i_{1}}-(s-s_{i_{1}})-(n-1)}) \right] + \\ + \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{v_{i_{1}}=0}^{s_{i_{1}}} \sum_{v_{i_{2}}=0}^{s_{i_{2}}} O(m^{k-(v_{i_{1}}+v_{i_{2}})-(s-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{2}})-(n-2)}) \right] + \dots + \\ + \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \dots \sum_{i_{n-1}=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{v_{i_{1}}=0}^{s_{i_{1}}} \dots \sum_{v_{i_{n-1}}=0}^{s_{i_{n-1}}} O(m^{k-(v_{i_{1}}+v_{i_{2}})-(s-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{2}})-(n-2)}) \right] + \dots + \\ + \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \dots \sum_{i_{n-1}=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{v_{i_{1}}=0}^{s_{i_{1}}} \dots \sum_{v_{i_{n-1}}=0}^{s_{i_{n-1}}} O(m^{k-(v_{i_{1}}+v_{i_{2}})-(s-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{2}})-(n-2)}) \right] + \dots + \\ + \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \dots \sum_{i_{n-1}=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{v_{i_{1}}=0}^{s_{i_{1}}} \dots \sum_{v_{i_{n-1}}=0}^{s_{i_{n-1}}} O(m^{k-(v_{i_{1}}+v_{i_{2}})-(s-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{2}})-(n-2)}) \right] + \dots + \\ + \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \dots \sum_{i_{n-1}=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{v_{i_{1}}=0}^{s_{i_{1}}} \dots \sum_{v_{i_{n-1}}=0}^{s_{i_{n-1}}} O(m^{k-(v_{i_{1}}+v_{i_{2}})-(s-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{2}})-(n-2)}) \right] + \dots + \\ + \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \dots \sum_{i_{n-1}=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{v_{i_{1}}=0}^{s_{i_{1}}} \dots \sum_{v_{i_{n-1}}=0}^{s_{i_{n-1}}} O(m^{k-(v_{i_{1}}+v_{i_{2}})-(s-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{2}})-(n-2)}) \right] + \dots + \\ + \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{n} \dots \sum_{i_{n-1}=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{v_{i_{1}}=0}^{s_{i_{1}}} \dots \sum_{v_{i_{n-1}}=0}^{s_{i_{n-1}}} O(m^{k-(v_{i_{1}}+v_{i_{2}})-(s-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{2}})-(n-2)}) \right] + \dots + \\ + \sum_{i_{n}=1}^{n} \dots \sum_{i_{n}=1}^{n} \left[\sum_{v_{i_{1}}=0}^{s_{i_{1}}} \dots \sum_{v_{i_{n}}=0}^{s_{i_{n}}} O(m^{k-(v_{i_{1}}+v_{i_{2}})-(s-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}})-(s-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}})-(s-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}})-(s-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}})-(s-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}})-(s-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}})-(s-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}})-(s-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}}-s_{i_{1}})-(s-s_{i_{1}}-s$$

The biggest values are obtained when $v_{i_1} = \dots v_{i_{n-1}} = 0$. Therefore, the order of this expression is least or equal than

$$(n-1)\sum_{i_1=1}^{n}\cdots\sum_{i_{n-1}=1}^{n}O(m^{k-(s-s_{i_1}-\cdots-s_{i_{n-1}})-1})$$

since it contains the largest exponents. But this last sum is of the following order

$$(n-1)n^{n-1}[O(m^{k-s_1-1})+\ldots+O(m^{k-s_n-1})].$$

Per the summered So, the coefficients are hounded by (t) will, north

$$(13) |S_3| \leq O(m^{k+s_0-s_1-1}) + \dots + O(m^{k+s_0-s_n-1}) = C_3 m^k.$$

Thus from (9), (11) and (13), the conclusion readily follows. (0.1)

Now we can finally approach the proof of theorem 1.

Applying a theorem by G. A. Zirnova (see [6]), there exist m_{i_0} such that for all $m_i > m_{i_0}$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, we cand find a polynomial with real coefficients $P(\mathbf{X})$ of degrees $\leq m_i$, with respect to each variable x_i , $i=1,\ldots,n,$ such that in the n-dimensional cube $D_n:0\leqslant x_i\leqslant 1, i=1,\ldots,n$ $n=1,\ldots,n$ the following inequalities hold:

$$(14) |f_{k_1,...,k_n}^{(k)}(\mathbf{X}) - P_{k_1,...,k_n}^{(k)}(\mathbf{X})| < C \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\omega_i \left(\frac{1}{m_i}\right)}{m_i^{s_i-k_i}}, \quad 0 \leqslant k_i \leqslant s_i, \ k = k_1 + \ldots + k_n,$$

where C does not depend on $m_i, i = 1, ..., n$.

Let m be, $m = \sup (m_i)$, clearly $m = O(m_i)$ if $m_i = O(m_j)$, i, j = $=1,\ldots,n.$

We can write this polynomial in the following way [2]

$$P(\mathbf{X}) = \sum_{v_1=0}^{m_1} \dots \sum_{v_n=0}^{m_n} a_{v_1 \dots v_n} x_1^{v_1} \dots x_n^{v_n} (1-x_1)^{m_1-v_1} \dots (1-x_n)^{m_n-v_n},$$

and we decompose the coefficients $a_{v_1...v_n}$ in the way $a_{v_1...v_n} = r_{v_1...v_n} + \frac{\alpha_{v_1...v_n}}{1/2}$ being the integer that is the energy to $a_{v_1...v_n}$ and $|\alpha_{v_1...v_n}| \leqslant 1/2$

With this new notation, we can express for the mofficients committee at terms one majorities

$$P(\mathbf{X}) = Q(\mathbf{X}) + R(\mathbf{X})$$

+ 2. 2 1 2 2 0 m - m - m - m - m - m - m - m - m where $r_{v_1...v_n}$ and $\alpha_{v_1...v_n}$ are the coefficients of $Q(\mathbf{X})$ and $R(\mathbf{X})$, respectively.

Let us first bound the coefficients of $R_{k_1,...,k_n}^{(k)}$ (X). We can get by induction on v:

$$|\alpha_{\tau_1...\tau_n}| < C \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\omega_i \left(\frac{1}{m_i}\right)}{m_i^{s_i-\theta}}$$

where $\tau_i = v_i$ or $m_i - v_i$ for every $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and all v_i satisfying the conditions: $0 \le v_i \le s_i$, $v = v_1 + \ldots + v_n$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

The proof of this bound runs exactly as in [6] for the case n = 2.

Let us divide $R_{k_1,...,k_n}^{(k)}(\mathbf{X})$ into three summands $S_1 + S_2 + S_3$, in the same way as it is exposed in lemma 4.

Then S_1 contains all those summands whose coefficients admit the bound (16), while the partial derivatives are bounded by virtue of (3). Therefore

7

$$|S_1| < C \sum_{v_1=0}^{s_1} \cdots \sum_{v_n=0}^{s_n} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\omega_i \left(\frac{1}{m_i}\right)}{m^{s_i-v}} \prod_{i=1}^n m_i^{h_i-v_i} \leqslant C \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\omega_i \left(\frac{1}{m_i}\right)}{m^{s_i-h}}.$$

For the summand S_2 , the coefficients are replaced by 1/2, while for the partial derivatives, we apply the bound (10), obtaining: T. et u. h., 112 M., Approximation of practicals by polyments of an integral configuration, technical South SSSH Ser. Mat., 28 (1962), 201—280, "(Physical).

(18)
$$|S_2| = \prod_{i=1}^n O(m_i^{k_i - s_i - 1}) = O(m^{k - s - 1}).$$

Finally, for S_3 we use the bound 1/2 for the coefficients, and the bounds (3) and (10) for the partial derivatives; and we proceed with identical reasoning as in Iemma 4, we get

15 (2), MOLLE, 1972 1992, Crp. 191-148

identical reasoning as in lemma 4, we get
$$|S_3| \leq O(m^{k-s_1-1}) + \ldots + O(m^{k-s_n-1}) =$$

$$= O\left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{m^{s_i-k+1}}\right)$$

Substituting (15) in (14), and bearing in mind (17), (18) and (19),

$$|f_{k_1,\dots,k_n}^{(k)}(\mathbf{X}) - Q_{k_1,\dots,k_n}^{(k)}(\mathbf{X})| = O\left(\sum_{i=1}^n rac{\omega_i\left(rac{1}{m_i}
ight) + rac{1}{m_i}}{m_i^{i_i-k}}
ight),$$

since

$$\frac{1}{m^{s-k+1}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{m^{s-k+1}} = O\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{m_i^{s_i-k+1}}\right)$$

The theorem is now proved.

COROLLARY. "If under the conditions of the theorem $\frac{1}{m_i} = O\left(\omega_i\left(\frac{1}{m_i}\right)\right)$, then, the following inequalities hold,

$$|f_{k_1,...,k_n}^{(k)}(\mathbf{X}) - Q_{k_1,...,k_n}^{(k)}(\mathbf{X})| < C \sum_{i=1}^n rac{\omega_i \left(rac{1}{m_i}
ight)}{m_i^s - k}$$

where the constant C does not depend on m_i , i = 1, ..., n". When n = 1 Guelfond's theorem is obtained.

REFERENCES

- Ferguson, Le Baron O., Approximation by polynomials with integral coefficients, American Mathematical Society, 17 (1980).
- 2. Guelfond, A. O. On uniform approximation by polynomials with integral coefficients, Uspehi Mat. Nauk (N.S.), 10 (1955), no. 1 (63), 41-65. (Russian).
- 3. Lorentz, G. G., The degree of approximation by polynomials with positive coefficients. Math. Annalen, 151 (1963), 239-251.
- 4. Luquin, F., Some properties of two-dimensional Bernstein-Polynomials, to appear in J. Approximation Theory.
- 5. Trigub, R. M., Approximation of functions by polynomials with integral coefficients, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 26 (1962), 261-280. (Russian).
- 6. Жирнова, Г. А. Влияние дифференциальных свойств функции многих персменных на оценку приближения многочленами с целыми коэффициентами, СБОРНИК ТРУДОВ, Теория функций, функциональный анализ и их приложения, Выпуск 15 (2), МОПИ, 1972 год, стр. 141-148

Rinally, for 2, we not the bound 12 for the coefficients, and the

Received 20 VII 1988 Universidad del Pais Vasco Facultad de Ciencias Departamento de Matemáticas

Apartado 644 Bilbao-España

And the same of th

Substituting (15) in (14), and beachig in mind (17), (18) and (19),

Plus theorem is now proved.

A DEFILENCE, "If under the conditions of the theorem $\frac{1}{m_t} = O\left(\omega_t \left\{ inos, the potential invariability hold, \right.\right)$

a horseners at the same Republic Property languages The pro-d or the different party of the part