

OPTIMALITY CONDITIONS FOR MULTIOBJECTIVE  
SYMMETRIC CONVEX PROGRAMMING

ȘTEFAN TIGAN

(Cluj-Napoca)

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper for a class of nonlinear multiobjective programming problems with symmetrically differentiable pseudo-monotonic objective functions we present optimality conditions of Weber type [24].

We establish also a sufficient optimality condition and a weak duality theorem for a max-min problem involving symmetric pseudo-convex objective functions and symmetric quasi-convex constraints. For this aim, we transpose some of the results of Weir and Mond [25] to this symmetric pseudo-convex max-min problem.

## 2. SYMMETRIC (GENERALIZED) CONVEX FUNCTIONS

In this section we will briefly summarize some basic definitions and properties of symmetrically differentiable functions. Beyond this, some results concerning the so-called symmetric pseudo and quasi-concave (convex) functions are considered. These classes of functions are generally nonlinear nonconcave and nondifferentiable. For further details we refer to Minch [12]. Various properties of the usual pseudo and quasi-concave (or pseudo and quasi-convex) differentiable functions have been presented by Mangasarian [10], Martos [11], among others. Interesting results was obtained in the pseudo-monotonic case, from which we refer a Dantzig-Wolfe decomposition method for quasi-monotonic programming [15], linearization procedures for pseudo-monotonic programming [1], [2], [13], [16], optimality and duality properties [9], [19], [20], [22]. Some applications of these classes of functions in the max-min programming are given in [17], [18].

Other extensions of the quasi-convex and pseudo-convex functions are given by R. Pini and S. Schaible [25], and S. Komlosi [7], by using the generalized monotonicity. Also, G. Giorgi, A. Guerraggio [5], G. Giorgi and E. Molho [7] and G. Giorgi and S. Mititelu [6], present several observations on generalized invex

functions and their relationships with other classes of generalized convex functions including the quasi-convex and pseudo-convex functions.

In [23], we considered symmetric invex functions and we extended some of the Giorgi and Molho [7] results for this more general class of generalized convex functions.

First we recall that for a real function  $f$  of one real variable the symmetric derivative of  $f$  at  $x$  is defined as:

$$f^s(x) = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} (f(x+h) - f(x-h))/2h,$$

provided this limit exists (see, e.g. [12]).

This idea was extended by Minch [12] to functions of several variables.

**DEFINITION 2.1** (Minch [12]) Let  $x$  be an element in an open domain  $A$  in  $R^n$  and let  $f: A \rightarrow R$ . If there exists a linear operator  $f^s(x)$  from  $R^n$  to  $R$ , called the symmetric derivative of  $f$  at  $x$ , such that for sufficiently small  $h$  in  $R^n$

$$f(x+h) - f(x-h) = 2f^s(x)h + u(x,h)\|h\|,$$

where  $u(x,h)$  is in  $R$  and  $u(x,h) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\|h\| \rightarrow 0$ , then  $f$  is said to be symmetrically differentiable at  $x$ . If  $f$  has a symmetric derivative at each point  $x$  in  $A$ , then  $f$  is symmetrically differentiable on  $A$ .

The notions of symmetric gradient and symmetric derivative are analogous to those of ordinary gradient and directional derivative. For convenience we shall denote the symmetric gradient of a symmetrically differentiable function  $f$  at  $x$  by  $f^s(x)$ .

Minch [12] has shown that  $f$  is symmetrically differentiable at  $x$ , in  $A$ , then the symmetric gradient is of the form:

$$f^s(x) = (D^s f(x; e^1), \dots, D^s f(x; e^n)),$$

where  $e^1, \dots, e^n$  is the natural basis for  $R^n$  and  $D^s f(x; h)$  denote the symmetric derivative of  $f$  at  $x$  (in  $A$ ) in the direction  $h$  (in  $R^n$ ), that is:

$$(2.1) \quad D^s f(x; h) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x+th) - f(x-th)}{2t}$$

Let  $f: A \rightarrow R$  and  $g: A \rightarrow R$  be symmetrically differentiable functions at  $x \in A$ . From Definition 2.1, it follows easily that:

i)  $f+g$  is symmetrically differentiable at  $x$  and

$$(2.2) \quad (f+g)^s(x) = f^s(x) + g^s(x);$$

ii) if  $f$  and  $g$  are continuous at  $x$  and  $g(x)$  is not equal with zero, then  $f/g$  is symmetrically differentiable at  $x$  and

$$(2.3) \quad (f/g)^s(x) = \frac{f^s(x)g(x) - f(x)g^s(x)}{g^2(x)}$$

The following definition generalizes the pseudo-convexity concept.

**DEFINITION 2.2** (Minch [12]) Let  $B$  be a subset of  $A$  and  $x'$  a point in  $A$ . The function  $f$  is said to be symmetrically pseudo-convex or  $s$ -pseudo-convex at  $x'$  (with respect to  $B$ ) if  $f$  is symmetrically differentiable at  $x'$  and for all  $x$  in  $B$ ,  $f^s(x')(x-x') \geq 0$  implies  $f(x) \geq f(x')$ .

The function  $f$  is  $s$ -pseudo-convex on  $A$  if it is  $s$ -pseudo-convex at each point of  $A$ . The function  $f$  is  $s$ -pseudo-concave if  $-f$  is  $s$ -pseudo-convex.

Analogous to the ordinary notion of differentiable quasi-convexity can be considered the notion of symmetrically quasi-convex function.

**DEFINITION 2.3** (Minch [12]) Let  $B$  be a subset of  $A$  and  $x'$  a point in  $A$ . The function  $f$  is said to be symmetrically quasi-convex or  $s$ -quasi-convex at  $x'$ , (with respect to  $B$ ) if  $f$  is symmetrically differentiable at  $x'$  and for all  $x$  in  $B$ ,  $f(x) \leq f(x')$  implies that  $f^s(x')(x-x') \leq 0$ .

The function  $f$  is  $s$ -quasi-convex on  $A$  if it is  $s$ -quasi-convex at each point of  $A$ . Also the function  $f$  is  $s$ -quasi-concave if  $-f$  is  $s$ -quasi-convex.

*Examples:* 1. The function  $f: R \rightarrow R$  defined by

$$f(x) = x, \text{ for } x < 1,$$

$$f(x) = 1, \text{ for } x \in [1, 2],$$

$$f(x) = x - 1, \text{ for } x > 2,$$

is a  $s$ -quasi-convex function but it is not  $s$ -pseudo-convex.

2. The function  $f_1: R \rightarrow R$  defined by

$$f_1(x) = x, \text{ for } x < 1,$$

$$f_1(x) = 0.5(x+1), \text{ for } x \in [1, 3],$$

$$f_1(x) = x - 1, \text{ for } x > 3,$$

is both  $s$ -pseudo-convex and  $s$ -quasi-convex but it is not pseudo-convex.

3. The function  $f_2: R \rightarrow R$  defined by

$$f_2(x) = x, \text{ for } x < 1,$$

$$f_2(x) = 0, \text{ for } x = 1,$$

$$f_2(x) = 0.5(x+1), \text{ for } x \in (1, 3],$$

$$f_2(x) = x - 1, \text{ for } x > 3,$$

is  $s$ -pseudo-convex but it is not  $s$ -quasi-convex.

Next, it will be assumed that  $s$ -pseudo-convexity (or  $s$ -quasi-convexity) at a point is with respect to the definition domain of the function unless otherwise stated.

**DEFINITION 2.4** (Minch [12]) Let  $B$  be a subset of  $A$  and let  $x'$  be a point in  $A$ . The function  $f$  is said to be  $s$ -pseudo-monotonic ( $s$ -quasi-monotonic) at  $x'$  (with respect to  $B$ ) if it is symmetrically differentiable at  $x'$  and both  $s$ -pseudoconvex and  $s$ -pseudo-concave ( $s$ -quasi-convex and  $s$ -quasi-concave).

Since, if  $f$  has an ordinary derivative at  $x$ , then  $f$  has a symmetric derivative at  $x$  and they are equal, the following property holds.

**PROPOSITION 2.1** (i) If  $f$  is pseudo-convex (pseudo-concave) then  $f$  is  $s$ -pseudo-convex ( $s$ -pseudo-concave).

(ii) If  $f$  is differentiable quasi-convex (quasi-concave) then  $f$  is  $s$ -quasi-convex ( $s$ -quasi-concave).

(iii) If  $f$  is pseudo-monotonic (differentiable quasi-monotonic) then  $f$  is  $s$ -pseudo-monotonic ( $s$ -quasi-monotonic).

It is easy to see that the converse assertions of those stated in Proposition 2.1 are not true.

Next we give some useful properties of the symmetrically quasi and pseudo-convex functions.

**PROPOSITION 2.2** (Tigan [22]) Let  $f$  be a symmetrically differentiable and continuous function. If  $f$  is a  $s$ -quasi-convex function on a convex subset  $B$  of  $A$ , then  $f$  is quasi-convex on  $B$ .

**PROPOSITION 2.3** If  $f$  is  $s$ -pseudo-convex and continuous on a convex subset  $B$  of  $A$ , then  $f$  is quasi-convex on  $B$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x', x''$  be two points in  $B$  such that  $f(x') \leq f(x'')$ . Suppose there exists  $x^*$  in the interval  $(x', x'')$  such that  $f(x^*) > f(x'')$ . Then, since  $f$  is continuous, there exists

$$x^0 = t'x' + (1-t')x'', 0 < t' < 1,$$

such that

$$f(x^0) = \max\{f(x) | x \in [x', x'']\}.$$

Therefore, by  $s$ -pseudo-convexity of  $f$ , because  $f(x') < f(x^0)$  it follows that

$$(x' - x^0)f^s(x^0) < 0,$$

so, we have

$$(2.4) \quad (1-t')(x' - x'')f^s(x^0) < 0.$$

Also, the inequality  $f(x'') < f(x^0)$  implies that

$$(2.5) \quad (x'' - x^0)f^s(x^0) = -t'(x' - x'')f^s(x^0) < 0.$$

But (2.4) contradicts (2.5). Therefore  $f$  is quasi-convex on  $B$ . ■

**CONJECTURE 2.3.1** If  $f$  is  $s$ -pseudo-convex and continuous on a convex subset  $B$  of  $A$ , then  $f$  is  $s$ -quasi-convex on  $B$ .

### 3. MULTIOBJECTIVE SYMMETRIC PSEUDO-MONOTONIC PROGRAMMING

Let  $f_k$  ( $k \in I = \{1, 2, \dots, p\}$ ) be arbitrary objective functions defined on the open subset  $D$  of  $R^n$  and let  $X$  be a nonempty subset of  $D$ . Then we consider the following multiobjective programming problem:

$VP$ . Find

$$(3.1) \quad V \max(f_1(x), \dots, f_p(x)),$$

subject to  $x \in X$ .

If  $f_k$  ( $k \in I$ ) are  $s$ -pseudo-monotonic objective functions then  $VP$  is said to be a symmetric pseudo-monotonic multiobjective program. In (9.1), " $V$ max" means that efficient points are regarded as optimal solutions to  $VP$ .

**DEFINITION 3.1** A point  $x^* \in X$  is said to be efficient solution for  $VP$  if and only if there does not exist another point  $x' \in X$  such that :

$$f_k(x') \geq f_k(x^*), \text{ for all } k \in I \text{ and} \\ f_k(x') > f_k(x^*) \text{ for at least one } k' \in I.$$

The set of all efficient solutions to  $VP$  is denoted by  $E(X)$ .

**DEFINITION 3.2** A point  $x^* \in X$  is said to be weakly efficient solution for  $VP$  if and only if there does not exist another point  $x' \in X$  such that :

$$f_k(x') > f_k(x^*), \text{ for all } k \in I.$$

Clearly, every efficient point for a multiobjective program  $VP$  is weakly efficient but not conversely

As it is done e.g. by Bitran and Magnanti [3] (see, also [24]) we will relate the problem  $VP$  under the assumption of symmetric differentiability to a linear approximation at a point  $x^0 \in X$  of that problem, namely

$P(x^0)$ . Find

$$V \max(f_1^s(x^0)x, \dots, f_p^s(x^0)),$$

subject to  $x \in X$ .

The following Theorem 3.1 gives a fully symmetric relation between  $VP$  and  $P(x^0)$ . A similar result has shown to be true by Weber [24], who, however, restricted to the differentiable pseudo-monotonic case, and which generalized a result obtained by Tigian [21] for the linear fractional multiobjective programming.

**THEOREM 3.1** Let  $f_k$  ( $k \in I$ ) be  $s$ -pseudo-monotonic and continuous functions. A point  $x^* \in X$  is efficient for the symmetric pseudo-monotonic program  $VP$  if and only if  $x^*$  is efficient for  $P(x^*)$ .

*Proof.* First, let  $x^* \in X$  be efficient for  $VP$ . Then, there is no  $x' \in X$  such that:

$$f_k(x') \geq f_k(x^*), \text{ for all } k \in I \text{ and}$$

$$f_{k'}(x') > f_{k'}(x^*) \text{ for at least one } k' \in I.$$

Let us suppose there is  $x' \in X$ , such that

$$(3.2) \quad f_k^s(x') \geq f_k^s(x^*), \text{ for all } k \in I \text{ and}$$

$$(3.3) \quad f_{k'}^s(x') > f_{k'}^s(x^*) \text{ for at least one } k' \in I.$$

But since  $f_k$  ( $k \in I$ ) is  $s$ -pseudo-convex and hence it is  $s$ -quasi-convex, it results from (3.2) and (3.3) that

$$f_k(x') \geq f_k(x^*), \text{ for all } k \in I \text{ and}$$

$$f_{k'}(x') > f_{k'}(x^*) \text{ for at least one } k' \in I.$$

But this contradicts the fact that  $x^*$  is an efficient solution for  $P(x^*)$ .

Conversely, let  $x' \in X$  be efficient for  $P(x^*)$ . Then there is no  $x'$  in  $X$  such that

$$(3.4) \quad f_k^s(x') \geq f_k^s(x^*), \text{ for all } k \in I \text{ and}$$

$$(3.5) \quad f_{k'}^s(x') > f_{k'}^s(x^*) \text{ for at least one } k' \in I.$$

By  $s$ -pseudo-concavity of  $f_k$  ( $k \in I$ ), from (3.4) and (3.5), we conclude that there is no  $x'$  in  $X$  such that

$$f_k(x') \geq f_k(x^*), \text{ for all } k \in I \text{ and}$$

$$f_{k'}(x') > f_{k'}(x^*) \text{ for at least one } k' \in I,$$

i.e.  $x^*$  is efficient for  $VP$ .  $\square$

**THEOREM 3.2** Let  $f_k$  ( $k \in I$ ) be  $s$ -pseudo-monotonic and continuous functions. A point  $x^* \in X$  is weakly efficient for the symmetric pseudo-monotonic multiobjective program  $VP$  if and only if  $x^*$  is weakly efficient for  $P(x^*)$ .

*Proof.* The proof of this theorem is similar to that of Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

#### 4. OPTIMALITY CONDITIONS FOR SYMMETRIC PSEUDO-CONVEX MINIMAX PROBLEMS

In this section, we consider the following minimax problem:

$MP$ . Find

$$\text{MinMax}_x \{f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x)\}$$

subject to

$$g(x) \leq 0,$$

where  $f_i : R^n \rightarrow R$ , ( $i=1,2,\dots,r$ ) and  $g : R^n \rightarrow R^m$  are symmetric differentiable functions (see, e.g. [11]).

The principal purpose of this section is to establish a sufficient optimality condition for problem  $MP$  involving symmetric pseudo-convex objective functions and symmetric quasi-convex constraints. We also define a dual problem to  $MP$  and establish a weak duality theorem. To this effect, we transpose some of the results of Weir and Mond [25] to the symmetric pseudo-convex maximin problem  $MP$ .

If the general minimax problem  $MP$  has a finite optimal value, then it may be expressed as following equivalent problem:

$EP$ . Find

$$\min q$$

subject to

$$f(x) \leq q e$$

$$g(x) \leq 0,$$

where

$$f(x) = (f_1(x), \dots, f_r(x))', \quad g(x) = (g_1(x), \dots, g_m(x))',$$

$$e = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in R^r \text{ and } q \in R.$$

The main result of this section is:

**THEOREM 4.1** Let  $f_i$  ( $i=1,2,\dots,r$ ) be  $s$ -pseudo-convex and  $g$   $s$ -quasi-convex. If there exist  $x^* \in R^n$ ,  $q^* \in R$ ,  $v^* \in R^r$ ,  $u^* \in R^m$ , such that :

$$(4.1) \quad v^* f^s(x^*) + u^* g^s(x^*) = 0,$$

$$(4.2) \quad v^* (f(x^*) - q^* e) = 0,$$

$$(4.3) \quad u^* g(x^*) = 0,$$

$$(4.4) \quad v^* \geq 0, v^* e = 1, u^* \geq 0,$$

where  $f = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$  and  $e = (1, 1, \dots, 1) \in R^r$ , then  $x^*$  is an optimal solution for problem  $MP$ .

In this theorem  $f^s$  denotes the symmetric gradient of the function  $f$ .

This theorem generalizes a similar result obtained by Weir and Mond [25] in the case of pseudo-convex objective functions and quasi-convex constraints.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(x^*, q^*)$  is not optimal solution for  $EP$ . Then there exists a feasible solution  $(x, q)$  for  $EP$  with  $q < q^*$ . Thus

$$f_i(x) \leq q < q^*, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, r$$

and hence

$$v^* f_i(x) \leq v^* q^*, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

with at least one strict inequality, since by (4.4),  $v^*$  is not the null vector. Hence, by (4.2),

$$v^* f_i(x) \leq v^* f_i(x^*), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

with at least one strict inequality.

Since  $f_i$  is assumed  $s$ -pseudo-convex, then, for each  $i=1, 2, \dots, r$  and  $v_i \geq 0$ ,  $v_i f_i$  is  $s$ -pseudo-convex and

$$(x-x^*)'(v^* f^s(x^*)) \leq 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, r$$

with at least one strict inequality.

Hence

$$(x-x^*)'(v^* f^s(x^*)) < 0.$$

Then it follows from (4.1) that

$$(4.5) \quad (x-x^*)'(u^* g^s(x^*)) > 0.$$

From (4.3), since  $x$  is feasible for  $EP$ , it results

$$u^* g_i(x) - u^* g_i(x^*) \leq 0, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, m.$$

But symmetric quasi-convexity of  $g$  implies

$$(x-x^*)'(u^* g^s(x^*)) \leq 0, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, m$$

and hence

$$(x-x^*)'(u^* g^s(x^*)) \leq 0,$$

which contradicts (4.5).

Thus  $(x^*, q^*)$  is optimal for  $EP$  and  $x^*$  is optimal for  $MP$ . ■

In relation to  $MP$ , which is equivalent to  $EP$ , we consider the following dual program:

$DMP$ . Find

$\max z$

subject to

$$(4.6) \quad v_i(f_i(y) - z) \geq 0, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, r$$

$$(4.7) \quad v^t f^s(y) + u^t g^s(y) = 0$$

$$(4.8) \quad u^t g(y) \geq 0$$

$$(4.10) \quad v \geq 0, v^t e = 1, u \geq 0, z \in R$$

**THEOREM 4.2 (Weak Duality)** Let  $(q, x)$  be a feasible solution for  $EP$  and let  $(y, v, u, z)$  be a feasible solution for  $DMP$ . If  $f$  is  $s$ -pseudo-convex and, for all feasible  $(q, x, y, v, u, z)$  the function  $u'g$  is  $s$ -quasi-convex then  $q \geq z$ .

*Proof:* Suppose  $q < z$ . Then

$$f_i(x) < v, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, r$$

and, therefore

$$v_i(f_i(x) - z) \leq 0, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, r$$

with at least one strict inequality, since by (4.10),  $v$  is not the null vector. From (4.6)

$$v_i f_i(x) \leq v_i f_i(y), \quad i=1, 2, \dots, r$$

with at least one strict inequality.

Since each  $f_i$  is  $s$ -pseudo-convex, it follows

$$(x-y)'(v_i f_i^s(y)) \leq 0, \quad i=1, 2, \dots, r$$

with at least one strict inequality.

Therefore

$$(x-y)'(v^t f^s(y)) < 0$$

and from (4.7)

$$(4.11) \quad (x-y)'(u^t g^s(y)) > 0.$$

From feasibility of  $x$  for  $EP$  and from (4.8) and (4.9)

$$u^t g(x) - u^t g(y) \leq 0$$

and since  $u'g$  is  $s$ -quasi-convex

$$(x-y)'(u^t g^s(y)) \leq 0$$

which contradicts (4.11). ■

## 5. CONCLUSIONS

For a class of multiobjective programming problems with symmetrically differentiable pseudo-monotonic objective functions we present optimality conditions of Weber type.

We generalize also some results of Weir and Mond [25], establishing a sufficient optimality condition and a weak duality theorem for a max-min problem involving symmetric pseudo-convex objective functions and symmetric quasi-convex constraints.

Finally, we note that some of Weber's results [24] concerning the linearization techniques for finding efficient solutions of pseudo-monotonic multiobjective programming with linear constraints can be extended to the symmetrically pseudo-monotonic case.

## REFERENCES

1. Bector C.R., Jolly P.L., *Programming problems with pseudomonotonic objectives*, Optimization, **15** (1984), 2, 217-219.
2. Bhatt S.L., *Linearization Technique for linear fractional and pseudomonotonic programs revisited*, Cahiers du CERO, **23** (1981), 53-56.
3. Bitran G.R., Magnanti T.L., *The structure of admissible points with respect to cone dominance*, JOTA, **29** (1979), 573-614.
4. Dantzig G.B., *Linear programming and extensions*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New-Jersey, 1963.
5. Giorgi G., Guerraggio A., *Various types of invex functions*, Dipartimento di Ricerche Aziendali, Università di Pavia, 1994.
6. Giorgi G., Mititelu S., *Invexity in nonsmooth programming*, Atii del Tredicesimo Convegno A.M.A.S.E.S., Verona, 1989, 509-520.
7. Giorgi G., Molho E., *Generalized invexity: Relationships with generalized convexity and applications to optimality and duality conditions*, in Proceedings of the Workshop held in Pisa, 1992, "Generalized Concavity for Economic Applications", ed. Piera Mazzoleni, 1992, 53-70.
8. Komlosi S., *Generalized Monotonicity of generalized Derivatives*, in Proceedings of the Workshop held in Pisa, 1992, "Generalized Concavity for Economic Applications", ed. Piera Mazzoleni, 1992, 1-6.
9. Kortanek K.O., Evans J.P., *Pseudo-concave Programming and Lagrange regularity*, Oper. Res., **15** (1967), 882-891.
10. Mangasarian O.L., *Nonlinear Programming*, New York et al., Mc Graw Hill, 1969.
11. Martos B., *Nonlinear Programming Theory and Methods*, Amsterdam-Oxford, North-Holland, 1975.
12. Minch R. A., *Applications of symmetric derivatives in mathematical programming*, Math. Prog., **1** (1971), 307-320.
13. Mond B., *Techniques for pseudo-monotonic programming*, LaTrobe University, Pure Math. Res. Paper **82,12**, Melbourne, 1982.
14. Pini R., Schaible S., *Some Invariance Properties of Generalized Monotone Maps*, in Proceedings of the Workshop held in Pisa, 1992, "Generalized Concavity for Economic Applications", ed. Piera Mazzoleni, 1992, 87-88.
15. Tigan S., *Sur une méthode de décomposition pour le problème de programmation monotone*, Rev. Analyse Numér. Théor. Approx., **12**, 1 (1983), 347-354.
16. Tigan S., *On the linearization technique for quasi-monotonic optimization problems*, Analyse Num. Théor. Approx., **12**, 1 (1983), 89-96.

17. Tigan S., *A quasimonotonic max-min programming problem with linked constraints*, Itinerant Seminar on Functional Equations, Approximation and Convexity, Cluj-Napoca University, 1986, 279-284.
18. Tigan S., *On a quasimonotonic max-min problem*, Analyse Numér. Théor., Approx., **1** (1990), 85-91.
19. Tigan S., *On duality for generalized pseudomonotonic programming*, Analyse Num. Théor. Approx., **20**, 1-2 (1991), 111-116.
20. Tigan S., *On Kortanek-Evans optimality conditions for symmetric pseudoconcave programming*, Itinerant Seminar on Functional Equations, Approximation and Convexity, Cluj-Napoca University, 1992.
21. Tigan S., *Sur le problème de la programmation vectorielle fractionnaire*, Analyse Numér. Théor. Approx., **4**, 1 (1975), 99-103.
22. Tigan S., *Linearization procedure and Kortanek-Evans optimality conditions for symmetric pseudo-concave programming*, Analyse Num. Théor. Approx., **22**, 1 (1993), 113-120.
23. Tigan S., *Optimality conditions for symmetric generalized convex programming and applications*, Studii și Cerc. Mat., **46**, 4 (1994).
24. Weber R., *Pseudomonotonic Multiobjective Programming*, Discussion Papers B8203, Institute of Operations Research, Univ. of Saarland, Saarbruecken, 1982.
25. Weir T., Mond B., *Sufficient optimality conditions and duality for a pseudoconvex minimax problem*, Cahiers du CERO, **33**, 1-2 (1991), 123-128.

Received 1.X.1994

University of Medicine and Pharmacy Cluj-Napoca

Str. Pasteur no. 6

3400 Cluj-Napoca,

Romania