### REVUE D'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET DE THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION

## Tome XXVII, Nº 1, 1998, pp. 15-22

Somen polynomials which under dollinic (n rublicus, angroxitano, a fuendou more closely that the shore polynomials.

## LINEAR COMBINATIONS OF D. D. STANCU POLYNOMIALS

Firstly, we consult that, by using a probability distribution which has connected with the Minecy-Folive intrasharte, it probability in probability in the polynomials P<sup>(2)</sup> r has been a **ITANA AGRATIN** 

In (10). Statest has exhibited a relation between two concentries terms of the sequence ( $\frac{n}{2}^{(1)} \mathcal{T}_{1}$  or  $\geq 0$ , which involvies the second externel which involves the second externel of foremers

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In the paper [10], D. D. Stancu has introduced and investigated a linear operator  $P_m^{\langle \alpha \rangle}$  which maps the space C[0, 1] into itself and is defined by

$$P_m^{(\alpha)}f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m w_{m,k}(x;\alpha) f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right),$$

where the management and the start of s110 no (gales and a management at

build a sequence of the sequences of the

$$w_{m,k}(x;\alpha) = {m \choose k} \frac{\prod_{\nu=0}^{k-1} (x+\nu\alpha) \prod_{\mu=0}^{m-k-1} (1-x+\mu\alpha)}{(1+\alpha)(1+2\alpha)\dots(1+\overline{m-1}\alpha)},$$

 $\alpha$  being a parameter which may depend only on the natural number *m*. If  $\alpha$  is non-negative, then these operators preserve the positivity of the function *f*. We remind that  $w_{m,k}(\cdot;\alpha)$  are known as "the fundamental polynomials of Stancu" and, by using the factorial powers we can write

$$w_{m,k}(x;\alpha) = \binom{m}{k} x^{[k,-\alpha]} (1-x)^{[m-k,-\alpha]} / 1^{[m,-\alpha]}$$

It is easy to check the following statements:  $P_m^{(\alpha)} f$  interpolates the function f at the extremities of the interval [0, 1]; for  $\alpha = -1/m$ , the operator becomes the Lagrange interpolating polynomial corresponding to the equally spaced nodes k/m,  $k = \overline{0, m}$ ; for  $\alpha = 0, P_m^{(0)}$  coincides with the classical Bernstein operator.

In the next section we shall present some basic results connected with these operators, which have been established by D. D. Stancu and several other authors. In the last part of the paper we shall deal with certain linear combinations of

AMS Subject Classification: 41A36.

Linear Combinations

#### 16 Octavian Agratini 2

Stancu polynomials which under definite conditions, approximate a function more closely than the above polynomials.

### 2. A SURVEY OF THE MAIN RESULTS CONCERNING THE OPERATOR $P_m^{\langle \alpha \rangle}$

Firstly, we remark that, by using a probability distribution which is connected with the Markov-Pólya urn scheme, a probabilistic interpretation of the polynomials  $P_m^{(\alpha)} f$  has been given in [11].

In [10], Stancu has established a relation between two consecutive terms of the sequence  $(P_m^{\langle \alpha \rangle} f), \alpha \ge 0$ , which involves the second order divided differences

$$(P_{m+1}^{\langle \alpha \rangle}f)(x) - (P_{m}^{\langle \alpha \rangle}f)(x) =$$

$$= -\frac{1}{m(m+1)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{m-1} \frac{(x+\nu\alpha)(1-x+\overline{m-\nu-1\alpha})}{(1+m\alpha)(1+\overline{m-1\alpha})} w_{m-1,\nu}(x;\alpha) \left[\frac{\nu}{m}, \frac{\nu+1}{m+1}, \frac{\nu+1}{m}; f\right].$$

This representation has led to the study of the monotonicity properties, as follows: if f is convex (concave) of first order on [0,1], then the sequence  $(P_m^{\langle \alpha \rangle} f)$  is decreasing (increasing) on [0,1]. By using the beta function, the author has proved that for  $\alpha > 0$  and every  $x \in (0,1)$  the following identity

$$w_{m,k}(x;\alpha) = {\binom{m}{k}} \frac{B(x\alpha^{-1} + k, (1-x)\alpha^{-1} + m - k)}{B(x\alpha^{-1}, (1-x)\alpha^{-1})}$$

holds. Consequently,  $P_m^{(\alpha)}$  can be represented by means of the Bernstein operator  $B_m$  according to the formula

(1) 
$$(P_m^{(\alpha)}f)(x) = \frac{1}{B\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}, \frac{1-x}{\alpha}\right)} \int_0^1 t^{\frac{x}{\alpha}-1} (1-t)^{\frac{1-x}{\alpha}-1} (B_m f)(t) dt, \quad x \in (0,1).$$

Concerning the estimate of the order of approximation, D. D. Stancu has proved i) if  $f \in C[0,1]$ , then  $|f(x) - (P_m^{(\alpha)} f)(x)| \le \frac{3}{2}\omega(f,\delta)$ , ii) if  $f \in C^1[0,1]$ , then  $|f(x) - (P_m^{(\alpha)} f)(x)| \le \frac{3}{4}\delta\omega(f',\delta)$ ,

here  $\delta = \{(1 + \alpha m) / (m + \alpha m)\}^{1/2}$ .

Further, Gonska and Meier [3] have improved the values of the constants finding 5/4, respectively 5/8.

Furthermore, Stancu has found various representations of the remainder term  $R_m^{(\alpha)} f = f - P_m^{(\alpha)} f$ . We quote here the following formulae [14]:

$$(R_m^{(\alpha)}f)(x) = -\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{(x+k\alpha)(1-x+m-1-k\alpha)}{m(1+m-1\alpha)} w_{m-1,k}(x;\alpha) \left[ x, \frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}; f \right]$$
  
and  
$$(R_m^{(\alpha)}f)(x) = -\frac{x(1-x)}{m} \frac{1+m\alpha}{1+\alpha} [\xi_{m,1}, \xi_{m,2}, \xi_{m,3}; f],$$
  
where  $\xi_{m,1}, \xi_{m,2}, \xi_{m,3}$  are distinct points on [0,1]. If we assume that  $f \in C^2(0,1)$ ,  
we can obtain the relation

$$(R_m^{\langle \alpha \rangle} f)(x) = -\frac{x(1-x)}{m} \frac{1+m\alpha}{1+\alpha} f''(\xi_m), \quad \xi_m \in (0,1),$$

or an integral representation

$$(R_m^{\langle \alpha \rangle} f)(x) = \int_0^1 (R_m^{\langle \alpha \rangle} \psi_x)(t) f''(t) dt, \quad \psi_x(t) = (x-t)_+.$$

Under the hypotheses  $0 \le \alpha = \alpha(m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$  and f possesses a second derivative at a point  $x \in [0,1]$ , an asymptotic estimate of Voronovskaja type was given

$$(R_m^{\langle \alpha \rangle} f)(x) = -\frac{1+m\alpha}{1+\alpha} \frac{x(1-x)}{2m} f''(x) + \frac{\varepsilon_m^{\langle \alpha \rangle}(x)}{m}$$

where  $\varepsilon_m^{(\alpha)}(x)$  tends to 0 when *m* tends to  $\infty$ . According to paper [13, p. 36], the result is also valid if  $\alpha = \alpha(m)$  is a non positive number so that  $-m\alpha(m) \le \varepsilon$ , where  $0 \le \varepsilon < 1/2$  and  $x \in [\varepsilon, 1-\varepsilon]$ . If we further assume that  $m\alpha(m) \rightarrow a, a > -1/2$ , then we can write  $\lim_{m \to \infty} m[f(x) - (P_m^{(\alpha)}f)(x)] =$ -(1/2)(1+a)x(1-x)f''(x).

Under the hypothesis that f has the derivative of order 2p at x, Mühlbach [8] obtained a generalization of this result.

Mastroianni and Occorsio [5] studied the sequence  $(P_m^{(\alpha)} f)^{(p)}$  of derivatives of order p  $(0 \le p < m)$  of  $(P_m^{(\alpha)} f)$ . For  $f \in C^p[0,1]$  they proved  $\lim_{m \to \infty} (P_m^{(\alpha)} f)^{(p)}(x) = f^{(p)}(x)$ , where  $x \in [0,1]$  and  $\alpha = \alpha(m) = o(m^{-1})$ . The same authors [6] introduced and investigated the iterates of Stancu operator, defined as follows

 $(P_m^{\langle \alpha \rangle})^0 = I, \quad (P_m^{\langle \alpha \rangle})^1 = P_m^{\langle \alpha \rangle}, \quad (P_m^{\langle \alpha \rangle})^j = P_m^{\langle \alpha \rangle} (P_m^{\langle \alpha \rangle})^{j-1}, \quad j > 1.$ 

17

The works of Rate

19

Octavian Agratini

4

18

where  $u(x) = (x - d_0^{-1})(x - d_1^{-1}) \dots (x - d_k^{-1})$ . It is clear that for any  $m \le k$ ,  $(L_k e_m)(x) = e_m(x)$ . For x = 0, this implies  $(L_k e_0)(0) = 1$  and  $(L_k e_m)(0) = 0$  for  $1 \le m \le k$ . On the other hand, we can write

$$(L_k e_m)(0) = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{(-1)^k d_i^{k-m}}{(d_0 - d_i) \dots (d_k - d_i)} = \sum_{i=0}^k c(i, k) d_i^{-m},$$

which leads us to (3).

By using the coefficients defined by (2), we are able to form a linear combination of Stancu operators as follows:

(4) 
$$(D_{m,k}^{\alpha}f)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{k} c(i,k) (P_{d_{i}m}^{(\alpha)}f)(x).$$

For  $d_0 = 1$ , one obtains  $D_{m,0}^{\alpha} = P_m^{(\alpha)}$ . Also, we have  $(D_{m,k}^{\alpha}f)(x_0) = f(x_0)$ for  $x_0 = 0$  and  $x_0 = 1$ .

THEOREM 1. The following identities

(5) 
$$D_{m,k}^{\alpha}e_0 = e_0, \quad D_{m,k}^{\alpha}e_1 = e_1, \quad D_{m,k}^{\alpha}e_2 = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha+1}e_1 + \frac{1}{\alpha+1}e_2$$

hold.

Proof. Taking into account the next identities [10, Lemma 4.1]

$$(P_m^{(\alpha)}e_0)(x) = 1, \qquad (P_m^{(\alpha)}e_1)(x) = x,$$
$$(P_m^{(\alpha)}e_2)(x) = \frac{1}{1+\alpha} \left(\frac{x(1-x)}{m} + x(x+\alpha)\right),$$

and the relation (4), we easily obtain the desired result.

At this point, we introduce the s-th order central moments of the operator  $(R^{(\alpha)})$ , where  $(R^{(\alpha)}) = 0$ .

 $P_m^{(\alpha)}$ , that is  $\mu_{m,s}(\alpha; x) = (P_m^{(\alpha)} \varphi_x^s)(x)$ , where  $\varphi_x = e_1 - xe_0$ .

It should be mentioned that the recurrence relation for the central moments of the Markov-Pólya distribution has been established by D. D. Stancu [12].

LEMMA 1. Let us assume that  $0 < \alpha$ . The following identity

(6) 
$$m^{s}\mu_{m,s}(\alpha;x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} \theta_{s,i}(\alpha;x) m^{i}, m \ge 1, s \ge 0, x \in [0,1],$$

holds, where  $\theta_{s,i}(\alpha; x)$  are polyno:nials in x of degree less than or equal to s.

*Proof.* Knowing that the operator  $P_m^{(\alpha)}$  is interpolatory at both sides of the interval [0,1], (6) becomes true for x = 0 and x = 1.

They proved the following limiting relation  $\lim_{n \to \infty} (P_m^{(\alpha)})^j (f; x) = f(0) + (f(1) - f(0)) x,$ 

# uniformly on [0,1], for any $\alpha \ge 0$ .

Finally, we mention two important papers: [1] and [2]. In the former, Della Vecchia elaborated a well-informed synthesis of the principal results obtained in the theory of uniform approximation of continuous functions by means of various classes of linear positive operators of D. D. Stancu, and in the latter Di Lorenzo and Occorsio achieved a systematic presentation of Stancu polynomials  $P_m^{(\alpha)}$ .

## 3. A NEW RESULT

In [6] it was treated a linear combination of the iterates of Stancu polynomials defined by the operatorial formula  $S_{m,k}^{\alpha} := I - (I - P_m^{(\alpha)})^k =$ =  $\sum_{i=1}^{k} (-1)^{i-1} {k \choose i} (P_m^{(\alpha)})^i$ , where *I* represents the identity operator.  $S_{m,k}^{\alpha}$  gives a better approximation than  $P_m^{(\alpha)} = S_{m,1}^{\alpha}$  for sufficiently smooth functions. For the same operator  $P_m^{(\alpha)}$  we study here another type of linear combinations based on the works of Rathore [9] and May [7].

In what follows, we denote  $e_j : [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}$ ,  $e_j(x) = x^j$ ,  $j \ge 0$ . Let  $d_0, d_1, \dots, d_k$ be k+1 arbitrary, fixed and distinct positive integers. We define

(2) c(0,0) = 1 and  $c(i,k) = d_i^k \prod_{\substack{j=0\\j\neq i}}^k (d_i - d_j)^{-1}, \quad k \neq 0.$ 

These coefficients enjoy the properties

$$3) \qquad \qquad \sum_{i=0}^{k} c(i,$$

 $(k, k) = 1; \quad \sum_{i=0}^{k} c(i, k) d_i^{-m} = 0, \quad 1 \le m \le k.$ 

Indeed, let us take  $L_k f$  the Lagrange interpolating polynomial corresponding to the function f and the nodes  $d_i^{-1}$ ,  $i = \overline{0, k}$ ,  $(L_k f)(x) = \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{u(x)}{(x - d_i^{-1})\frac{du}{dx}(d_i^{-1})} f(d_i^{-1})$ ,  $m^{s}\mu_{m,s}(\alpha;x) = \frac{1}{B\left(\frac{x}{-1},\frac{1-x}{-1}\right)} \int_{0}^{1} t^{\frac{x}{\alpha}-1} (1-t)^{\frac{1-s}{\alpha}-1} T_{m,s}(t) dt =$ 

For any integer  $k \ge 1$ , we can write

where  $b_{s,i} = \max_{1 \le k \le s} |a_{s,i,k}|$ . Now, we can state the following result:

dent of m. By using the relation (1), we have

proof of our lemma.

(7)

Linear Combinations

Next, we consider only 0 < x < 1. We recall an old result concerning the THEOREM 2. Let us assume that  $0 < \alpha(m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . For any  $s \ge 1$  one Bernstein operators (see [4, § 1.5, pp. 13 - 14]). If we consider the expressions  $\| D_{m,k}^{\alpha} \varphi_x^s \| = \mathbf{O}(m^{-(k+1)}), \quad m \to \infty,$ has  $T_{m,s}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m} {m \choose i} x^{i} (1-x)^{m-i} (i-mx)^{s}, \text{ then for a fixed } s, T_{m,s}(x) \text{ is a polyno-}$ where  $\|\cdot\|$  stands for the sup norm on C[0,1]. mial in x and m; in x of degree  $\leq s$ , in m of degree [s/2]. Thus we can write *Proof.* By using relations (4) and (6), we get  $T_{m,s}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} \phi_{s,i}(x) m^{i}$ , where  $\phi_{s,i}$  are polynomials in x of degree  $\leq s$ , independent  $\left(D_{m,k}^{\alpha}\varphi_{x}^{s}\right)(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{k}c(j,k)\,\mu_{d_{j}m,s}(\alpha;x)=$  $= \sum_{j=0}^{k} c(j,k) (d_{j}m)^{-s} \sum_{i=0}^{[s/2]} \theta_{s,i}(\alpha;x) (d_{j}m)^{i} =$  $=\frac{1}{m^{k+1}}\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor}\frac{\theta_{s,i}(\alpha;x)}{m^{s-i-(k+1)}}\sum_{i=0}^{k}c(j,k)\frac{1}{d_{j}^{s-i}}.$  $=\frac{1}{B\left(\frac{x}{\alpha},\frac{1-x}{\alpha}\right)}\sum_{i=0}^{[s/2]}m^{i}\int_{0}^{1}t^{\frac{x}{\alpha}-1}(1-t)^{\frac{1-x}{\alpha}-1}\phi_{s,i}(t)\,\mathrm{d}t.$ According to (3), we have  $\sum_{i=0}^{k} c(j,k) d^{-(s-i)} = 0$  for s-i=1, 2, ..., k. We shall consider  $s - i \ge k + 1$ . Taking into account (7), we further write  $|(D_{m,k}^{\alpha}\varphi_{x}^{s})(x)| \leq \frac{1}{m^{k+1}} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor s/2 \rfloor} |\Theta_{s,i}(\alpha;x)| \sum_{i=0}^{k} |c(j,k)| \frac{1}{d_{i}^{s-i}} \leq \frac{\gamma}{m^{k+1}},$  $\frac{1}{B\left(\frac{x}{\alpha},\frac{1-x}{\alpha}\right)}\int_{0}^{1}t^{\frac{x}{\alpha}-1}(1-t)^{\frac{1-x}{\alpha}-1}t^{k} dt = \frac{B\left(\frac{x}{\alpha}+k,\frac{1-x}{\alpha}\right)}{B\left(\frac{x}{\alpha},\frac{1-x}{\alpha}\right)} = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1}\left(\frac{x+j\alpha}{1+j\alpha}\right),$ where  $\gamma$  is a constant that depends on the numbers  $s, d_0, d_1, \dots, d_k$  but is independent of m. The result follows. which represents a polynomial in x of degree k. The above identities complete the THEOREM 3. Let us assume that  $0 < \alpha(m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . If f is bounded on [0, 1] and is 2k + 2 times differentiable at some point  $x \in [0,1]$ , then We further assume that  $0 < \alpha = \alpha(m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ . Keeping the nota- $|(D_{m,k}^{\alpha}f)(x) - f(x)| \le C_k m^{-(k+1)},$ tions used in the above proof, for  $s \ge 1$ , it results  $\phi_{s,i}(0) = 0$  and we can put where  $C_k$  is a constant that depends on k.  $\phi_{s,i}(t) = \sum_{k=1}^{s} a_{s,i,k} t^{k}$ . The coefficients  $a_{s,i,k}$  are independent of  $\alpha$ . For  $x \in [0,1]$ *Proof.* By using the Taylor expansion to f, we can write for all  $t \in [0,1]$  $f(t) = f(x) + \sum_{r=1}^{2k+2} \frac{f^{(r)}(x)}{r!} (t-x)^r + (t-x)^{2k+2} h(t-x),$  $|\theta_{s,i}(\alpha;x)| \le \sum_{k=1}^{s} |a_{s,i,k}| \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{x+j\alpha}{1+j\alpha} \le sb_{s,i},$ where h is bounded and  $\lim h(u) = 0$ . By virtue of the linearity of the operator  $D_{m,k}^{\alpha}$  and by relations (4) and (5) we obtain потан, чећове (h., (az = ), ати рођ не LEMMA 2. If  $0 < \alpha(m) \rightarrow 0$  as  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , then there exists a constant  $\beta_{s,i}$  such that  $(D_{m,k}^{\alpha}f)(x) - f(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{2k+2} \frac{f^{(r)}(x)}{r!} (D_{m,k}^{\alpha}\varphi_{x}^{r})(x) + \sum_{k=0}^{k} c(j,k) (P_{d_{j}m}^{(\alpha)}R_{2k+2,x})(x),$ Protect Knowling that the reprinter 'P" will is brightland, at both sides of the  $|\theta_{s,i}(\alpha;x)| \leq \beta_{s,i}, \quad x \in [0,1].$ 

20

where  $R_{2k+2,x} = \varphi_x^{2k+2} h(\cdot - x)$ . Choosing s = 2k+2 in (6), from the boundness of h, Lemma 2 and the Cauchy inequality it results that there exists a constant  $\gamma$  which depends on k and  $d_j$  such that

$$\left(P_{d,m}^{(\alpha)}R_{2k+2,x}\right)(x) \leq \gamma m^{-(k+1)}.$$

Theorem 3 follows from (3) and Theorem 2.

## REFERENCES

- 1. B. Della Vecchia, On the approximation of functions by means of the operators of D. D. Stancu, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Mathematica, 37, 1 (1992), 3-36.
- 2. A. Di Lorenzo and M. R. Occorsio, Polinomi di Stancu, Rapp. Tecnico, no. 121/95, I.A.M., Napoli, 1995.

3. H. H. Gonska and J. Meier, Quantitative theorems on approximation by Bernstein-Stancu operators, Calcolo 21 (1984), fasc. IV, 317-335.

- 4. G. G. Lorentz, Bernstein Polynomials, University of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1953.
- G. Mastroianni and M. R. Occorsio, Sulle derivate dei polinomi di Stancu, Rend. Accad. Sci. Fis. Mat. Napoli, 45, 4 (1978), 273-281.
- G. Mastroianni and M. R. Occorsio, Una generalizatione dell'operatore di Stancu, Rend. Accad. Sci. Fis. Mat. Napoli, 45, 4 (1978), 495-511.
- 7. C. P. May, Saturation and inverse theorems for combinations of a class of exponential-type operators, Canad. J. Math., 28 (1976), 1224–1250.
- G. Mühlbach, Verallgemeinerungen der Bernstein- und Lagrange-Polynome. Bemerkungen zu einer Klasse linearer Polynomoperatoren von D. D. Stancu, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. 15, 8 (1970), 1235-1252.
- 9. R. K. S. Rathore, Linear Combinations of Linear Positive Operators and Generating Relations in Special Functions, Thesis, I.I.T., Delhi, 1973.
- 10. D. D. Stancu, Approximation of functions by a new class of linear polynomial operators, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 13, 8 (1968), 1173-1194.
- 11. D. D. Stancu, Use of probabilistic methods in the theory of uniform approximation of continuous functions, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl., 14 (1969), 673-691.
- 12. D. D. Stancu, Recurrence relations for the central moments of some discrete probability laws, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Cluj, Ser. Math.-Mech., 15, 1 (1970), 55-62.
- 13. D. D. Stancu, Approximation properties of a class of linear positive operators, Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai, Cluj, Ser. Math.-Mech., 15, 2 (1970), 33-38.
- D. Stancu, On the remainder of approximation of functions by means of a parameterdependent linear polynomial operator, Studia Univ. Babes-Bolyai, Cluj, Ser. Math.-Mech., 16, 2 (1971), 59-66.

Received November 15, 1997

"Babeş-Bolyai" University, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science 1, M.Kogălniceanu St., 3400 Cluj-Napoca, Romania