## MATHEMATICA-REVUE D'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET DE THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION

## L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION Tome 19, N° 2, 1990, pp. 123-127

Harris Her by the all real

(8.f)

The horseonmulaives shaddings

## SOME FUNCTIONAL EQUATIONS CONNECTED WITH OUADRATIC FORMS H = H + G = H which its the following:

2. Our sun is to conty the functional equations (193) with the 2. Con-

I. COROVEI

(Cluj-Napoca)

1. Let R be a commutative ring with identity and let M be a unitary R-module. A function  $f: M \to R$  is a quadratic form if

(i)  $f(rx) = r^2 f(x)$  for all  $r \in R$ ,  $x \in M$ ,

(ii)  $F: M \times M \to R$  is bilinear, where

 $f'(g_{k-1})$ ,  $\forall x_{k} \ g_{k} \ b \in G_{k-1}$ 

(1.1) 
$$F(x, y) := f(\bar{x} + y) - f(x) - f(y), \text{ for all } x, y \in M$$

(see e.g. Jacobson [6]).

It is easy to check that any function defined by (1.1) satisfies the following function equation (see [4]).

Adding this admille with (2.1) we have

(1.2) 
$$F(x, y) + F(x + y, z) = F(x, y + z) + F(y, z).$$

If the function  $f: M \to R$  satisfies the parallelogram law

(1.3) 
$$f(x+y) + f(x-y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$$

and 2 is not a zero divisor in R, then F is bi-auditive (cf. Jordan — von Neumann [7]).

From (1.1) and (1.3) it follows that F satisfies the partial homogeneity January S. St. Bentan June (1982) July (1982), and July property of

(1.4) 
$$F(x, -y) = -F(x, y)$$

K. Davison has proved the following.

PROPOSITION 1. Let R be a ring in which 2 is not a zero divisor. Let M be R-module and suppose  $F: M \times M \to R$  satisfies (1.2) and (1.4) Then F is bi-additive. Let X be a complex vector space and  $L: X \times X \to C$  a sesquilinear

form, then a function If  $f:W\to H$  is a solubidity of regulation V(T)

$$f(x) = L(x, x)$$
, for all  $x \in X$ 

satisfies the parallelogram law (1.3) and

$$(1.7) f(\lambda x) = |\lambda|^2 f(x), \ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}, \ x \in X.$$

S. Kurepa [8] has shown that if a function  $f: X \to \mathbb{C}$  satisfies the parallelogram law and (1.7) then there exists a function L such that

$$(1.8) f(x) = L(x, x), for all x \in X.$$

2. Our aim is to study the functional equations (1.2) and (1.3) on the non-commutative structures.

Theorem 1. Let (G, .) be an arbitrary group and (H, +) an abelian group such that every equation 2 = 2 b in H can be concellated by 2.

If  $F: G \to H$  satisfies the following relations.

(2.1) 
$$F(x, y) + F(xy, z) = F(x, yz) + F(y, z), \forall x, y, z \in G.$$

and

$$(2.2) F(x, y^{-1}) = -F(x, y)$$

then the function F verifies the relation

(2.3) 
$$F(x, yz) = F(x, y) + F(x, z)$$
 and  $F(xy, z) = F(x, z) + F(y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in G$  i.e. is "bi-additivity".

*Proof.* In (2.1) replace z by  $z^{-1}$  and using (2.2) we obtain F(x, y) —  $-F(xy, z) = F(x, yz^{-1}) - F(y, z).$ 

Adding this equality with (2.1) we have

(2.3) 
$$2F(x, y) = F(x, yz) + F(x, yz^{-1}).$$

Define the function  $g: G \to H$  by

$$(2.4) g(y) := F(x, y)$$

and (2.5) becomes

$$(2.5) 2g(y) = g(yz) + g(yz^{-1})$$

Interchanging y by z we get

$$(2.6) 2g(z) = g(zy) + g(zy^{-1})$$

From relations (2.5) and (2.6) and using (2.2) and (2.4) we obtain the functional equation  $f: G \to H$ ,

(2.7) 
$$g(yz) + g(zy) = 2[g(y) + g(z)], \forall y, z \in G$$

We need the following theorem (see [2]).

THEOREM 2. Consider the functional equation (2.7), where  $(G, \cdot)$  is a arbitrary group and (H, +) is a abelian group in which 2x = 0 implies that

If  $f: G \to H$  is a solution of equation (2.7), then f is a homomorphism of G into H.

Therefore we have

$$g(yz) = g(y) + g(z)$$
, for all  $y, z \in G$ .

From this equality and (2.4) we obtain

(2.8) F(x, yz) = 
$$F(x, y) + F(x, z)$$
 which together with (2.1) had to the

which together with (2.1) leads to a manufacture of the control of

(2.9) 
$$F(xy, z) = F(x, z) + F(y, z)$$

This complet the proof of the Theorem (1) (01.8)

3. Consider the functional equation

(3.1) 
$$f: G \to H, \ f(xy) + f(xy^{-1}) = 2 \ [f(x) + f(y)]$$

where  $(G, \cdot)$  is an arbitrary group and (H, +) is an abelian group such that every equation 2a = 2b in H can be cancellated by 2.

The functional equation (31) was studied by J. Aczel in [1] where G and H are abelian groups and by M. Hosszú and M. Csikós in  $\begin{bmatrix} 5 \end{bmatrix}$  where G is a finitely generated group and H an abelian group.

Equation (3.1) was studied in [3] where G is an arbitrary group and H is an abelian group. We prove it here for the convenience of a rea- $\det(x_0) = 2f(x_0) = 2f(x_0) = 2f(x_0) = 2f(x_0) = 2f(x_0)$ 

It is easy to see that 
$$f(e) = 0$$
(3.3) 
$$\forall u \in G \ f(u) = f(u-1)$$

(3.3) 
$$\forall y \in G, f(y) = f(\underline{y}^{-1}),$$

(3.4) 
$$\forall x, y \in G, f(y) = f(y^{-1}),$$
We prove by induction that
$$f(x^{y}) = n^{2}f(x),$$

$$(3.5)$$

$$f(x^n) = n^2 f(x).$$

Indeed, taking y = x in (3.1) and in view of (3.2) we have sphal (01.6) missier and has

$$f(x^2) = 4f(x)$$

therefore (3.5) is true for n=2. Suppose that (3.5) is valid for every natural number less than n.

Putting  $y = x^{n-1}$  in (1), we have

$$f(x^n) + f(x^{2-n}) = 2f(x) + 2f(x^{n-1})$$

from the induction hypothesis and this relation, we have

$$f(x^n)=2f(x)+2(n-1)^2f(x)-(n-2)^2f(x)=n^2f(x).$$
 Define the function  $F:G\times G\to H$  by

(3.7) 
$$2F(x, y) = f(xy) - f(x) - f(y).$$

From (3.4) and (3.7), we obtain

$$(3.8) F(x, y) = F(y, x)$$

THEOREM 3. If  $f: G \to H$  is a solution of equation (3.1) then f(x) == F(x, x) where F is defined by (3.7) and it verifies the relation (3.8) and the following relation

(3.9) 
$$F(x, yz) = F(x, y) + F(x, z), \text{ for all } x, y, z \in G$$
 Proof. We first prove the following

(3.10) 
$$F(x, yz) + F(x, zy) = 2[F(x, y) + F(x, z)].$$

From (3.7), (3.1) and (3.4) we obtain

$$(3.11) \ 4[F(x, y) + F(x, z)] = 2[f(xy) + f(xz) - 2f(x) - f(y) - f(z)] =$$

$$= 2f(xz) + 2f(y) - f(zxy^{-1}) + f(zxy^{-1}) - 4f(y) + 2f(xy) -$$

$$- 4f(x) - 2f(z) = f(xyz) + f(zxy^{-1}) - 4f(x) - 4f(y) + 2f(xy) -$$

$$- 2f(z) = f(xyz) + f(zxy^{-1}) - 2f(xy^{-1}) - 2f(z) =$$

$$= f(xyz) - f(zyx^{-1})$$

republica (33) was stadiod benefits and action of the arranging property and

and
$$(3.12) 4F(x, yz) = 2f(xyz) - 2f(x) - 2f(yz) =$$

$$= 2f(xyz) - f(xyz) - f(x^{-1}yz) = f(xyz) - f(x^{-1}yz).$$

From (3.12), (3.1), (3.4) and (3.11) we have

$$\begin{split} 4[F(x,\ yz)+F(x,\ zy)] &= f(xyz)-f(x^{-1}yz)+f(xzy)-f(x^{-1}zy) = \\ &= f(xyz)-f(zyx^{-1})-[2f(x)+2f(yz)-f(xyz)]+ \\ &+ [2f(x)+2f(zy)-f(x^{-1}zy)] = 2[f(xyz)-f(zyx^{-1})] = \\ &= 8[F(x,\ y)+F(x,\ z)]. \end{split}$$

and the relation (3.10) holds.

For x fixed,  $x \in G$ , define the function  $g: G \to H$ 

(3.13) 
$$g(y) = F(x, y).$$

Then, from (3.10) and (3.13) it follows

(3.14) 
$$g(yz) + g(zy) = 2[g(y) + g(z)].$$

Now, using Theorem 2 it follows that g is a homomorphism of  $(G_{\bullet,\bullet})$  into  $(H_{\bullet,\bullet}+)$  and (3.9) holds.

4. Now we will show some properties of the function F defined by (3.7). By X and X' we denote complex vector spaces and by A complex algebra with unit 1 we assume that the algebra A has the following

For any  $t \in A$  there exists a natural number n such that t + n and

t+n+1 are invertible elements in A.

i+1 are invertible elements in A. Furthermore we assume that X is a left module over A and X'is left and right modul orer A.

THEOREM 4. Let A, X and X' be as above. If  $f: X \to X'$  is a solution of the parallelogram law .

f(x + y) + f(x - y) = 2f(x) + 2f(y), for all  $x, y \in X$ 

and if f satisfies the homogeneity condition

$$f(tx) = tf(x)t, \ t \in A, \ x \in X$$

then the function  $F: X \times X \to X'$  defined by

$$2F(x, y) = f(x + y) - f(x) - f(y)$$

is additive, symmetric, f(x) = F(x, x) and

(4.4) 
$$F(tx, y) + F(x, ty) = tF(x, y) + F(x, y)t, t \in A; x, y \in X$$
  
Furthermore the function

$$h(t; x, y) = F(tx, y) - F(x, ty), t \in A, x \in X$$
is a Jordan derivation on  $A$ :

is a Jordan derivation on A, i.e.

(4.5) 
$$h(t \circ s; x, y) = t \circ h(s; x, y) + h(t; x, y) \circ s$$

$$t \circ s = ts + st, \ t, \ a \in A$$

*Proof.* From Theorem 3 follows that the function F defined by (4.3) is bi-additive, symmetric and f(x) = F(x, x) for all  $x \in X$ .

In the hypotheses of Theorem 4 it is easy to check that the function If can be reduced to the function M (see [8]).

$$M(x, y) = (f(x + y) - f(x - y))/8 - i(f(x + iy) - f(x - iy)/8,$$
  $\forall x, y \in X,$  therefore (4.4) and (4.5) are true.

- REFERENCES 1. Aczel, J. The general solution of two functional equations by reduction to functions additive in two variables and with the aid of Hamel bases. Glasnik Mat. Fiz. Astr., 20(1965) 1-2,
- 2. Corovei, I. On some functional equations for the homomorphisms. Bul. Stiint. Inst. Pol. Cluj-Napoca Nr. 22, 1979, 14—19. 3. Corovei, I. Ecuația funcțională  $f(xy) + f(xy^{-1}) = 2f(x) + 2f(y)$  pe grupuri. Inst. Științ.

- 4. Davison, T.M.K. Restricted homogeneity implies bi-additivity, Ann Polonici Math., XLVIII,
- Hosszu, M. Csikos, M. Normenquadrat über gruppan. Symposium en quasigroupes et
   Jacobson, N. Paris
   Hosszu, M. Csikos, M. Normenquadrat über gruppan. Symposium en quasigroupes et
   Jacobson, N. Paris
- 6. Jacobson, N. Basic Algebra I.W.H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1974. 7. Jordan, P. and von Neumann, J. On inner products in linear metric space, Ann.
- 8. Kurepa, S. On the definition of a quadratic form. Publ. de l'Inst. Math. 42 (56) (1987), Received 10.III.1990

  Institutul Politehnic

Str. E. Isac, 15 Another function result of L.M. James willing follo Romania