

ON A REPRESENTATION OF THE EXPANSION  
COEFFICIENTS OF A FUNCTION RELATIVE  
TO A POWER SCALE

GHEORGHE HALIC

The main results of this paper are: a necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the asymptotic expansion

$$(1) \quad f(x_0 + h) = \sum_{k=0}^n A_k h^k + o(h^n)$$

of the function  $f$ , where  $o$  is the Landau symbol, and the representation of the coefficient  $A_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  as an iterated limit of a difference quotient of  $f$ . The number  $k! A_k$  is a generalization of the  $k$ th-order derivative of  $f$  at  $x_0$ .

Let  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$  be an open interval,  $x_0 \in I$  and let  $f$  be a function defined in  $I$  that is continuous at  $x_0$ . If the variable  $h$  belongs to a sufficiently small neighbourhood  $U(0)$  of the origin, then  $x_0 + h \in I$  and we can consider the function  $h \mapsto f(x_0 + h)$ , defined in  $U(0)$ . The  $n$ th-order asymptotic expansion of this function relative to the power scale  $\{h^k, k = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$  is (1), where the coefficients  $A_k$  are calculated successively

$$(2) \quad A_0 = f(x_0), \quad A_k = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h^k} \left( f(x_0 + h) - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A_i h^i \right), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n,$$

provided that the limits of the second member of (2) exist and are finite.

For  $n = 1$ , the existence of the expansion (1) is equivalent to the derivativeness of  $f$  at  $x_0$  and  $A_k = f'(x_0)$ . The  $n$  time derivativeness of  $f$  at  $x_0$  is a sufficient condition for the existence of the expansion (1) (where  $A_k = \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(x_0)$ ), but for  $n \geq 2$  this condition is not a necessary one. For  $n = 2$  and  $x_0 = 0$ , the following example may be an illustration of this fact

$$(3) \quad f(x) = \begin{cases} x^3 \sin \frac{1}{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

To obtain a condition which is also a necessary one, for each natural number  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , the difference quotient

$$(4) \quad [x_0, x_0 + h_1, \dots, x_0 + h_k; f]$$

is considered, where the variables  $h_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$  satisfy  $h_i \in U(0)$  and for  $i \neq j$ ,  $h_i \neq h_j$ .

LEMMA. If  $[x_0; f] = f(x_0) = A_0$  and for each natural  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq m$ ,

$$(5) \quad \lim_{h_k \rightarrow 0, \dots, h_1 \rightarrow 0} [x_0, x_0 + h_1, \dots, x_0 + h_k; f] = A_k, \quad A_k \in \mathbf{R},$$

then

$$(6) \quad \lim_{h_m \rightarrow 0, \dots, h_1 \rightarrow 0} [x_0, x_0 + h_1, \dots, x_0 + h_m, x_0 + h_{m+1}; f] = \frac{f(x_0 + h_{m+1}) - \sum_{k=0}^m A_k h_{m+1}^k}{h_{m+1}^{m+1}}.$$

*Proof.* For  $m = 0$ , the statement is obvious. We suppose that it is true for each natural number less than  $m$  and we will show that it is true for  $m$ , i.e., that relation (6) takes place. The left-hand side of (6) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{h_m \rightarrow 0, \dots, h_1 \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h_{m+1}} ([x_0 + h_1, \dots, x_0 + h_{m+1}; f] - [x_0, x_0 + h_1, \dots, x_0 + h_m; f]) = \\ & = \frac{1}{h_{m+1}} \left( \lim_{h_m \rightarrow 0, \dots, h_2 \rightarrow 0} [x_0, x_0 + h_2, \dots, x_0 + h_{m+1}; f] - A_m \right). \end{aligned}$$

Since the statement is supposed to be true for  $m - 1$ , the equality

$$\lim_{h_m \rightarrow 0, \dots, h_2 \rightarrow 0} [x_0, x_0 + h_2, \dots, x_0 + h_{m+1}; f] = \frac{f(x_0 + h_{m+1}) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} A_k h_{m+1}^k}{h_{m+1}^m}$$

takes place. Using this equality, the left-hand side of (6) becomes equal to

$$\frac{1}{h_{m+1}} \left( \frac{f(x_0 + h_{m+1}) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} A_k h_{m+1}^k}{h_{m+1}^m} - A_m \right),$$

which is equal to the right-hand side of (6).

**THEOREM.** The necessary and sufficient condition for the existence of the expansion (1) is that for each natural number  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , the difference quotient (4) has a finite iterated limit, when  $h_1 \rightarrow 0, \dots, h_k \rightarrow 0$ . In this case, the coefficients  $A_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , are

$$(7) \quad A_k = \lim_{h_k \rightarrow 0, \dots, h_1 \rightarrow 0} [x_0, x_0 + h_1, \dots, x_0 + h_k; f].$$

*Proof.* Relation (1) is equivalent to relations (2).

If we prove that for each  $k$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , relations

$$(8) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0 + h) - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} A_i h^i}{h^k} = \lim_{h_k \rightarrow 0, \dots, h_1 \rightarrow 0} [x_0, x_0 + h_1, \dots, x_0 + h_k; f]$$

take place, then both the necessity and sufficiency of the condition result immediately.

We will prove (8) by induction. For  $k = 1$ , it can be easily verified. Let  $m$  be a natural number such that  $1 \leq m \leq n - 1$  and we suppose that (8) is true for each natural  $k$ ,  $k \leq m$ . We will show that (8) is true for  $m + 1$ . The following equalities take place

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{h_{m+1} \rightarrow 0, \dots, h_1 \rightarrow 0} [x_0, x_0 + h_1, \dots, x_0 + h_{m+1}; f] = \\ & = \lim_{h_{m+1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h_{m+1}} \lim_{h_m \rightarrow 0, \dots, h_1 \rightarrow 0} ([x_0 + h_1, x_0 + h_2, \dots, x_0 + h_{m+1}; f] - [x_0, x_0 + h_1, \dots, x_0 + h_m; f]) = \\ & = \lim_{h_{m+1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{h_{m+1}} \left( \lim_{h_m \rightarrow 0, \dots, h_2 \rightarrow 0} [x_0, x_0 + h_2, \dots, x_0 + h_{m+1}; f] - A_m \right) = \\ & = \lim_{h_{m+1} \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0 + h_{m+1}) - \sum_{k=0}^m A_k h_{m+1}^k}{h_{m+1}^{m+1}} \end{aligned}$$

(note that the last equality is true since, according to the above lemma,

$$\lim_{h_m \rightarrow 0, \dots, h_2 \rightarrow 0} [x_0, x_0 + h_2, \dots, x_0 + h_{m+1}; f] = \frac{f(x_0 + h_{m+1}) - \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} A_k h_{m+1}^k}{h_{m+1}^m}.$$

Replacing in the last expression  $h_{m+1}$  by  $h$ , we obtain

$$\lim_{h_{m+1} \rightarrow 0, \dots, h_1 \rightarrow 0} [x_0, x_0 + h_1, \dots, x_0 + h_{m+1}; f] = \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x_0 + h) - \sum_{k=0}^m A_k h^k}{h^{m+1}},$$

which shows that relation (8) is true for  $m + 1$ .

*Remark 1.* For  $k \geq 2$ , the number  $k!A_k$ , where  $A_k$  is given by (7), is a generalization of the  $k$ th-order derivative of  $f$  at  $x_0$ . We emphasize that this notion is different from that based on the limit of difference quotient, when the variables  $h_1, \dots, h_k$  tend simultaneously to 0, i.e., on the limit of function

$$(h_1, \dots, h_k) \mapsto [x_0, x_0 + h_1, \dots, x_0 + h_k; f]$$

at the point  $O(0, \dots, 0) \in \mathbf{R}^k$ . This generalization also differs from the so-called direct derivative of the order  $k$  of  $f$  at  $x_0$ . We will illustrate these, for  $k = 2$ , by the function (3), at the point  $x_0 = 0$ . It is easy to verify that

$$\lim_{h' \rightarrow 0, h'' \rightarrow 0} [0, h', h''; f] = \lim_{h'' \rightarrow 0} h'' \sin \frac{1}{h''} = 0.$$

To show that the function  $(h', h'') \mapsto [0, h', h''; f]$  has not a limit at  $O(0, 0)$ , we

consider the sequences  $h'_n = \frac{1}{n\pi}$ ,  $h''_n = \frac{2}{(2n-1)\pi}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . The sequence

$[0, h'_n, h''_n; f]$  has not a limit, when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The direct derivative of the second order of  $f$  at the origin  $O(0, 0)$  is the limit at  $O$  of the function  $(h', h'') \mapsto Q(h', h'')$ , where

$$Q(h', h'') = \frac{f(h'+h'') - f(h'') - f(h') + f(0)}{h' h''}.$$

To show that  $Q(h', h'')$  has not a limit at  $O$ , we consider the sequences

$$h'_n = \frac{1}{n\pi}, \quad h''_n = \frac{1}{n(2n-1)\pi}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

The sequence  $Q(h'_n, h''_n)$  has not a limit, when  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

The sum of the right-hand side of relation (1) is the "best local approximation" of  $f$ , in the neighbourhood of  $x_0$ , by the linear subspace  $H$  generated by the basis  $\{h^k, k = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$  [3]. The coefficients  $A_k$ , given by (7), are completely determined by  $f, x_0$  and  $H$ , that is why we call number  $k!A_k$  the  $k$ th-order  $H$ -derivative of  $f$  at  $x_0$  and write this as  $H^k f(x_0) = k!A_k$  [4].

*Remark 2.* Further generalizations of the  $k$ th-order derivative of a function at a point  $x_0$  may be obtained by using the same idea, but starting from another asymptotic scale (for example, the scale  $\{(\Phi(x_0 + h) - \Phi(x_0))^k, k = 0, 1, \dots, n\}$ , where  $\Phi$  is a strictly monotonic function in a neighbourhood of  $x_0$ , which is continuous at  $x_0$ ) ([5], [6]).

*Remark 3.* Similar investigations would be interesting to be done for the functions of  $p$  variables as well as the research of the connection between the coefficients of its asymptotic expansions and the various generalizations of partial derivatives.

#### REFERENCES

1. T. Popoviciu, *Sur quelques propriétés des fonctions d'une ou de deux variables réelles*, *Mathematica* 8 (1934), 1-85.
2. T. Popoviciu, *Introduction à la théorie des différences divisées*, *Bull. Math. de la Soc. Roumaine de Sci.* XLII (1940).
3. G. Halic, *The Best Local Approximation of a Function*, *Proceedings of the Symposium of "Aurel Vlaicu" University*, 16-17 May 1996, pp. 67-72.
4. G. Halic, *A Generalization of the  $n$ th-order Derivative of a Function*, *Proceedings of the Symposium of "Aurel Vlaicu" University*, 16-17 May 1996, pp. 73-76.
5. E. Kovacs and G. Halic, *Remarks on the "H-derivative" concept*, *Proceedings of the Symposium of "Aurel Vlaicu" University*, 16-17 May 1996, pp. 77-80.
6. G. Halic and E. Kovacs, *Generalized Difference Quotients and Their Applications in Asymptotics*, *Proceedings of Pannonian Applied Mathematical Meetings*, Göd (Hungary), 10-13 October 1996.

Received May 15, 1996

"Aurel Vlaicu" University  
81, Blvd. Revoluției  
P.O. Box 41  
2900 Arad  
Romania