L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION Tome 19, No 2, 1990, pp. 105-109

to the part of the second of t

ON INEQUALITIES FOR INDEFINITE FORM

MOMČILO BJELICA (Zrenjanin)

1. In this paper are given proofs of the Aczél, Popoviciu and Bellman inequalities concerning an indefinite form, by the method of a common fixed point [3]. Also, some premises are corrected and added the necessary and sufficient conditions when in the Popoviciu and Bellman inequalities hold equalities.

The indefinite form is [X]²

$$\Phi(x) = (x_1^p - x_2^p - \dots - x_n^p)^{1/p}, \quad p \geqslant 1$$

for values of the x_i in the region R defined by

(a) $x_i \geqslant 0$

(a)
$$x_i \geqslant 0$$

(b) $x_1 > (x_2^p + x_3^p + \ldots + x_n^p)^{1/p}$.

The next theorem due to J. Aczél, 1956. [4].

THEOREM A. Let $a=(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$ and $b=(b_1,\ldots,b_n)$ be two sequences of real numbers, such that Maryor aless adaption of trapides is said

$$a_1^2-a_2^2-\ldots-a_n^2>0, \ {
m or} \ \ b_1^2-b_2^2-\ldots-b_n^2>0.$$
 Then

$$(a_1^2-a_2^2-\ldots-a_n^2)(b_1^2-b_2^2-\ldots-b_n^2) \leqslant (a_1b_1-a_2b_2-\ldots-a_nb_n)^2,$$
 with equality if and only if the sequences a and b are proportional.

2. The Aczél inequality was generalised by T. Popoviciu

(1)
$$(a_1^p - a_2^p - \dots - a_n^p)(b_1^p - b_2^p - \dots - b_n^p) \leqslant (a_1b_1 - a_2b_2 - \dots - a_nb_n)^p$$
. The conditions

The conditions
(2)
$$a_1^{p_1} - a_2^p - \ldots - a_n^p > 0$$
, or $b_1^p - b_2^p - \ldots - b_n^p > 0$

and $p \ge 1$ given in [4] are not sufficient. The counterexample is p ==3, a=b=(2, 1, 1, 1) when (1) becomes $5\cdot 5\leqslant 1$. For p>2, n=2 and $a_1>a_2$ the converse inequality holds

(3)
$$(a_1^p - a_2^p)^2 > (a_1^2 - a_2^2)^p \text{ or } t - 1 > (t^q - 1)^{\frac{1}{q}}, \ t = \left(\frac{a_1}{a_2}\right)^p, \ q = \frac{2}{p}.$$

The function $y(t) = (t^q - 1)^{1/q}, t \ge 1, 0 < q < 1$ has a derivate y'(t) = $=(1-t^{-q})^{\frac{1-q}{q}}$ with boundaries $0 \le y'(t) < 1$, so we obtain (3).

THEOREM B. If $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ are sequences of nonnegative real numbers such that

- (4) $a_1^p a_2^p \ldots a_n^p \ge 0$ and $b_1^p b_2^p \ldots b_n^p \ge 0$, then, for 0 ,
- $(5) (a_1^p a_2^p \ldots a_n^p)^{1/p} (b_1^p b_2^p \ldots b_n^p)^{1/p} \leq a_1 b_1 a_2 b_2 \ldots a_n b_n,$ and conversely for p < 0.

If p < 2 equality holds if and only if $a = (a_1, 0, ..., 0)$ and b = $-(b_10,\ldots,0)$. If p=2 equality holds if and only if a and b are proportional. The bouleast salt red much afficilities are gathered of a local tional.

Proof. Let 0 ,

$$X = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \, | \, x_1 \geqslant 0, \ldots, x_n \geqslant 0, \ x_1^p - x_2^p - \ldots - x_n^p = a_1^p - a_2^p - \ldots - a_n^p \}, \ Y = \{(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \, | \, y_1 \geqslant 0, \ldots, y_n \geqslant 0, \ y_1^p - y_2^p - \ldots - y_n^p = b_1^p - b_2^p - \ldots - b_n^p \},$$

and a functional $f: X \times Y \to R$

$$f(x,y) = (x_1y_1 - x_2y_2 - \dots - x_ny_n)^p - (x_1^p - x_2^p - \dots - x_n^p)(y_1^p - y_2^p - \dots - y_n^p).$$

If $a_1 = a_i$ or $b_1 = b_i$ for some $i \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$, then (5) is trivial. Hence, suppose that $a_1 > a_i$ and $b_1 > b_i$ for all i = 2, ..., n.

Let
$$\alpha = \frac{a_i}{a_1}$$
, $\beta = \frac{b_i}{b_1}$ and $\alpha < \beta$. Let

 $(6) b' = (b'_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{i-1}, b'_i, b_{i+1}, \ldots, b_n).$

where b'_1 and b'_i are such that

(7)
$$b_1'^p - b_i'^p = b_1^p - b_i^p, \ b_1' : b_i' = a_1 : a_i.$$

Conditions (7) are satisfied if

$$b_1' = \delta a_1, \; b_i' = \delta a_i, \; \delta = \left(rac{b_1^p - b_i^p}{a_1^p - a_i^p}
ight)^{rac{1}{p}}.$$

Inequality $\alpha < \beta$ implies that $b'_1 < b_1$ and $b'_i < b_i$. Let us now demonstrate that $f(a, b) > f(a, b'_i)$ i.e.

$$a_1b_1 - a_ib_i > a_1b_1' - a_ib_i' = \delta(a_1^2 - a_i^2),$$

$$(8) \qquad \frac{b_1^p - b_i^p}{a_1^p - a_i^p} < \left(\frac{a_1 b_1 - a_i b_i}{a_1^2 - a_i^2}\right)^p, \frac{1 - \beta^p}{(1 - \alpha \beta)^p} < \frac{1 - \alpha^p}{(1 - \alpha \alpha)^p}.$$

Let $g(t) = \frac{1 - t^p}{(1 - \alpha t)^p}$, $\alpha \le t \le 1$, so that $g'(t) = \frac{p(\alpha - t^{p-1})}{(1 - \alpha t)^{p+1}}$.

If $0 then <math>\alpha < 1 \leqslant t^{p-1}$, if $1 then <math>\alpha \leqslant \alpha^{p-1} \leqslant t^{p-1}$, so that g'(t) < 0, $\alpha < t < 1$, what implies (8).

In the case that $\alpha > \beta$, similarly defines a'. Mappings $F_i: X \times Y \to X \times Y, i = 2, \ldots, n$

$$F_i\left(x,\;y
ight) = egin{cases} (x,\;y'), & ext{if} \;\; x_iy_1 \leqslant x_1y_i \ (x',\;y), & ext{if} \;\; x_iy_1 \geqslant x_1y_i \end{cases},$$

are in accordance with the functional

$$f(x, y) \ge f(F_i(x, y)).$$

Let

9)
$$F_{i_1}, \ F_{i_2}, \ \ldots, F_{i_m}, \ \ldots$$

be a sequence of mappings F_2, \ldots, F_n in which each of these mappings infinitely times appears. Application of (9) generates a sequence of vectors

$$(a, b), (a^{(1)}, b^{(1)}) = F_{i_1}(a, b), \dots$$

$$\dots, (a^{(m)}, b^{(m)}) = F_{i_m}(a^{(m-1)}, b^{(m-1)}), \dots$$

The coordinates in (10) nonincrease so that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} (a^{(m)}, b^{(m)}) = (c, d) \in X \times Y$$
 and

$$(11) f(a, b) \ge f(a^{(1)}, b^{(1)}) \ge \cdots \ge f(a^{(m)}, b^{(m)}) \ge \cdots \ge f(c, d).$$

The mapping F_k , $k \in \{2, \ldots, n\}$ is continuous so that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} F_k(a^{(m)},\ b^{(m)}) = F_k(c,\ d).$$
 Let $\{i_m\}$ be a sequence of indexes such that

Let $\{j_m\}$ be a sequence of indexes such that $i_{j_m}=k,\ m\in N.$ The sequence

$$(a^{(i_{j_m}+1)},\ b^{(i_{j_m}+1)})=F_k(a^{(i_{j_m})},\ b^{(i_{j_m})},\ m=1,2,\ldots$$

is a subsequence of both convergent sequences

$$\{(a^{(m)},\ b^{(m)})\}$$
 and $\{F_k(a^{(m)},\ b^{(m)})\}$, so they

converge to the same limit $(c, d) = F_k(c, d)$. Hence, (c, d) is a common fixed point for all mappings F_2, \ldots, F_n , what implies proportionality d=rc, r>0.

It remains to prove that

$$f(c, c) = (c_1^2 - c_2^2 - \ldots - c_n^2)^p - (c_1^p - c_2^p - \ldots - c_n^p)^2 \ge 0.$$

Let
$$i \in \{2, \ldots, n\}, (0) \oplus \{n, m = n\}, (0) \oplus \{n, m\} = \{n, m\}, (0) \oplus \{n,$$

$$egin{align} h_i(t) &= (t^2 - c_2^2 - \ldots - c_{i-1}^2 - ar{t}^2 - \ldots - c_n^2)^p - \ &- (t^p - c_2^p - \ldots - c_{i-1}^p - ar{t}^p - \ldots - c_n^p)^2, \ t &\geqslant \sqrt[p]{c_1^2 - c_i^2}, \ t^2 - ar{t}^2 = c_1^2 - c_i^2, \ ext{and so} \ t - ar{t}t' = 0. \ \end{aligned}$$

A derivate is

$$h'_i(t) = -2(t^p - c_2^p - \ldots - \bar{t}^p - \ldots - c_n^p) pt (t^{p-2} - \bar{t}^{p-2}) \geqslant 0,$$

so that

$$f(c, c) \geqslant f(H_i(c), H_i(c)),$$

where

$$H_{i}\left(c
ight) = (\sqrt[4]{c_{1}^{2}-c_{i}^{2}},\ c_{2},\ \ldots,\ c_{i-1},\ 0,\ c_{i+1},\ \ldots,c_{n}).$$

Therefore

$$f(c, c) \geqslant f(H_2(c), H_2(c)) \geqslant \ldots \geqslant$$

$$(12) \geqslant f(H_n(\ldots H_2(c)\ldots), H_n(\ldots H_2(c)\ldots)) =$$

$$= f((\sqrt{c_1^2 - c_2^2 - \ldots - c_n^2}, 0, \ldots, 0), (\sqrt{c_1^2 - c_2^2 - \ldots - c_n^2}, 0, \ldots, 0)) = 0.$$

If p < 0 then

$$\Phi(a)\Phi(b) \geqslant a_1b_1 \geqslant a_1b_1 - a_2b_2 - \ldots - a_nb_n$$

and it is obvious when equality holds. If p=0 conditions (4) are not

satisfied (n > 2), so that theorem is valid.

For n < 2 in (5) equality holds if and only if it holds in sequences (11) and (12), what implies $a = (a_1, 0, ..., 0)$ and $b = (b_1, 0, ..., 0)$. If p=2 then f(c,c)=0, so that equality holds only for proportional sequences.

3. For the R. Bellman inequality (13) in [4] it is supposed same condition (2). The counterexample is p=3, $a=(\sqrt[3]{9},2,0,\ldots,0)$, b== (0, 1, 0, ..., 0), when (13) becomes $1 + (-1) \le \sqrt[3]{-18}$. In the original paper [2] and also in [1], the premise is sharper

$$a_1^p = a_2^p = \ldots = a_n^p > 0$$
 and $b_1^p = b_2^p = \ldots = b_n^p > 0$,

what is weaken in the next theorem.

THEOREM C. If $a = (a_1, \ldots, a_n)$ and $b = (b_1, \ldots, b_n)$ are sequences of nonnegative real numbers which satisfy

$$a_1^p - a_2^p - \ldots - a_n^p \ge 0$$
 and $b_1^{p_1} - b_2^p - \ldots - b_n^p \ge 0$,

then, for p>1

$$(13) (a_1^p - a_2^p - \dots - a_n^p)^{1/p} + (b_1^p - b_2^p - \dots - b_n^p)^{1/p} \le \le ((a_1 + b_1)^p - (a_2 + b_2)^p - \dots - (a_n + b_n)^p)^{1/p}.$$

Equality holds if and only if a and b are proportional. Proof. Let

(14)
$$f(a, b) = \Phi(a, b) - \Phi(a) - \Phi(b).$$

Inequality $f(a, b) \ge f(a, b')$, v. (6), is equivalent to

$$(a_1 + b_1)^p - (a_i + b_i)^p \ge (a_1 + \delta a)^p - (a_i + \delta a_i)^p =$$

$$= (a_1^p - a_i^p)(1 + \delta)^p =$$

$$= ((a_1^p - a_i^p)^{1/p} + (b_1^p - b_i^p)^{1/p})^p$$

or

 $(a_1^p - a_i^p)^{1/p} + (b_1^p - b_i^p)^{1/p} \le ((a_1 + b_1)^p - (a_i + b_i)^p)^{1/p}$ (15)what is inequality (13) for n=2.

For the sake of determination, let $\frac{a_i}{a_1} \leq \frac{b_i}{b_1}$.

The function

$$\begin{split} g(t) &= ((t+b_1)^p - (a_i+b_i)^p)^{1/p} - (t^p - a_i^p)^{1/p} - (b_1^p - b_i^p)^{1/p}, \\ t &\geqslant \frac{b_1}{b_i} \, a_i \text{ has a derivate} \end{split}$$

$$g'(t) = ((t+b_1)^p - (a_i+b_i)^p)^{\frac{1-p}{p}}(t+b_1)^{p-1} - (t^p-a_i^p)^{\frac{1-p}{p}}t^{p-1}.$$

The relation $g'(t) \ge 0$ can be reduced to

$$(t+b_1)^p (t^p-a_i^p) \ge ((t+b_1)^p-(a_i+b_i)^p)t^p,$$

i.e.
$$t^p(a_i + b_i)^p \ge a_i^p (t + b_1)^p$$
 and $tb_i \ge b_1 a_i$.

Hence,
$$g(a_1) \geqslant g\left(\frac{b_1}{b_i} a_i\right) = 0$$
, what proves (15).

Like in the proof of the foregoing theorem, it can be formed a sequence (11). The function (14) has nought value for proportional sequences, c and d, when only equality-sign holds.

In this proof it can be transformed only one vector, e.g. $b, b^{(1)}, \ldots$ $\dots, b^{(m)}, \dots$, which converges to a vector proportional to a.

REFERENCES

1. Beckenbach E. F., Bellman R., Inequalities, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, (1961), 36-39.

2. Bellman R., On an inequality concerning an indefinite form, Amer. Math. Monthy 63

3. Bjelica M., Fixed point and inequalities (Scrbocroatian), doctorial thesis, Belgrade, 1990.

4. Mitrinović D. S., Vasić P. M., Analitic inequalities, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York,

5. Popoviciu T., On an inequality (Romaniau), Gaz. Mat. Fiz. A 11 (64) (1959), 451-461.

Received 15.VI.1990

Technical faculty "Mihajlo Pupin" 23000 Zrenjanin Yuqoslavia