

ON THE CONVERGENCE OF A CLASS
OF NUMERICAL DIFFERENTIATION FORMULAS

ALEXANDRU I. MITREA

1. PRELIMINARIES

Given a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers $(j_n)_{n \geq 1}$, let us consider a node matrix

$$\{x_n^k : n \geq 1, 1 \leq k \leq j_n\}$$

with $-1 \leq x_n^1 < x_n^2 < \dots < x_n^{j_n} \leq 1, n \geq 1$, and a matrix of real coefficients

$$\{a_n^k : n \geq 1, 1 \leq k \leq j_n\}.$$

Denote by C_1 the linear space of all functions $f: [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ which are continuous together with their derivative of the first order. For each function f in C_1 put

$$\|f\|_1 = \|f'\| + |f(0)|,$$

where $\|\cdot\|$ means the uniform norm, and remark that the linear space C_1 endowed with the norm $\|\cdot\|_1$ becomes a Banach space.

Now, define the linear functionals $D_n: C_1 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}, n \geq 1$, by

$$(1) \quad D_n f = \sum_{k=1}^{j_n} a_n^k f(x_n^k), \quad f \in C_1.$$

Let us prove that D_n is a continuous functional, too, for each $n \geq 1$. Using the classical Lagrange mean value theorem, for each node $x_n^k, 1 \leq k \leq j_n$, there exists a point t_n^k between 0 and x_n^k so that

$$f(x_n^k) = f(0) + x_n^k f'(t_n^k),$$

which implies

$$|f(x_n^k)| \leq |f(0)| + \|f\| = \|f\|_1.$$

Further, using (1), we get

$$|D_n f| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{j_n} |a_n^k| |f(x_n^k)| \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j_n} |a_n^k| \right) \|f\|_1,$$

which proves the continuity of D_n .

Let us consider the following *numerical differentiation formulas*

$$(2) \quad f'(0) = D_n f + R_n f, \quad f \in C_1, \quad n \geq 1,$$

where $R_n f$, $n \geq 1$, are the rests of the formulas (2).

Denote, usually, by \mathcal{P}_m the space of all polynomials which have the degree at most m .

In what follows, we suppose that the numerical differentiation formulas (2) are of *interpolatory type*, that is, $R_n f = 0$ for all polynomials f in \mathcal{P}_m , $m = j_n - 1$. In this case, putting

$$w_n(x) = (x - x_n^1) \dots (x - x_n^{j_n}) \quad \text{and} \quad l_n^k(x) = \frac{w_n(x)}{(x - x_n^k) w_n'(x_n^k)},$$

by the equalities

$$D_n(l_n^k) = (l_n^k)'(0), \quad n \geq 1, \quad 1 \leq k \leq j_n,$$

we obtain

$$(3) \quad a_n^k = \begin{cases} -\frac{w_n'(0)x_n^k + w_n(0)}{(x_n^k)^2 w_n'(x_n^k)}, & \text{if } x_n^k \neq 0 \\ \frac{w_n''(0)}{2w_n'(0)}, & \text{if } x_n^k = 0. \end{cases}$$

2. EVALUATING THE RESTS OF FORMULAS (2)

Since $D_n(P) = P'(0)$ for each polynomial P in \mathcal{P}_{j_n-1} , we get for all functions f in C_1

$$|D_n f - f'(0)| = |D_n(f - P) + P'(0) - f'(0)| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{j_n} |a_n^k| |f(x_n^k) - P(x_n^k)| + |f'(0) - P'(0)|,$$

therefore

$$(4) \quad |D_n f - f'(0)| \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j_n} |a_n^k| \right) \|f - P\| + \|f' - P'\|, \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}_{j_n-1}.$$

Given a continuous function $g: [-1, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a natural number $m \geq 1$, denote by $E_m(g)$ the *degree of approximation* of g by algebraic polynomials of the degree at most m , that is,

$$E_m(g) = \inf \{ \|g - P\| : P \in \mathcal{P}_m \}.$$

Let $\omega(g; \cdot): [0, 2] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the modulus of continuity of g , namely,

$$\omega(g; h) = \max \{ |g(x+t) - g(x)| : |x| \leq 1, |x+t| \leq 1, |t| \leq h \}, \quad 0 \leq h \leq 2.$$

It follows from [3] that, for all g in C_1 , the inequalities

$$(5) \quad E_{m+1}(g) \leq \frac{A}{m} \cdot \omega\left(g'; \frac{1}{m}\right) \quad \text{and}$$

$$(6) \quad E_m(g') \leq B \cdot \omega\left(g'; \frac{1}{m}\right)$$

hold, where A and B are real constants, which do not depend on n and g .

Now, by (4), (5) and (6) we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} |D_n f - f'(0)| &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j_n} |a_n^k| \right) \cdot E_{j_n-1}(f) + E_{j_n-2}(f') \leq \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{j_n} |a_n^k| \right) \frac{A}{j_n-2} \omega\left(f'; \frac{1}{j_n-2}\right) + B \omega\left(f'; \frac{1}{j_n-2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

According to the properties of the modulus of continuity, we obtain

$$(7) \quad |D_n f - f'(0)| \leq M \left(1 + \frac{1}{j_n} \sum_{k=1}^{j_n} |a_n^k| \right) \cdot \omega\left(f'; \frac{1}{j_n}\right),$$

for each $n \geq n_0$, where M and n_0 do not depend on n and f .

3. THE CONVERGENCE OF NUMERICAL DIFFERENTIATION FORMULAS (2)

THEOREM 1. *If $\frac{1}{j_n} \sum_{k=1}^{j_n} |a_n^k| \in O(1)$, then the numerical differentiation formulas (2) are convergent for each f in C_1 , i.e., $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_n f = f'(0)$.*

Proof. The conclusion follows from the boundedness of the sequence $\left(\frac{1}{j_n} \sum_{k=1}^{j_n} |a_n^k|\right)_{n \geq 1}$, using the inequality (7) and the equality $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \omega\left(f'; \frac{1}{j_n}\right) = 0$.

4. A SPECIAL CASE INVOLVING JACOBI NODES

Let $P_n^{(\alpha)}$, $n \geq 1$, $\alpha > -1$, be Jacobi ultraspherical polynomials and $w_n(x) = x(1-x^2)P_{2n-2}^{(\alpha)}(x)$, $j_n = 2n+1$. In this case, the nodes of the n th row of the node matrix are

$$-1 = x_n^1 < x_n^2 < \dots < x_n^n < x_n^{n+1} = 0 < x_n^{n+2} < \dots < x_n^{2n} < x_n^{2n+1} = 1.$$

We put x_k for x_n^{n+1+k} , $0 \leq k \leq n$, and deduce that $x_n^{n+1+k} = -x_n^{n+1+k} = -x_k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$, $x_0 = 0$, $x_n = 1$. Similarly, we put a_k for a_n^{n+1+k} , $0 \leq k \leq n$; using (3), we obtain $a_0 = 0$ and $a_n^{n+1+k} = -a_k$, $1 \leq k \leq n$.

By [2] we get

$$(8) \quad \begin{cases} |a_k| \sim \frac{1}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{x_k^2} \cdot \left(\frac{n-k}{n}\right)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}}, & 1 \leq k \leq n-1; \\ |a_n| \sim n^{-\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \text{ and} \\ \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{x_k^2} \sim n^2, \end{cases}$$

where $a_n \sim b_n$, $b_n \neq 0$, means that there exist two real constants α, β which do not depend on n so that $0 < \alpha \leq |a_n / b_n| \leq \beta$ for all $n \geq 1$.

In what follows M_s , $s \geq 1$, are real numbers which do not depend on n .

So we have

$$(9) \quad \frac{1}{j_n} \sum_{k=1}^{j_n} |a_n^k| \leq \frac{M_1}{n^2} \left[n^{1-\alpha} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{x_k^2} \left(\frac{n-k}{n}\right)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \right].$$

If $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$, it results $\left(\frac{n-k}{n}\right)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \leq 1$, $n^{1-\alpha} \leq 1$ and, using (8) and (9), we get

$$(10) \quad \frac{1}{j_n} \sum_{k=1}^{j_n} |a_n^k| = O(1).$$

If $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$, we have $\left(\frac{n-k}{n}\right)^{\alpha-\frac{1}{2}} \leq \frac{n}{n-k}$, $n^{1-\alpha} \leq n$ and $x_k \sim \frac{k}{n}$ (see [2], [3]) so that from (9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{j_n} \sum_{k=1}^{j_n} |a_n^k| &\leq M_1 \left[\frac{1}{n} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{n}{k^2(n-k)} \right] \leq M_2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{n-k+k}{k^2(n-k)} = \\ &= M_2 \left[\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k^2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k(n-k)} \right] \leq M_2 \left[M_3 + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \left(\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{n-k} \right) \right] = \\ &= M_4 + \frac{2}{n} M_2 \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{k} \leq M_4 + M_5 \frac{\ln n}{n} \leq M_6, \end{aligned}$$

which leads to relation (10), too.

THEOREM 2. If $\alpha \geq -\frac{1}{2}$, then the numerical differentiation formulas (2) are convergent for all f in C_1 .

Proof. Use Theorem 1 and relation (10).

Remarks. (i) R. A. Lorenz [1] showed, using another proof, that $D_n f \rightarrow f'(0)$ for each f in C_1 , if $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$.

(ii) Also using other arguments, A. I. Mitrea proved in [2] that $D_n f \rightarrow f'(0)$ for all f in C_1 if $\alpha \geq \frac{1}{2}$ and $D_n f \rightarrow f'(0)$ if $-\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ for each f in C_1 , whose derivative f' satisfies the Dini-Lipschitz condition $\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \omega(f'; \delta) \cdot \ln \delta = 0$.

REFERENCES

1. R. A. Lorenz, *Convergence of numerical differentiation*, J. Approx. Th. 30 (1980), 59-70.
2. A. I. Mitrea, *On the Convergence of Some Numerical Differentiation Formulas*, Seminar on Mathematical Analysis, pp. 151-166, Preprint 88-7, "Babeş-Bolyai" University, Cluj-Napoca, 1988.
3. G. Szegő, *Orthogonal polynomials*, Amer. Math. Soc., New York (1939).

Received May 15, 1996

Department of Mathematics
Technical University
15, Constantin Daicoviciu St.
3400 Cluj-Napoca
Romania