

ON THE METRIC PROJECTION
AND THE QUOTIENT MAPPING

COSTICĂ MUSTĂŢA

(Cluj-Napoca)

1. INTRODUCTION

For a linear space Z and a nonvoid set U denote by Z^U the linear space (with respect to the pointwise operations of addition and multiplication by real scalars) of all applications from U to Z .

Let Y, X be two nonvoid sets such that $Y \subseteq X$ and let $(N_Y, \|\cdot\|_Y)$ and $(N_X, \|\cdot\|_X)$ be two normed spaces contained in Z^Y and Z^X respectively. Suppose that for every $F \in N_X$ the restriction $F|_Y$ of F to Y belongs to N_Y .

DEFINITION 1. We say that the norms $\|\cdot\|_Y$ and $\|\cdot\|_X$ are compatible if

$$(1) \quad \|F|_Y\|_Y \leq \|F\|_X$$

for every $F \in N_X$.

In the following the norms $\|\cdot\|_Y$ and $\|\cdot\|_X$ will be supposed always compatible.

A nonvoid subset K of a normed space $(X, \|\cdot\|)$ is called a *cone* if:

a) $u+v \in K$, and

b) $\lambda \cdot u \in K$,

for all $u, v \in K$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, $\lambda \geq 0$.

DEFINITION 2. Let K_Y and K_X be two cones in the linear spaces N_Y and N_X respectively. We say that the cone K_Y has the norm preserving extension ((NPE) in short) property with respect to K_X if $F|_Y \in K_Y$, for every $F \in K_X$ and every $f \in K_Y$ has a norm preserving extension $F \in K_X$ (i.e. $F|_Y = f$ and $\|F\|_X = \|f\|_Y$).

If K_Y has the (NPE)-property with respect to K_X let

$$(2) \quad \mathcal{E}(f) := \{F \in K_X : F|_Y = f \text{ and } \|F\|_X = \|f\|_Y\}$$

denote the set of all (NPE) extensions of the function $f \in K_Y$.
Let also

$$(3) \quad M_X := K_X - K_X$$

denote the linear subspace of N_X generated by the cone K_X and let

$$(4) \quad Y^\perp := \{G \in M_X : G|_Y = 0\}$$

be the annihilator of the set Y in the linear space M_X (remember that we have supposed $Y \subseteq X$).

Obviously that Y^\perp is a closed linear subspace of M_X .

A linear subspace V of normed space U is called *proximal* if for every $u \in U$ there exists $v_0 \in V$ such that

$$\|u - v_0\| = d(u, V) := \inf\{\|u - v\| : v \in V\}.$$

The set

$$P_V(u) := \{v \in V : \|u - v\| = d(u, V)\}$$

is called the set of elements of best approximation for u by elements in V .

If $P_V(w) \neq \emptyset$ for all w in a subset W of U then the subspace V is called *W-proximal*.

THEOREM 1. *If the cone K_Y has the (NPE)-property with respect to the cone K_X then:*

(a) *The equality*

$$(5) \quad d(F, Y^\perp) = \|F|_Y\|_Y$$

is true for all $F \in K_X$;

(b) *The inclusion*

$$(6) \quad F - \mathcal{E}(F|_Y) \subseteq P_{Y^\perp}(F)$$

holds for every $F \in K_X$;

(c) *If furthermore $Y^\perp \subseteq K_X$, then*

$$F - \mathcal{E}(F|_Y) = P_{Y^\perp}(F)$$

for all $F \in K_X$.

Proof. Let $F \in K_X$. For $G \in Y^\perp$ taking into account that the norms $\|\cdot\|_Y$ were supposed compatible in the sense of Definition 1, we have

$$\|F|_Y\|_Y = \|F|_Y - G|_Y\|_Y = \|(F - G)|_Y\|_Y \leq \|F - G\|_X.$$

It follows that

$$\|F|_Y\|_Y \leq d(F, Y^\perp).$$

On the other hand, because $F - H \in Y^\perp$ for $H \in \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$, we have

$$\|F|_Y\|_Y = \|H\|_X = \|F - (F - H)\|_X \geq \inf\{\|F - G\|_X : G \in Y^\perp\} = d(F, Y^\perp)$$

Combining the obtained inequalities one can write

$$d(F, Y^\perp) \leq \|F - (F - H)\|_X = \|F|_Y\|_Y \leq d(F, Y^\perp).$$

It follows

$$\|F - (F - H)\|_X = d(F, Y^\perp) = \|F|_Y\|_Y,$$

for every $H \in \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$, proving formula (5) and inclusion (6).

In order to prove equality (7) suppose that $Y^\perp \subseteq K_X$ and let G be an arbitrary element of $P_{Y^\perp}(F)$. Since $G|_Y = 0$ and the norms $\|\cdot\|_Y$ and $\|\cdot\|_X$ are compatible, it follows that $(F - G)|_Y = F|_Y$ and, by (5),

$$\|F - G\|_X = d(F, Y^\perp) = \|F|_Y\|_Y,$$

showing that $F - G$ is a (NPE) extension of $F|_Y$. To prove that $F - G \in \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$ it remains to show that $F - G \in K_X$ (see (2)). But $G \in Y^\perp$ implies $-G \in Y^\perp$ and, by hypothesis, $Y^\perp \subseteq K_X$ implying $-G \in K_X$, and, since K_X is a cone, $F - G = F + (-G) \in K_X$,

showing that $F - G \in \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$. This last relation is equivalent to $G \in F - \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$ for every $G \in P_{Y^\perp}(F)$, i.e. $P_{Y^\perp}(F) \subseteq F - \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$, which together with inclusion (6), prove equality (7).

Taking $K_Y = N_Y$ and $K_X = N_X$ one obtains:

COROLLARY 1. If N_Y has the (NPE)-property with respect to N_X then

(a) The subspace $Y^\perp = \{G \in N_X : G|_Y = 0\}$ is proximal in N_X and

$$(5') \quad d(F, Y^\perp) = \|F|_Y\|_Y$$

for every $F \in N_X$

(b) The equality

$$(7') \quad P_{Y^\perp}(F) = F - \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$$

holds for every $F \in N_X$

Proof. It suffices to prove equality (7'). By Theorem 1 it follows that $F - \mathcal{E}(F|_Y) \subseteq P_{Y^\perp}(F)$. If $F \in N_X$ and $G \in P_{Y^\perp}(F)$ then

$$\|F - G\|_X = d(F, Y^\perp) = \|F|_Y\|_Y$$

Since $(F - G)_Y = F|_Y$ it follows that $F - G$ is a norm preserving extension $F|_Y$. But $K_X = N_X$ implies $M_X = N_X$ so that $F - G \in M_X$ showing that $F - G \in \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$ or equivalently $G \in F - \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$ for every $G \in P_{Y^\perp}(F)$. This proves the inclusion $P_{Y^\perp}(F) \subseteq F - \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$ and equality (7').

EXAMPLES

1°. Let $X = [a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $Y = \{a, b\}$. Take $N_X = C[a, b]$ - the space of all realvalued continuous on $[a, b]$ with the sup-norm and $N_Y = C(\{a, b\})$.

Let K_X be the cone

$$K_X := \{F \in C[a, b] : F(a) = F(b) \geq 0\}$$

and $K_Y = K_X \cap C(\{a, b\})$, i.e.

$$K_Y := \{f \in C(\{a, b\}) : f(a) = f(b) \geq 0\}$$

Obviously that K_Y has the (NPE)-property with respect to K_X . If $F \in K_X$ then $F|_Y \in K_Y$ and function $H(x) = f(a)$, $x \in [a, b]$, is an (NPE) extension of $f \in C(\{a, b\})$. The space generated by the cone K_X is

$$M_X := K_X - K_X = \{F \in C[a, b] : F(a) = F(b)\}$$

and the annihilator space of the set Y in M_X is

$$Y^\perp := \{G \in M_X : G(a) = G(b) = 0\}.$$

By Theorem 1, the subspace Y^\perp is K_X -proximal and $d(F, Y^\perp) = F(a)$ and $F - \mathcal{E}(F|_Y) \subseteq P_{Y^\perp}(F)$, for each $F \in K_X$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}(F|_Y) &= \{H \in K_X : H(a) = H(b) = \|H\|_X\} = \\ &= \{H \in K_X : H(a) = H(b) \text{ and } |H(x)| \leq F(a), \text{ for all } x \in [a, b]\}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $Y^\perp \subset K_X$ and therefore the equality $F - \mathcal{E}(F|_Y) = P_{Y^\perp}(F)$ for each $F \in K_X$.

2°. Let $X = [-2, 2] \subset \mathbb{R}$, $Y = \{-1, 0, 1\}$ and let $N_X := \text{Lip}_0[-2, 2] = \{F : [-2, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : F \text{ is Lipschitz on } [-2, 2] \text{ and } F(0) = 0\}$ equipped with the Lipschitz norm

$$\|F\|_X = \sup\{|F(x) - F(y)| / |x - y| : x, y \in [-2, 2], x \neq y\}.$$

For N_Y take

$N_Y := \text{Lip}_0\{-1, 0, 1\} = \{f : \{-1, 0, 1\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} : f(0) = 0\}$ equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_Y = \max\{|f(-1)|, |f(1)|\}.$$

For K_X and K_Y take

$$K_X := \{F \in \text{Lip}_0[-2, 2] : F \text{ is convex on } [-2, 2]\}$$

$$K_Y := \{f \in \text{Lip}_0\{-1, 0, 1\} : f \text{ is convex on } \{-1, 0, 1\}\}.$$

By definition $F \in \text{Lip}_0[-2, 2]$ is in K_X if

$$F(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda F(x) + (1 - \lambda)F(y),$$

for every $x, y \in [-2, 2]$ and every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$ and f is in K_Y if the divided difference $[-1, 0, 1; f]$ is nonnegative.

Obviously that K_Y has the (NPE)-property with respect to K_X : for $F \in K_X$ the restriction $F|_Y$ is in K_Y and $F(x) = \min_{y \in \{-1,0,1\}} [f(y) + \|f\|_Y |x - y|]$, $x \in [-2,2]$ is a (NPE) extension in K_X of $f \in K_Y$.

Let

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} -x, & x \in [-2,0] \\ \frac{1}{2}x, & x \in (0,-2] \end{cases}$$

and

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} -x-1, & x \in [-2,-1] \\ 0, & x \in [-1,1] \\ \frac{1}{2}x - \frac{1}{2}, & x \in (1, \frac{1}{2}] \end{cases}$$

It follows that $G \in Y^\perp$ (in fact $G \in K_X \subseteq K_X - K_X$),

$$F(x) - G(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in [-2,-1] \\ -x, & x \in (-1,0] \\ \frac{1}{2}x, & x \in (0,1] \\ \frac{1}{2}, & x \in (1,2] \end{cases}$$

and

$$\|F - G\|_X = \|F|_Y\|_Y = d(F, Y^\perp), \quad (F - G)|_Y = F|_Y,$$

implying that $F - G$ is a (NPE) extension of $F|_Y$. But $F - G$ is not convex function on $[-2, 2]$ showing that, in general, $F - \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$ can be strictly contained in $P_{Y^\perp}(F)$. Therefore equality (7) is not true without any supplementary hypotheses on the space Y^\perp .

3°. Let X, Y, N_X, N_Y be as in Example 2° and

$$Y^\perp = \{G \in N_X : G|_Y = 0\}$$

By Mc Shane's theorem (see [9]), the space N_Y has the (NPE)-property with respect to N_X . By Corollary the subspace Y^\perp is proximal in N_X and every element

G of best approximation of a function $F \in N_X$ by elements in Y^\perp has the form $G = F - H$ for a function $H \in \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$. Also $d(F, Y^\perp) = \|F|_Y\|_Y$ and $P_{Y^\perp}(F) = F - \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$.

Other examples to which Corollary 1 applies are given by Hahn-Banach extension theorem, by Tietze extension theorem, by Helly extension Theorem (see [7], [8], [12], [16]).

2. THE QUOTIENT MAPPING

Consider the quotient subspace M_X / Y^\perp with respect to its subspace Y^\perp , defined by

$$(8) \quad M_X / Y^\perp = \{F + Y^\perp : F \in M_X\}.$$

Since the subspace Y^\perp is closed in M_X it follows that

$$(9) \quad \|F + Y^\perp\| = d(F, Y^\perp), \quad F \in M_X,$$

is a norm on M_X / Y^\perp .

Let

$$(10) \quad K_X / Y^\perp := \{F + Y^\perp : F \in K_X\}$$

and let

$$(11) \quad \text{Ker} P_{Y^\perp}|_{K_X} := \{F \in K_X : 0 \in P_{Y^\perp}(F)\}$$

be the kernel of the restriction of the metric projection P_{Y^\perp} to K_X .

Obviously that

$$\text{Ker} P_{Y^\perp}|_{K_X} = \{F \in K_X : \|F\|_X = d(F, Y^\perp)\} = \{F \in K_X : \|F\|_X = \|F|_Y\|_Y\}.$$

The application

$$(12) \quad Q_{K_X} : K_X \rightarrow K_X / Y^\perp, \quad Q(f) = F + Y^\perp, \quad F \in K_X,$$

is called the *quotient mapping* of the cone K_X onto the cone K_X / Y^\perp .

THEOREM 2. *If the cone K_Y has the (NPE)-property with respect to the cone K_X then*

$$1^\circ. K_X \subseteq Y^\perp + \text{Ker} P_{Y^\perp}|_{K_X} = \{G + H : G \in Y^\perp, G \in \text{Ker} P_{Y^\perp}|_{K_X}\};$$

$$2^\circ. Q(\text{Ker}P_{Y^\perp}|_{K_X}) = K_X / Y^\perp;$$

$$3^\circ. F - \left(Q|_{\text{Ker}P_{Y^\perp}|_{K_X}} \right)^{-1} (F + Y^\perp) \subseteq P_{Y^\perp}|_{K_X}(F), \text{ for every } F \in K_X.$$

Proof. 1° . By Theorem 1. (b), each $F \in K_X$ there has an element of best approximation $G \in Y^\perp$ and $G = F - H$ for a function $H \in \mathcal{E}(F|_Y)$. It follows that $\|H\|_X = \|F|_Y\|_Y = d(H, Y^\perp)$ implying $H \in \text{Ker}P_{Y^\perp}|_{K_X}$; and then $F = G + Y^\perp$, $H \in \text{Ker}P_{Y^\perp}|_{K_X}$.

2° . Let $F + Y^\perp \in K_X / Y^\perp$. Since $F|_Y \in K_Y$ and K_Y has the (NPE)-property with respect to K_X it follows that for every $H \in \mathcal{E}(F|_Y) \subseteq \text{Ker}P_{Y^\perp}|_{K_X}$ we have

$$Q(H) = H + Y^\perp = F + Y^\perp,$$

because $F - H \in Y^\perp$. Therefore $Q|_{\text{Ker}P_{Y^\perp}|_{K_X}}$ is a surjection.

3° . By 2° , the application

$$(13) \quad E = \left(Q|_{\text{Ker}P_{Y^\perp}|_{K_X}} \right)^{-1} : K_X / Y^\perp \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(F|_Y),$$

given by

$$(14) \quad E(F + Y^\perp) = \mathcal{E}(F|_Y) \subseteq \text{Ker}P_{Y^\perp}|_{K_X},$$

is well defined.

But then, by Theorem 1. (b), it follows that

$$F - \mathcal{E}(F|_Y) \subseteq P_{Y^\perp}|_{K_X}(F).$$

Theorem 2 is proved.

Using Theorem 2 one can obtain some relations between the properties of the selections associated to the metric projection $P_{Y^\perp}|_{K_X}$ and the properties of the selections associated to the application E defined by (13) and (14). (see [3], [12], [13], [16]).

REFERENCES

1. Banach, S., *Wstęp do teorii funkcji rzeczywistych*, Warszawa-Wrocław, 1951.
2. Cobzaș, S., Mustăța, C., *Norm Preserving Extension of Convex Lipschitz Functions*, J.A.T. 34, (1978), 236-244.

3. Cobzaș, S., Mustăța, C., *Selections Associated to the Metric Projection* (this journal, p. 45-52).
4. Cziper, J., Géher, L., *Extension of Functions Satisfying a Lipschitz Condition*, Acta Math. Sci. Hungar. 6 (1955), 213-220.
5. Deutsch, F., *Linear Selections for Metric Projection*, J. Functional Anal. 49 (1982), 269-292.
6. Deutsch, F., *A Survey of Metric Selections*, Contemporary Mathematics 18 (1983), 49-71.
7. Deutsch, F., Wu Li, Sung-Ho Park, *Tietze Extensions and Continuous Selections for Metric Projections*, J.A.T. 64 (1991), 55-68.
8. Deutsch, F., Wu Li and Sizwe Mabizela, *Helly Extensions and Best Approximation*, "Parametric Optimization and Related Topics III" (J. Guddat, H. Th. Jongen, B. Kummer and F. Nošička, eds.), Approximation and Optimization, vol. 3, Verlag Peter Lang, Frankfurt (1993), 107-120.
9. McShane, E. J., *Extension of Range of Functions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1934), 834-842.
10. Mustăța, C., *Best Approximation and Unique Extension of Lipschitz Functions*, J.A.T. 19 (1977), 222-230.
11. Mustăța, C., *M-ideals in Metric Spaces*, Babeș-Bolyai Univ., Fac. of Math. and Physics, Research Seminars, Seminar on Math. Anal., preprint No. 7 (1988), 65-74.
12. Mustăța, C., *Selections Associated to the McShane's Extension Theorem for Lipschitz Functions*, Revue d'Analyse Numérique et de la Théorie de l'Approximation 21 (1992) 2, 135-145.
13. Mustăța, C., *On the Selections Associated to the Metric Projection*, Revue d'Analyse Numérique et de la Théorie de l'Approximation 23 (1994) 1, 89-93.
14. Phelps, R. R., *Uniqueness of Hahn-Banach Extension and Unique Best Approximation*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1960), 238-255.
15. Singer, I., *Best Approximation in Normed Linear Spaces by Elements of Linear Subspaces*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1970.
16. Sung-Ho, Park, *Quotient Mappings, Helly Extensions, Hahn-Banach Extensions, Tietze Extensions, Lipschitz Extensions and Best Approximation*, J. Korean Math. Soc. 29 (1992) 2, 239-250.

Received 15 IX 1994

Academia Română
Institutul de Calcul
"Tiberiu Popoviciu"
P.O. Box 68
3400 Cluj-Napoca 1
România