

L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION
Tome 19, N° 2, 1990, p. 133–136

$$\left[\sqrt{v} \cdot \frac{v}{w} \cdot \frac{1-v}{1-w} \right] \left(\frac{v+w}{1+v} \right)^n = \frac{1}{(1-w)^n}$$

BASKAKOV OPERATORS AND CONVEX FUNCTIONS

IVANA HOROVÁ

(Brno)

Abstract. The aim of this note is to establish a relation between the sequence formed by Baskakov operators and convex functions.

Let $Q[a, b]$ be the set of real functions defined and bounded in the interval $[0, \infty)$ and continuous in the interval $[a, b]$, continuous to the left in $x = a$ and continuous to the right in $x = b$.

The papers [1], [3], [4] and some others deal with the following class of linear positive operators (so called *Baskakov operators*)

$$(1) \quad L_n(f, x) = (1-x)^n \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+v-1}{v} x^v f\left(\frac{v}{n}\right), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

It is proved (for instance in [3]) that for $f \in Q[0, a^*]$, $a^* = a/(1-a)$, $0 < a < 1$, the sequence $\{L_n(f, x)\}$ converges uniformly towards the function $f(x/(1-x))$ in the interval $[0, a]$.

We remind the following relations

$$(2) \quad \left. \begin{aligned} L_n(1, x) &= 1 \\ L_n(t, x) &= \tau(x) \\ L_n(t^2, x) &= \tau^2(x) + \frac{x\tau'(x)}{n}, \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{for } n \geq 1 \\ \text{for } n \geq 2 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{for } n \geq 3 \\ \vdots \\ \text{for } n \geq n-1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{for } n \geq n-2 \\ \vdots \\ \text{for } n \geq 1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{for } n \geq n-1 \\ \vdots \\ \text{for } n \geq 1 \end{array}$$

where $\tau(x) = x/(1-x)$.

In [1], [3] there is proved the theorem:

THEOREM. If the function f is defined in the interval $[0, \infty)$ and if f is convex in this interval, then the sequence $\{L_n(f, x)\}$ is decreasing in the interval $(0, a]$, i.e.

$$L_n(f, x) > L_{n-1}(f, x), \quad x \in (0, a], \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Remark. It is $L_n(f, 0) = L_{n+1}(f, 0) = f(0)$ for all n .

A. Lupas has also proved in [3] the relation

$$\Delta_1 L_n(f, x) = L_{n+1}(f, x) - L_n(f, x)$$

$$(3) \quad \left(\frac{v}{w} \cdot \frac{1-v}{1-w} \right) = (1-x)^n \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} A_{vn}[f] x^v, \quad \frac{1-v}{1-w} = \frac{1-v}{1+x}$$

where

$$\Lambda_{vn}[f] = -\frac{1}{n(n+1)} \binom{n+v}{v-1} \left[\frac{v-1}{n+1}, \frac{v}{n+1}, \frac{v}{n}; f \right]$$

and $\left[\frac{v-1}{n+1}, \frac{v}{n+1}, \frac{v}{n}; f \right]$ denotes the divided difference of the function f . Now, we shall deal with the functions $T_{n,2}$ which are defined as follows

$$(4) \quad T_{n,2}(x) = (1-x)^n \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+v-1}{2} x^v (v-n\tau(x))^2$$

By using (2) it is easy to show that

$$T_{n,2}(x) = nx\tau'(x).$$

Using (4) we can obtain the useful estimation ($\delta > 0$):

$$(1-x)^n \sum_{\left| \frac{v}{n} - \tau(x) \right| > \delta} \binom{n+v-1}{v} x^v \leq$$

$$(5) \quad \leq \frac{(1-x)^n}{\delta^2} \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} \binom{n+v-1}{v} x^v \left(\frac{v}{n} - \tau(x) \right)^2 \leq \frac{T_{n,2}}{n^2 \delta^2} \leq \frac{x \tau'(x)}{n \delta^2}$$

For sufficiently large n we can put $\delta = n^{-\alpha}$, $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, and then

$$(6) \quad (1-x)^n \sum_{\left| \frac{v}{n} - \tau(x) \right| < \delta} \binom{n+v-1}{v} x^v \leq x \tau'(x) n^{-\beta}, \quad \beta > 0.$$

This means that

$$(7) \quad (1-x)^n \sum_{\left| \frac{v}{n} - \tau(x) \right| < \delta} \binom{n+v-1}{v} x^v \rightarrow 1$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Now we can prove the following theorem.

THEOREM. Let $f \in Q[0, a^*]$ and let f'' be continuous and bounded in the interval $[0, \infty)$. Let the sequence $\{L_n(f, x)\}$ be decreasing in $(0, a]$. Then f is convex in the interval $[0, a^*]$.

Proof. Let us suppose that f is not convex in the interval $[0, a^*]$. Then there is a point $\tau(x_0) \in (0, a^*)$ for which $f''(\tau(x_0)) < 0$.

We know it is valid:

$$\left[\frac{v-1}{n+1}, \frac{v}{n+1}, \frac{v}{n}; f \right] = \frac{1}{2} f''(\xi_v), \quad \xi_v \in \left(\frac{v-1}{n+1}, \frac{v}{n} \right). \quad (8)$$

And now we obtain for $\Delta_1 L_n$

$$\Delta_1 L_n(f, x_0) = -\frac{(1-x_0)^n}{2n(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \binom{n+v}{v-1} f''(\xi_v).$$

Because f'' is continuous in the interval $[0, a^*]$, it follows: there exists a positive number δ that for all $\tau(x) \in (\tau(x_0) - \delta, \tau(x_0) + \delta)$ it is $f''(\tau(x)) < -A$, $A > 0$.

Now

$$(8) \quad \Delta_1 L_n(f, x_0) = -\frac{(1-x_0)^n}{2n(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \binom{n+v}{v-1} f''(\xi_v) - \\ - \frac{(1-x_0)^n}{2n(n+1)} \sum_{v=\kappa}^{\infty} \binom{n+v}{v-1} f''(\xi_v),$$

where

$$I = \{v | v = 1, 2, \dots\}$$

$$E = \left\{ v \mid \left| \frac{v-1}{n+1} - \tau(x) \right| < \delta, \left| \frac{v}{n} - \tau(x) \right| < \delta \right\}.$$

We denote the first sum by Λ_1 and the second one by Λ_2 .

If is $f''(x) < -A$ on the set E and then we obtain for the sum Λ_1

$$\Lambda_1 \geq \frac{A(1-x_0)^n}{2n(n+1)} \sum_{v=1}^{\infty} \binom{n+v}{v-1} x_0^v.$$

Putting $\mu = v-1$ we have

$$\Lambda_1 \geq \frac{A(1-x_0)^n}{2n(n+1)} \sum_{\left| \frac{\mu}{n+1} - \tau(x_0) \right| < \delta} \binom{n+\mu+1}{\mu} x_0^{\mu+1}$$

$$\left| \frac{\mu-1}{n} - \tau(x_0) \right| < \delta$$

Taking into account (7) we can conclude

$$(9) \quad \Lambda_1 \geq \frac{A(1-x_0)^n}{2(n+1)n} + 0 \left(\frac{1}{n(n+1)} \right)$$

Let us estimate the second sum Λ_2 (let us put $|f''(x)| \leq M$)

$$|\Lambda_2| \leq \frac{(1-x_0)^n}{2(n+1)n} \sum_{v=\kappa}^{\infty} \binom{n+v}{v-1} x_0^v M \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{M(1-x_0)^n}{2(n+1)n} \left(\sum_{\left| \frac{v-1}{n+1} - \tau(x_0) \right| \geq \delta} \binom{n+v}{v-1} x_0^v \right) +$$

$$+ \frac{M(1-x_0)^n}{2(n+1)n} \sum_{\left| \frac{v}{n} - \tau(x_0) \right| \geq \delta} \binom{n+v}{v-1} x_0^v$$

Using the expressions for $T_{n+2} \times T_{n+1,2}$ and estimation (6) we obtain for sufficiently large n

$$|\Lambda_2| \leq \frac{Mx_0\tau'(x_0)}{2n(n+1)} \left(\frac{1}{(n+1)^{1-2\alpha}} + \frac{1}{n^{1-2\alpha}} \right) = o\left(\frac{1}{n(n+1)}\right)$$

where $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$. From here and from (9) it follows (for sufficiently large n)

$$\Lambda_1 > |\Lambda_2|,$$
(6)

and then $\Delta_1 L_n(f, x_0) = L_{n+1}(f, x_0) - L_n(f, x_0) > 0$, i.e. the sequence $\{L_n(f, x_0)\}$ is not decreasing. This contradiction proves the theorem.

REFERENCES

1. Cheney, E.W., Sharma, A., *Bernstein power series*, Canadian Journal of Mathematics XVI, (1964), 241–252.
2. Kosmák, L., *A note on Bernstein polynomials of convex functions*, Mathematica (Cluj), 2(25) (1960), 2, 281–282.
3. Lupas, A., *On Bernstein power series*, Mathematica (Cluj), 8(31) (1966), 2, 287–296.
4. Stancu, D.D., *Use of probabilistic methods in the theory of uniform approximation of continuous functions*, Revue Roumaine de mathématiques pures et appliquées, XIV (1969) 5, 673–691.

Received 5.VII.1990

Department of Mathematics
Masaryk University
Janáčkovo nám. 2a
662 95 Brno
Czechoslovakia