

CAUCHY STRUCTURES AND CONTIGUITIES

ÁKOS CSÁSZÁR

A *screen* (= filter merotopy) on a set $X \neq \emptyset$ is a collection \mathfrak{S} of filters in X such that

$$S_1 \quad x \in X \text{ implies } \dot{x} \in \mathfrak{S},$$

$$S_2 \quad \mathfrak{s} \in \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{s} \subset \mathfrak{s}' \in \text{Fil } X \text{ imply } \mathfrak{s}' \in \mathfrak{S}.$$

\mathfrak{S} is a *Cauchy structure* iff further

$$S_3 \quad \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{s}' \in \mathfrak{S}, \mathfrak{s} \Delta \mathfrak{s}' \text{ imply } \mathfrak{s} \cap \mathfrak{s}' \in \mathfrak{S}$$

$$\left(\underline{a} \Delta \underline{b} \text{ means } A \cap B \neq \emptyset \text{ for } A \in \underline{a}, B \in \underline{b} \right).$$

It is well-known that a *contiguity* on X may be defined as a family $\mathcal{R} \subset \Phi(X)$ (= the collection of all finite subsets of $\text{exp } X$) such that

$$R_1 \quad \emptyset \in \mathcal{R} \text{ implies } \mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{R} \left(\mathcal{R} \in \Phi(X) \right),$$

$$R_2 \quad \mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{R} \text{ implies } \bigcap \mathcal{R} = \emptyset,$$

$$R_3 \quad \mathcal{R} \in \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R} \ll \mathcal{R}' \in \Phi(X) \text{ imply } \mathcal{R}' \in \mathcal{R},$$

$$R_4 \quad \{R_0, R_1, \dots, R_s\}, \{R'_0, R'_1, \dots, R'_s\} \in \mathcal{R} \text{ imply } \{R_0 \cup R'_0, R_1, \dots, R_s\} \in \mathcal{R}$$

($\mathcal{R} \ll \mathcal{R}'$ iff $R \in \mathcal{R}$ implies the existence of $R' \in \mathcal{R}'$ with $R \supset R'$).

For $2 \leq m \in \mathbb{N}$, the definition of an *m-contiguity* is obtained if $\Phi(X)$ is replaced by $\Phi_m(X) = \{\mathcal{R} \in \Phi(X) : |\mathcal{R}| \leq m\}$. For $m=2$, we obtain the concept of a Čech proximity.

Let $2 \leq m < n \in \mathbb{N}$. If \underline{R} is a contiguity or an n -contiguity, then ${}^m \underline{R} = \underline{R} \cap \Phi_m(X)$ is the m -contiguity induced by \underline{R} . Conversely, if \underline{M} is an m -contiguity, there exist a coarsest (= smallest) contiguity $\underline{R}^0(\underline{M})$ and a coarsest n -contiguity $\underline{N}^0(\underline{M})$ inducing \underline{M} .

If \underline{S} is a screen, then the systems $\underline{r} \in \Phi(X)$ ($\underline{r} \in \Phi_m(X)$) such that $\underline{r} \Delta \underline{S}$ does not hold for any $\underline{s} \in \underline{S}$ constitute the contiguity (m -contiguity) ${}^\omega \underline{S}({}^m \underline{S})$ induced by \underline{S} . Conversely, if \underline{R} is a contiguity or an m -contiguity, there exists a coarsest (= largest) screen $\underline{S}^0(\underline{R})$ inducing \underline{R} .

We look for conditions on \underline{R} implying that $\underline{S}^0(\underline{R})$ is a Cauchy structure.

If \underline{R} is a contiguity or an m -contiguity on X and $\underline{r} \in \underline{R}$, then an \underline{R} -swelling of \underline{r} is a mapping $\sigma: \underline{r} \rightarrow \exp X$ such that $\{\underline{R}, X - \sigma(\underline{r})\} \in \underline{R}$ for $\underline{R} \in \underline{r}$. We say that σ is free iff $\bigcap \sigma(\underline{r}) = \emptyset$, and it is (\underline{R} -) loose iff $\sigma(\underline{r}) \in \underline{R}$. The (m -) contiguity \underline{R} is said to be Efremovich iff each $\underline{r} \in \underline{R}$ admits a free \underline{R} -swelling; it is uniform iff the same holds with a loose \underline{R} -swelling. For $m = 2$, Efremovich m -contiguities coincide with Efremovich proximities, and in the case of a contiguity \underline{R} , it is uniform iff $\left\{ \{X - R: R \in \underline{r}\}: \underline{r} \in \underline{R} \right\}$ is the collection of all finite coverings in a uniformity.

THEOREM 1. For a contiguity \underline{R} , the following statements are equivalent:

- $\underline{S}^0(\underline{R})$ is a Cauchy structure.
- \underline{R} is Efremovich.
- \underline{R} is uniform.
- For each $2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\underline{N}^n \underline{R}$ is uniform and $\underline{R} = \underline{R}^0(\underline{N})$.
- The statement in d) holds for a single n .
- If $\underline{u}_1, \dots, \underline{u}_s$ and \underline{v} are ultrafilters in X such that $U \in \underline{u}_i, V \in \underline{v}$ imply $\{U, V\} \notin \underline{R}$ ($i = 1, \dots, s$), then $U_i \in \underline{u}_i$ implies $\{U_1, \dots, U_s\} \notin \underline{R}$.

THEOREM 2. For an n -contiguity \underline{N} ($2 \leq n \in \mathbb{N}$), the following statements are equivalent:

- $\underline{S}^0(\underline{N})$ is a Cauchy structure.
- \underline{N} is Efremovich.

- \underline{N} is uniform.
- $\underline{M} = {}^2 \underline{N}$ is uniform and $\underline{N} = \underline{N}^0(\underline{M})$.
- $\underline{R}^0(\underline{N})$ is uniform.
- If $\underline{u}_1, \dots, \underline{u}_s$ ($2 \leq s \leq n$) and \underline{v} are ultrafilters such that $U \in \underline{u}_i, V \in \underline{v}$ imply $\{U, V\} \notin \underline{N}$ ($i = 1, \dots, s$), then $U_i \in \underline{u}_i$ implies $\{U_1, \dots, U_s\} \notin \underline{N}$.

For $n = 2$, a) \Leftrightarrow b) \Leftrightarrow f) is contained in [1] and b) \Leftrightarrow f) in [2]. A detailed version of this paper is in print in *Acta Math. Hung.*

REFERENCES

- Á. Császár, *Acta Math. Hung.* **66** (1995), 201–215.
- L. Haddad, *Ann. Fac. Sci. Clermont-Ferrand* **44** (1970), 3–80.

Received May 15, 1996

Párizsi u. 6/A
Budapest 1052
Hungary