REVUE D'ANALYSE NUMÉRIQUE ET DE THÉORIE DE L'APPROXIMATION Tome 28, N° 1, 1999, pp. 63-72

A NOTE ON HÖLDER'S TYPE INEQUALITIES AND CONCAVE FUNCTIONS

J. PEČARIĆ and S. ABRAMOVICH

Abstract. In this note there are some theorems and examples that emphasize the relation between Hölder's type inequalities and concave or convex function. In many cases, by the same conditions that are imposed on the sequences $(x_1, \ldots, x_n)/(y_1, \ldots, y_n)$ in order to get bounds for the

will of the war find our daile will be transcalled to transcall were and every every

Hölder's type sums $\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{1/p} y_i^{1/q}$, 1/p + 1/q = 1, p > 1, we get bounds for $\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i f(x_i/y_i)$ when f(x) is a concave function.

1. In [1] the following theorem was proved:

THEOREM A. Let $f(x) \in C'$ be a concave function in $0 < a \le x$ and let the vectors 0 < x, 0 < y, 0 < d satisfy

(1)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i = 1.$$

Let

(2)
$$x_{i}/y_{i} \ge d_{i}/y_{i} \ge d_{j}/y_{j} \ge x_{j}/y_{j}$$

$$i = 1, ..., m \qquad j = m+1, ..., n.$$

Then

(3)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} f(x_{i} / y_{i}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} f(d_{i} / y_{i}).$$

If we replace x_i by $w_i x_i$, y_i by $w_i y_i$ and d_i by $w_i d_i$, we get the following theorem:

THEOREM 1. Let $f(x) \in C'$ be a concave function in $0 < a \le x$ and let the vectors 0 < x, 0 < y, 0 < d and 0 < w satisfy

¹⁹⁹¹ AMS Subject Clasification: 26A51.

(4)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i d_i.$$

Let

Then

(5)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i y_i f(x_i / y_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i y_i f(d_i / y_i).$$

We give here a new proof of Theorem 1 in which we shall use the following result: waterdays and addings the Supposite and any or the of Darada

THEOREM 2. Let the vectors **a** and **b** with elements from (c, d), and let the vector w > 0 satisfy

(6)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i a_i \ge \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i b_i. \qquad k = 1, ..., n.$$

Let $f(x):(c,d)\to\mathbb{R}$ be a concave sectionally smooth decreasing function if the vector **b** is decreasing then

(7)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i f(a_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i f(b_i).$$

Furthermore if in addition to (6)

(6a)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i a_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i b_i$$

holds, then (7) holds if f(x) is concave sectionally smooth function and if f(x) is concave increasing function, b is increasing and instead of (6) we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i a_i \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i b_i. \qquad k = 1, ..., n$$

then (7) holds too.

Proof of Theorem 2. For any values $u, v \in (c, d)$ because of the concavity of f(x)

$$f(u) - f(v) \ge f'_{+}(u)(u - v)$$

$$f(b_i) - f(a_i) \ge f'_+(b_i)(b_i - a_i)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} f(b_{i}) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} f(a_{i}) \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} (b_{i} - a_{i}) f'_{+}(b_{i}) =$$

$$= f'_{+}(b_{n}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} (b_{i} - a_{i}) - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_{i} (b_{i} - a_{i}) (f'_{+}(b_{k+1}) - f'_{+}(b_{k})) \ge 0.$$

The last inequality is a result of (6) and the fact that f(x) is concave decreasing, or of (6) and (6a) and the concavity of f(x).

Remark. In [6 Th3] G. H. Toader proved the following: Let the vectors $0 \le x$, $0 \le z$ be given, and let 0 < y be increasing, then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i^q z_i \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_i^q z_i, \qquad k = 1, ..., n$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}^{p} z_{i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} y_{i}^{p} z_{i}, \qquad k = 1, ..., n$$

for 0 .

It is easy to see that Theorem 2 is an extension of this theorem. We get Toader's theorem from Theorem 2 by the substitution $x_i^q = a_i$, $y_i^q = b_i$, $z_i = w_i$ where $f(x) = x^{p/q}$, 0 < p/q < 1.

THEOREM 3. Let f(x) be a concave function for x > 0 and let the vectors 0 < x, 0 < d, 0 < y and 0 < w satisfy (4) and a finish one of resequentially as double

(8) We seek that should find
$$\sum_{i=1}^k w_i x_i \ge \sum_{i=1}^k w_i d_i$$
, and $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ of value if the angular pair $k = 1, \dots, n-1$ of value $k = 1, \dots, n-1$

if $(d_1/y_1, d_2/y_2, ..., d_n/y_n)$ is decreasing, then (5) is valid.

Proof. Set in Theorem 2
$$w_i \rightarrow w_i y_i$$
, $a_i \rightarrow x_i / y_i$, $b_i \rightarrow d_i / y_i$, $i = 1, ..., n$.

Proof of Theorem 1. We consider a rearrangement of the vectors x, d, v, w, denoted by x^* , d^* , y^* and w^* , such that for each vector, a component (i) before the rearrangement, maintains its position, say component (j). The rearrangement is such that $\{d_i^*/y_i^*,\}$ is decreasing.

In fact with respect to (2) we shall have a rearrangement between the first mcomponents of the vectors and between the rest of the n-m components. Also, such rearrangement keep condition (2), so we have

$$x_i^* / y_i^* \ge d_i^* / y_i^*$$
 $i = 1, ..., m$.

Multiplication by $w_i^* y_i^*$ and addition give

(9)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i^* x_i^* \ge \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i^* d_i^*, \qquad k = 1, ..., m$$

$$d_i^* / y_j^* \ge x_i^* / y_i^*$$
 $j = m+1, ..., n$

(10)
$$\sum_{j=k}^{n} w_{j}^{*} x_{j}^{*} \leq \sum_{j=k}^{n} w_{j}^{*} d_{j}^{*} \qquad j = m+1, ..., n.$$

Of course, we have

(11)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i^* x_i^* = \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_i^* d_i^*.$$

(10) and (11) give

$$\sum_{j=1}^{k} w_i^* x_i^* \ge \sum_{j=1}^{k} w_i^* d_i^* , \qquad k = m+1, ..., n-1.$$

So, x^* , d^* , y^* and w^* satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3, therefore we have Toacker's theorem from Theorem 2 by the substitution $x_i^0 = x_i$, $x_i^0 = x_i$, $x_i^0 = x_i$.

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i}^{*} y_{i}^{*} f(x_{i}^{*} / y_{i}^{*}) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{n} w_{i}^{*} y_{i}^{*} f(d_{i}^{*} / y_{i}^{*}), \quad i = (1)$$

which is with respect to our kind of rearrangement the same as (5). This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

It is easy to realize by both proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 that we get the following result:

THEOREM 4. Let $f(x) \in C'$ be a concave decreasing function and let the vectors 0 < x, 0 < y, 0 < d and 0 < w satisfy: PROOF Statin Theorem 2 by $\Rightarrow a_1 x_1 \cdot x_1 - x_2 \cdot l y_1$, $b_2 \rightarrow a_1^2 l y_2$, c = 1, ..., p

(12)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i x_i \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i d_i.$$

(13)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i y_i f(x_i / y_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i y_i f(d_i / y_i), \qquad k = 1, ..., n.$$

COROLLARY 1. Let f(x) be a concave decreasing function and let x > 0, y > 0, d > 0, w > 0 satisfy (2) and (1). If w is decreasing, then

the respondence assistance for position, and general (ii)

 $\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i y_i f(x_i / y_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_i y_i f(d_i / y_i), \qquad k = 1, ..., n.$

Proof of Corollary 1. It is easy to verify that if w > 0 is decreasing and

Then
$$\sum_{i=1}^k x_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^k d_i, \qquad k=1,...,n.$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i x_i \ge \sum_{i=1}^{k} w_i d_i, \qquad k = 1, ..., n$$

(see also [4], proof of 16.A.2a, page 405). Hence (12) is satisfied and the Corollary follows from Theorem 4.

DEFINITION. For an $X = (x_1, ..., x_n), x_1, ..., x_n$ real numbers, let $x(1) \le ... \le$ $\leq x(n)$ denote the components of X in increasing order, and let (x(1), ..., x(n))denote the decreasing rearrangement of $(x_1, ..., x_n)$.

COROLLARY 2. Let $0 < f(x) \in C'$ be a concave decreasing function, and let $0 < g(y) \in C'$ be a decreasing function. Let

$$(x(1), ..., x(n)), (y(1), ..., y(n)), (d(1), ..., d(n))$$

be the increasing rearrangement of x > 0, y > 0, d > 0 respectively. Let

(1)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k} d_i$$
 and

and

(14)
$$x(i)/y(i) \ge d(i)/y(i) \ge d(j)/y(j) \ge x(j)/y(j),$$

$$i = 1, ..., m, j = m+1, ..., n.$$

Then

(15)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}g(y_{i})f(x_{i}/y_{i}) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} y(i)g(y(i))f(x(i)/y(i)) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} y(i)g(y(i))f(d(i)/y(i)),$$

and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} y(i)g(y(i))f(x(i)/y(i)) \le \sum_{i=1}^{k} y(i)g(y(i))f(d(i)/y(i)), \qquad k = 1, ..., n.$$

Proof of Corollary 2. Let us define

(16)
$$G(x, y) = yg(y)f(x/y).$$

It is easy to see that $\partial G/\partial x$ is increasing in y. Hence it is a L-superadditive function and therefore [4, p. 156] satisfies

(17)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} G(x_i, y_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} G(x(i), y(i))$$

(17) is the left side inequality in (15). The right side inequality follows from Corollary 1 as (g(y(1)), ..., g(y(n))) is decreasing.

2. By very similar considerations as in the proof of theorem A in [1] we get the following additional results

THEOREM 5. Let $0 < f(x) \in C'$ be a concave decreasing function and let $S(x) \in C''$ be a concave increasing function. Let the vectors x > 0, y > 0 and d > 0, satisfy (1) and (14), then

(18)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} S(y_i f(x_i/y_i)) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} S(y(i) f(x(i)/y(i))) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} S(y(i) f(d(i)/y(i)))$$

(19)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} S(y(i)f(x(i)/y(i))) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} S(y(i)f(d(i)/y(i))), \quad k = 1, ..., n.$$

Examples. Let y > 0 be a given vector satisfying

(20)
$$y(1) < d, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i = 1$$

suppose that the set A of vectors x > 0 satisfying

(21)
$$x(i) \ge d, \qquad \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = 1$$

then, there is a vector $0 < d = (d_1, ..., d_n)$ in A such that

(22)
$$d_i = d, \quad i = 1, ..., m,$$

(23)
$$d_i = ky(i), \quad i = m + 1, ..., n,$$

when *m* and *k* satisfy
$$(24) d/y(m) > (1 - md)/\left(1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} y(i)\right) = k \ge d/y(m+1)$$

and for this d, Corollary 2 and Theorem 5 hold.

In [2, Theorem 8] it was proved that such d exists and it was proved that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x(i)^{1/p} y(i)^{1/q} \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} d(i)^{1/p} y(i)^{1/q}, \quad q > 1, \quad 1/p + 1/q = 1.$$

This inequality may be considered as special case of corollary 2 for g(y) = 1, $f(x) = x^{1/p}.$

From Corollary 2 for y, x, d, satisfying (20)–(24) we get the following inequality

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} \cos((\pi x_{i})/(Ly_{i})) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} y(i) \cos((\pi x(i))/(Ly(i))) \leq$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} y(i) \cos((\pi d)/(Ly(i))) + \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} y(i)\right) \cos\left(\pi(1-md)/L\left(\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} y_{i}\right)\right)$$

when L is large enough so that

$$0 \le (\pi x_i)/(Ly_i), \quad (\pi x(i))/(Ly(i)), \quad (\pi d(i))/(Ly(i)) \le \pi/2,$$

$$i = 1, \dots, n.$$

For M large enough so that

$$M - (x(i)/y(i))^2$$
, $M - (x_i/y_i)^2$, $M - (d_i/y(i))^2 \ge 0$ $i = 1, ..., n, r > 1$,

we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{(1/r-1)} (My_{i}^{r} - x_{i}^{r})^{1/r} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}^{(1/r-1)} (My_{i}^{r} - x_{i}^{r})^{1/r} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{m} y_{i}^{(1/r-1)} (My_{i}^{r} - x_{i}^{r})^{1/r} + \left(\sum_{i=m+1}^{n} y_{i}^{r}\right)^{(1/r-1)} (My_{i}^{r})^{r} - (1-md)^{r}$$

3. As we saw in Chapters 1 and 2 the function $f(x) = x^{1/p}$, p > 1 for which $y_i f(x_i/y_i) = y_i^{1/q} x_i^{1/p}$, leads to Hölder's type inequalities may be extended in many cases by replacing it with a concave function. Here we show additional examples of results that may be extended to general concave (or convex func-

In [2, Theorem 5] it was proved that if x is an increasing vector and $y \ge 0$ is a decreasing vector and

(25)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i = 1,$$

(26)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i^{1/q} x_i^{1/p} \le (1/n)^{1/q} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^{1/p},$$

Using the proof of Theorem A, (26) may be extended as follows

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i f(x_i / y_i) \le \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1/n) f(nx_i),$$

where f is a concave function and x is an increasing and y > 0 is a decreasing vector satisfying (25).

In [3, Theorem 1] the following was proven:

Let $a \ge 0$ and $b \ge 0$ be given increasing vectors. Among all increasing vectors d > 0 which satisfy

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} d_{i} \leq \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i}, \qquad k = 1, ..., n,$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} b_{i},$$

For his large enough, so that there exists a unique vector t for which the minimum of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^{-1}(a_i)^2$ is attained at d = t. This t satisfies

$$t_i / a_i \le t_{i+1} / a_{i+1}, \quad i = 1, ..., n-1$$

and if

$$t_k / a_k \le t_{k+1} / a_{k+1}$$
, then $\sum_{i=1}^k t_i = \sum_{i=1}^k b_i$.

This theorem is extended if we recognize that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i^{-1} a_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i (a_i / d_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i f(a_i / d_i),$$

when we replace $f(x) = x^2$ by any convex function, the proof is the same as in [3, Theorem 1].

Here is another example for a possible extension from $f(x) = x^{1/p}$ to a general concave function. The following was proved in [5, Theorem 2]: Suppose that a, b, c, x_i , $y_i \ge 0$, i = 1, ..., n. Let p and q satisfy

$$1/p + 1/q = 1$$
, $p > 1$.

Then for

$$z_{i} = (a/b)^{q/p} y_{i},$$

$$\frac{b+c\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{1/p} y_{i}^{1/q}}{\left(a+c\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)^{1/p}} \leq \frac{b+c\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}^{1/p} y_{i}^{1/q}}{\left(a+c\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{i}\right)^{1/p}}$$

equality holds if and only if $z_i = x_i$, i = 1, ..., n. The second begins about the original colored at a = 1, ..., n. By replacing $f(x) = x^{1/p}$ with a positive concave function we get the following generalization:

Suppose that f(x) > 0 and concave, $a, b, c, x_i, y_i > 0, i = 1, ..., n$ $z_i = y_i / (g^{-1}(b/a))$, where $g^{-1}(x)$ is the inverse function to g(x) = xf(1/x), then

$$\frac{b+c\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_{i}f(x_{i}/y_{i})}{a+c\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}} \leq \frac{b+c\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_{i}f(z_{i}/y_{i})}{\left(\frac{a+c\sum_{i=1}^{n}z_{i}}{ag^{-1}(b/a)+c\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_{i}}\right)}$$

If f(x) is strictly concave then equality holds if and only if $x_i = z_i$, i = 1, ..., n. We get this inequality by a straight forward use of the property of concave function. For instance, if $f(x) = x \ln(1/x)$, 0 < x < 1, then $g(x) = \ln(x)$ and $g^{-1}(x) = e^x$ and so we get the following inequality:

$$\frac{b+c\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}\ln(y_{i}/x_{i})}{\left(a+c\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}\right)\left[\ln\left(ae^{(b/a)}+c\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_{i}\right)-\ln\left(a+c\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}\right)\right]} \le \frac{b+c\sum_{i=1}^{n}z_{i}\ln(y_{i}/x_{i})}{\left(a+c\sum_{i=1}^{n}z_{i}\right)\left[\ln\left(ae^{(b/a)}+c\sum_{i=1}^{n}y_{i}\right)-\ln\left(a+c\sum_{i=1}^{n}z_{i}\right)\right]}.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Abramovich, A Note on Generalizations Hölder's Inequalities via Convex and Concave Functions, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications 152 (1990), 296–303.
- [2] D. C. Barnes, Supplements of Hölder's Inequality, Can. J. Math., XXXVI, 3 (1984), p. 421-435.
- [3] N. N. Chan and K. H. Li, Majorization for A-Optimal Designs, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 142 (1989), p. 101-107.
- [4] A. w. Marshal, I. Olkin, *Inequalities: Theory of Majorization and its Applications*, Academic Press, 1979.
- [5] Y. D. Zhuang, The Beckenbach Inequality and its Inverse, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 175 (1993), p. 118-125.
- [6] G. H. Toader, *Integral and Discrete Inequalities*, Revue D'Analyse Numérique et de Théorie de L'Approximation, **21**, 2 (1992), p. 83–88.

Received September 15, 1996

HIMMEN SHELLOW IN THAT $= 0.417 \times 1$

Faculty of Textile Technology, University of Zagreb Prilaz Baruna Filipovica 126, 41000 Zagreb, Croatia.

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science University of Haifa, Haifa 31905, Israel.

with concentration and the health of the stream of the str

We get this inequality by a strught roward use of the property of concave function. For instance if $f(x) = \ln(x)$ and $g'(x) = \ln(x)$ and $g''(x) = e^{-x}$ and $g''(x) = e^{-$

 $\left(u + c \sum_{i \neq j} x_i \left[\ln \left(u e^{c + c + c} + c \sum_{i \neq j} x_i \right) \ln \left(c + c \sum_{i \neq j} x_i \right) \right] \right)$

 $\left[-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (i+1) \prod_{j=1}^{N} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} (i+1) \prod_{j=1}^{N} (i+1) \prod_{j=1}^{N$