## REVUE D'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET DE THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION Tome XXVII, N° 1, 1998, pp. 47-58

symmetric closed convex body a convex cath Concerning the properties of arthurst numbers, we shall follow the trivil a 1244, with the difference that in the re-mail

## ANTIPROXIMINAL SETS IN THE BANACH SPACE $C(\omega^k; X)$

S. COBZAŞ

(1) and when see of proping vice secural numbers

For a normed space X, a nonvoid subset M of X and an element  $x \in X$  denote by  $d(x, M) = \inf \{||x - y|| : y \in M\}$  the distance from x to M and by  $P_M(x) = \{y \in M : ||x - y|| = d(x, M)\}$  the set of all nearest points to x in M. The set M is called proximinal if  $P_M(x) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $x \in X$  and antiproximinal if  $P_M(x) = \emptyset$ , for all  $x \in X \setminus M$ . (Observe that  $P_M(y) = \{y\}$ , for all  $y \in M$ .)

Klee [19] denoted by  $N_1$  the class of all normed spaces containing an antiproximinal closed convex set and by  $N_2$  the class of all normed spaces containing an antiproximinal bounded closed convex set. Using James' characterization of reflexivity in terms of support functionals of the unit ball, V. Klee loc. cit. showed that a Banach space belongs to the class  $N_1$  if and only if it is nonreflexive. The first example of a Banach space of class  $N_2$  was found by M. Edelstein and A. C. Thompson [13] – the Banach space  $c_0$  contains an antiproximinal bounded symmetric closed convex body. By a convex body we mean a convex set with nonvoid interior. In [8] it was shown that the Banach space c belongs to the class  $N_2$  too, and this property is shared by any Banach space of continuous functions isomorphic to  $c_0$  [9]. The existence of bounded closed antiproximinal convex sets in more general spaces of continuous functions was proved by V. P. Fonf [15]. Recently V. S. Balaganskii [3] has proved the existence of bounded antiproximinal convex bodies in any Banach space C(T), for an arbitrary compact Hausdorff space T. By a result of D. Amir [1], a Banach space C(T) of real-valued continuous functions on a compact Hausdorff space T is isomorphic to  $c_0$  if and only if C(T) is isometrically isomorphic to a space  $C(\omega^k n)$  of continuous functions on the interval  $[1, \omega^k n]$  of ordinal numbers, where  $\omega$  denotes the first infinite ordinal.

The aim of the present paper is to extend the result from [9] to the vector-valued case. Similar results for the spaces  $c_0(X)$  and c(X) were obtained in [10] and [11].

THEOREM 1. If X is a non-trivial Banach space, then the Banach space  $C(\omega^k n; X)$  of all X-valued functions on the ordinal interval  $[1, \omega^k n]$  contains an antiproximinal bounded symmetric closed convex body.

show that this sum is topological too, i.e.,

$$C(\Delta; X) = Y_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus Y_n.$$

Now, since the space  $Y_i$  is linearly isometric to  $C(\omega^k; X)$ , it contains an antiproximinal convex cell  $V_i$ . We shall show that the convex cell  $V = V_1 + ... + V_i$ , is antiproximinal in  $C(\Delta; X)$ . Indeed, let  $x = x_1 = ... = x_n, x_i = x\chi_{\Delta_i}$ , be an element in  $C(\omega^k n; X) \setminus V$  and let  $y = y_1 + ... + y_n, y_i = y \chi_{\Delta_i} \in V_i, i = 1, ..., n$ . Put  $N_1 = \{i : 1 \le i \le n, ||x_i - y_i|| = ||x - y||\}$  and  $N_2 = \{1, ..., n\} \setminus N_1$ . It is obvious that  $N_1 \neq \emptyset$ . If  $i \in N_1$  is such that  $x_i \notin V_i$ , then, since  $V_i$  is antiproximinal in  $Y_i$ , there exists  $y_i' \in V_i$  such that  $||x_i - y_i'|| < ||x_i - y_i||$ . If  $i \in N_1$  and  $x_i \in V_i$ , then  $y_i' = 2^{-1}(x_i + y_i) \in V_i$  and  $||x_i - y_i'|| = 2^{-1}||x_i - y_i|| < ||x_i - y_i||$ . Letting  $y_i' \in y_i$  for  $i \in N_2$ , it follows that the element  $y' = y'_1 + ... + y'_n \in V$  verifies

$$||x - y'|| = \max \{ \max_{i \in N_1} ||x_i - y_i'||, \max_{i \in N_2} ||x_i - y_i'|| \} < ||x - y||,$$

showing that x has no nearest points in V.  $\square$ 

Let  $c_0(X)$  be the Banach space of all sequences  $x: \mathbb{N}^+ \to X$  such that  $\lim_{i} x(i) = 0$  normed by all of m - or n + 1) ] = 1 + 0 + 1 for m n e 4N and . Let W 'me solution (d) and

(3) 
$$||x|| = \sup_{i \in \mathbb{N}^+} ||x(i)||.$$

In order to avoid a tedious notation (and taking into account Halmos' advice [17, p. 42]), in what follows we shall give some proofs only in the case k = 3. The general case can be handled similarly.

LEMMA 2. The Banach spaces  $C(\omega^k; X)$  and  $c_0(X)$  are linearly isomorphic.

*Proof.* Let k = 3. We shall identify the space  $c_0(X)$  with the space  $c_0(\mathbb{N}^3; X)$ of all functions  $x: \mathbb{N}^3 \to X$  such that the set  $\{\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^3 : ||x(\lambda)|| \ge \varepsilon\}$  is finite for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For  $x \in C(\omega^3; X)$  define  $Hx : \mathbb{N}^3 \to X$  by Hx = y, where

(4a) 
$$y(0,0,0) = x(\omega^3),$$

(4b) 
$$y(m, 0, 0) = x(\omega^2 m) - x(\omega^3), m \in \mathbb{N}^+,$$

(4c) 
$$y(m, n, 0) = x(\omega^2 m + \omega n) - x(\omega^2 (m+1)), m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}^+,$$

(4d) 
$$y(m, n, k) = x(\omega^2 m + \omega n + k) - x(\omega^2 m + \omega (n+1)), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}^+.$$

We shall consider only real Banach spaces and we agree to call a bounded symmetric closed convex body a convex cell. Concerning the properties of ordinal numbers, we shall follow the treatise [24], with the difference that in the normal Cantor expansion of a countable ordinal  $\alpha, \alpha = \omega^{k_1} n_1 + ... + \omega^{k_p} n_p, \omega > k_1 > ... k_p$ , we admit the possibility  $n_i = 0$ , meaning that the corresponding term misses, e.g.,  $\omega^3 0 + \omega^2 3 + \omega 0 + 5 = \omega^2 3 + 5$ . We also adopt the convention  $w^0 = 1$  and we shall denote by  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, ...\}$  the set of natural numbers and by  $\mathbb{N}^+ = \{1, 2, ...\}$ = $[1, \omega]$  the set of positive natural numbers.

For the properties of topological spaces of ordinal numbers and of Banach spaces of continuous functions defined on intervals of ordinals we refer to [23]. For an ordinal number  $\alpha$ , we denote by  $C(\alpha; X)$  the Banach space (with the usual sup-norm) of all X-valued continuous functions defined on the interval  $[1, \alpha]$ of ordinal numbers. It is well known that, equipped with the interval topology,  $[1,\alpha]$  is a compact Hausdorff space (see [23, p. 151]). The Banach space  $C(\alpha;\mathbb{R})$ will be denoted simply by  $C(\alpha)$ . The isomorphic classification of Banach spaces of type  $C(\alpha)$  was given by C. Bessaga and A. Pelczynski [4] for countable ordinals and by S. P. Gul'ko and A. V. Os'kin [16], in general. The author is unaware whether some similar results are available in the vector-valued case.

The proof of Theorem 1 will proceed in several steps and it is different and, to some extent, simpler than that given in [9] for the scalar case. The main innovation consists in the use of an explicit form of an isomorphism between  $c_0(X)$  and  $C(\omega^k; X)$ , inspired from the construction of the isomorphism between  $c_0$  and c, given in [28, p. 55]. As a partial state of the state

We show first that it is sufficient to prove Theorem 1 in the case  $C(\omega^k; X)$ .

LEMMA 1. If the Banach space  $C(\omega^k; X)$  contains an antiproximinal convex cell, then the Banach space  $C(\omega^k n; X)$  also contains an antiproximinal convex cell, for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . Although it is easily the bound to make a no small point.

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta = [1, \omega^k n]$  and  $\Delta_i = [\omega^k (i-1) + 1, \omega^k i], \quad i = 1, ..., n$ . Put  $Y_i = \{x\chi_{\Delta_i} : x \in C(\Delta; X)\}$ , where  $\chi_{\Delta_i}$  denotes the characteristic function of the set  $\Delta_i$ . It is obvious that  $Y_i$  is linearly isometric to  $C(\Delta_i; X)$  and, since  $\Delta_i$  is homeomorphic to  $[1,\omega^k]$ , it follows that  $C(\Delta_i;X)$  is linearly isometric to  $C(\omega^k; X)$  for all i = 1, ..., n. Since every  $x \in C(\Delta; X)$  can be uniquely written in the form  $x = x_1 + ... + x_n$ , with  $x_i = x\chi_{\Delta_i} \in Y_i$ , i = 1, ..., n, it follows that  $C(\Delta; X)$ is the direct algebraic sum of the subspaces  $Y_i$ . The equalities

(1) 
$$||x|| = \max_{\alpha \in \Delta} ||x(\alpha)|| = \max_{1 \le i \le n} \max_{\alpha \in \Delta_i} ||x_i(\alpha)||$$

First prove that  $y \in c_0(\mathbb{N}^3; X)$ . For  $(m, n, k) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$  put  $t_{m, n, k} = -2^{-m-1} - 2^{-m-n-2} - 2^{-m-n-k-2}$ ,  $t_{0,0,0} = 0$  and let  $T = \{t_{m,n,k} : (m, n, k) \in \mathbb{N}^3\}$ . It follows that the application  $h: [1, \omega^3] \to T$ , defined by  $h(\omega^3) = 0$  and  $h(\omega^2 m + \omega n + k) = t_{m,n,k}$ , for  $(m, n, k) \in \mathbb{N}^3 \setminus \{(0, 0, 0)\}$ , is a (strictly increasing) homeomorphism between the compact spaces  $[1, \omega^3]$  and T. Consequently, the topology of  $[1, \omega^3]$  is generated by the metric

(5) 
$$\rho(\alpha, \beta) = |h(\alpha) - h(\beta)|$$

for  $\alpha, \beta \in [1, \omega^3]$ .

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. By the uniform continuity of the function x there exists a real number  $\delta > 0$  such that

(6) 
$$||x(\alpha) - x(\beta)|| < \varepsilon$$

for all  $\alpha, \beta \in [1, \omega^3]$  with  $|h(\alpha) - h(\beta)| < \delta$ . Choose  $m_0, n_0, k_0 \in \mathbb{N}^+$  such that  $2^{-m_0} < \delta, 2^{-n_0} < \delta, 2^{-k_0} < \delta$ . Since  $|h(\omega^2 m) - h(\omega^3)| = 2^{-m}$ ,  $m \in \mathbb{N}^+$ ,  $|h(\omega^2 m + \omega n) - h(\omega^2 (m+1))| = 2^{-m-n-1}$ , for  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , and  $|h(\omega^2 m + \omega n + k) - h(\omega^2 m + \omega (n+1))| = 2^{-m-n-k-2}$  for  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$  the relations (4) and (6) yield

 $\{(m,n,k)\in\mathbb{N}^3:|y(m,n,k)|\geq\varepsilon\}\subseteq\{m,n,k)\in\mathbb{N}^3:m\leq k_0,n\leq n_0,k\leq k_0\}.$  Therefore  $y\in c_0(\mathbb{N}^3;X).$ 

It is obvious that the above defined operator  $H: C(\omega^3; X) \to c_0(\mathbb{N}^3; X)$  is linear and, because  $||Hx|| \le 2 ||x||$ , it is also continuous. Since the equations (4) can be uniquely solved with respect to x, the operator H is a bijection and its inverse  $G: c_0(\mathbb{N}^3; X) \to C(\omega^3; X)$  is given by x = Gy, where

(7) 
$$x(\omega^3) = y(0,0,0),$$

 $x(\omega^2 m) = y(m, 0, 0) + y(0, 0, 0), m \in \mathbb{N}^+,$ 

 $x(\omega^{2}m + \omega n) = y(m, n, 0) + y(m+1, 0, 0) + y(0, 0, 0), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^{+},$  $x(\omega^{2}m + \omega n + k) = y(m, n, k) + y(m, n+1, 0) + y(0, 0, 0), \quad m, n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}^{+}.$ 

It follows  $||Gy|| \le 4||y||$ , for all  $y \in c_0(\mathbb{N}^3; X)$ , implying the continuity of G.

Now we shall construct a special isomorphism A of  $C(\omega^3; X)$  onto itself in the following way: For an element  $x \in C(\omega^3; X)$  define  $Ax: [1, \omega^3] \to X$  by the formulae

(8a) 
$$Ax(\omega^3) = x(\omega^3) + 2^{-3} \sum_{1 \le i < \omega} (-2)^{-i} x(\omega^2 i);$$

(8b) 
$$Ax(\omega^2 m) = x(\omega^2 m) + 2^{-3} \sum_{1 \le i \le m-1} (-2)^{-i} x(\omega^2 i) +$$

$$+2^{-m-2}\sum_{1\leq i<\omega}(-2)^{-i}x(\omega^{2}(m-1)+\omega i), m\in\mathbb{N}^{+};$$

(8c) 
$$Ax(\omega^{2}m + \omega n) = x(\omega^{2}m + \omega n) + 2^{-3} \sum_{1 \le i \le m} (-2)^{-i} x(\omega^{2}i) +$$

$$+2^{-m-3}\sum_{1\leq i\leq n-1}(-2)^{-i}x(\omega^2m+\omega i)+$$

$$+ 2^{-m-n-2} \sum_{1 \le i < \omega} (-2)^{-i} x(\omega^2 m + \omega(n-1) + 2i - 1), \quad m \in \mathbb{N}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}^+;$$

(8d) 
$$Ax(\omega^2 m + \omega n + k) = x(\omega^2 m + \omega n + k) + 2^{-3} \sum_{1 \le i \le m} (-2)^{-i} x(\omega^2 i) +$$

$$+2^{-m-3}\sum_{1\leq i\leq n}(-2)^{-i}x(\omega^{2}m+\omega i)+2^{-m-n-3}\sum_{1\leq i\leq k}(-2)^{-i}x(\omega^{2}m+\omega n+2i-1)+$$

$$+2^{-m-n-k-2}\sum_{1\leq i<\omega}(-2)^{-i}x(\omega^{2}m+\omega n+2^{k}(2i-1)), \quad m,n\in\mathbb{N}, \quad k\in\mathbb{N}^{+}.$$

(We adopt the convention  $\sum_{i \in \emptyset} a_i = 0$ .)

LEMMA 3. The application A defined by the formulae (8) is an isomorphism of  $C(\omega^3; X)$  onto itself.

*Proof.* A careful examination of the formulae (8) shows that  $Ax \in C(\omega^3; X)$  for  $x \in C(\omega^3; X)$ . This follows from the relations  $\lim_{m \to \infty} (\omega^2 m + \omega n + k) = \omega^3$ ,

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} (\omega^2 m + \omega n + k) = \omega^2 (m+1), \quad \lim_{k\to\infty} (\omega^2 m + \omega n + k) = \omega^2 m + \omega (n+1), \text{ the continuity of the function } x \text{ and the definition of } Ax \text{ given by (8)}.$  The linearity of A is obvious and, by (8)

$$||Ax(\alpha)| \le ||x|| + 4 \cdot 2^{-3} ||x|| = (3/2) ||x||,$$

for all  $\alpha \in [1, \omega^3]$ , implying

for all 
$$\alpha \in [1, \omega]$$
, implying
$$||Ax|| \leq (3/2)||x||,$$

for all  $x \in C(\omega^3; X)$ , which is equivalent to the continuity of A.

Now, for  $x \in C(\omega^3; X)$  choose  $\alpha \in [1, \omega^3]$  such that

$$||x(\alpha)|| = \max\{||x(\beta)||: \beta \in [1, \omega^3]\}.$$

Taking into account all the possibilities appearing in formulae (8), we conclude that will be an almost a support of the

ude that 
$$||Ax|| \ge ||Ax(\alpha)|| \ge ||x(\alpha)|| - 2^{-1}||x|| = (1/2)||x||.$$
 Therefore

(10) 
$$||Ax|| \ge (1/2)||x||.$$

The inequalities (9) and (10) show that A is an isomorphism of  $C(\omega^3; X)$ onto  $C(\omega^3; X)$ , which ends the proof of Lemma 3.  $\square$ 

The key tools used in the proof of Theorem 1 will be the following two results concerning the support functionals of convex sets in Banach spaces I THE THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY (Lemmas 5 and 6 below).

Let X be a Banach space,  $X^*$  its conjugate and M a nonvoid closed convex subset of X. A functional  $f \in X^*$  is said to support M (at x) if there exists  $x \in M$ such that  $f(x) = \inf f(M)$  or  $f(x) = \sup f(M)$ . A functional  $f \in X^*$  supports the closed unit ball  $B_X$  of X if and only if there exists  $x \in B_X$  such that f(x) = ||f||. If  $f \neq 0$ , then every  $x \in B_X$  satisfying this equality must be of norm one, i.e., ||x|| = 1. We shall denote by  $\mathscr{S}(M)$  the set of all support functionals of TWG storm convention ) a color the set M.

The following characterization of antiproximinal sets appears in [11]. Other characterizations were given by A.-M. Precupanu and T. Precupanu [22].

LEMMA 4. A nonvoid closed convex subset M of a Banach space X is antiproximinal if and only if

$$\mathscr{S}(M) \cap \mathscr{S}(B_X) = \{0\},\$$

where  $B_X$  denotes the closed unit ball of X.

If X,Y are Banach spaces and  $A:X\to Y$  is an isomorphism then its conjugate  $A^*: Y^* \to X^*$  is an isomorphism, too, and  $(A^*)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^*$  (see [12, Lemma VI.3.7]). The support functionals of a set  $M \subseteq X$  and of its image A(M)

LEMMA 5. [13, Lemma 1]. Let X, Y be two Banach spaces and  $A: X \to Y$  an isomorphism. If M is a nonvoid closed convex subset of X then

(12) 
$$\mathscr{S}(M) = A^*(\mathscr{S}(A(M))).$$

More exactly,

(13) 
$$g \in \mathscr{S}(A(M)) \Leftrightarrow A^*g \in \mathscr{S}(M).$$

The proof of the existence of an antiproximinal convex cell in  $C(\omega^3; X)$ will be based on Lemma 4, so that we need some information about the behaviour of the support functionals of the unit ball of  $C(\omega^3; X)$ .

The characterization of the support functionals of the unit ball of  $C(T) = C(T; \mathbb{R}), T - \text{a compact Hausdorff space, was given by S. I. Zukhovickij}$ [27] in the metric case and by R. R. Phelps [21], in general. The vector-valued case was considered by V. L. Chakalov [5] and L. P. Vlasov [26].

Let v be a countable ordinal and let  $\Delta = [1, v]$ . The dual space of  $C(\Delta; X)$ can be identified with the Banach space  $l^1(\Delta; X^*)$  of functions  $f: \Delta \to X^*$ ,  $f = (f_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta)$ , such that

(14) 
$$||f|| := \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} ||f_{\alpha}|| < \infty.$$

The duality between  $C(\Delta; X)$  and  $l^1(\Delta; X^*)$  is given by the formula

(15) 
$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} f_{\alpha}(x(\alpha)),$$

for  $f = (f_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta)$  in  $l^{1}(\Delta; X^{*})$  and  $x = (x(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta)$  in  $C(\Delta; X)$ .

Denoting by  $B_C$  the closed unit ball of  $C(\Delta; X)$ , we have

LEMMA 6. a) If the functional  $f = (f_{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Delta) \in l^{1}(\Delta; X^{*}), f \neq 0$ , supports . the unit ball  $B_C$  of  $C(\Delta; X)$  at  $x \in B_C$ , then  $f_{\alpha}(x(\alpha)) = ||f_{\alpha}||$  for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$  and  $||x(\alpha)|| = 1$ , for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$  such that  $f_{\alpha} \neq 0$ .

b) Let  $\gamma \in \Delta$  be a limit ordinal and suppose that  $(\alpha_k : k \in \mathbb{N}^+)$  and  $(\beta_k: k \in \mathbb{N}^+)$  are two strictly increasing sequences in  $\Delta$  such that  $\lim \alpha_k =$  $= \lim \beta_k = \gamma$  and  $\alpha_k \neq \beta_l$ , for all  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . Suppose further that two sequences  $(a_k)$  and  $(b_k)$  of strictly positive real numbers and a functional  $h \in X^*$ ,  $h \neq 0$ , are given. If  $f \in l^1(\Delta; X^*)$  is such that  $f_{\alpha_k} = a_k h$  and  $f_{\beta_k} = -b_k h$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ , then  $f \notin \mathcal{S}(B_C)$ .

Antiproximinal Sets

*Proof.* a) Since  $f_{\alpha}(x(\alpha)) \le ||f_{\alpha}|| \cdot ||x(\alpha)||$ , for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , it follows

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \|f_{\alpha}\| = \|f\| = f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} f_{\alpha}(x(\alpha)) \le$$

$$\le \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \|f_{\alpha}\| \cdot \|x(\alpha)\| \le \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta} \|f_{\alpha}\|,$$

implying  $f_{\alpha}(x(\alpha)) = ||f_{\alpha}||$ , for all  $\alpha \in \Delta$ , and  $||x(\alpha)|| = 1$  whenever  $f_{\alpha} \neq 0$ .

b) Suppose that  $h \in X^*$ ,  $\alpha_k$ ,  $\beta_k$ ,  $\gamma \in \Delta$ ,  $\alpha_k$ ,  $b_k > 0$  and  $f \in l^1(\Delta; X^*)$  fulfill the hypotheses of the lemma and suppose, on the contrary, that there exists  $x = (x(\alpha) : \alpha \in \Delta)$  in  $B_C$  such that f(x) = ||f||. Taking into account the first assertion of the lemma, we obtain

$$a_k ||h|| = ||f_{\alpha_k}|| = a_k h(x(\alpha_k))$$

and 
$$b_k ||h|| = ||f_{\beta_k}|| = -b_k h(x(\beta_k)),$$

can be identified with the Flament grade V (XXX ) of the year implying  $h(x(\alpha_k)) = ||h||$  and  $h(x(\beta_k)) = -||h||$ , for all  $k \in \mathbb{N}^+$ . Since both  $(\alpha_k)$ and  $(\beta_k)$  tend to  $\gamma$  for  $k \to \infty$ , these two equalities yield, for  $k \to \infty$ , the contradiction  $h(x(\gamma)) = ||h|| > 0$  and  $h(x(\gamma)) = -||h|| < 0$ .  $\square$ 

Now let  $\Lambda$  be an infinite countable set and let  $c_0(\Lambda; X)$  denote the Banach space of all functions  $x: \Lambda \to X$  such that the set  $\{\lambda \in \Lambda : ||x(\lambda)|| \ge \epsilon\}$  is finite, for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ . The norm on  $c_0(\Lambda; X)$  is given by

(16) 
$$||x|| = \max \{||x(\lambda)|| : \lambda \in \Lambda\}.$$

The conjugate space of  $c_0(\Lambda; X)$  is the Banach space  $l^1(\Lambda; X^*)$  of all functions  $f: \Lambda \to X^*$ ,  $f = (f_{\lambda}: \lambda \in \Lambda)$ , such that

functions 
$$f: \Lambda \to X$$
,  $f = (f_{\lambda}: \Lambda \in \Lambda)$ , such that
$$||f|| := \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} ||f_{\lambda}|| < \infty.$$

The duality between  $c_0(\Lambda; X)$  and  $l^1(\Lambda; X^*)$  is given by

(18) 
$$f(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} f_{\lambda}(x_{\lambda}),$$

for  $f = (f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda)$ , in  $l^{1}(\Lambda; X^{*})$  and  $x = (x(\lambda) : \lambda \in \Lambda)$  in  $c_{0}(\Lambda; X)$ .

A characterization of support functionals of the unit ball of  $c_0(\Lambda; X)$  is given in the following.

LEMMA 7. A functional  $f = (f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda) \in l^{1}(\Lambda; X^{*}), f \neq 0$ , supports the closed unit ball  $B_{c_0}$  of  $c_0(\Lambda;X)$  if and only if there exists a nonvoid finite subset  $\Gamma$  of  $\Lambda$  such that  $f_{\lambda} = 0$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \Gamma$  and  $f_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{S}(B_{\chi}) \setminus \{0\}$  for  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ , where  $B_X$  denotes the closed unit ball of X.

*Proof.* Let  $f \in \mathcal{S}(B_{c_0})$ ,  $f \neq 0$ , and let  $x \in B_{c_0}$  be such that f(x) = ||f||. Reasoning as in the proof of Lemma 6a), we obtain  $f_{\lambda}(x(\lambda)) =$  $=\|f_{\lambda}\|\cdot\|x(\lambda)\|=\|f_{\lambda}\|, \text{ for all } \lambda\in\Lambda, \text{ implying } |f_{\lambda}|=0 \text{ for all } \lambda\in\Lambda \text{ such } 0$ that  $||x(\lambda)|| < 1$ . Since, by the definition of the space  $c_0(\Lambda; X)$  the set  $\Gamma = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : ||x(\lambda)|| = 1\}$  is finite, the necessity part of the lemma is proved.

Conversely, let  $\Gamma$  be a nonvoid finite subset of  $\Lambda$  and let  $f = (f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \Lambda)$  be an element in  $I^1(\Lambda; X^*)$  such that  $f_{\lambda} = 0$  for  $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \Gamma$  and  $f_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{S}(B_X) \setminus \{0\}$ , if  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ . If  $x_{\lambda} \in X$ ,  $||x_{\lambda}|| = 1$ , is such that  $f_{\lambda}(x_{\lambda}) = ||f_{\lambda}||$ , for  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ , and  $x: \Lambda \to X$  is given by  $x(\lambda) = x_{\lambda}$ , for  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ , and  $x(\lambda) = 0$ , for  $\lambda \in \Lambda \setminus \Gamma$  then f(x) = ||f||, showing that  $f \in \mathscr{S}(B_{c_0})$ .  $\square$ 

Now we are ready to proceed to:

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Take again k=3 and denote by  $B_C$  and  $B_{c_0}$  the closed unit balls of  $C(\omega^3; X)$  and  $c_0(\mathbb{N}^3; X)$  respectively. Let  $H: C(\omega^3; X) \to c_0(\mathbb{N}^3; X)$ be the isomorphism from Lemma 2 (defined by the formulae (4)) and let A be the isomorphism of  $C(\omega^3; X)$  onto itself given by the formulae (8) (see Lemma 4). It follows that the set and finite en ( dive s 7, (144) red work of the off.

(19) 
$$V = (HA)^{-1}(B_{c_0})$$

is a convex cell (i.e., a bounded symmetric closed convex body) in  $C(\omega^3; X)$  and let us show that V is an antiproximinal subset of  $C(\omega^3; X)$ . By Lemma 4, this is such that  $\phi(\lambda_{-}) = \max \Lambda_{+}$ . I define law account the formulas (256)

(20) 
$$\mathscr{S}(V) \cap \mathscr{S}(B_C) = \{0\}$$

But, by (19),  $B_{c_0} = HA(V)$ , implying

(21) 
$$\mathscr{S}(B_{c_0}) = \mathscr{S}(HA(V)),$$

which, by Lemma 5, gets indo and 1931 bor (1831 to 1811 of and 1844 start = 6. Also

(22) 
$$\mathscr{S}(V) = \{ (HA)^* f : f \in \mathscr{S}(B_{c_0}) \}.$$

It follows that relation (20) will be a consequence of the following implication

In order to prove (23), suppose that  $f = (f_{\lambda} : \lambda \in \mathbb{N}^3) \in l^1(\mathbb{N}^3; X^*), f \neq 0$ , is a support functional of the unit ball  $B_{c_0}$  of  $c_0(\mathbb{N}^3; X)$ . By Lemma 7, there exists a finite subset, say  $\Gamma = \{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p\}$  of  $\mathbb{N}^3$ , such that  $f_{\lambda} = 0$ , for  $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}^3 \setminus \Gamma$  and  $f_{\lambda} \in \mathscr{S}(B_X) \setminus \{0\}$  for  $\lambda \in \Gamma$ . It follows

$$(24) \qquad (HA)^* f(x) = f(HAx) = \sum_{j=1}^p f_{\lambda_j}((HAx)(\lambda_j)),$$

for all  $x \in C(\omega^3; X)$ . Now, taking into account the formulae (4) defining the isomorphism H, we obtain:

(25a) 
$$(HAx)(0,0,0) = Ax(\omega^3);$$

(25a) 
$$(HAx)(0,0,0) = Ax(\omega^3), m \in \mathbb{N}^+;$$
  
(25b)  $(HAx)(m,0,0) = Ax(\omega^3m) - Ax(\omega^3), m \in \mathbb{N}^+;$ 

(25c) 
$$(HAx)(m,n,0) = Ax(\omega^3 m + \omega n) - Ax(\omega^2 (m+1)), m \in \mathbb{N}, n \in \mathbb{N}^+,$$

(25d) 
$$(HAx)(m,n,k) = Ax(\omega^3 m + \omega n + k) - Ax(\omega^2 m + \omega(n+1)), \quad m,n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}^+.$$

In order to show that  $(HA)^* f \notin \mathcal{S}(B_C)$ , we shall resort to Lemma 6b). Let  $\lambda_j = (m_j, n_j, k_j) \in \mathbb{N}^3$  and  $\phi(\lambda_j) = \omega^3 m_j + \omega n_j + k_j$  if  $\lambda_j \neq (0, 0, 0)$  and  $\phi(0, 0, 0) = \omega^3$ , for j = 1, ..., p. Let also  $\Lambda_1 = \{\phi(\lambda_j) : k_j \geq 1\}$ ,  $\Lambda_2 = \{\phi(\lambda_j) : k_j = 0, n_j \geq 1\}$ , and  $\Lambda_3 = \{\phi(\lambda_j) : n_j = k_j = 0, m_j \geq 1\}$ . If  $\Lambda_1 \neq \emptyset$  pick  $j \in \{1, ..., p\}$  such that  $\phi(\lambda_j) = \max \Lambda_1$ . Taking into account the formulae (25d), (24) and (8d), we get

$$((HA)^*f)_{\alpha_i} = (-1)^i 2^{-m_j-n_j-k_j-i} \cdot f_{\lambda_j},$$

for sufficiently large  $i \in [1, \omega]$ , where  $\alpha_i = \omega^2 m_j + \omega n_j + 2^{k_j} (2i-1) \to \omega^2 m_j + \omega n_j + 2^{k_j} (2i-1) \to \omega^2 m_j + 2^{k_j} (2i-1) \to \omega^2 m_j$ 

$$((HA)^*f)_{\alpha_i} = (-1)^i 2^{-m_j - n_j = i-2} \cdot f_{\lambda_j},$$

for all  $i \in [1, \omega]$  sufficiently large, where  $\alpha_i = \omega^2 m_j + \omega (n_j - 1) + 2i - 1 \rightarrow \omega^2 m_j + \omega n_j$ , for  $i \rightarrow \omega$ . If  $\Lambda = \Lambda_2 = \emptyset$ ,  $\Lambda_3 \neq \emptyset$  and  $\phi(\lambda_j) = \max \Lambda_3$ , then, by (25b), (24) and (8b),

$$((HA)^*f)_{\alpha_i} = (-1)^i 2^{-m_j - i - 2} \cdot f_{\lambda_i},$$

for all  $i \in [1, \omega]$  sufficiently large, where  $\alpha_i = \omega^3(m_j - 1) + \omega i \to \omega^2 m_j$ , for  $i \to \omega$ .

Finally, if  $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda_2 = \Lambda_3 = \emptyset$ , then  $\Gamma = \{(0,0,0)\}$  and, by (25a), (24) and (8a), we obtain

$$((HA)^*f)_{\alpha_i} = (-1)^i 2^{-i-3} \cdot f_{(0,0,0)},$$

for all  $i \in [1, \omega[$ , where  $\alpha_i = \omega^2 i \rightarrow \omega^3$ , for  $i \rightarrow \omega$ .

It follows that in all these cases we can apply Lemma 6b) to conclude that  $(HA)^*f$  is not in  $\mathscr{S}(B_C)$ .

Theorem 1 is completely proved.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. D. Amir, Continuous function spaces with the separable extension property, Bull. Res. Council Israel 10F (1962), 163–164.
- 2. V. S. Balaganskii, An antiproximinal set in a strictly convex space with Fréchet differentiable norm, East J. Approx., 2 (1996), 169–176.
- 3. V. S. Balaganskii, Antiproximinal sets in spaces of continuous functions, Matem. Zametki 60 (1996), 643-657 (in Russian).
- 4. C. Bessaga and A. Pelczynski, Spaces of continuous functions (IV). (On isomorphical classification of spaces C(S)), Studia Math. 19 (1960), 53-62.
- 5. V. L. Chakalov, Extremal elements in some normed spaces, Comptes Rendus Acad. Bulgare des Sciences 36 (1983), 173-176.
- 6. S. Cobzaş Very non-proximinal sets in  $c_0$ , Rev. Anal. Numér. Théorie Approximation 2 (1973), 137–141. (in Romanian).
- 7. S. Cobzaș, Antiproximinal sets in some Banach spaces, Math. Balkanica 4 (1974), 79-82.
- 8. S. Cobzaş, Convex antiproximinal sets in the spaces  $c_0$  and c, Matem. Zametki 17 (1975), 449–457 (in Russian).
- S. Cobzas, Antiproximinal sets in Banach spaces of continuous functions, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théorie Approximation 5 (1976), 127-143.
- 10. S. Cobzaş, Antiproximinal sets in Banach spaces of  $c_0$ -type, Rev. Anal. Numér. Théorie Approximation 7 (1978), 141–145.
- 11. S. Cobzaş, *Antiproximinal sets in the Banach space c(X)*, Commentationes Math. Univ. Carolinae **38** (1997), 247–253.
- 12. N. Dunford and J. T. Schwartz, Linear Operators I. General Theory, Interscience, New York 1958.

- M. Edelstein and A. C. Thompson, Some results on nearest points and support properties of convex sets in c<sub>0</sub>, Pacific J. Math. 40 (1972), 553-560.
- 14. V. P. Fonf, On antiproximinal sets in spaces of continuous functions on compacta, Matem. Zametki 33 (1983), 549-558 (in Russian).
- 15. V. P. Fonf, On strongly antiproximinal sets in Banach spaces, Matem. Zametki 47 (1990), 130-136. (in Russian).
- S. P. Gul'ko and A. V. Os'kin, Isomorphic classification of spaces of continuous functions on totally ordered compacta, Funkc. Analiz i ego Priloženija 9, 1 (1975), 61–62.
- 17. P. R. Halmos, How to Write Mathematics, American Mathematical Society, 1973.
- R. B. Holmes, Geometric Functional Analysis and Its Applications, Springer Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1975.
- 19. V. Klee, Remarks on Nearest Points in Normed Linear Spaces, Proc. Colloq. Convexity, Copenhagen, 1965, p. 161-176, Copenhagen, 1967.
- 20. R. R. Phelps, Subreflexive normed linear spaces, Archiv der Math. 8 (1957), 444-450.
- 21. R. R. Phelps, Some subreflexive Banach spaces, Archiv. der Math. 10 (1959), 162-169.
- 22. A.-M. Precupanu and T. Precupanu, *A characterization of antiproximinal sets*, Analele St. Univ. Iași 33, seria I, Matematica 1987, f. 2, 99–106.
- 23. Z. Semadeni, Banach Spaces of Continuous Functions, PWN Warszawa, 1965.
- 24. W. Sierpinski, Cardinal and Ordinal Numbers, PWN Warszawa, 1965.
- I. Singer, Best Approximation in Normed Linear Spaces by Elements of Linear Subspaces,
   Ed. Academiei and Springer Verlag, Bucharest Berlin 1970.
- 26. L. P. Vlasov, Norm attaining functionals on the space C(Q, X), Matem. Zametki 61 (1997), 45–56.
- 27. S. I. Zukhovickij, On minimal extensions of linear functionals in spaces of continuous functions, Izvestija Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Matem. 21 (1957), 409-422 (in Russian).

V. S. Cliffashi, studynesslapinal acts in thinning success of compresses, personal are from the distributed parasimalism of 1990 per pulping and a studyness of a significant formal and the personal and the studyness of a significant formal and the personal and the studyness of a significant formal and the personal and the studyness of the significant formal and the personal and the studyness of the significant formal and t

The fact of the contract of th

28. D. Werner, Funktionalanalysis, Springer Verlag, Berlin - Heidelberg - New York, 1995.

Received December 15, 1997

Faculty of Mathematics "Babeş-Bolyai" University Ro – 3400 Cluj-Napoca Romania

135'90), 641 (561 fire a moient

E-mail: scobzas@math.ubbcluj.ro

(aplendid) the lock