### and the first of the M. Sawyer's and the control of the said- and the disco ON THE SELECTIONS ASSOCIATED TO THE METRIC PROJECTION The state of the second state seems of the second s

A la la remindra deliberação M. e. Chi. A ex M. rel desir Ojesso anço of Address a minimum salpha balking and property of the contragation

# COSTICĂ MUSTĂŢA (Cluj-Napoca)

# 1. INTRODUCTION

With the second of the second Let X be a real normed space and M a closed subspace of X. The distance from a point  $x \in X$  to M is defined by

(1) 
$$d(x, M) := \inf\{\|x - y\| : y \in M\}.$$

(2) 
$$P_{\mathcal{M}}(x) := \{ y \in M : ||x - y|| = d(x, M) \}$$

be the set of elements of best approximation for x in M. The subspace Mis called proximinal (Chebyshevian) if  $P_{M}(x) \neq \emptyset$  (respectively  $P_{M}(x)$  is a singleton), for all  $x \in X$ . If M is a proximinal subspace of X, the multivalued operator  $P_M: X \to 2^M$  is called the metric projection of X onto M.

An application p: X - M such that  $p(x) \in P_M(x)$ , for all  $x \in X$ , is called a selection for  $P_M$ .

The set

(3) 
$$\operatorname{Ker} P_{M} := \{x \in X : \theta \in P_{M}(x)\}$$

is called the kernel of the metric projection.

If K is a subset of X and M is proximinal (Chebyshevian) only for the elements of K, then the subspace M is called K-proximinal (respectively K-Chebyshevian).

The restriction of the metric projection  $P_{M}$  to K is denoted by  $P_{M/K}$ and

(4) 
$$\operatorname{Ker} P_{M/K} := \{x \in K : \theta \in P_{M}(x)\}$$

is called the kernel of the metric projection relative to K.

For two subsets U, V of X, their sum is defined by U + V := $=\{u+v:u\in U,v\in V\}$ . If every  $x\in U+V$  can be uniquely written in the form x=u+v, for  $u\in U$  and  $v\in V$ , then this sum is called the algebraic direct sum of the sets U and V and is denoted by U+V. If K= $=U\dotplus V$  and the application (u,v) o u+v is a topological homeomorphism between  $U \times V$  and K, then K is called the topological direct sum of the set U and V and is denoted by  $K = U \oplus V$ .

+ 3

#### MAIN THIE OR TEN

Obviously that for  $K \subset X$  and a K-proximinal subspace M of X, the properties of the selection associated to the metric projection  $P_{M/K}$ depend, on one side, on the properties of the subspace M and on the other side, on the properties of the set K.

F. Deutsch [1] characterized the proximinal subspace M of X for which the metric projection  $P_{\scriptscriptstyle M}$  admits a linear and continuous selection : namely,  $P_{\scriptscriptstyle M}$  admits a continuous linear selection if and only if Ker  $P_{\scriptscriptstyle M}$ contains a closed subspace complementary to M (see [1], Theorem 2.2).

The aim of this paper is to answer the following question: For a closed cone K in X and a K-proximinal subspace M of X when does the metric projection  $P_{M/K}$  admit a continuous, additive and positively homogeneous selection?

A cone is a nonvoid subset K of X such that: a)  $x + y \in K$ , for all  $x, y \in K_i$ ; b)  $\lambda x \in K$ , for all  $\lambda \ge 0$  and  $x \in K$ .

A partial answer to this question is suggested by the above quoted result of F. Deutsch and is given in the following:

THEOREM A. Let K be a closed cone in X and M a K-proximinal subspace of X. If the subspace M contains a closed cone U and  $\operatorname{Ker} P_{M/K}$ contains a closed cone C such that

$$\overset{(5)}{then} \ P \quad \text{admits a finite of } K = U \oplus C,$$

then  $P_{M/K}$  admits a positively homogeneous, additive and continuous selec-

Proof. Let U be a closed cone in M and C a closed cone in  $\operatorname{Ker} P_{M/K}$ such that  $K = U \oplus C$ .

Then, for  $h \in K$ , there exist uniquely determined elements  $u_h \in U$ and  $c_h \in C$  such that  $h = u_h + c_h$ .

Define the application  $q: K \to M$  by

$$q(h) = u_h, \quad h \in \mathcal{K}.$$

Since K is homeomorph to  $U \times C$  it follows that the application qis continuous.

Let  $h_1, h_2 \in K$  and  $u_{h_1}, u_{h_2} \in U$  and  $c_{h_1}, c_{h_2} \in C$  be such that  $h_1 =$  $=u_{h_1}+c_{h_2}, h_2=u_{h_2}+c_{h_2}.$  Then

$$q(h_1 + h_2) = u_{h_1} + u_{h_2} = q(h_1) + q(h_2),$$

showing that the application q is additive.

Also, for  $\lambda \geqslant 0$  and  $h \in K$  it follows  $\lambda h \in K$  and

$$q(\lambda h) = \lambda u_h = \lambda q(h),$$

showing that q is positively homogeneous.

ing that q is positively homogeneous.

The inclusion  $C \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} P_{M/K}$  implies that for  $h \in K$ ,  $h = u_h + c_{h'}$ ,  $U, c_h \in C$ , we have  $u_h = q(h) = q(u_h + c_h) = q(u_h) + q(c_h) = q(u_h)$  $u_h \in U, c_h \in C$ , we have

$$u_h = q(h) = q(u_h + c_h) = q(u_h) + q(c_h) = q(u_h)$$

and therefore the sale ball smaller in universal and it is some

$$\|h-q(h)\|=\|u_h+c_h-u_h\|=\|c_h\|=d(c_h,M).$$
 But

But

$$d(u'+c_h,M)=d(c_h,M),$$
 for every  $u'\in M,$  so that

for every  $u' \in M$ , so that

$$||h - q(h)|| = d(c_h, M) = d(u_h + c_h, M) = d(h, M),$$

which shows that q(h) is a best approximation element for h in M.

In conclusion, the application  $q:K\to M$  is an additive, positively homogeneous and continuous selection of  $P_{M/K}$ Traffig II be now man individual in a II , though

## APPLICATIONS

 $V_{2,1} = V_{1,1} V_{1,2} V_{1,1} = V_{1,2} V_{2,1} = V_{1,2} V_{2,2}$ 1° Let  $X := \text{Lip}_{\mathfrak{o}}[0,1]$  be the linear space

(6)  $\text{Lip}_0[0,1] := \{f | f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}, f \text{ is Lipschitz on } [0,1] \text{ and } f(0) = 0\}$ = 0}, with the Lipschitz norm

(7) 
$$||f||_{L} := \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{|x - y|} : x, y \in [0, 1], x \neq y \right\}.$$
 Let

(8) 
$$M := \{g \in \text{Lip}_0[0, 1] : g(1) = g(0) = 0\}$$

and

(9) 
$$K := \{ f \in \text{Lip}_0[0,1] : f(x) \ge 0, \text{ for all } x \in [0,1] \}.$$

Obviously that M is a K-proximinal closed subspace of  $\operatorname{Lip}_0[0,1]$  if  $f \in K$  then  $g_0 \in M$  is an element of best approximation for f if and only if  $g_0 = f - F$ , where F(x) = f(1)x,  $x \in [0, 1]$  ([4], Lemma 1).

In fact  $g_0 = f - F$ , with F given above, is a unique element of best approximation for f in M, which means that M is a K-Chebyshevian subspace of  $\text{Lip}_0[0, 1]$ . When return the Wisser or many artists in the

We have

$$||f - g_{\bullet}||_{L} = d(f, M) = \inf\{||f - g||_{L} : g \in M\} = f(1)$$

and

$$\operatorname{Ker} P_{M/K} = \{ f \in K : ||f||_L = f(1) \} =$$

$$= \{ h \in K : h(x) = \alpha x, x \in [0, 1], \alpha \ge 0 \}$$

In this case

$$C = \operatorname{Ker} P_{M/K}$$

and every function  $f \in K$  can be uniquely written in the form f = g + hwith  $g \in U$  and  $h \in C$ , where h(x) = f(1)x,  $x \in [0, 1]$  and

$$U = \{g \mid g(x) = f(x) - f(1)x, \ x \in [0, 1], \ f \in K\},\$$

$$C = \{h \mid h(x) = f(1)x, \ x \in [0, 1], \ f \in K\}.$$

Since M is K-Chebyshevian it follows that the metric projection operator  $P_{M/K}$  is one-valued, continuous, additive and positively homo-

2° For  $x_0 \in (0,1)$  fixed, consider the linear space

(10)  $X := \operatorname{Lip}_{x_0}[0,1] = \{f/f : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}, \text{ f is Lipschitz on } [0,1] \text{ and }$  $f(x_0)=0$ , with the Lipschitz norm (7). Let

(11) 
$$M := \{g \in \text{Lip}_{x_0}[0,1] : g(0) = g(x_0) = g(1) = 0\},$$

the annihilator in  $\operatorname{Lip}_{x_0}[0,1]$  of the set  $\{0,\,x_0,\,1\}$ , and

(12) 
$$K := \{ f \in \text{Lip}_{x_0}[0, 1] : f(x) \ge 0, \text{ for all } x \in [0, 1] \}.$$

Again, M is a K-proximinal subspace of  $\text{Lip}_{x_0}[0,1]$ . Indeed, for  $f \in K$  let

(13) 
$$E(f) = \{ F \in \text{Lip}_{x_0}[0, 1] : F|_{\{0, x_0, 1\}} = f|_{\{0, x_0, 1\}} \text{ and }$$

$$\|F\|_L = \max \left\{ \frac{f(0)}{x_0}, \frac{f(1)}{1 - x_0} \right\}$$

be the set of the extensions to [0, 1] of the function  $f|_{\{0, x_0, 1\}}$  which preserve the Lipschitz norm of f on  $\{0, x_0, 1\}$ . Then

(14) 
$$P_{M/K}(f) = f - E(f)$$
 and

$$\operatorname{Ker} P_{M/K} = \left\{ f \in K : \|f\|_{L} = \max \left\{ \frac{f(0)}{x_0}, \frac{f(1)}{1 - x_0} \right\} \right\}$$

(see [4], Lemma 1).

In this case the cone  $C \subset \operatorname{Ker} P_{M/K}$  is given by

(15) 
$$C := \{ h \in \text{Ker } P_{M/K} : h(x) = \alpha(x - x_0), \text{ for } x \in [0, x_0] \}$$

and 
$$h(x) = \beta(x - x_0), \text{ for } x \in (x_0, 1], \ \alpha \leq 0 \text{ and } \beta \geq 0\}.$$
Then every  $f \in X$ 

Then every  $f \in K$  can be uniquely written in the form  $f = u_f + c_f$ ,  $u_t \in U$  and  $c_t \in C$  where

(16) 
$$c_{f}(x) = -\frac{f(0)}{x_{0}} (x - x_{0}), \text{ for } x \in [0, x_{0}]$$

$$= \frac{f(1)}{1 - x_{0}} (x - x_{0}), \text{ for } x \in (x_{0}, 1],$$

and

(17) 
$$u_f(x) = f(x) + \frac{f(0)}{x_0} (x - x_0), \text{ for } x \in [0, x_0]$$
$$= f(x) - \frac{f(1)}{1 - x_0} (x - x_0), \text{ for } x \in [x_0, 1].$$

In fact

$$U:=\{u_f\in M\,|\, u_f ext{ defined by (17)},\, f\in K\} ext{ and }$$
  $C:=\{e_f\in K\,|\, e_f ext{ defined by (16)},\, f\in K\}.$ 

A continuous, additive and positively homogeneous selection for  $P_{M/K}$  is given by  $q(f) = u_f$ ,  $f \in K$ , a fact which can be immediately verified. Remarks. Theorem A gives only a sufficient condition for the existence of an additive, positively homogeneous and continuous selection

Simple examples show that this condition is not necessary for the existence of a selection with the above-mentioned properties.

Let  $X = \mathbb{R}^2$  endowed with the Euclidean norm and let

$$K := \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : y = 2x, \ x \geqslant 0\}$$

and

$$M:=\{(x,0):x\in\mathbb{R}\}.$$

Then, obviously, the subspace M is K-Chebyshevian and Ker  $P_{M/K} = \{(0, 0)\}.$ 

In this case  $P_{M/K}$  is a continuous, positively homogeneous and additive application from K to M, but K does not admit any decomposition

#### REFERENCES

- 1. Deutsch, F., Linear Selections for the Metric Projection, J. Funct. Analysis 49 (1982) 269-292. 2. Deutsch, F., Wu Li, Sung-Ho Park, Tietze Extensions and Continuous Selections for Metric
- 3. Mustăța, C., Selections Associated to the Mc Shane's Extension Theorem for Lipschilz Functions, Revue d'Anal. Numér et de Théorie de l'Approx. 21 (2) (1992) 135-145.
- 4. Mustăța, C., Best Approximation and Unique Extension of Lipschitz Functions, J.A.T. 19
- 5. Mustăla, C., A Characterization of Chebyshevian Subspace of Y1 type, Mathematica Revue d'Analyse Numer, et de Théorie de l'Approx., 6 (1) (1977) 51-56.
- 6. Singer, 1., Best Approximation in Vector Normed Spaces by Elements of Vector Subspaces (in Romanian), Ed. Acad., București, 1967.

Received 8 X 1993

Institutul de Calcul Oficial Postal 1 C. P. 68 3400 Cluj-Napoca România