# REVUE D'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET DE THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION Tome 23, N° 1, 1994, pp. 63-69

# BEST APPROXIMATION IN SPACES OF BOUNDED VECTOR-VALUED SEQUENCES

tope of the world when the property of an extrement that is realistic will be be the second transfer of the second

Ş. COBZAŞ (Cluj – Napoca)

## - and remaining the second of the second of

Le X be a normed space and Y a non-void subset of X. For  $x \in X$  put  $d(x, Y) = \inf \{ ||x - y|| : y \in Y \}$  — the distance from x to Y, and let

$$P_{Y}(x) = \{ y \in Y : \|x - y\| = d(x, Y) \},$$
(1)
$$P_{Y}(x) = \{ y \in Y : \|x - y\| = d(x, Y) \},$$

be the set of the elements of best approximation of x by elements in Y. The set Y is called proximinal if  $P_Y(x) = \emptyset$ , for all  $x \in X$ , Chebyshevian if  $P_Y(x)$  is a singleton, for all  $x \in X$ , and antiproximinal if  $P_Y(x) = \emptyset$ , for all  $x \in X \setminus Y$ . The term antiproximinal was proposed by M. Edelstein and A. C. Thompson [8]. I. Singer [16] called such set a very non-proximinal set.

If Z is a subspace of X and Y a non-void bounded subset of X then the Chebyshev radius of Y with respect to Z is defined by

(2) 
$$\operatorname{rad}(Y, Z) = \inf_{z \in Z} \sup_{y \in Y} ||y - z||$$

An element  $z_0 \in Z$  such that  $\sup\{\|y-z_0\|: y \in Y\} = \operatorname{rad}(Y, Z)$  is called a Chebyshev center of Y with respect to Z. The (possible void) set of Chebyshev centers of the set Y with respect to Z is denoted by cent (Y, Z). For Z = X we write  $\operatorname{rad}(Y)$  instead of  $\operatorname{rad}(Y, Z)$  and  $\operatorname{cent}(Y)$  instead of  $\operatorname{cent}(Y, Z)$ . An element of  $\operatorname{cent}(Y)$  is called simply a Chebyshev center of Y and  $\operatorname{rad}(Y)$  is called the Chebyshev radius of Y. If  $z_0 \in \operatorname{cent}(Y, Z)$  then the closed ball with center  $z_0$  and radius rad (Y, Z) is the smallest ball (i.e. a closed ball of minimal radius) with center in Z and containing the set Y.

The aim of this paper is to study the problem of best approximation in the space  $l^{\infty}(E)$  of all bounded vector-valued sequences by elements in various subspaces of convergent sequences.

For a Banach space  $E \neq \{0\}$  denote by  $l^{\infty}(E)$  the Banach space of all bounded sequences  $x: N \to E$ ,  $N = \{1, 2, \ldots\}$ , equipped with the sup-norm, i.e.

(3) 
$$||x|| = \sup \{||x(n)|| : n \in N\},$$
 for  $x \in l^{\infty}(E)$ .

Let c(E) be the subspace of  $l^{\infty}(E)$  formed of all convergent sequences,  $c_0(E)$  — the subspace of all sequences converging to  $0 \in E$  and let  $c_1(E)$ denote the subspace of all sequences  $y \in l^{\infty}(E)$  such that there exists the limit  $\|y(n)\|$ . Because  $\lim_{n \to \infty} y(n) = z$  implies  $\lim_{n \to \infty} \|y(n)\| = \|z\|$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} y(n) = 0$  if and only if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||y(n)|| = 0$ , it follows that  $c_0(E) \subseteq c(E) \subseteq$ #-+00  $\subseteq c_i(E)$ .

Equipped with the induced norms (i.e. the sup-norms), all these subspaces are closed in  $l^{\infty}(E)$  and therefore they are Banach spaces too. In the case of scalar sequences, i.e. for E = R or E = C, these spaces are denoted simply by  $l^{\infty}$ , c,  $c_0$  and  $c_1$ , respectively.

The spaces  $c_0$  and c are relevant in many problems of best approximation. For instance, they contain non-void closed convex bounded antiproximinal bodies (see [8] or [5-7]). Also, there are many papers dealing with best approximation in spaces of bounded or continuous vectorvalued functions (see, e.g. [1], [2], [12], [14]).

The aim of this paper is to prove the proximinality of the subspaces  $c_0(E)$ ,  $c_1(E)$  and  $c(R^m)$  in  $l^{\infty}(E)$ , respectively in  $l^{\infty}(R^m)$ , giving explicit formulae for the distances and for the elements of best approximation. Also we show that these subspaces are not Chebyshev subspaces of  $l^{\infty}(E)$ , respectively of  $l^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ .

### 2. MAIN RESULTS

The main results of this paper are contained in the following theorem:

THEOREM 2.1. The subspaces  $c_0(E)$  and  $c_1(E)$  are proximinal in the Banach space  $l^{\infty}(E)$ , for an arbitrary Banach space  $E \neq \{0\}$ . Also,  $c(\mathbb{R}^m)$  is proximinal in loo(Rm), for Rm endowed with an arbitrary norm. For an element  $x \in l^{\infty}(E)$  (respectively in  $l^{\infty}(R^m)$ ), the distances to these subspaces are given by the following formulae:

- a)  $d(x, c_0(E)) = \lim \sup_n ||x(n)||;$
- b)  $d(x, c_1(E)) = 2^{-1} (\limsup_{n \to \infty} ||x(n)|| \liminf_{n \to \infty} ||x(n)||);$
- c)  $d(x, c(R^m)) = \delta$ , where  $\delta$  is the Chebyshev radius of the set of limit points of the sequence  $x = (x(n)) \in l^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)$ .

*Proof.* a). Let  $x \in l^{\infty}(E) \setminus c_0(E)$  and let  $d = \lim \sup_n ||x(n)||$ . Then d > 0 and we will show that  $||x - y|| \ge d$ , for all  $y \in c_0(E)$ .

Let  $y \in c_0(E)$ . By the definition of  $\lim \sup$  there exists a subsequence  $(x(n_k))$  of (x(n)) such that  $\lim ||x(n_k)|| = d$ .

Then  $\lim \left(\|x(n_k)\| - \|y(n_k)\|\right) = d$ , and

 $||x - y|| = \sup \{||x(n) - y(n)|| : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \ge \sup \{||x(n_k)|| - ||y(n_k)|| : k \in \mathbb{N}\} \ge d.$ 

Now, let  $\Gamma = \{n \in N : ||x(n)|| > d\}$  and define  $y_0 : N \to E$  by

$$y_0(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{\parallel x(n) \parallel - d}{\parallel x(n) \parallel} \cdot x(n) & \text{for } n \in \Gamma \\ 0 & \text{for } n \in N \setminus \Gamma. \end{cases}$$

We have to show that  $y_0 \in c_0(E)$ , i.e.  $\lim y_0(n) = 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then the set  $\Gamma_{\varepsilon} = \{n \in N : \|x(n)\| \ge d + \varepsilon\}$  is finite and contained in  $\Gamma$ . It follows that  $\|y_0(n)\| = \|\|x(n)\| - d\| < \varepsilon$  for  $n \in \Gamma \setminus \Gamma_\varepsilon$  and  $y_0(n) = 0$  in rest, implying  $\lim y_0(n) = 0$ .

Also,  $||x(n) - y_0(n)|| = d$ , for  $n \in \Gamma$ , and  $||x(n) - y_0(n)|| = d$  $\|x(n)\| \le d$ , for  $n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \Gamma$ , implying  $\|x - y_0\| \le d$ . As  $\|x - y\| \ge d$ , for all  $y \in c_0(E)$ , it follows  $||x - y_0|| = d = d(x, c_0(E))$ , i.e.  $y_0 \in P_{c_0(E)}(x)$ .

Since  $P_{c_0(E)}(x) = \{x\}$ , for all  $x \in c_0(E)$ , it follows that  $c_0(E)$  is a proximinal subspace of  $l^{\infty}(E)$  and the distance from an element  $x \in l^{\infty}(E)$ to  $c_0(E)$  is given by the formula a).

b). Consider now the subspace  $c_1(E)$  of  $l^{\infty}(E)$  and let  $x \in l^{\infty}(E) \setminus c_1(E)$ . Put  $\delta_1 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf_n \|x(n)\|$ ,  $\delta_2 = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_n \|x(n)\|$ ,  $\xi = 2^{-1}(\delta_1 + \delta_2)$  and  $\delta = 2^{-1}(\delta_2 - \delta_1)$ . Then  $\delta = \xi - \delta_1 = \delta_2 - \xi$ .

First, we show that  $||x-y|| \le \delta$ , for all  $y \in c_1(E)$ . Let  $y \in c_1(E)$  and let  $\lambda = \lim \|y(n)\|$ . As  $x \notin c_1(E)$  it follows  $0 \leqslant \delta_1 < \delta_2$ ,  $\xi > 0$  and  $\delta > 0$ . By the definitions of lim inf and lim sup there exist two strictly increasing sequences  $(n_k^i)$  of natural numbers such that  $\lim \|x(n_k^i)\| = \delta_i$ , i = 1, 2.

 $\geq \lambda - \delta_1 \geq \xi - \delta_1 = \delta$ .

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{If } \lambda \leqslant \xi \text{ then lim } (\|x(n_k^2)\| - \|y(n_k^2)\|) = \delta_2 - \lambda \text{ and } \|x - y\| \geqslant \\ \geqslant \sup \left\{ \|x(n_k^2)\| - \|y(n_k^2)\| : k \in N \right\} \geqslant \delta_2 - \lambda \geqslant \delta_2 - \xi = \delta. \end{array}$ 

Now, we intend to define an element  $y_0 \in c_1(E)$  such that  $||x - y_0|| =$  $=\delta$ , which will imply  $y_0 \in P_{c_1(E)}(x)$  and  $d(x, c_1(E)) = \delta$ . To this end we have to consider several cases.

Consider the set  $\Lambda_1 = \{n \in N : 0 < \|x(n)\| < \delta_1\}$  and  $\Lambda_2 = \{n \in N : \|x(n)\| > \delta_2\}$ . If  $\Lambda_1$  is infinite then writing it as  $\{n_k^1 : k \in N\}$ , with  $\{n_k^1\}$ strictly increasing, it follows  $\lim \|x(n_k^1)\| = \delta_1$ . Similarly, if  $\Lambda_2 = \{n_k^2 : k \in$  $\{ \in N \}$  is infinite then  $\lim \|x(n_k^n)\| = \delta_2$ .

Let  $\delta_1 > 0$ . If both of the sets  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are infinite then define  $y_0: N \to E$  by

(5) 
$$y_0(n_k^i) = x(n_k^i) + \frac{\xi - \delta_i}{x(n_k^i)} \cdot x(n_k^i),$$

for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and i = 1,2. În rest define  $y_0$  by

(6) 
$$y_0(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{\xi}{\|x(n)\|} \cdot x(n) & \text{for } \delta_1 \leqslant \|x(n)\| \leqslant \delta_2, \\ x(n) & \text{for } x(n) = 0. \end{cases}$$

If  $\Lambda_2$  is infinite and  $\Lambda_1$  is finite, then define  $y_0(n_k^2)$  by (5) and

(7) 
$$y_0(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{\xi}{\|x(n)\|} \cdot x(n) & \text{for } \delta_1 \leqslant \|x(n)\| \leqslant \delta_2 \\ x(n) & \text{for } \|x(n)\| < \delta_1 \end{cases}$$

If  $\Lambda_1$  is infinite and  $\Lambda_2$  is finite then define  $y_0(n_k^1)$  by (5) and

(8) 
$$y_0(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{\xi}{\|x(n)\|} \cdot x(n) & \text{for } \delta_1 \leqslant \|x(n)\| \leqslant \delta_2, \\ x(n) & \text{for } \delta_1 < \|x(n)\| \text{ or } x(n) = 0 \end{cases}$$

In this case the set  $\{n \in N : x(n) = 0\}$  is also finite because  $\delta_1 > 0$ . If both of the sets  $\Lambda_1$  and  $\Lambda_2$  are finite, then there exists a strictly increasing sequence  $(n_k^3)$  of natural numbers such that  $\lim ||x(n_k^3)|| = \delta_3$ and  $\xi < ||x(n_k^3)|| \le \delta_2$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this case define  $y_0(n_k^3)$  by (5) (with  $n_k^3$  instead of  $n_k^i$  and  $\delta_2$  instead of  $\delta_i$ ), and

$$(9) \qquad y_0(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{\xi}{\parallel x(n) \parallel} \cdot x(n) & \text{for} \quad \delta_1 \leqslant \parallel x(n) \parallel \leqslant \delta_2, \ n \in N \setminus \Lambda_3 \\ x(n) & \text{for} \quad \parallel x(n) \parallel < \delta_1 \text{ or } \parallel x(n) \parallel > \delta_2, \end{cases}$$
where  $\Lambda_n = \{n_n^3 : k \in N\}.$ 

where  $\Lambda_{3} = \{n_{k}^{3} : k \in N\}.$ 

instead of  $\delta_i$ ) and

In the case  $\delta_1 = 0$  and  $\Lambda_2$  infinite define  $y_0(n_k^2)$  by (5) and

$$y_0(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{\xi}{\parallel x(n) \parallel} \cdot x(n) & \text{for} \quad 0 < \parallel x(n) \parallel \leqslant \delta_2, \\ z & \text{for} \quad x(n) = 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $z \in E$  is such that  $||z|| = \xi$  (such an element exists because we have supposed  $E \neq \{0\}$ ).

Finally, if  $\delta_1 = 0$  and  $\Lambda_2$  is finite then there exists a subsequence  $(x(n_k^4))$  of (x(n)) such that  $\lim \|x(n_k^4)\| = \delta_2$  and  $\xi < \|x(n_k^4)\| \le \delta_2$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this case define  $y_0(n_k^4)$  by (5) (with  $n_k^4$  instead of  $n_k^i$  and  $\delta_2$ 

 $(11) \quad y_0(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{\xi}{\|x(n)\|} \cdot x(n) & \text{for} \quad 0 < \|x(n)\| \leqslant \delta_2, & n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \Lambda_4, \\ x(n) & \text{for} \quad \|x(n)\| > \delta_2, \\ z & \text{for} \quad x(n) = 0 \end{cases}$ 

where  $\Lambda_4 = \{n_k^4 : k \in N\}$  and  $z \in E$  is again such that  $||z|| = \xi$ .

Then  $\lim_{\substack{k \to \infty \\ \xi}} \|y_0(n_k^j)\| = \lim_{\substack{k \to \infty}} \|x(n_k^j)\| + \xi - \delta_i| = \xi, \quad j = 1, 2, 3, 4,$ 

and  $y_0(n) = \frac{\xi}{1-x^2} \cdot x(n)$  implies  $||y_0(n)|| = \xi$ . Also if  $y_0(n) = z$  we have

 $||y_0(n)|| = ||z|| = \xi$ . It follows that in all of the considered cases  $\lim ||y_0(n)||$  $= \xi$ , i.e.  $y_0 \in c_1(E)$ .

Also  $||y_0(n_k^j) - x(n_k^j)|| = |\xi - \delta_i| = \delta$  if  $y_0(n_k^j)$  is defined by (5). If  $y_0(n) = \frac{\xi}{\|x(n)\|} \cdot x(n)$  then  $\|x(n) - y_0(n)\| = \|\xi - \|x(n)\|\| \le \delta$ . In

the case  $\delta_1 = 0$  and x(n) = 0 we have  $y_0(n) = z$  and  $||x(n) - y_0(n)|| = z$  $=\xi=\delta$ .

It follows that in all of the considered cases  $||x-y_0|| \le \delta$  and, taking into account the fact that  $||x-y|| \ge \delta$  for all  $y \in c_1(E)$ , it follows  $||x - y_0|| = \delta = d(x, c_1(E)) \text{ and } y_0 \in P_{c_1(E)}(x).$ 

Since  $P_{c_1(E)}(x) = \{x\}$ , for all  $x \in c_1(E)$ , it follows that  $c_1(E)$  is a proximinal subspace of  $l^{\infty}(E)$  and the distance from  $x \in l^{\infty}(E)$  to  $c_1(E)$  is gi-

c) Let  $E=R^m$  be endowed with an arbitrary norm or, equivalently, let E be an m-dimensional Banach space. For  $x \in l^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m)$   $c(\mathbb{R}^m)$  denote by  $A_x$  the set of all limit points of the sequence (x(n)), i.e.  $\lambda \in A_x$  if and only if there exists a subsequence  $(x(n_k))_{k>1}$  of (x(n)) converging to  $\lambda$ . Because (x(n)) is a bounded sequence in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  it follows that  $A_x \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\xi$  be a Chebyshev center of the set  $A_x$  and  $\delta$  its Chebyshev radius. As  $x \notin c(\mathbb{R}^m)$ there follows  $\delta > 0$ . A. L. Garkavi [9] proved that if E is a conjugate Banach space, then every non-void bounded subset of E has a Chebyshev center. In particular this is true for the reflexive Banach space  $R^m$ .

Again, we shall show first that  $||x-y|| \le \delta$ , for all  $y \in c(\mathbb{R}^m)$ . For  $y \in c(R^m)$  denote  $\eta = \lim y(n) \in R^m$  and suppose that there exists  $\varepsilon, 0 < \varepsilon < \infty$  $<\delta$  such that  $\|x-y\|=\delta-\varepsilon$ . Choose  $n_0\in N$  such that  $\|y(n)-\eta\|<$ 

$$< \varepsilon/2, \text{ for all } n \ge n_0. \text{ It follows}$$
 
$$||x(n) - \eta|| \le x(n) - y(n) || + ||y(n) - \eta|| < \delta - \varepsilon + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \delta - \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

for all  $n \leq n_0$ . This inequality implies that the set  $A_x$  is contained in the closed ball of center  $\eta$  and radius  $\delta - \varepsilon/2$ , in contradiction to the hypothesis that its Chebyshev radius is  $\delta$ . Therefore  $||x-y|| \ge \delta$ .

Now, define the sequence  $y_0: N \to R^m$  by

(12) 
$$y_0(n) = \begin{cases} x(n) - \frac{\delta}{\|x(n) - \xi\|} \cdot (x(n) - \xi) & \text{for } \|x(n) - \xi\| > \delta, \\ \xi & \text{for } \|x(n) - \xi\| \leq \delta. \end{cases}$$
We have to show that

We have to show that  $y_0 \in c(\mathbb{R}^m)$ . For every  $\varepsilon > 0$  the set  $\{n \in N : ||x(n) - \xi|| \ge \delta + \varepsilon\}$  is finite, for if contrary, the sequence (x(n))would have a limit point  $\lambda \in A_x$  verifying  $\|\lambda - \xi\| \ge \delta + \varepsilon$  in contradiction to the hypothesis that  $\xi$  is a Chebyshev center of  $A_x$  and  $\delta$  its Chebys-

$$\|y(n)-\xi\|=\|x(n)-\xi\|-\delta\|$$

excepting a finite set of natural numbers n, so that  $\lim y_0(n) = \xi$ , implying that  $y_0 \in c(\mathbb{R}^m)$ .

Also,  $||x(n) - y_0(n)|| = \delta$  in the first case of the formula (12) and  $\|x(n)-y_0(n)\|=\|x(n)-\xi\|\leqslant \delta,$  in the second one. Therefore  $||x-y_0|| \le \delta$  and, since  $||x-y|| \ge \delta$  for all  $y \in c(\mathbb{R}^m)$ , it follows that  $||x-y_0||=\delta=d(x,c(R^m)) \text{ and } y_0\in P_{c(R^m)}(x).$ 

Again, for  $x \in c(E^m)$  we have  $P_{c(R^m)}(x) = \{x\}$ , proving the proximinality of the subspace  $c(R^m)$  in  $l^{\infty}(R^m)$  and the validity of the for-

#### 3. REMARKS draw (at present of perform more ingression tasks horse

1° We have shown that the spaces  $c_0(E)$ ,  $c_1(E)$  and  $c(R^m)$  are proximinal in  $l^{\infty}(E)$ , respectively in  $l^{\infty}(R^m)$ . Now we shall show that no one of these subspaces is a Chebyshev subspace.

Consider first the case of the space  $c_0(E)$ . For  $x \in l^{\infty}(E) \setminus c_0(E)$ , we have  $d = \limsup ||x(n)|| > 0$ , so that there exists a subsequence  $(x(n_k))$ of (x(n)) such that  $\lim ||x(n_k)|| = d$  and,  $||x(n_k)|| > 0$ , for all  $k \in N$ . Now for  $p \in N$  define  $y_p : N \to E$  by  $y_p(n_k) = x(n_k), k = 1, 2, \ldots, p$ , and  $y_p(n) = y_0(n)$  in rest. Then, for  $1 \le k \le p$ ,  $||y_p(n_k) - y_0(n_k)|| = d > 0$ if  $y_0(n_k) = \frac{\|x(n_k\| - d) \cdot x(n_k)\|}{\|x(n_k)\|} \cdot x(n_k)$  and  $\|y_p(n_k) - y_0(n_k)\| = \|y_n(n_k)\| = \|x(n_k)\| > 0$ if  $y_0(n_k) = 0$  (see formula (4) for the definition of  $y_0$ ). It follows that  $y_p \in c_0(E), \ y_p \neq y_0 \ \text{and} \ \|x - y_p\| = d = d(x, c_0(E)), \text{ showing that } y_0$  $y_p \in \bar{P}_{c_p(E)}(x)$ .

Now let  $x \in l^{\infty}(E) \setminus c_i(E)$  and let  $\Lambda_i = \{n_k^j : k \in N\}, j = 1, 2, 3, 4$  be the sets of the strictly increasing sequences of natural numbers, considered in the proof of the point b) of Theorem 2.1. Then, in all of the considered cases, there exist  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  and  $i \in \{1, 2\}$  such that

$$y_0(n_k^j) = x(n_k^j) + \frac{\xi - \delta_i}{\|x(n_k^j)\|} \cdot x(n_k^j),$$

for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

For  $p \in N$  define  $y_p: N \to E$  by  $y_p(n_k^j) = x(n_k^j)$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, p$ , and  $y_p(n) = y_0(n)$  in rest. It follows  $\lim \|y_p(n)\| = \lim \|y_0(n)\| = \xi$  and  $\|y_p(n_k^j) - x(n_k^j)\| = 0$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, p$ , and  $\|y_p(n) - x(n)\| = 0$  $= \|y_0(n) - x(n)\| \le \delta$  in rest, showing that  $d(y_p, c_1(E)) = \delta$  and  $y_p \in$  $y_{n}(x) \in P_{c_1(E)}(x)$ . Since  $||y_n(n_k^j) - y_0(n_k^j)|| = ||x(n_k^j)|| > 0$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, p$ , it follows that  $y_p \neq y_0$ .

Finally, let  $x \in l^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^m) \setminus c(\mathbb{R}^m)$ . If the set  $\Lambda = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : ||x(n) - \xi|| > \delta\}$ is infinite, then there exists a subsequence  $(x(n_k))$  of (x(n)) with  $n_k \in \Lambda$ , for all  $k \in N$ . For  $p \in N$  define  $y_p : \hat{N} \to R^m$  by  $y_p(n_k) = x(n_k)$ , for k = 1 $=1,2,\ldots,p,$  and  $y_p(n)=y_0(n)$  in rest (see formula (12) for the definition of  $y_0$ . Then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} y_n(n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} y_0(n) = \xi$ ,  $||y_n(n_k) - x(n_k)|| = 0$ , for  $k = 1, 2, \ldots, p, \text{ and } ||y_p(n) - x(n)|| = ||y_0(n) - x(n)|| \le \delta \text{ in rest, sho-}$ wing that  $d(y_p, c(\mathbb{R}^m)) = \delta$  and  $y_p \in P_{c(\mathbb{R}^m)}(x)$ . Also  $||y_p(n_k) - y_0(n_k)|| =$  $=\delta > 0$ , for  $k=1,2,\ldots,p$ , showing that  $y_p \neq y_0$ .

Suppose now that the set  $\Lambda = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : ||x(n) - \xi|| > \delta\}$  is finite. Since  $x \notin c(\mathbb{R}^m)$  it follows that there exist  $\varepsilon_0$ ,  $0 < \varepsilon_0 < \delta$  such that the set  $\{n \in N : \varepsilon_0 < ||x(n) - \xi|| \le \delta\}$  is also infinite. Therefore, there exists a subsequence  $(x(n_k))$  of (x(n)) verifying  $\varepsilon_0 < ||x(n_k) - \xi|| \le \delta$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define now, for  $p \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $y_p : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}^m$  by  $y_p(n_k) = x(n_k)$ , for k = 1 $=1,2,\ldots,p$  and  $y_p(n)=y_0(n)$  in rest. It follows that  $\lim y_p(n)=$  $=\lim_{n\to\infty} y_0(n) = \xi, \ \|y_x(n_k) - x(n_k)\| = 0, \quad \text{for} \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, p,$  and  $||y_p(n) - x(n)|| = ||y_p(n) - x(n)|| \le \delta$ , in rest, showing that  $d(y_p, c(\mathbb{R}^m)) =$ 

=  $\delta$  and  $y_p P_{c(R^m)} \in (x)$ . Taking into account formula (12) we obtain  $||y_p(n_k) - y_0(n_k)|| = ||x(n_k) - \xi|| > \varepsilon_0 > 0$ , showing that  $y_p \neq y_0$ .

2° Although, co is a proximinal subspace of lo there are no continuous linear projections of  $l^{\infty}$  onto  $c_0$  (see [17]), i.e. the metric projection operator  $P_{c_0}: l^{\infty} \rightarrow 2^{c_0}$  admits no continuous linear selection.

 $3^{\circ}$  In the case E=R the formulae a), b), c) from Theorem 2,1 take the following form:

Corollary 3.1. Let  $c_0$ ,  $c_1$ , c,  $l^{\infty}$  be the corresponding spaces for E = R. Then, for  $x \in l^{\infty}$  we have:

- a)  $d(x, e_0) = \limsup |x(n)|$ ,
- b)  $d(x, c_1) = 2^{-1} (\lim \sup |x(n)| \lim \inf |x(n)|),$
- c)  $d(x, c) = 2^{-1}$  |lim sup  $x(n) \lim_{n \to \infty} \inf |x(n)|$

### REFERENCES

1. Amir, D. and Deutsch, F., Approximation by certain subspaces in the Banach space of centinuous vector valued function, J. Approx. Theory, 27 (1979), 254-270.

2. Buck, R. C., Approximation properties of vector valued functions, Pacific. J. Math., 53

3. Chiacchio, A. O., Best approximation by elements of vector subspaces of  $C_b(X, E)$ , Preprint No. 312, Univ. Estadual de Campinas — Sao Paulo, Brasil (1985), 8 pp.

-, Chebyshev centers in space of vector-valued continuous functions, Ibid. Preprint No. 313 (1985), 12 pp.

5. Cohzas, S., Antiproximinal sets in some Banach spaces, Mathematica Balkanica & (1974),

-, Convex antiproximinal sets in the spaces co and c, Mat. Zametki (Moscow) 17 (1975), 449-457 (in Russian).

7. Cobzas, S., Antiproximinal sets in Banach spaces of co-type, Revue d'Analyse Nun érique et de Théorie de l'Approximation, 7 (1976), 141-145.

8. Edelstein, M. and Thompson, A. C., Some results on nearest points and support properties of convex sets in co, Pacific J. Math., 40 (1972), 553-560. 9. Garkavi, A. L., On the existence of the best net in a Banach space, Uspekhi Mat. Nauk,

-, On the best net and the best cross-secant of a set in a normed space, Izvestija Akad.

Nauk SSSR, Ser. Matem. 26 (1962), 87-100. -, On the relative Chebyshev center of a compact set of continuous functions, Mat. Zametki (Moscow), 4 (1973), 469-478.

12. Lau, K. S., Approximation by continuous vector valued functions, Studia Math., 68 (1980),

13. Olech, C., Approximation of set-valued functions by continuous functions, Colleg. Math., 18

14. Roversi Marconi, M. S., Best approximation of bounded functions by continuous functions, J. Approx. Theory, 41 (1984), 135-148.

15. Singer, I., Best approximation in normed linear spaces by elements of linear subspaces, Editura Academiei and Springer-Verlag, Bucharest and Berlin-Heidelberg - New York, 1970.

-, The theory of best approximation and functional analysis, CEMS, Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics, SIAM, Philadelphia, Pensylvania, USA, 1974

17. Whitley, R., Projecting m onto co, Amer. Math. Monthly, 73 (1866), 285-286.

Received 1 X 1993

Babeş-Bolyai University Department of Mathematics and Informatics Str. M. Kogălniceanu 1, 3400 Cluj-Napoca Romania