

ON THE DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING PRINCIPLE FOR OPTIMAL CONTROL PROBLEMS

NICOLAE PĂPARĂ

1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the optimal control problem of Bolza, formulated by M. R. Hestenes in [1]:

Minimize

$$(1) \quad I^0(x, u, b) = g^0(b) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L^0(t, x(t), u(t), b) dt,$$

when the functions $x = (x^1, x^2, \dots, x^n)$, $u = (u^1, u^2, \dots, u^q)$ and the parameter $b = (b^1, b^2, \dots, b^r)$ are subject to

$$x^i(t) = f^i(t, x(t), u(t), b), \quad t \in [t^0, t^1], \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$h^\alpha(t, x(t), u(t), b) \leq 0, \quad t \in [t^0, t^1], \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq m',$$

$$h^\alpha(t, x(t), u(t), b) = 0, \quad t \in [t^0, t^1], \quad m' < \alpha \leq m,$$

$$t^s = T^s(b), \quad x^i(t^s) = X^{is}(b), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad s = 0, 1,$$

$$I^\gamma(x, u, b) \leq 0, \quad 1 \leq \gamma \leq p',$$

$$I^\gamma(x, u, b) = 0, \quad p' < \gamma \leq p,$$

where

$$I^\gamma(x, u, b) = g^\gamma(b) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} L^\gamma(t, x(t), u(t), b) dt, \quad 1 \leq \gamma \leq p.$$

This problem will be denoted by P .

A system $(u(t), x(t), b)$, $t^0 \leq t \leq t^1$, simply denoted by (u, x, b) , which satisfies the conditions (2) will be called *admissible strategy* for the Problem P . The class of all admissible strategies will be denoted by A . An admissible strategy $(u_*(t), x_*(t), b_*)$, $t_*^0 \leq t \leq t_*^1$ which minimizes I^0 is called *optimal strategy*.

M. R. Hestenes [1] presents several properties of the optimal strategy (first-order necessary conditions and a maximum principle) in the following hypotheses:

1° The state functions x^i are continuous, the control functions u^k are piecewise continuous on $[t_*^0, t_*^1]$.

2° All functions used $f^i, h^\alpha, T^s, X^{is}, g^y, L^l$ are of class C^1 on $[t_*^0, t_*^1]$.

3° Rank $\begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial h^\alpha}{\partial u^k} \\ \delta_{\alpha\beta} h^\beta \end{pmatrix}_{m \times (m+q)} = m$, on $[t_*^0, t_*^1]$,

where $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, m$ denotes the row index and $k = 1, 2, \dots, q$, $\beta = 1, 2, \dots, m$ are column indices.

The results presented in the next paragraph permit the use of those properties such that the conditions 3° or 2° are not fulfilled on the whole interval $[t_*^0, t_*^1]$.

2. THE DYNAMIC PROGRAMMING PRINCIPLE FOR OPTIMAL CONTROL PROBLEMS

Firstly, we consider the following problem:

Minimize

$$I^0(x, u) = \int_{t^0}^{t^1} L^0(t, x(t), u(t)) dt$$

subject to

$$x^i(t) = f^i(t, x(t), u(t)) dt, \quad t \in [t^0, t^1], \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$h^\alpha(t, x(t), u(t)) \leq 0, \quad t \in [t^0, t^1], \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq m',$$

$$h^\alpha(t, x(t), u(t)) = 0, \quad t \in [t^0, t^1], \quad m' < \alpha \leq m,$$

$$t^s = T^s(b), \quad x^i(t^s) = X^{is}(b), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad s = 0, 1.$$

Denote this problem by P_1 and observe that it represents a particular case of Problem P .

LEMMA 2.1. Let $(u_*(t), x_*(t), b)$, $t^0 \leq t \leq t^1$, be an optimal strategy for Problem P_1 . If $[t_1, t_2] \subset [t_*^0, t_*^1]$ and $(u(t), x(t))$, $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$ is admissible strategy for Problem P_1 on the interval $[t_1, t_2]$ with $x(t_1) = x_*(t_1)$ and $x(t_2) = x_*(t_2)$, then $(u_0(t), x_0(t), b_*)$, $t_*^0 \leq t \leq t_*^1$ defined by

$$(7) \quad u_0(t) = \begin{cases} u_*(t), & t_*^0 \leq t \leq t_1, \\ u(t), & t_1 < t < t_2, \\ u_*(t), & t_2 \leq t \leq t_*^1, \end{cases} \quad x_0(t) = \begin{cases} x_*(t), & t_*^0 \leq t \leq t_1, \\ x(t), & t_1 < t < t_2, \\ x_*(t), & t_2 \leq t \leq t_*^1, \end{cases}$$

is an admissible strategy.

Proof. Evidently (u_0, x_0, b_*) satisfies the restraints of Problem P_1 . \square

The next theorems express the optimality property on any interval $[t_1, t_2] \subset [t_*^0, t_*^1]$ of an optimal strategy $(u_*(t), x_*(t), b_*)$, $t_*^0 \leq t \leq t_*^1$.

THEOREM. 2.2. If $(u_*(t), x_*(t), b_*)$, $t_*^0 \leq t \leq t_*^1$ is an optimal strategy for Problem P_1 , then this is an optimal strategy on any interval with fixed extremities $[t_1, t_2] \subset [t_*^0, t_*^1]$.

Proof. Suppose that there exists an interval $[t_1, t_2] \subset [t_*^0, t_*^1]$ on which the restriction of (u_*, x_*, b_*) is not optimal. Then there is an admissible strategy $(u(t), x(t))$, $t_1 \leq t \leq t_2$ with $x(t_1) = x_*(t_1)$, $x(t_2) = x_*(t_2)$ and

$$\int_{t_1}^{t_2} L^0(t, x(t), u(t)) dt < \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L^0(t, x_*(t), u_*(t)) dt.$$

Considering the strategy $(u_0(t), x_0(t), b_*)$, $t_*^0 \leq t \leq t_*^1$ given by (7), this is an admissible strategy. Also, we have

$$\int_{t_*^0}^{t_*^1} L^0(t, x_0(t), u_0(t)) dt < \int_{t_*^0}^{t_*^1} L^0(t, x_*(t), u_*(t)) dt,$$

which contradicts the optimality of (u_*, x_*, b_*) . \square

THEOREM. 2.3. Let $(u_*(t), x_*(t), b_*)$, $t_*^0 \leq t \leq t_*^1$ be an optimal strategy for Problem P . Then the restriction of (u_*, x_*, b_*) on any interval with fixed extremities $[t_1, t_2] \subset [t_*^0, t_*^1]$, is an optimal strategy for the following problem, denoted by P' .

Minimize

$$\frac{t_2 - t_1}{T^1(b) - T^0(b)} g^0(b) + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L^0(t, x(t), u(t), b) dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{x}^i(t) = f^i(t, x(t), u(t), b), \quad t \in [t_1, t_2], \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$h^\alpha(t, x(t), u(t), b) \leq 0, \quad t \in [t_1, t_2], \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq m',$$

$$h^\alpha(t, x(t), u(t), b) = 0, \quad t \in [t_1, t_2], \quad m' < \alpha \leq m,$$

$$x^i(t_1) = X^{i0}(b) + K^{i0}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$x^i(t_2) = X^{i1}(b) + K^{i1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$y^\gamma(x, u, b) \leq 0, \quad 1 \leq \gamma \leq p',$$

$$y^\gamma(x, u, b) = 0, \quad p' < \gamma \leq p,$$

where

$$y^\gamma(x, u, b) = g^\gamma(b) + K^\gamma + \int_{t_1}^{t_2} L^\gamma(t, x(t), u(t), b) dt, \quad 1 \leq \gamma \leq p$$

and the constants K^{is} , K^γ are given by

$$K^{is} = \int_{t_s^0}^{t_s^1} f^i(t, x_*(t), u_*(t), b_*) dt, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad s = 0, 1,$$

$$K^\gamma = \int_{t_2^0}^{t_1^0} L^\gamma(t, x_*(t), u_*(t), b_*) dt + \int_{t_2^1}^{t_1^1} L^\gamma(t, x_*(t), u_*(t), b_*) dt, \quad 1 \leq \gamma \leq p.$$

Proof. We introduce the functions

$$y = (y^1, y^2, \dots, y^p), \quad z = (z^1, z^2, \dots, z^p), \quad w = (w^1, w^2, \dots, w^{p'})$$

by

$$y^j(t) = b^j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r,$$

$$z^\gamma(t) = \int_{t^0}^t L^\gamma(t, x(t), u(t), y(t)) dt, \quad 1 \leq \gamma \leq p,$$

$$w^\gamma(t) = z^\gamma(t^1), \quad 1 \leq \gamma \leq p',$$

for any $t \in [t^0, t^1]$.

Then Problem P can be written as follows:

Minimize

$$\int_{t^0}^{t^1} (L^0(t, x(t), u(t)) + \frac{g^0(y(t))}{T^1(y(t)) - T^0(y(t))}) dt$$

subject to

$$\dot{x}^i(t) = f^i(t, x(t), y(t), u(t)), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$\dot{y}^j(t) = 0, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r,$$

$$z^\gamma(t) = L^\gamma(t, x(t), y(t), u(t)), \quad 1 \leq \gamma \leq p,$$

$$\dot{w}^\gamma(t) = 0, \quad 1 \leq \gamma \leq p',$$

$$h^\alpha(t, x(t), y(t), u(t)) \leq 0, \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq m',$$

$$h^\alpha(t, x(t), y(t), u(t)) = 0, \quad m' < \alpha \leq m,$$

$$g^\gamma(y(t)) + w^\gamma(t) \leq 0, \quad 1 \leq \gamma \leq p',$$

$$t^s = T^s(b), \quad s = 0, 1,$$

$$x^i(t^s) = X^{is}(b), \quad 1 \leq i \leq n, \quad s = 0, 1,$$

$$y^j(t^s) = b^j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq r, \quad s = 0, 1,$$

$$z^\gamma(t^0) = 0, \quad 1 \leq \gamma \leq p,$$

$$z^\gamma(t^1) = c^\gamma, \quad 1 \leq \gamma \leq p',$$

$$z^\gamma(t^1) = -g^\gamma(b), \quad p' < \gamma \leq p,$$

$$w^\gamma(t^s) = c^\gamma, \quad 1 \leq \gamma \leq p', \quad s = 0, 1,$$

for all $t \in [t^0, t^1]$.

This is a P_1 problem and, in accordance with Theorem 2.2, the restriction of (u_*, x_*, b_*) on any interval $[t_1, t_2] \subset [t_*^0, t_*^1]$ is an optimal strategy. Writing the last problem on the interval $[t_1, t_2]$ and returning to the former notations, we obtain Problem P' . \square

Remark 2.4. Problems P and P' have the same Hamiltonian [1]; consequently, all necessary conditions, excepting the transversality condition, have the same form. The transversality condition for Problem P' differs, as $g^0(b)$ becomes

$$\frac{t_2 - t_1}{T^1(b) - T^0(b)} g^0(b).$$

3. COMMENTARIES

1. Taking $t_2 = t_*^1$, the similar particular result given by Șt. Mirică [2] is obtained.

2. As it will be shown paper, the above results are useful for solving the optimal control problem of mechanical systems with velocity restraints.

REFERENCES

1. M. R. Hestenes, *On variational theory and optimal control theory*, SIAM J. Control **3**, 1 (1965), 23–45.
2. Șt. Mirică, *Optimal Control Sufficient Conditions and Synthesis* (in Romanian), Scientific Publishing House, Bucharest, 1990.

Received May 10, 1996.

*Institute of Artillery and Geodesy
Sibiu, Romania*