

ON (h, k) -STABILITY IN BANACH SPACES

VINCENTIU CUC, MIHAIL MEGAN

Let X be a real or complex Banach space. The norm on X and on space $\mathcal{B}(X)$ of all bounded linear operators from X into itself will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|$. Let T be the set of all pairs (t, t_0) of positive real numbers satisfying the inequality $t \geq t_0$.

DEFINITION 1. An evolution operator (on X) is a mapping $\Phi : T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$ with the properties:

- e1) $\Phi(t, s)\Phi(s, t_0) = \Phi(t, t_0)$ for all $(s, t_0) \in T$.
- e2) $\Phi(t, t) = I$ (the identity operator on X) for every $t \geq 0$.
- e3) For each $t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in X$ the function

$$t \rightarrow \Phi(t, t_0)x_0$$

is continuous on $[t_0, \infty)$.

Furthermore, if

- e4) there are $M \geq 1$ and $\omega > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi(t, t_0)\| \leq M \cdot \exp[\omega(t - t_0)] \text{ for all } (t, t_0) \in T,$$

then Φ is called an evolution operator with exponential growth.

Uniform and nonuniform stability concepts are introduced by

DEFINITION 2. An evolution operator $\Phi : T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$ is said to be:

- i) stable (and we write *s.*) iff there exists $M : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^*$ such that

$$\|\Phi(t, t_0)\| \leq M(t_0) \text{ for all } (t, t_0) \in T;$$

- ii) uniformly stable (and we write *u.s.*) iff there is $M > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi(t, t_0)\| \leq M \text{ for all } (t, t_0) \in T;$$

iii) exponentially stable (and we write e.s.) iff there are $a, b, c > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi(t, t_0)\| \leq c \cdot \exp(at_0) \cdot \exp(-bt) \text{ for all } (t, t_0) \in T;$$

iv) uniformly exponentially stable (and we write u.e.s.) iff there are $N, \nu > 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi(t, t_0)\| \leq N \cdot \exp[-\nu(t - t_0)] \text{ for all } (t, t_0) \in T.$$

Let \mathcal{H} be the set of all nondecreasing functions $h: \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^*$ and let $h \in \mathcal{H}$. If we denote

$$A_h = \left\{ \omega \in \mathbf{R} : \sup_{t \geq 0} h(t) \cdot \exp(-\omega t) < \infty \right\}$$

and, respectively,

$$B_h = \left\{ \omega \in \mathbf{R} : \inf_{t \geq 0} h(t) \cdot \exp(-\omega t) > 0 \right\},$$

then the numbers

$$\overline{\omega}_h \stackrel{d}{=} \begin{cases} \inf A_h & ; A_h \neq \emptyset \\ \infty & ; A_h = \emptyset \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \underline{\omega}_h \stackrel{d}{=} \begin{cases} \sup B_h & ; B_h \neq \emptyset \\ -\infty & ; B_h = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

are called the upper respectively the lower characteristic number of h .

PROPOSITION 1. The evolution operator Φ is:

i) with exponential growth iff there is $\varphi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\overline{\omega}_\varphi < \infty$ and

$$\|\Phi(t, t_0)\| \leq \varphi(t - t_0) \text{ for all } (t, t_0) \in T;$$

ii) exponentially stable iff there are $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\underline{\omega}_h > 0$ and $\overline{\omega}_k < \infty$ such that

$$h(t) \cdot \|\Phi(t, t_0)\| \leq k(t_0) \text{ for all } (t, t_0) \in T;$$

iii) uniformly exponentially stable iff there is $h \in \mathcal{H}$ with $\overline{\omega}_h < 0$ such that

$$\|\Phi(t, t_0)\| \leq h(t - t_0) \text{ for all } (t, t_0) \in T.$$

Proof. It follows from:

i) $\overline{\omega}_h < \infty$ iff there are $M, \omega > 0$ with $h(t) \leq M \cdot \exp(\omega t)$;

ii) $\underline{\omega}_h > 0$ iff there exist $m, b > 0$ with $h(t) \geq m \cdot \exp(bt)$;

iii) $\overline{\omega}_h < 0$ iff there are $N, \nu > 0$ such that $h(t) \leq N \cdot \exp(-\nu t)$. \square

DEFINITION 3. Let $h, k \in \mathcal{H}$. The evolution operator $\Phi: T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$ is called (h, k) -stable (and we write (h, k) -s.) iff there exists $c > 0$ such that

$$h(t) \cdot \|\Phi(t, t_0)x_0\| \leq c \cdot k(t_0) \cdot \|x_0\| \text{ for all } (t, t_0) \in T \text{ and } x_0 \in X.$$

Let \mathcal{E} be the set of all functions $h: \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+^*$ with the property that there is $\alpha > 0$ such that

$$h(t) = \exp(\alpha t) \text{ for every } t \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

If we denote by h_1 the constant function $h_1(t) = 1$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$, then we have

PROPOSITION 2. The evolution operator Φ is:

i) stable iff there is $k \in \mathcal{H}$ such that Φ is (h_1, k) -s.;

ii) uniformly stable iff it is (h_1, h_1) -s.;

iii) exponentially stable iff there are $h, k \in \mathcal{E}$ such that Φ is (h, k) -s.;

iv) uniformly exponentially stable iff there are $h, k \in \mathcal{E}$ with $h \geq k$ such that Φ is (h, k) -s.

Proof. It is obvious from Definition 2 and Definition 3. \square

A necessary condition for (h, k) -stability is given by

THEOREM 1. If $\Phi: T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$ is (h, k) -stable, then for every $H \in \mathcal{H}$ with

$\overline{\omega}_{\frac{H}{h}} < 0$ there is $c > 0$ such that

$$(1) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} H(t) \cdot \|\Phi(t, t_0)x_0\| dt \leq c \cdot k(t_0) \cdot \|x_0\|$$

for all $t_0 > 0$ and $x_0 \in X$.

Proof. If Φ is (h, k) -s., then there exist $N, c, \nu > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{t_0}^{\infty} H(t) \cdot \|\Phi(t, t_0)x_0\| dt &\leq N \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \exp(-\nu t) \cdot h(t) \cdot \|\Phi(t, t_0)x_0\| dt \leq \\ &\leq N \cdot c \cdot k(t_0) \cdot \|x_0\| \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \exp(-\nu t) dt \leq N \cdot c \cdot k(t_0) \cdot \|x_0\| \end{aligned}$$

for all $t_0 > 0$ and $x_0 \in X$. \square

A sufficient condition for (h, k) -stability is

THEOREM 2. Let $\Phi: T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$ be an evolution operator with exponential growth. If there exist $c > 0$ and $H \in \mathcal{H}$ such that (1) and $h(t + t_0) \leq H(t) \cdot H(t_0)$ hold for all $t, t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in X$, then Φ is (h, k) -s. \square

Proof. If $t_0 \geq 0$ and $t \geq t_0 + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) \cdot \|\Phi(t, t_0)x_0\| \cdot \int_0^1 \frac{du}{\varphi(u)H(u)} &\leq \int_{t-1}^t \frac{h(t) \cdot \|\Phi(t, s)\| \cdot \|\Phi(s, t_0)x_0\|}{\varphi(t-s) \cdot H(t-s)} ds \leq \\ &\leq \int_{t-1}^t H(s) \cdot \|\Phi(s, t_0)x_0\| ds \leq \int_{t_0}^{\infty} H(s) \cdot \|\Phi(s, t_0)x_0\| ds \leq c \cdot k(t_0) \cdot \|x_0\| \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$h(t) \cdot \|\Phi(t, t_0)x_0\| \leq c_1 \cdot k(t_0) \cdot \|x_0\|$$

for all $t \geq t_0 + 1$, $t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in X$, where

$$c_1 = \frac{c}{\int_0^1 \frac{du}{\varphi(u)h(u)}}$$

It follows that Φ is (h, k) -s. \square

As a particular case, we obtain a characterization of the exponential stability property given by

COROLLARY 1. *An evolution operator $\Phi : T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$ is exponentially stable if and only if there are $a, b, c > 0$ such that*

$$(2) \quad \int_{t_0}^{\infty} \exp(bt) \cdot \|\Phi(t, t_0)x_0\| dt \leq c \cdot \exp(at_0) \cdot \|x_0\|$$

for all $t_0 \geq 0$ and $x_0 \in X$.

Proof. Necessity: If Φ is e.s., then there are $a, b > 0$ such that Φ is (h, k) -s., where

$$h(t) = \exp(2bt) \text{ and } k(t) = \exp(at) \text{ for all } t \geq 0.$$

Then for $H(t) = \exp(bt)$ it follows that $\overline{\omega}_{\frac{H}{h}} < 0$ and the inequality (2) is satisfied.

Sufficiency: It is obvious that from Theorem 2 for

$$H(t) = h(t) = \exp(bt) \text{ and } k(t) = \exp(at)$$

it follows that Φ is e.s. \square

A characterization of the uniform exponential property is given by

COROLLARY 2. *An evolution operator $\Phi : T \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$ is uniformly exponentially stable if and only if there exist $a, b > 0$ with $b \geq a$ such that the inequality (2) is satisfied.*

Proof. It results similarly to the proof of Corollary 1. \square

REFERENCES

1. R. Datko, *Uniform asymptotic stability of evolutionary processes in a Banach space*, SIAM J. Math. Analysis 3 (1973), 428–448.
2. M. Megan, *On H -stability of evolution operators*, Seminarul de analiză matematică și aplicații în teoria controlului, Universitatea de Vest din Timișoara 66 (1995), 1–7.
3. M. Megan, *On (h, k) -dichotomy of evolution operators in Banach spaces*, Dynamical Systems and Applications 5 (1996), 189–196.
4. M. Pinto, *Asymptotic integration of systems resulting from the perturbations of an h -system*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 131 (1988), 194–216.
5. M. Reghis, *On nonuniform asymptotic stability*, J. Appl. Mech. 27 (1963), 344–362.

Received December 15, 1996

Seminarul de Analiză Matematică
Facultatea de Matematică
Universitatea de Vest
Bd. V. Pârvan, nr. 4
1900 Timișoara
România