

ON APPROXIMATION PROPERTIES
OF STANCU-KANTOROVICH OPERATORS*

B. DELLA VECCHIA, D. H. MACHE

1. INTRODUCTION

The Stancu polynomials [14], defined by

$$(1.1) \quad S_n^\alpha(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n \omega_{n,k}^\alpha(x) f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right), \quad x \in I := [0, 1],$$

where

$$\omega_{n,k}^\alpha(x) = \binom{n}{k} \frac{x^{(k, -\alpha)} (1-x)^{(n-k, -\alpha)}}{1^{(n, -\alpha)}},$$

$$x^{(k, -\alpha)} = x(x + \alpha) \dots (x + (k-1)\alpha), \quad \alpha \geq 0,$$

can be used for constructing a class of Stancu-Kantorovich polynomials [13]:

$$(1.2) \quad K_n^\alpha(f; x) = (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^n \omega_{n,k}^\alpha(x) \int_{\frac{k}{n+1}}^{\frac{k+1}{n+1}} f(t) dt.$$

These types of parameter-dependent approximation methods represent interesting natural generalizations of the classical Bernstein-Kantorovich polynomials.

Further, the Stancu polynomials are related to Pólya polynomials often used in Computer Aided Geometric Design (CAGD). (See, e.g., [2], [4] and [5]). Indeed, they have many remarkable properties desirable in graphics, such as affine invariance, the convex hull property, nondegeneracy, interpolation of first and last control points, a recursive evaluation algorithm, a simple subdivision technique, an

* This work is based on research done during the second author's visit at the Istituto per Applicazioni della Matematica-CNR in Naples, in June 1994.

elementary symmetry property, a compact explicit formula, a two-term degree elevation formula and the variation diminishing property. For other properties interesting in approximation theory see, e.g., [3].

In the following, C, C_j ($j \in \mathbb{N}$) denote positive constants which can assume different values in different formulas.

By simple computations we can get explicit expressions for the first and second moments of K_n^α . Indeed, putting $\Omega_{i,x}(t) = (t-x)^i$, with $i \in N_0, n \geq 2$ and $t, x \in I$, we have

LEMMA 1.1. Let K_n^α be given by (1.2). Then

$$(1.3) \quad K_n^\alpha(\Omega_{0,x}; x) = 1,$$

$$K_n^\alpha(\Omega_{1,x}; x) = \frac{1-2x}{2(n+1)},$$

$$(1.4) \quad K_n^\alpha(\Omega_{2,x}; x) = x(1-x) \frac{n\alpha+1}{(\alpha+1)(n+1)^2} + \frac{1}{3(n+1)^2}.$$

Moreover, if

$$0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{C}{n},$$

with C a positive constant, it follows

$$(1.6) \quad K_n^\alpha(\Omega_{2,x}; x) \leq C_1 \left\{ \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} + \frac{\phi^2(x)}{n+1} \psi_n(x) \right\},$$

where

$$\psi_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in E_n \\ 0, & x \in I \setminus E_n, \end{cases}$$

with $E_n := \left[\frac{A}{n}, 1 - \frac{A}{n} \right]$ and A an arbitrary but fixed positive number.

LEMMA 1.2. For a fixed point $x_0 \in I$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{C}{n}$, we have

$$(1.7) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{(n+1)^2(1+\alpha)}{n(n\alpha+1) - (\alpha+1)} K_n^\alpha(R; x_0) = 0,$$

where

$$R(t) := \Omega_{2,x_0}(t) \rho(t-x_0),$$

and ρ is a bounded function with $\lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \rho(u) = 0$, i.e.,

$$(1.8) \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0 \text{ there exists } \delta = \delta(\varepsilon) : |\rho(t-x_0)| < \varepsilon, \quad \forall |t-x_0| < \delta,$$

and

$$(1.9) \quad \forall |t-x_0| \geq \delta, \quad |\rho(t-x_0)| \leq B, \quad B := \sup \{ |\rho(t-x_0)| \}.$$

Proof. Let

$$K_n^\alpha(R(t); x_0) = K_n^\alpha(\Omega_{2,x_0}(t) \rho(t-x_0); x_0) =$$

$$= (n+1) \sum_{k=0}^n \omega_{n,k}^\alpha(x_0) \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} \Omega_{2,x_0}(t) \rho(t-x_0) dt =$$

$$= (n+1) \left\{ \sum_{\left| \frac{k}{n} - x_0 \right| < \delta} + \sum_{\left| \frac{k}{n} - x_0 \right| \geq \delta} \right\} \omega_{n,k}^\alpha(x_0) \int_{\frac{k}{n}}^{\frac{k+1}{n}} \Omega_{2,x_0}(t) \rho(t-x_0) dt =$$

$$=: S_1(x_0) + S_2(x_0).$$

Now, if $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{C}{n}$, from (1.6) and (1.8) we get

$$|S_1(x_0)| \leq \varepsilon |K_n^\alpha(\Omega_{2,x_0}; x_0)| \leq \varepsilon C_1 [n^{-1}(\phi^2(x_0) \psi_n(x_0) + n^{-1})] \leq \varepsilon C n^{-1}.$$

On the other hand, since

$$\left(\frac{k+1}{n+1} - x_0 \right)^2 \leq C \left[\left(\frac{k}{n} - x_0 \right)^2 + n^{-2} \right],$$

by (1.9) it follows

$$|S_2(x_0)| \leq B \left| (n+1) \sum_{\left| \frac{k}{n} - x_0 \right| \geq \delta} \omega_{n,k}^\alpha(x_0) \int_0^1 \left(t + \frac{k}{n+1} - x_0 \right)^2 dt \right| \leq$$

$$\leq BC \left[\sum_{\left| \frac{k}{n} - x_0 \right| \geq \delta} \omega_{n,k}^\alpha(x_0) \left[\left(\frac{k}{n} - x_0 \right)^2 + n^{-2} \right] \right] \leq$$

$$\leq BC \delta^{-2} \sum_{\left| \frac{k}{n} - x_0 \right| \geq \delta} \omega_{n,k}^\alpha(x_0) \left(\frac{k}{n} - x_0 \right)^4 + BC n^{-2}.$$

Now, since [15, p. 56] for $x \in I$,

$$S_n^\alpha(\Omega_{4,x}; x) \leq C_2 \frac{1}{n} \frac{1+\alpha}{n(1+\alpha)} (1+n\alpha), \tag{3.1}$$

with C_2 a positive constant independent of n and x_0 , we get

$$|S_2(x_0)| \leq BC \left[\delta^{-2} C_2 \frac{1}{n} \frac{1+\alpha}{n(1+\alpha)} (1+n\alpha) + n^{-2} \right];$$

therefore, for $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$S_1(x_0) + S_2(x_0) \leq C_3 [\varepsilon n^{-1} + n^{-2} \delta^{-2}],$$

with C_3 a positive constant independent of n and x_0 , from which the assertion follows. \square

Now we can prove the following asymptotic relation of Voronovskaja type for K_n^α .

THEOREM 1.3. *Let $f \in C(I)$ be a bounded and twice differentiable function at a fixed point $x_0 \in I$. Then for $\alpha \leq \frac{C}{n}$,*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n,\alpha} \left\{ K_n^\alpha(f; x_0) - f(x_0) - f'(x_0) \frac{1-2x_0}{2(n+1)} \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \phi^2(x_0) f''(x_0), \tag{1.10}$$

with $c_{n,\alpha} = \frac{(n+1)^2(1+\alpha)}{n(n\alpha+1)-(1+\alpha)}$.

Proof. By expanding the function f by Taylor formula at a fixed point x_0 , we get

$$f(t) = P(t) + R(t), \quad t \in I,$$

with

$$P(t) = \sum_{i=0}^2 \frac{f^{(i)}(x_0)}{i!} \Omega_{i,x_0}(t), \quad \Omega_{i,x_0}(t) = (t-x_0)^i,$$

and

$$R(t) = \Omega_{2,x_0}(t) \rho(t-x_0),$$

where ρ is a function defined in (1.8) – (1.9). Then, from Lemma 1.1,

$$K_n^\alpha(f; x_0) \sum_{i=0}^2 \frac{f^{(i)}(x_0)}{i!} K_n^\alpha(\Omega_{i,x_0}; x_0) = f(x_0) + \frac{1}{2(n+1)} (1-2x_0) f'(x_0) + \frac{1}{2} f''(x_0) \left[\left(\frac{n(n\alpha+1)}{1+\alpha} - 1 \right) \frac{\phi^2(x_0)}{(n+1)^2} + \frac{1}{3(n+1)^2} \right] + K_n^\alpha(R; x_0).$$

Hence, if $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{C}{n}$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n,\alpha} \left\{ K_n^\alpha(f; x_0) - f(x_0) - \frac{1}{2(n+1)} (1-2x_0) f'(x_0) \right\} = \frac{1}{2} \phi^2(x_0) f''(x_0) + \frac{1}{3} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1+\alpha}{n(n\alpha+1)-(1+\alpha)} + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_{n,\alpha} K_n^\alpha(R; x_0).$$

Then, by (1.7) in Lemma 1.2 and since $\frac{1+\alpha}{n(n\alpha+1)-(1+\alpha)} \sim (n-1)^{-1}$, the assertion follows. \square

Remark. In the case $\alpha = 0$ from (1.10) we find the classical result for Kantorovich operator

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n \{ K_n^0(f; x_0) - f(x_0) \} = \frac{1}{2} (\phi^2(x_0) f'(x_0))'. \tag{1.11}$$

The following theorem shows that the order of approximation by K_n^α increases near the endpoints ± 1 of the interval I . To this aim, we recall the Lipschitz type maximal function \tilde{f}_β of order β introduced in [6] and defined as

$$\tilde{f}_\beta(x) = \sup_{t \neq x, t \in I} \frac{|f(x) - f(t)|}{|x - t|^\beta}, \quad x \in I, \beta \in (0, 1].$$

For further applications see also [7-9] and [11].

Denoting by $\omega(f; \delta)$ the usual modulus of continuity of f , we have

THEOREM 1.4. *Let $K_n^\alpha(f)$ be defined by (1.2). Then for $f \in C(I)$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{1}{n}$,*

$$|f(x) - K_n^\alpha(f; x)| \leq \begin{cases} 2\omega \left(f; \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{n\alpha+1}{\alpha+1} x(1-x) + \frac{1}{n} \right)} \right), \\ \tilde{f}_{\beta(x)} \left(\frac{n\alpha+1}{n(\alpha+1)} x(1-x) + \frac{1}{n^2} \right)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}. \end{cases} \tag{1.12}$$

Proof. From the estimates

$$|f(x) - f(t)| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\delta} |x - t| \right) \omega(f; \delta)$$

and

$$|f(x) - f(t)| \leq \tilde{f}_\beta(x) (K_n^\alpha(\Omega_{2,x}; x))^{\frac{\beta}{2}},$$

working similarly as in [7–9] and [11], we get the assertion. \square

Now we want to give direct approximation results for K_n^α operator. To this aim, putting $\|\cdot\| = \|\cdot\|_\infty$ the usual supremum norm on I , we need the following

LEMMA 1.5. Let $K_n^\alpha(f)$ be defined by (1.2) and $\phi(x) = \sqrt{x(1-x)}$. Then for $f \in C^2(I)$ we have

$$(1.13) \quad |f(x) - K_n^\alpha(f; x)| \leq \frac{C}{n} \left(\|f'\|_\infty + \frac{(n\alpha+1)}{\alpha+1} \|\phi^2 f''\|_\infty \right), \quad x \in I,$$

with C a positive constant independent of f , x and n .

Proof. From the second moment of K_n^α (formula (1.6), for $\phi^2(x) < \left(\frac{n(n\alpha+1)}{\alpha+1}\right)^{-1}$), we get

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - K_n^\alpha(f; x)| &= \left| K_n^\alpha \left(\int_x^t f'(v) dv; x \right) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \|f'\|_\infty \sqrt{K_n^\alpha(\Omega_{2,x}; x)} \leq C_1 \frac{\|f'\|_\infty}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$f(t) = f(x) + f'(x) \Omega_{1,x}(t) + \int_x^t \Omega_{1,v}(t) f''(v) dv,$$

and for $v \in [x, t]$ or $v \in [t, x]$ [1, p. 141]

$$\frac{|t-v|}{\phi^2(v)} \leq \frac{|t-x|}{\phi^2(x)},$$

from (1.3) and (1.4) we have for $\phi^2(x) > \left(\frac{n(n\alpha+1)}{\alpha+1}\right)^{-1}$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - K_n^\alpha(f; x)| &\leq \frac{1-2x}{2(n+1)} \|f'\|_\infty + \frac{\|\phi^2 f''\|_\infty}{\phi^2(x)} K_n^\alpha(\Omega_{2,x}; x) \leq \\ &\leq C \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \|f'\|_\infty + \frac{n\alpha+1}{n(\alpha+1)} \|\phi^2 f''\|_\infty \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

that is (1.13). \square

Now we can prove direct results for K_n^α operator. Indeed, letting

$$\omega_\phi^2(f, t)_\infty = \sup_{h \leq t} \|\Delta_{h\phi}^2 f\|_\infty, \quad \phi(x) = \sqrt{x(1-x)},$$

the second modulus of smoothness of Ditzian-Totik [1], we have

THEOREM 1.6. Let $K_n^\alpha(f)$ be defined by (1.2). Then we obtain for $f \in C(I)$

$$(1.14) \quad \|f - K_n^\alpha(f)\|_\infty \leq C \frac{1}{n} \left\{ \|f\|_\infty + \int_{\frac{n\alpha+1}{n(\alpha+1)}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\omega_\phi^2(f, t) dt}{t} \right\},$$

with C a positive constant independent of n and f .

Remark. From (1.14), when $\alpha = 0$, we find the analogous result for the classical Kantorovich polynomial.

Proof. It is similar to the proof of Theorem 3.2 in [10]. First we recall that, if \mathcal{P}_n is the best uniform approximation polynomial of degree less than or equal to n to the function f , i.e.,

$$E_n(f)_\infty := \|f - \mathcal{P}_n\|_\infty \leq C \omega_\phi^2 \left(f; \frac{1}{n} \right)_\infty,$$

then [1, Theorem 7.3.1, p. 84]

$$(1.15) \quad \|\phi^2 \mathcal{P}_n''\|_\infty \leq C_1 n^2 \omega_\phi^2(f; n^{-1})_\infty.$$

By the choice of

$$(1.16) \quad 2^{n-1} \leq \sqrt{\frac{n(\alpha+1)}{n\alpha+1}} \leq 2^n,$$

from Lemma 1.5 and (1.15) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|K_n^\alpha(f) - f\|_\infty &\leq \|K_n^\alpha(f - \mathcal{P}_{2^n})\|_\infty + \|f - \mathcal{P}_{2^n}\|_\infty + \|K_n^\alpha(\mathcal{P}_{2^n}) - \mathcal{P}_{2^n}\|_\infty \leq \\ &\leq 2 \|f - \mathcal{P}_{2^n}\|_\infty + \frac{C_2}{n} \left(\|\mathcal{P}_{2^n}'\|_\infty + \frac{n\alpha+1}{\alpha+1} \|\phi^2 \mathcal{P}_{2^n}''\|_\infty \right) \leq \\ &\leq 2E_{2^n}(f)_\infty + \frac{C_3(n\alpha+1)}{n(\alpha+1)} (2^n)^2 \omega_\phi^2 \left(f; \frac{1}{2^n} \right)_\infty + \frac{C_2}{n} \|\mathcal{P}_{2^n}'\|_\infty \leq \\ &\leq C^4 \left\{ \omega_\phi^2 \left(f; \frac{1}{2^n} \right)_\infty + \frac{n\alpha+1}{n(\alpha+1)} (2^n)^2 \omega_\phi^2 \left(f; \frac{1}{2^n} \right)_\infty \right\} + \\ &+ \frac{C_2}{n} \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^n \|\mathcal{P}_{2^j}' - \mathcal{P}_{2^{j-1}}'\|_\infty + \|\mathcal{P}_1' + \mathcal{P}_0'\|_\infty \right\} =: C_4 L_1 + \frac{C_2}{n} L_2. \end{aligned}$$

Now, from Markov-Bernstein inequality, by (1.16) we get for $j = \frac{2i-1}{2}$,

$$L_1 \leq 2\omega_\phi^2(f; 2^{-n})_\infty \leq 2\omega_\phi^2\left(f; \sqrt{\frac{n\alpha+1}{n(\alpha+1)}}\right)_\infty,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 &\leq C_5 \left\{ E_0(f)_\infty + \sum_{i=3}^n 2^{2i} \omega_\phi^2\left(f; \frac{1}{2^{i-1}}\right)_\infty \right\} \leq \\ &\leq C_6 \left\{ E_0(f)_\infty + \sum_{i=3}^n \frac{C_7}{\ln 2} \int_{2^{1-i}}^{2^{2-i}} \frac{\omega_\phi^2(f, t)_\infty}{t^2} \frac{dt}{t} \right\} \leq \\ &\leq C_8 \left\{ E_0(f)_\infty + \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n(\alpha+1)}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\omega_\phi^2(f, t)_\infty}{t^2} \frac{dt}{t} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$(1.17) \quad \|K_n^\alpha(f) - f\|_\infty \leq C \left\{ \omega_\phi^2\left(f; \sqrt{\frac{n\alpha+1}{n(\alpha+1)}}\right)_\infty + \frac{1}{n} \left\| f \right\|_\infty + \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n(\alpha+1)}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\omega_\phi^2(f, t)}{t^2} \frac{dt}{t} \right\},$$

with C a constant independent of f and n .

The first term in (1.17) on the right-hand side can be dropped, since

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_\phi^2\left(f; \sqrt{\frac{n\alpha+1}{n(\alpha+1)}}\right)_\infty &\leq \frac{C}{n} \omega_\phi^2\left(f; \sqrt{\frac{n\alpha+1}{n(\alpha+1)}}\right)_\infty \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n(\alpha+1)}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{1}{t^3} dt \leq \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n} \int_{\frac{1}{\sqrt{n(\alpha+1)}}}^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\omega_\phi^2(f, t)}{t^2} \frac{dt}{t}, \end{aligned}$$

from which the assertion follows. \square

Remark. By the counterexample

$$x \log x - x = f(x) \in C(I),$$

we remark that the integral term in (1.17) cannot be dropped.

APPENDIX ON STANCU OPERATORS

Let S_n^α be the Stancu operator defined by (1.1). We recall that [14]

$$S_n^\alpha(e_i; x) = e_i(x), \quad i = 0, 1,$$

$$(1.18) \quad S_n^\alpha(e_2; x) = e_2(x) + \frac{x(1-x)}{n} \frac{1+n\alpha}{1+\alpha}$$

and

$$S_n^\alpha(\Omega_{2,x}; x) = \frac{x(1-x)}{n} \frac{1+n\alpha}{1+\alpha}.$$

Then we have

THEOREM A. Let S_n^α be defined by (1.1) and $\phi(x) = \sqrt{x(1-x)}$. Then for $f \in C(I)$

$$(1.19) \quad \|f - S_n^\alpha(f)\|_\infty \leq 2C\omega_\phi^2\left(f; \sqrt{\frac{1+n\alpha}{n(1+\alpha)}}\right)_\infty,$$

with C a positive constant independent of f and n and $\omega_\phi^2(f)_\infty$ the second modulus of smoothness of Ditzian and Totik.

Proof. Following [1, p. 141], we get, for $\bar{f} \in C^2(I)$, by (1.18)

$$\begin{aligned} |S_n^\alpha(f; x) - f(x)| &= \left| S_n^\alpha\left(\int_x^1 (t-u) \bar{f}''(u) du; x\right) \right| \leq \\ &\leq \frac{\|\phi^2 \bar{f}''\|_\infty}{\phi^2(x)} S_n^\alpha(\Omega_{2,x}; x) \leq \frac{1+n\alpha}{n(1+\alpha)} \|\phi^2 \bar{f}''\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, if we denote by $K_\phi^2(f; t) = \inf_g \{ \|f - g\|_\infty + t^2 \|\phi^2 g''\|_\infty \}$ the second K -functional [1], then for all $f \in C(I)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|S_n^\alpha(f) - f\|_\infty &\leq \|S_n^\alpha(f - \bar{f})\|_\infty + \|S_n^\alpha(\bar{f}) - \bar{f}\|_\infty + \|f - \bar{f}\|_\infty \leq \\ &\leq 2\|f - \bar{f}\|_\infty + \frac{1+n\alpha}{n(1+\alpha)} \|\phi^2 \bar{f}''\|_\infty \leq 2K_\phi^2\left(f; \frac{1+n\alpha}{n(1+\alpha)}\right)_\infty \end{aligned}$$

and from the equivalence between the K -functional and the modulus of smoothness [1], we get (1.19). \square

Remark. If $a = 0$ in (1.19), then we find a classical result for Bernstein polynomials (see, e.g., [1, p. 3]).

REFERENCES

1. Z. Ditzian and V. Totik, *Moduli of Smoothness*, Springer-Verlag, New-York, 1987.
2. P. J. Barry and R. N. Goldman, *Interpolation and Approximation of Curves and Surfaces Using Pólya Polynomials*, manuscript, 1988.
3. B. Della Vecchia, *On the approximation of functions by means of the operators of D. D. Stancu*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Math., XXXVII (1992), 3–36.
4. G. Farin and P. J. Barry, *A link between Bézier and Lagrange curve and surfaces schemes*, CAD, **18** (1986), 525–528.
5. R. N. Goldman, *Pólya's urn model and Computer Aided Geometric Design*, SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Meth. **6** (1985), 1–29.
6. B. Lenze, *On Lipschitz-type maximal functions and their smoothness spaces*, Proc. Netherl. Acad. Sci. A **91** (1988), 53–63.
7. B. Lenze, *Local error estimates for Bernstein and Kantorovich polynomials via maximal functions*, Mitt. Math. Ges. Hamburg, **XII**, 3 (1991), 713–722.
8. A. Lupaş and D. H. Mache, *The θ -transformation of certain positive linear operators*, accepted for publication in: Internat. Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences.
9. D. H. Mache and M. W. Müller, *New local error estimates for Meyer-König and Zeller Kantorovich-operators using maximal functions*, Mathematica (Cluj), **34** (57), 2 (1992), 157–165.
10. D. H. Mache and D. X. Zhou, *Best direct and converse results by Lagrange-type operators*, accepted for publication in Approximation Theory and Its Appl.
11. D. H. Mache and D. X. Zhou, *Characterization theorems for the approximation by a family of operators*, to appear in Jour. of Approx. Th., Ergebnisbericht Report No. 115, University of Dortmund (Sept. 1993).
12. G. Müllbach, *Verralgemeinerung der Bernstein- und Lagrange polynome. Bemerkungen zu einer Klasse linearer Polynomoperatoren von D. D. Stancu*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **15** (1970), 1235–1252.
13. Q. Razi, *Approximation of a function by Kantorovich type operators*, Mat. Vesnic. **41** (1989), 183–192.
14. D. D. Stancu, *Approximation of functions by a new class of linear polynomial operators*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **8** (1968), 1173–1194.
15. D. D. Stancu, *Recurrence relations for the central moments of some discrete probability laws*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Cluj, Ser. Math. Mech. **15** (1970), 55–62.

Received January 10, 1997.

B. Della Vecchia

Dipartimento di Matematica
Istituto G. Castelnuovo
Università di Roma La Sapienza
P. Le A Moro, 200185 Roma, Italy

D. H. Mache

Department of Mathematics, LS VIII
University of Dortmund
D-44221 Dortmund, Germany

ON BICRITERIAL TRANSPORT PROBLEMS

DOREL I. DUCA, LIANA LUPŞA EUGENIA DUCA

1. INTRODUCTION

Many mathematical programming problems shape themselves as transport problems. In a recent study, it has been shown that more than a half of the applications of linear programming in managing economic processes come to the solving of some transport problems. We shall present a model below.

Tomatoes are cultivated in the farms A_1, \dots, A_m . The daily average production is a_1, \dots, a_m ware units. The tomatoes are sold in the markets B_1, \dots, B_n . The daily average quantities of tomatoes requested by these markets are b_1, \dots, b_n ware units. It is known that the price of the transport of a ware unit from the farm A_i ($i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$) to the market B_j ($j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$) is c_{ij} . Because tomatoes are perishable, they must be transported as quickly as possible. Let p_{ij} ($i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$) be the perishability percentage, per ware unit, of the ware transported from A_i to B_j . It is requested to find out how much ware must be transported from A_i ($i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$) to B_j ($j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$), so that:

- all the ware is sold;
- in each market, all the ware that is needed is brought;
- the total cost of the transport is the smallest;
- the quantity of the deteriorated ware is the smallest.

If $x_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$ ($i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$) is the quantity of the ware which will be transported from A_i to B_j , then the model of this problem is

$$v - \min \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n c_{ij} x_{ij}, \sum_{i=1}^m \sum_{j=1}^n p_{ij} x_{ij} \right)$$

subject to

$$\sum_{j=1}^n x_{ij} = a_i, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$