## REVUE D'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET DE THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION Tome XXVI, N<sup>∞</sup> 1-2, 1997, pp. 249-258

Infanite generated. Wallis's Farmula, Gauss' and Lagendre's Michini-

## ON THE BOHR-MOLLERUP-ARTIN CHARACTERIZATION OF THE GAMMA FUNCTION

# construction of the second ROGER WEBSTER appropriate the second sec

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Bohr and Mollerup [2] were the first to prove that the only log-convex solution  $f: \mathbf{R}^+ \to \mathbf{R}^+$  to the functional equation f(x + 1) = x f(x) for x > 0 satisfying f(1) = 1 is the gamma function  $\Gamma$ . Their proof was simplified by Artin [1], who based his celebrated treatment of the gamma function on the result. Consequently, this has become known as the Bohr-Mollerup-Artin Theorem, and was adopted by Bourbaki [3] as the starting point for his exposition of the gamma function. Further discussion of the theorem can be found in Leipnik and Oberg [6], and Webster [9], while an appreciation of the result and a historical profile of the gamma function are to be found in Davis [4].

A question that naturally arises is: for which functions  $g: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is there a unique eventually log-convex solution  $g^*: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  to the functional equation  $g^*(x+1) = g(x)g^*(x)$  for x > 0 satisfying  $g^*(1) = 1$ ? Our main result, a generalization of the Bohr-Mollerup-Artin Theorem, is that a sufficient condition on g for this to occur is that it is eventually log-concave and has the property that for each w > 0,  $g(x + w) / g(x) \to 1$  as  $x \to \infty$ . Moreover,  $g^*$  is determined by the formula

(1.1) 
$$g^{*}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n) \dots g(1)g^{x}(n)}{g(n+x) \dots g(x)} \text{ for } x > 0.$$

We call a function  $g^*$  arising in this way a  $\Gamma$ -type function. When g is the identity function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ ,  $g^*$  is simply the gamma function  $\Gamma$  restricted to  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , this showing that  $\Gamma$  is itself a  $\Gamma$ -type function; in this case (1.1) becomes Gauss well-known limit for  $\Gamma(x)$ .

<sup>1991</sup> AMS Subject Classification: 41A99.

One can establish for  $\Gamma$ -type functions analogues of: *Euler's constant*, *Weierstrass' infinite product, Wallis's Formula, Gauss' and Legendre's Multiplication Formulas*, and *Stirling's Formula* for gamma function. The backdrop of  $\Gamma$ -type functions serves to place the classical theory into perspective with individual results often appearing more intuitive in this wider setting. For example, it makes clear why some analogue of *Legendre's Duplication Formula* must hold, almost rendering formal proof unnecessary! Not surprisingly,  $\Gamma$ -type functions play a part in finding log-convex solutions to particular functional equations of the form f(x + 1) = g(x)f(x) such as those studied by John [5] and Mayer [7].

In this introductory paper, we content ourselves with proving the existence of  $\Gamma$ -type functions and establishing for them an analogue of the classical *Gauss' Multiplication Formula*.

#### KOTF3HR03BIWL J

## 2. CONVEX AND LOG-CONVEX FUNCTIONS

Here we collect together those results about convex and log-convex functions that will be helpful in our discussion of  $\Gamma$ -type functions. In addition to recalling some of their well-known properties, we also mention a few seemingly unrecorded ones. An excellent account of convex functions is given in Roberts and Varberg [8].

Throughout, *I* denotes an interval on the real line **R** whose interior is nonempty. A function  $f: I \to \mathbf{R}$  is *convex* if  $f(\lambda x + \mu y) \le \lambda f(x) + \mu f(y)$  whenever  $x, y \in I$  and  $\lambda, \mu > 0$  with  $\lambda + \mu = 1$ , and is *eventually convex* if *f* contains a subinterval that is unbounded above, and on which the restriction of *f* is convex.

An easy consequence of the definition of convexity is that if  $f: I \to \mathbf{R}$  is convex, *I* is unbounded above and  $\delta > 0$ , then the function  $f: I \to \mathbf{R}$  defined by the equation

 $f_{\delta}(x) = f(x + \delta) - f(x)$  for  $x \in I$ 

is increasing. A convex function is continuous on the interior of its domain. A differentiable function  $f: I \to \mathbf{R}$  is convex if and only if f is increasing on I, so a twice differentiable function  $f: I \to \mathbf{R}$  is convex if and only if f is nonnegative on I. A function  $f: I \to \mathbf{R}$  is said to be *(eventually) concave* if its negative.  $-f: I \to \mathbf{R}$  is (eventually) convex. The results stated above for convex functions have obvious analogues for concave functions, and these we take as read.

Central to our discussion are the concepts of log-convexity and log-concavity. Whereas the notion of log-convexity does appear fleetingly in the literature on convex functions, we have been unable to locate a single *explicit* reference to the idea of log-concavity or even a single occurrence of the word *log-concave*.

100% IA Mile Classification ALVOC

A function  $f: I \to \mathbf{R}^+$ , where  $\mathbf{R}^+$  denotes the set of positive numbers, is called log-convex (log-concave) if log  $f: I \to \mathbf{R}$  is convex (concave) and eventually log-convex (eventually log-concave) if log  $f: I \to \mathbf{R}$  is eventually convex (eventually concave). Thus f is (eventually) log-convex if and only if  $f^{-1}$  is (eventually) log-concave. The class of all log-convex functions defined on a given interval I is closed under both addition and multiplication, whereas the class of all log-concave functions defined on I is only closed under multiplication. A differentiable function  $f: I \to \mathbf{R}^+$  is log-convex (log-concave) if and only if the function f'/f is increasing (decreasing) on I, so a twice differentiable function  $f: I \to \mathbf{R}$  is log-convex (log-concave) if and only if  $f'' f - (f')^2$  is nonnegative (nonpositive) on I.

The definitions of log-convexity and log-concavity given earlier make it clear why these terms are chosen, but do not provide the formulations of these concepts most useful in practice – these we obtain below. Clearly, a function  $f: I \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is log-convex if and only if

(2.1) 
$$f(\lambda x + \mu y) \le f'(x)f''(y)$$

whenever  $x, y \in I$  and  $\lambda, \mu > 0$  with  $\lambda + \mu = 1$ . The arithmetic mean-geometric mean inequality shows that, for  $f(x), f(y), \lambda, \mu > 0$  with  $\lambda + \mu = 1$ 

 $f'(x)f''(y) \leq \lambda f(x) + \mu f(y),$ 

whence every log-convex function is convex. The function  $f: \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$  defined by the equation f(x) = |x| + 1 for  $x \in \mathbf{R}$  is positive and convex on any interval *I*, but is *not* log-convex there. Thus the concept of log-convexity is *stronger* than that of convexity. The reformulation of log-convexity implied by inequality (2.1) is equivalent to the following working definition: the function  $f: I \to \mathbf{R}$  is logconvex if and only if for all  $x, y, z \in I$  with x < y < z

(2.2)  $f^{z-x}(y) \leq f^{z-y}(x)f^{y-x}(z).$ 

The definition of log-concavity can be reformulated in an analogous way, with the  $\leq$  sign in (2.2) being replaced by a  $\geq$  sign. It must be emphasized that the concept of log-concavity is *weaker* than that of concavity. For example, the exponential function is log-concave on any interval *I*, but is not concave there. We now introduce a subclass  $\mathscr{G}$  of the class of all eventually log-concave function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ which plays the central role in constructing  $\Gamma$ -type functions. To be precise,  $\mathscr{G}$ consists of all eventually log-concave functions  $g: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  with the property that, for each w > 0,  $g(x + w) / g(x) \to 1$  as  $x \to \infty$ . The single most important function in  $\mathscr{G}$  is the *function* x, here the identity function on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , which gives

rise to the  $\Gamma$ -type function itself. Other functions in  $\mathscr{G}$  are the restrictions to  $\mathbf{R}^+$  of  $x^2 + a^2$ , x/(x + a) and tanh ax where a > 0. More generally, the restriction to  $\mathbf{R}^+$  of any real polynomial that is positive on  $\mathbf{R}^+$  lies in  $\mathscr{G}$ . Also, if f is a real rational function that is positive on  $\mathbf{R}^+$ , then the restriction to  $\mathbf{R}^+$  of either f or 1/f lies in  $\mathscr{G}$ . Clearly, the class  $\mathscr{G}$  is closed under multiplication. One crucial property of members of  $\mathscr{G}$  is that they are eventually increasing as the next theorem shows.

Roger Webster

THEOREM 2.1. Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  be log-concave on some unbounded subinterval 1 of  $\mathbb{R}^+$ . Then g is increasing on I.

Proof. Let  $a, b \in I$  with a < b. Since  $\log g$  is concave on I, for all x > 0,  $\log g(b) - \log g(a) \ge \log g(b + x) - \log g(a + x)$ ,

so  $g(b) / g(a) \ge g(b + x) / g(a + x).$ Since  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ , the right-hand side of the last inequality tends to 1 as  $x \to \infty$ , whence  $g(b) \ge g(a)$  and g is increasing on I.  $\Box$ 

# 3. Г-**ТУРЕ FUNCTIONS**

Theorem 3.1 below generalizes the Bohr-Mollerup-Artin Theorem in that it concerns a whole class of functional equations of the form f(x + 1) = g(x) f(x) for x > 0, not just the specific one f(x + 1) = x f(x). Incidentally, it assumes only that the solutions to the equation are *eventually* log-convex, not log-convex on  $\mathbb{R}^+$  in the classical result.

THEOREM 3.1. Let the function  $g: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  have the property that, for each w > 0,  $g(x + w) / g(x) \to 1$  as  $x \to \infty$ . Suppose that  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is an eventually log-convex function satisfying the functional equation f(x+1) = g(x)f(x)for x > 0 and the initial condition f(1) = 1. Then f is uniquely determined by g through the equation

 $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n) \dots g(1)g^x(n)}{g(n+x) \dots g(x)} \quad for \quad x > 0.$ 

*Proof.* Let x > 0. Denote by *m* the largest integer not exceeding *x*, and let *n* be a natural number for which *f* is log-convex on  $[n + m, \infty)$ . The log-convexity of *f* on this interval shows that

 $f(n + x + 1) \le f^{m+1-x}(n + m + 1)f^{x-n}(n + m + 2)$ and  $f(n+m+1) \leq f^{x-m}(n+x)f^{m+1-x}(n+x+1).$ Since f(x+1) = g(x)f(x) for x > 0 and f(1) = 1, f(n+m+1) = g(n+m)...g(1) and f(n+x+1) = g(n+x)...g(x)f(x);also  $f^{m+1-x}(n+m+1)f^{x-m}(n+m+2) = f(n+m+1)g^{x-n}(n+m+1)$ and  $f^{x-m}(n+x)f^{m+1-x}(n+x+1) = f(n+x+1)g^{n-x}(n+x).$ It follows that

It follows that

$$\frac{g(n+m)\dots g(n+1)}{g(n+x)\dots g(n+x)} \left(\frac{g(n+x)}{g(n)}\right)^x \le \frac{g(n+x)\dots g(x)f(x)}{g(n)\dots g(1)g^x(n)} \le \frac{g(n+m)\dots g(n+1)}{g(n+m+1)\dots g(n+m+1)} \left(\frac{g(n+m+1)}{g(n)}\right)^x.$$

The property assumed of g ensures that both ends of the last string of inequalities converge to 1 as n tends to infinity, whence

 $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n) \dots g(1) g^{x}(n)}{g(n+x) \dots g(x)} \cdot \Box$ 

The property assumed of  $g: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  in Theorem 3.1 ensures that it is at most one eventually log-convex solution  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  to the functional equation f(x+1) = g(x)f(x) for x > 0 satisfying f(1)=1, but does not itself guarantee that such a solution exists. If, however, g is also assumed to be *eventually logconcave*, so g in fact belongs to the class of functions  $\mathscr{G}$  introduced in Section 2, then the existence of such a solution is assured by the following result:

THEOREM 3.2. Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then there exists a unique eventually log-convex function  $f : \mathbf{R}^+ \to \mathbf{R}^+$  satisfying the functional equation f(x + 1) = g(x) f(x) for x > 0 and the initial condition f(1) = 1. Moreover,

 $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n) \dots g(1)g^{x}(n)}{g(n+x) \dots g(x)} \quad \text{for } x > 0$ and f is log-convex on any unbounded subinterval of  $\mathbf{R}^{+}$  on which g is log-concave.

is allowed at the last of light of short with a start and an tendents of the

*Proof.* We establish the existence of f, its uniqueness following from Theorem 3.1. For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , define a function  $f_n : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  by the equation

(3.1) 
$$f_n(x) = \frac{g(n)\dots g(1)g^x(n)}{g(n+x)\dots g(x)} \quad \text{for} \quad x > 0.$$

Then, for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , and x > 0

(3.2) 
$$f_{n+1}(x) = \frac{g^{x+1}(n+1)}{g(n+x+1)g^x(n)} f_n(x)$$

 $f_n(x+1) = \frac{g(n)}{g(n+x+1)}g(x)f_n(x).$ (3.3)

Since g is eventually log-concave, there is some nonnegative integer m such that g is log-concave on the interval  $(m, \infty)$ .

First, let  $0 < x \le 1$ . We show that the sequence  $f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots$  is eventually increasing, and bounded above. Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy the inequality  $n \ge m + 1$ . Then the log-concavity of g on  $(m, \infty)$  shows that

$$g^{x+1}(n+1) \ge g^x(n)g(n+x+1)$$
,  
whence (3.2) shows that  $f_{n+1}(x) \ge f_n(x)$ . Thus the sequence  $f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots$  is  
eventually increasing. The log-concavity of g also yields the  $n-m$  inequalities

 $g(m + x + 1) \ge g^{1-x}(m + 1)g^{x}(m + 2)$ 

The projecty projection of the second sec  $g(n+x) \ge g^{1-x}(n)g^x(n+1),$ and their product, together with (3.1), shows that

$$f_n(x) \leq \frac{g(1)...g(m+1)}{g(x)...g(m+x)} \left(\frac{g(n)}{g(n+1)}\right)^x g^{x-1}(n+1).$$

But g is increasing on  $(m, \infty)$ , whence

$$f_n(x) \leq \frac{g(1)\dots g(m+1)}{g(x)\dots g(m+x)} g^{x-1}(n+1),$$

which shows that the sequence  $f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots$  is bounded above. Thus, for each x in (0, 1], the sequence  $f_1(x), f_2(x), \dots$  converges and a function f: (0, 1] may be defined by the equation It follows easily from this last result and (3.3) that a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  may be defined by the equation  $(0, g_1, \dots, g_n] \in W$  and  $(0, \dots, g_n) \in Q$ 

$$f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n) \dots g(1)g^x(n)}{g(n+x) \dots g(x)} \quad \text{for} \quad x > 0$$

 $f(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n) \dots g(1)g^x(n)}{g(n+x) \dots g(x)}$ 

and that f(x + 1) = g(x)f(x) for x > 0. Also

 $f(1) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n) \dots g(1)g(n)}{g(n+1) \dots g(1)} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n)}{g(n+1)} = 1.$ 

Finally, suppose that g is log-concave on some unbounded interval 1. Then every  $f_n$ , being a product of functions, each log-convex on l, is itself log-convex on 1. Since f is a pointwise limit of functions log-convex on 1, it too is logconvex on  $1. \Box$ 

We are now in a position to introduce the main object of our study here,  $\Gamma$ -type functions. Theorem 3.2 shows that each member g of  $\mathscr{G}$  gives rise to a unique eventually log-convex function  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  satisfying the functional equation f(x + 1) = g(x)f(x) for x > 0 and the initial condition f(1) = 1. We indicate the dependence of f upon g by writing f = g. Equivalently,  $g^*$  can be defined explicitly by the equation  $\sigma : \mathbf{R}^n \to \mathbf{R}^n$  is tog-convex in  $[a, \infty)$ . Alvő, for |v| >

$$g^*(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{g(n) \dots g(1)g^x(n)}{g(n+x) \dots g(x)} \quad \text{for} \quad x > 0.$$

We call functions g of the form just described  $\Gamma$ -type functions. Clearly, a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is of  $\Gamma$ -type, precisely when it satisfies the following four conditions: ......B.co. we bate peer! Gruss? we shell for the earline fenation and these

a) f(1) = 1:

b) f is eventually log-convex;

c) f(x+1)/f(x) is eventually log-concave;

d) for each w > 0,  $f(x + w + 1) f(x) / f(x + w) f(x + 1) \rightarrow 1$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ .

Examples of  $\Gamma$ -type functions are readily found. If  $g: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is the *identity function* on  $\mathbb{R}^+$ , i.e., g(x) = x for x > 0, then  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $g^* = \Gamma$ , showing that  $\Gamma$  is itself a  $\Gamma$ -type function. If  $g: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is constantly equal to a positive number c, then  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $g^*(x) = c^{x-1}$  for x > 0.

255

for  $0 < x \le 1$ .

Proof No Indicate pression

Characterization of the Gamma Function

Roger Webster

Before constructing further  $\Gamma$ -type functions, it will be helpful to consider a few of their most basic properties.

THEOREM 3.3. Let  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n, g \in \mathcal{G}$  and let g > 0. Let  $h: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  be the function defined by the equation h(x) = g(x + a) for x > 0. Then:

(i)  $g_1...g_n \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $(g_1...g_n)^* = g_1^*...g_n^*$ ; (ii) if  $g_1 / g_2 \in \mathcal{G}$ , then  $(g_1 / g_2)^* = g_1^* / g_2^*$ ; (iii)  $h \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $h(x) = g^*(x+a) / g(a)g^*(a)$  for x > 0.

*Proof.* We indicate a general method of establishing identities such as occur in (i)–(iii), by proving the second part of (iii). Define a function  $j: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  by the equation  $j(x) = g^*(x + a) / g(a)g^*(a)$  for x > 0. Then j is eventually logconvex j(x + 1) = h(x)j(x) for x > 0 and j(1) = 1. By Theorem 3.2  $h^*(x) = j(x)$ , as required.  $\Box$ 

Consider next the function  $g: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  defined by the equation g(x) = x/(x+a) for x > 0, where a is a positive number. Then  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  and Theorem 3.3 (ii), (iii) show that  $g^*(x) = a\Gamma(a)\Gamma(x) / \Gamma(x+a)$  for x > 0. In particular, the function  $a\Gamma(a)\Gamma(x) / \Gamma(x+a)$  is log-convex, a not completely trivial result, but a fact immediate from the approach taken here.

Now for a more substantial example of a  $\Gamma$ -type function: Let a > 0. Define a function  $g: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  by the equation  $g(x) = x^2 + a^2$  for x > 0. Then g is log-concave on  $[a, \infty)$ , but on no interval *strictly* containing this one. Thus,  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $g^*: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  is log-convex on  $[a, \infty)$ . Also, for x > 0,

$$g^{*}(x) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{(n^{2} + a^{2}) \dots (l^{2} + a^{2})(n^{2} + a^{2})^{x}}{((n+x)^{2} + a^{2}) \dots (x^{2} + a^{2})} = \frac{\sin h\pi a}{\pi a} \Gamma^{2}(x) \prod_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+x)^{2}}{(n+x)^{2} + a^{2}}$$

Here we have used Gauss' product for the gamma function and the sine product

 $\sin \pi z = \pi z \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( 1 - \frac{x^2}{n^2} \right)$ with z = ai. The second derivative of log  $g^*$  at x > 0 is  $2 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+x)^2 - a^2}{\left((n+x)^2 + a^2\right)^2},$  Characterization of the Gamma Function

which is positive at x = a, and so, by continuity, is positive to the *immediate left* of a. It follows that the largest unbounded interval on which  $g^*$  is log-convex strictly contains the largest unbounded interval on which g is log-concave.

### 4. GAUSS' MULTIPLICATION FORMULA

a via Mandemanana Beele (V. Crapter VI, 14 fanalar sannag, P.

The Bohr-Mollerup-Artin Theorem enables several classical results about the gamma function to be established with ease, none more so than the *Gauss' Multiplication Formula*, and its special case, *Legendre's Duplication Formula*. A similar situation pertains in the context of  $\Gamma$ -type functions, where the analogue results are almost self-suggestive, and proofs are hardly needed. In this more general setting, however, Gauss' formula appears in a slightly modified form, the more usual one applying only to a special class of  $\Gamma$ -type functions.

THEOREM 4.1 (Gauss' Multiplication Formula). Let  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  and  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define functions  $g_m, h_m: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  by the equations

$$g_m(x) = g\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)$$
 and  $h_m(x) = \frac{g(x)}{g_m(x)}$  for  $x > 0$ .

Then  $g_m \in \mathcal{G}$ , and for x > 0

 $g^{*}\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)g^{*}\left(\frac{x+1}{m}\right) \dots g^{*}\left(\frac{g+m-1}{m}\right) = g^{*}\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)g^{*}\left(\frac{2}{m}\right) \dots g^{*}\left(\frac{m-1}{m}\right)g^{*}_{m}(x).$ If  $h_{m} \in \mathcal{G}$ , then for x > 0 $g^{*}\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)g^{*}\left(\frac{x+1}{m}\right) \dots g^{*}\left(\frac{x+m-1}{m}\right)h^{*}_{m}(x) = g^{*}\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)g^{*}\left(\frac{2}{m}\right) \dots g^{*}\left(\frac{m-1}{m}\right)g^{*}(x).$ 

*Proof.* Clearly,  $g_m \in \mathcal{G}$ . Determine a function  $f: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$  by the equation

$$g^*\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)g^*\left(\frac{2}{m}\right)\cdots g^*\left(\frac{m-1}{m}\right)f(x) = g^*\left(\frac{x}{m}\right)g^*\left(\frac{x+1}{m}\right)\cdots g^*\left(\frac{x+m-1}{m}\right),$$

where x > 0. Then f is log-convex  $f(x + 1) = g_m(x) f(x)$  for x > 0 and f(1) = 1, whence, by Theorem 3.1,  $f(x) = g_m(x)$  for x > 0, and the first form of the multiplication formula is established. If  $h_m \in \mathcal{G}$ , then  $h_m^*(x)g_m^*(x) = g^*(x)$  for x > 0, which immediately leads to the second form of the formula.  $\Box$ 

256

257

#### REFERENCES

- 1. E. Artin, Einführung in die Theorie der Gammafunktion, Teubner, Leipzig, 1931.
- 2. H. Bohr and J. Mollerup, Locrebog i Mathematik Analyse III, Kopenhagen, 1922, pp. 149-164.
- 3. N. Bourbaki, Éléments de Mathématique, Book IV, Chapter VII: La fonction gamma, Paris, 1951.
- 4. P. J. Davis, Leonhard Euler's integral. A historical profile of the gamma function, Amer. Math. Monthly 66 (1959), 849-869.
- 5. F. John, Special solutions of certain difference equations, Acta Math. 71 (1939), 175-189.
- 6. R. Leipnik and R. Oberg, Subvex functions and Bohr's uniqueness theorem, Amer. Math. RELIGENT REFERENCES AND Monthly 74 (1967), 1093-1094.
- 7. A. E. Mayer, Konvexe Lösung der Funktionalgleichung 1/f(x+1) = x f(x), Acta Math. 70 (1938), 57-62.

tow for a proposal startist starts for a first start of a first little of a start wat

not start with the start of story of an additional devices measured to write the start of the st

 $\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{v}}\left(\frac{1}{m}\right)\mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{v}}\left(\frac{2}{m}\right) \mapsto \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{v}}\left(\frac{|\underline{a}|+1}{m}\right) \mathbb{E}\left(\frac{|\underline{a}|}{m}\right) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{v}}\left(\frac{|\underline{a}|+1}{m}\right) \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{v}}\left(\frac{|\underline{a}|+1}{m}\right) = \mathbb{E}^{\mathbf{v}}\left(\frac{|\underline{a}|+1}{m}\right)$ 

z > 0, which in mediately leade to flow could firm of the formula  $\alpha$ 

- 8. A. W. Roberts and D. E. Varberg, Convex Functions, Academic Press, New York, 1973.
- 9. R. Webster, Convexity, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1994. ceneral softing, however, taugal formula appears in a slightly modified form, the

#### Received May 15, 1996

Pure Mathematics Section School of Mathematics and Statistics University of Sheffield Sheffield S3 7RH England England E-mail: R.J.WEBSTER@SHEFFIELD.AC.UK

258