

MATHEMATICA — REVUE D'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE
ET DE THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION

L'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET LA THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION
Tome 20, N°s 1—2, 1991, pp. 47—57

APPROXIMATION OF ENTIRE HARMONIC FUNCTIONS
IN R^3 HAVING INDEX-PAIR (p, q)

D. KUMAR, G. S. SRIVASTAVA and H. S. KASANA

(Roorkee)

(Uppsala)

Abstract. The authors have defined approximation error for harmonic functions in H_R , $0 < R < \infty$, the class of all harmonic functions H in R^3 , that are regular in the open ball D_R of radius R centered at the origin and are continuous on the closure \bar{D}_R of D_R ; norm being the sup. norm. Necessary and sufficient conditions, in terms of the rate of decay of the approximation error $E_n(H, R)$, such that $H \in H_R$ has analytic continuation as an entire harmonic function having (p, q) -order ρ and lower (p, q) -order λ , have been obtained.

1980 Mathematics subject classification. Primary 40C10, Secondary 30E05.

Key Words and Phrases : Entire harmonic functions, index-pair, (p, q) -order, lower (p, q) -order.

0. Introduction. The harmonic functions in R^3 are the solutions of Laplace equation

$$(0.1) \quad \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_2^2} + \frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_3^2} = 0.$$

A harmonic function H , regular about the origin, can be expanded as

$$(0.2) \quad H \equiv H(r, \theta, \Phi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \sum_{m=0}^n (a_{nm}^{(1)} \cos m\Phi + a_{nm}^{(2)} \sin m\Phi) P_n^m (\cos \theta),$$

where $x_1 = r \cos \theta$, $x_2 = r \sin \theta \cos \Phi$, $x_3 = r \sin \theta \sin \Phi$ and $P_n^m(t)$ are associated Legendre's functions of first kind of degree m and order n . A harmonic polynomial of degree K is a polynomial of degree k in x_1 , x_2 , and x_3 which satisfies (0.1).

A harmonic function H is said to be regular in

$$D_R = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 < R^2\}, \quad 0 < R \leq \infty, \text{ if the series}$$

(0.2) converges uniformly on a compact subset of D_R . A harmonic function H is called entire if it is regular in D_∞ .

The concepts of the index-pair (p, q) , $p \geq q \geq 1$ and (p, q) -order, (p, q) -type etc. of an entire function were introduced by Juneja et al. ([4], [5]). Thus if we denote by $\log^{(p)} x$ the quantity $\log \log \dots \log x$,

where logarithm is taken p times, then an entire harmonic function H is said to be (p, q) -order ρ and lower (p, q) -order λ if it is of index-pair (p, q) and

$$(0.3) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, H)}{\log^{[q]} r} = \frac{\rho(p, q)}{\lambda(p, q)} \equiv \frac{\rho(H)}{\lambda(H)};$$

$b \leq \lambda \leq \rho \leq \infty$. Here $b = 1$ if $(p, q) = (p, p)$, $p = 2, 3, \dots$ and $b = 0$ otherwise, and $M(r, H) = \max_{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = r^2} |H(x_1, x_2, x_3)|$.

The entire harmonic function H having (p, q) -order ρ , $b < \rho < \infty$, is said to be of (p, q) -type T if

$$(0.4) \quad \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} M(r, H)}{(\log^{[q-1]} r)^\rho} = T(p, q) \equiv T(H),$$

where $0 < T \leq \infty$.

Fryant [2] related the order ρ and type T of an entire harmonic function H with the rate of decrease of coefficients $a_{nm}^{(i)}$ in (0.2), $i = 1, 2$. Analogous results for the solutions of (0.1) which depend only on the variables $x = x_1$ and $y = (x_2^2 + x_3^2)^{1/2}$ have been found in Fryant [1] and Gilbert [3, Theorem, 4.3.4].

Let H_R , $0 < R < \infty$, denote the class of all harmonic function H regular in D_R and continuous on \bar{D}_R , the closure of D_R . For $H \in H_R$, let $E_n(H, R)$, the error in approximating the function H by harmonic polynomials of degree at most n in uniform norm, be defined as

$$(0.5) \quad E_n(H, R) = \inf_{g \in \pi_n} \|H - g\|_n$$

where π_n consist of all harmonic polynomials of degree at most n and

$$\|H - g\|_n = \max_{(x_1, x_2, x_3) \in \bar{D}_R} |H(x_1, x_2, x_3) - g(x_1, x_2, x_3)|.$$

Let $H \in H_R$. Kapoor and Nautiyal have obtained necessary and sufficient conditions for H to have an entire analytic continuation in terms of its order and type [6, Theorems 2 and 3]. These results obviously leave a big class of entire functions, such as entire functions of slow growth or of fast growth etc.

The aim of this paper is to extend [6, Theorem 2] for entire harmonic function of (p, q) -growth. We have also obtained analogous result for lower (p, q) -order of entire harmonic functions. Finally, we have studied the growth of polynomial expansion of entire harmonic functions with index-pair (p, q) in terms of approximation error.

We shall use the following notation throughout the paper.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{NOTATION : } P_\xi(\alpha) &\equiv P_\xi(\alpha, p, q) = \alpha && \text{if } p > q, \\ &= \xi + \alpha && \text{if } p = q = 2, \\ &= \max(1, \alpha) && \text{if } 3 \leq p = q < \infty, \\ &= \infty && \text{if } p = q = \infty. \end{aligned}$$

where $0 \leq \alpha \leq \infty$ and $0 \leq \xi \leq 1$. We shall write $P(\alpha)$ for $P_1(\alpha)$.

1. Auxiliary results. In this section we give some lemmas that are used in proving Theorems 1,2.

LEMMA 1. Associated Legendre's functions $P_n^m(t)$ satisfy

$$(1.1) \quad \max_{1 \leq t \leq 1} |P_n^m(t)| \leq K[(n+m)!(n-m)!]^{1/2},$$

where K is a constant independent of n and m .

LEMMA 2. Let $H \in H_R$ be entire and $r' > 1$. Then, for all $r > 2r'R$ and all sufficiently large values of n , we have

$$E_n(H, R) \leq \bar{K} M(r, H) \left(\frac{r'R}{r} \right)^{n+1}$$

Here \bar{K} is a constant.

LEMMA 3. Let $H \in H_R$. Then for any $R_* < R$ and $n \geq 1$, we have

$$R_* \max_{m, i} \left[|a_{nm}^{(i)}| \left(\frac{(n+m)!}{(n-m)!} \right)^{1/2} \right] \leq K_0(2n+1) E_{n-1}(H, R)$$

where K_0 is a constant.

The proof of above lemmas can be found in [6, pp. 1026–27].

LEMMA 4. Let $H \in H_R$. Then for any $R_* < R$ and $n \geq 1$, there exists an entire function $h(z)$ such that

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)^2 E_{n-1}(H, R) \left(\frac{Z}{R_*} \right)^n,$$

and

$$M(r, H) \leq |a_{00}^{(1)}| + K K_0 M(r, h),$$

where $M(r, h) = \max_{|z| \leq r} |h(z)|$.

Proof. For $H \in H_R$, using (0.2), Lemma 1 and Lemma 3, we have

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r^n \sum_{m=0}^n (a_{nm}^{(1)} \cos m \Phi + a_{nm}^{(2)} \sin m \Phi) P_n^m(\cos \theta) \right|$$

$$\leq |a_{00}^{(1)}| + K \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (2n+1) r^n \max_{m,i} \left[|a_{nm}^{(i)}| \left(\frac{(n+m)!}{(n-m)!} \right)^{1/2} \right]$$

$$\leq |a_{00}^{(1)}| + KK_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)^2 E_{n-1}(H, R) \left(\frac{r}{R_*} \right)^n,$$

for some $R_* < R$,

$$M(r, H) \leq |a_{00}^{(1)}| + KK_0 M(r, h),$$

where

$$h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)^2 E_{n-1}(H, R) \left(\frac{z}{R_*} \right)^n,$$

Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (E_n(H, R))^{1/n} = 0$, $h(z)$ is an entire function of a single complex variable z and $M(r, h) = \max_{|z|=r} |h(z)|$.

2. Main results

THEOREM 1. Let $H \in H_R$. Then, H has analytic continuation as an entire harmonic function of finite (p, q) -order ρ such that

$$\rho(p, q) = P(L(p, q))$$

where

$$L(p, q) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup \frac{\log^{[p-1]} n}{\log^{[q]} (E_n(H, R))^{-1/n}}$$

Proof. First assume that $\rho(p, q) < \infty$. Then for any $\epsilon > 0$

$$(2.1) \quad \log M(r, H) < \exp^{[p-2]} (\log^{[q-1]} r)^{\rho+\epsilon}$$

for all $r > r_0 = r_0(\epsilon)$. Using Lemma 3, we have

$$E_n(H, R) \leq \bar{K} M(r, H) \left(\frac{r' R}{r} \right)^{n+1},$$

or

$$\log M(r, H) \geq \log E_n(H, R) + (n+1) \log \left(\frac{r}{r' R} \right) - \log \bar{K},$$

or

$$\exp^{[p-2]} (\log^{[q-1]} r)^{\rho+\epsilon} > \log E_n(H, R) + (n+1) \log \left(\frac{r}{r' R} \right) - \log \bar{K}.$$

$$(2.2) \quad \log E_n(H, R) < \exp^{[p-2]} (\log^{[q-1]} r)^{\rho+\epsilon} - (n+1) \log \left(\frac{r}{r' R} \right) + \log \bar{K}.$$

For $(p, q) = (2, 1)$ we proceed on the lines of Kapoor and Nautiyal [6] to get

$$(2.3) \quad \rho(2, 1) \geq L(2, 1).$$

For $(p, q) = (2, 2)$, from (2.2) we have

$$\log E_n(H, R) < (\log^{1/\rho} r)^{\rho+\epsilon} - (n+1) \log \left(\frac{r}{r' R} \right) + \log \bar{K}.$$

Choosing

$$\frac{r}{r' R} = \exp \left(\frac{n}{\rho+\epsilon} \right)^{1/\rho-1+\epsilon}$$

in the above inequality, we get

$$\log E_n(H, R) < \left[\left(\frac{n}{\rho+\epsilon} \right)^{1/\rho-1+\epsilon} + \log r' R \right]^{\rho+\epsilon} -$$

$$-(n+1) \left(\frac{n}{\rho+\epsilon} \right)^{1/\rho-1+\epsilon} + \log \bar{K}$$

$$= \left(\frac{n}{\rho+\epsilon} \right)^{\rho+\epsilon/(\rho-1+\epsilon)} \left[[1+o(1)]^{\rho+\epsilon} - (\rho+\epsilon) - \frac{\rho+\epsilon}{n} \right]$$

$$+ \log \bar{K} - \frac{1}{n} \log E_n(H, R)$$

$$> \left(\frac{n}{\rho+\epsilon} \right)^{1/\rho-1+\epsilon} \left\{ 1 + \frac{1}{n} - \frac{1}{\rho+\epsilon} [1+o(1)]^{\rho+\epsilon} \right\} - \frac{1}{n} \log \bar{K}$$

or

$$\log \log (E_n(H, R))^{-1/n} > \frac{1}{\rho-1+\epsilon} \log n + o(1),$$

$$\text{or } \frac{\log n}{\log \log (E_n(H, R))^{-1/n}} < (\rho-1+\epsilon) \frac{1}{[1+o(1)]} \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

Proceeding to limits we get

$$(2.4) \quad \rho(2, 2) \geq 1 + L(2, 2).$$

Now for $(p, q) \neq (2, 1)$ and $(2, 2)$, let

$$\frac{r}{r' R} = \exp^{[q-1]} \left[\log^{[p-2]} \left(\frac{n}{\rho+\epsilon} \right) \right]^{1/\rho+\epsilon}.$$

Using (2.2) we get

$$\log E_n(H, R) < \frac{n}{\rho + \epsilon} - (n+1)\exp^{[q-2]} \left[\log^{[p-2]} \left(\frac{n}{\rho + \epsilon} \right) \right]^{1/\rho + \epsilon}$$

$$-\frac{1}{n} \log E_n(H, R) > \exp^{[q-2]} \left(\log^{[p-2]} \left(\frac{n}{\rho + \epsilon} \right) \right)^{1/\rho + \epsilon} (1 + o(1)) - \frac{1}{\rho + \epsilon},$$

or

$$\log^{[q-1]} (\log(E_n(H, R))^{-1/n}) > \frac{1}{\rho + \epsilon} \log^{[p-1]} \frac{n}{\rho + \epsilon},$$

or

$$\frac{\log^{[p-1]}(n/\rho + \epsilon)}{\log^{[q]}(E_n(H, R))^{-1/n}} < \rho + \epsilon + o(1) \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proceeding to limits we get

$$(2.5) \quad \varphi(p, q) \geq L(p, q).$$

Since $\varphi(p, q) \geq 1$ for $p = q$, the above inequality [2.2] for $3 \leq p = q < \infty$ gives

$$(2.6) \quad \varphi(p, p) \geq \max(1, L(p, p)).$$

and for $p > q$ it gives

$$(2.7) \quad \varphi(p, q) \geq L(p, q).$$

Combining (2.4), (2.5) and (2.6) we obtain

$$(2.8) \quad \varphi(p, q) \geq P(L(p, q)).$$

(2.8) holds obviously if $\varphi(p, q) = \infty$.

Next assume that $L(p, q) < \infty$. From any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$(2.9) \quad E_n(H, R) < \exp\{-n \exp^{[q-2]}(\log^{[p-2]} n)^{1/L + \epsilon}\}.$$

By Lemma 3, we have

$$M(r, H) \leq |a_{00}^{(1)}| + KK_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)^2 E_{n-1}(H, R) \left(\frac{r}{R_*} \right)^n \text{ for } R > R_*$$

$$(2.10) \quad M(r, H) \leq A_0 + B(n_0) + KK_0 \sum_{n=N+1}^{n(r)} (2n+1)^2 E_{n-1}(H, R) \left(\frac{r}{R_*} \right)^n$$

$$+ KK_0 \sum_{n=n(r)+1}^{\infty} (2n+1)^2 E_{n-1}(H, R) \left(\frac{r}{R_*} \right)^n,$$

where $B(n_0)$ is a polynomial of degree at most n . Choose

$$\exp\{-n \exp^{[q-2]}(\log^{[p-2]} n)^{1/L + \epsilon}\} \left(\frac{r}{R_*} \right)^n < \frac{1}{2^n} \text{ for } n \geq n(r)$$

and

$$n(r) = \exp^{[p-2]} \left\{ \left(\log^{[q-1]} \frac{2r}{R_*} \right)^{L+\epsilon} \right\}.$$

Using (2.9) and (2.10) we have

$$M(r, H) < A_0 + B(n_0) + KK_0 \left(\frac{r}{R_*} \right) \exp^{[p-2]} \left\{ \left(\log^{[q-1]} \left(\frac{2r}{R_*} \right) \right)^{L+\epsilon} \right\}$$

$$+ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1)^2 \exp\{-k \exp^{[q-2]}(\log^{[p-2]} n)^{1/L + \epsilon}\}$$

$$+ KK_0 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2k+1)^2 \frac{1}{2^k}.$$

Since both the series in the Right hand expression are convergent, we have for large value of r ,

$$(2.11) \quad \log^{[2]} M(r, H) < \exp^{[p-3]} \left\{ \log^{[q-1]} \left(\frac{2r}{R_*} \right)^{L+\epsilon} \right\} + \log \log r + o(1)$$

For $(p, q) = (2, 1)$

$$\log^{[2]} M(r, H) < (L + \epsilon) \log \left(\frac{2r}{R_*} \right) + \log \log r + o(1),$$

or

$$\frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, H)}{\log r} < (L + \epsilon) \frac{\log \left(\frac{2r}{R_*} \right)}{\log r} + \frac{\log \log r}{\log r} + o(1).$$

Proceeding to limits we get

$$(2.12) \quad \varphi(2, 1) \leq L(2, 1).$$

For $(p, q) = (2, 2)$, we have

$$\frac{\log^{[2]} M(r, H)}{\log^{[2]} r} < (L + \epsilon) \frac{\log^{[2]} \left(\frac{2r}{R_*} \right)}{\log^{[2]} r} + 1 + o(1).$$

Proceeding to limits we get

$$(2.13) \quad \rho(2,2) \leq L(2,2) + 1.$$

Since $\rho \geq 1$ for $p = q$ the inequality (2.11) for $p = q \geq 3$ gives

$$\rho(p, p) \leq \max(1, L(p, p)).$$

and for $p > q$ it gives

$$\rho(p, q) \leq L(p, q).$$

therefore

$$(2.14) \quad \rho(p, q) \leq P(L(p, q)).$$

This inequality is obviously true if $L(p, q) = \infty$. Combining (2.8) and (2.14) we get $\rho(p, q) = P(L(p, q))$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

THEOREM 2. Let $H \in H_R$. Then H has analytic continuation as an entire harmonic function of finite lower (p, q) -order λ , such that

$$\lambda(p, q) = P(l(p, q))$$

where

$$(2.15) \quad l(p, q) = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p-1]} n}{\log^{[q]} (E_n(H, R))^{-1/n}}.$$

Proof. We note that $0 \leq l \leq \infty$. First let $0 < l < \infty$.

Then for $l > \epsilon > 0$ and for all $n > n_0 = n_0(\epsilon)$,

$$(2.16) \quad E_n(H, R) > \exp \{-n \exp^{[q-2]} (\log^{[p-2]} n)^{1/l-\epsilon}\}.$$

Using Lemma 3, and (2.16) we get

$$\bar{K} M(r, H) \left(\frac{r' R}{r} \right)^{n+1} > \exp \{-n \exp^{[q-2]} (\log^{[p-2]} n)^{1/l-\epsilon}\}$$

or

$$(2.17) \quad \log M(r, H) > -n \exp^{[q-2]} (\log^{[p-2]} n)^{1/l-\epsilon} \\ + (n+1) \log \left(\frac{r}{r' R} \right) - \log \bar{K}.$$

For $(p, q) = (2, 1)$

$$\log M(r, H) > -n \log (n)^{1/l-\epsilon} + (n+1) \log \left(\frac{r}{r' R} \right) - \log \bar{K}.$$

Choosing

$$\frac{r}{r' R} = 2(n)^{1/l-\epsilon}$$

In above inequality, we get

$$\log M(r, H) > (n+1) \log 2 + \log (n)^{1/l-\epsilon} - \log \bar{K},$$

$$= \left(\frac{r}{2r' R} \right)^{l-\epsilon} \log 2 + \log \left(\frac{r}{r' R} \right) - \log \bar{K},$$

$$\log \log M(r, H) > (l-\epsilon) \log \left(\frac{r}{2r' R} \right) [1 + o(1)] \quad , \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

or

$$\frac{\log \log M(r, H)}{\log r} > (l-\epsilon) \frac{\log \left(\frac{r}{2r' R} \right)}{\log r} [1 + o(1)] \quad , \text{ as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

Proceeding to limits we get

$$(2.18) \quad \lambda(2,1) \geq l(2,1).$$

For $(p, q) = (2, 2)$ we observe that $1 \leq l < \infty$ and choose

$$\frac{r}{r' R} = \exp [2(n)^{1/l-\epsilon}].$$

Proceeding as above, from (2.17) we get

$$\log M(r, H) > n^{\frac{l-\epsilon+1}{l-\epsilon}} + 2n^{1/l-\epsilon} - \log \bar{K} \\ = \frac{1}{2^{l-\epsilon+1}} \left(\log \left(\frac{r}{r' R} \right) \right)^{l-\epsilon+1} + \log \left(\frac{r}{r' R} \right) - \log \bar{K}$$

which gives

$$\frac{\log \log M(r, H)}{\log \log r} > (l-\epsilon+1) \frac{\log \log (r/r' R)}{\log \log r} + o(1), \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proceeding to limits we get

$$(2.19) \quad \lambda(2,2) \geq l(2,2) + 1$$

For $p = q \geq 3$,

Choosing

$$\frac{r}{r'R} = 2 \exp^{[q-1]} (\log^{[p-2]} n)^{1/p - \epsilon}.$$

By (2.17) we have

$$\log M(r, H) > \exp^{[q-2]} (\log^{[p-2]} n)^{1/p - \epsilon} + (n+1) \log 2 - \log \bar{K}.$$

$$= \log \left(\frac{r}{r'R} \right) + \{\exp^{[p-2]} (\log^{[q-1]} r/2)\}^{1/p - \epsilon} \log 2 - \log \bar{K}.$$

Therefore, for sufficiently large value of r ,

$$\log^{[p]} M(r, H) > (l - \epsilon) \log^{[q]} r/2 + O(1).$$

Since $\lambda \geq 1$ for $p = q$, this inequality gives, for $p = q \geq 3$

$$(2.20) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, H)}{\log^{[p]} r} \geq \max(1, l(p, p))$$

and $p > q$

$$\liminf_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log^{[p]} M(r, H)}{\log^{[q]} r} \geq l(p, q).$$

(2.18), (2.19) and (2.20) together prove $\lambda \geq P(l(p, q))$. It is obviously true if $l = 0$. If $l = \infty$, the above arguments can be repeated with an arbitrary large number in place of $(l - \epsilon)$ to give $\lambda = \infty$.

Next to prove reverse inequality, for $H \in H_R$, using Lemma 4, we get

$$M(r, H) \leq |a_{00}^{(1)}| + KK_0 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)^2 E_{n-1}(H, R) \left(\frac{r}{R_*} \right)^n,$$

for $R > R_*$.

$$= |a_{00}^{(1)}| + KK_0 M(r, h),$$

where

$h(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (2n+1)^2 E_{n-1}(H, R) \left(\frac{Z}{R_*} \right)^n$, since $h(z)$ is an entire function of a single complex variable z and $M(r, h) = \max_{|z|=r} |h(z)|$, applying the formula expressing the lower (p, q) -order λ of an entire function of a

single complex variable in terms of its Taylor coefficients to the function $h(z)$ we obtain,

$$\lambda(p, q) \equiv \text{lower } (p, q)\text{-order of } H \leq \text{lower } (p, q)\text{-order of } h(z) \equiv \lambda_1(p, q) \text{ say.}$$

It follows that

$$(2.21) \quad \lambda(p, q) \leq P(l(p, q)).$$

This completes proof of Theorem 2.

REFERENCES

1. Fryant, A. J., *Growth and complete sequences of generalized axisymmetric potentials*, J. Approx. Theory, **19** (1977), 361–370.
2. Fryant, A. J., *Growth of entire harmonic functions in \mathbb{R}^3* , J. Math. Anal. Appl., **66** (1978), 599–605.
3. Gilbert, R. P., *Function theoretic methods in partial differential equations*, Academic Press, New York, 1969.
4. Juneja, O. P., Kapoor, G. P. and Bajpai, S. K., *On the (p, q) -order and lower (p, q) -order of an entire function*, J. reine angew. Math., **282** (1976), 53–57.
5. Juneja, O. P., Kapoor, G. P. and Bajpai, S. K., *On the (p, q) -type and lower (p, q) -type of an entire function*, J. reine angew. Math., **290** (1977), 180–190.
6. Kapoor, G. P. and Nautiyal, A., *Approximation of entire harmonic functions in \mathbb{R}^3* , Indian J. pure appl. Math., **13** (1982), 1024–1030.

Received 15.IX.1990

D. Kumar
Department of Mathematics
University of Roorkee,
Roorkee-247667 (U.P.), India

G. S. Srivastava
Department of Mathematics
University of Roorkee
Roorkee-247667 (U.P.), India

H. S. Kasana
Department of Mathematics
Uppsala University
Thunbergsvagen 3, S-75238 Uppsala
Sweden