

ON SOME REMARKABLE POSITIVE POLYNOMIAL
OPERATORS OF APPROXIMATION

D. D. STANCU and A. VERNESCU

Dedicated to Professor H. H. Gonska on the occasion of his 50th birthday

Abstract. In this paper there are investigated new approximation properties of a Bernstein type operator, depending on two real parameters c and d ($0 \leq c \leq d$), introduced in 1969 by the first author [19].

A basic result consists in finding the maximum value (2.3) of the mean square error (2.1)–(2.2) of this operator. By using it, is constructed a best quadrature formula, which can be obtained also by means of the polynomial $S_m f$, defined at (3.5).

In the last part of the paper there are established quantitative estimations of approximation in terms of first and second order moduli of smoothness.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. It is known that polynomial approximation represents one of the most beautiful and important part of the constructive theory of functions.

The Lagrange interpolating polynomials have a great practical interest in numerical analysis and approximation theory. Unfortunately they do not always provide uniform convergent sequences of approximation for any continuous function on a compact interval $[a, b]$ of the real axis, no matter how the nodes are prescribed (see, e.g., E. W. Cheney [11]).

In 1905 E. Borel [9] proposed that for the approximation of a function $f \in C[0, 1]$ to construct a polynomial having an expression similar with the Lagrange interpolating polynomial, corresponding to $m + 1$ nodes from $[0, 1]$. Namely, in the case of the equally spaced nodes, it has to be of the form

$$(Q_m f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m q_{m,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right),$$

where $q_{m,k}$ are appropriate polynomials of degree m , which permit that $Q_m f$ to achieve a prescribed accuracy in the process of approximation of the function f .

Following the Borel ideas, S. N. Bernstein [7] has the merit to select, in 1912, for $q_{m,k}$ the basic polynomials

$$(1.1) \quad p_{m,k}(x) = \binom{m}{k} x^k (1-x)^{m-k}.$$

It should be mentioned that he was inspired by the binomial probability distribution and that he has investigated the convergence of the polynomials

$$(1.2) \quad (B_m f)(x) = B_m(f(t); x) = \sum_{k=0}^m p_{m,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right)$$

by using the weak Bernoulli law of large numbers.

1.2. In 1969 the first author has introduced in [19] the following generalization of the Bernstein polynomials

$$(1.3) \quad P_m^{(c,d)}(f(t); x) = (P_m^{(c,d)} f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m p_{m,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k+c}{m+d}\right),$$

where c and d are real parameters, independently of m , satisfying the relations: $0 \leq c \leq d$.

This polynomial is characterized by the fact that it uses equally spaced nodes, with the step $h = \frac{1}{m+d}$ and the starting point $x_0 = \frac{c}{m+d}$. If $0 < c \neq d$ then it does not coincide at any node with the function f ; if $c = 0$ and $d \neq c$ then it coincides with f at $x_0 = 0$, while if $0 < c = d$ then it coincides with f at $x_m = 1$. For $c = d = 0$ we obtain the classical Bernstein polynomial $B_m f$, which coincides with f at $x_0 = 0$ and $x_m = 1$.

In the monograph of F. Altomare and M. Campiti [3] the operator defined at (1.3) was called: „the operator of Bernstein-Stancu” (pag. 117 and 220).

In the paper [19] of the author there was established the following representation of (1.3) in terms of finite differences

$$(1.4) \quad (P_m^{(c,d)} f)(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m \binom{m}{j} \left(\Delta_{\frac{1}{m+d}}^j f \right) \left(\frac{c}{m+d} \right) x^j,$$

as well as an expression by means of divided differences

$$(P_m^{(c,d)} f)(x) = \sum_{j=0}^m m^{[j]} \left[\frac{c}{m+d}, \frac{c+1}{m+d}, \dots, \frac{c+j}{m+d}; f \right] \left(\frac{x}{m+d} \right)^j,$$

where $m^{[j]} = m(m-1)\dots(m-j+1)$, the brackets representing the symbol of divided differences.

1.3. For the monomials e_0, e_1 and e_2 , where $e_j(t) = t^j$ ($j \geq 0$), where $t \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$(1.5) \quad \begin{aligned} P_m^{(c,d)} e_0 &= e_0; & (P_m^{(c,d)} e_1)(x) &= x + \frac{c-dx}{m+d}, \\ (P_m^{(c,d)} e_2)(x) &= x^2 + \frac{1}{(m+d)^2} [mx(1-x) + (c-dx)(2mx+dx+c)]. \end{aligned}$$

Because for these „test functions” we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} P_m^{(c,d)} e_j = e_j \quad (j = 0, 1, 2),$$

uniformly on the interval $[0, 1]$, according to the Bohman-Korovkin convergence criterion there was possible to state the following result: if $f \in C[0, 1]$ then the sequence of polynomials $(P_m^{(c,d)} f)$ converges uniformly to the function f on the interval $[0, 1]$.

1.4. We mention also that for the eigenvalues of the operator $P_m^{(c,d)}$ we have obtained the following expressions

$$\lambda_{m,r}(P_m^{(c,d)}) = \frac{m^{[r]}}{(m+d)^r} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{m}\right) \dots \left(1 - \frac{r-1}{m}\right) \left(\frac{m}{m+d}\right)^r,$$

where $r = 0, 1, \dots, m$. One can see that these quantities do not depend on the value of the parameter c .

In the case of Bernstein operator ($c = d = 0$) the point spectrum was first found in [10]. In [6] it has been given a characterization of B_m by using the eigenvalue $\lambda_{m,2} = \lambda_{m,2}(B_m)$.

Because in our case

$$\lambda_{m,2}(P_m^{(c,d)}) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{m}\right) \left(1 + \frac{d}{m}\right)^{-2} \leq \lambda_{m,2}(B_m) = 1 - \frac{1}{m},$$

by using a theorem given in [6], we conclude that the best result can be achieved when $c = d = 0$, that is in the case of Bernstein operator B_m .

1.5. The Bleimann, Butzer, Hahn (BBH) rational operator [8], given by

$$(L_m f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^m} f\left(\frac{k}{m+1-k}\right) \quad (x \geq 0),$$

can be obtained from the operator $P_m^{(0,1)}$ (see [15], [1], [5] and [2]) using the rational transformation $t = \frac{x}{1+x}$ ($x \geq 0$). By means of this transformation the operator (1.3) leads to a BBH type operator having the nodes: $x_{m,k}^{c,d} = (k+c)/(m+d-k-c)$, where $0 \leq c \leq d$. If we choose $c = a$ and $d = a+1$ ($a \geq 0$), then we obtain a BBH type operator investigated recently by O. Agratini [5]:

$$(L_m^{(a)} f)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{m}{k} \frac{x^k}{(1+x)^m} f\left(\frac{k+a}{m+1-k}\right).$$

1.6. Concerning the remainder of the approximation formula

$$(1.6) \quad f(x) = (P_m^{(c,d)} f)(x) + (R_m^{(c,d)} f)(x),$$

in the paper [19] there was established the following representation, in terms of first and second order divided differences:

$$(R_m^{(c,d)} f)(x) = \frac{dx-c}{m+d} \sum_{k=0}^m p_{m,k}(x) \left[x, \frac{k+c}{m+d}; f \right] - \frac{mx(1-x)}{(m+d)^2} \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} p_{m-1,k}(x) \left[x, \frac{k+c}{m+d}, \frac{k+c+1}{m+d}; f \right].$$

In the case $c = d = 0$, when (1.3) becomes the Bernstein polynomial $B_m f$, it reduces to an expression obtained already in 1964 by the first author [18]:

$$(R_m f)(x) = \frac{x(x-1)}{m} \sum_{k=0}^m p_{m-1,k}(x) \left[x, \frac{k}{m}, \frac{k+1}{m}; f \right].$$

In a recent paper O. Agratini [4] studied the monotonicity properties of the sequence of polynomials (1.3).

In order to investigate the simultaneous approximation properties of the operator (1.3) there was established in [20] the following formula

$$(P_m^{(c,d)} f)^{(r)}(x) = m^{[r]} \sum_{j=0}^{m-r} p_{m-r,j}(x) \left(\Delta_{\frac{1}{m+d}}^r f \right) \left(\frac{j+c}{m+d} \right),$$

where $0 \leq r \leq m$. By using it, there was proved the following result: if $f \in C^r[0, 1]$ then we have

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} (P_m^{(c,d)} f)^{(r)} = f^{(r)},$$

uniformly on the interval $[0, 1]$.

2. THE MEAN SQUARE ERROR OF THE OPERATOR $P_m^{(c,d)}$

2.1. Since the rate of convergence of the operators (1.3) is characterized by the value of the mean square error

$$(2.1) \quad e_m^2(x; c, d) = P_m^{(c,d)}((t-x)^2; x),$$

we next make an examination of it.

It is obvious that we can write

$$e_m^2(x; c, d) = P_m^{(c,d)}(t^2; x) - 2x P_m^{(c,d)}(t; x) + x^2,$$

According to (1.5) we have

$$(2.2) \quad e_m^2(x; c, d) = \frac{mx(1-x) + (dx-c)^2}{(m+d)^2}.$$

The variance of the operator $P_m^{(c,d)}$ is defined by

$$v_m(x; c, d) = P_m^{(c,d)}(e_1 - P_m^{(c,d)} e_1)^2(x) = (P_m^{(c,d)} e_2)(x) - \left[(P_m^{(c,d)} e_1)^2(x) \right]^2.$$

If we take into consideration the identities (1.5) we obtain

$$v_m(x; c, d) = \frac{mx(1-x)}{(m+d)^2}$$

and one observes that it does not depend on the parameter c .

2.2. In order to see how well a function $f \in C[0, 1]$ can be approximated by the polynomial (1.3), we need to find the maximum value of (2.2) on the interval $[0, 1]$.

We shall now present a basic result of this paper.

THEOREM 2.1. *If $m > d^2$, then the maximum value on $[0, 1]$ of the mean square error (2.2) can be represented under the form*

$$(2.3) \quad M_m^{(c,d)} = \frac{m}{4(m+d)^2} \left[1 + \frac{(d-2c)^2}{m-d^2} \right].$$

Proof. It is known that if we have a polynomial of second degree, with real coefficients: $P_2(x) = Ax^2 + Bx + C$ and $A < 0$, then the maximum value of this polynomial is given by $P_2\left(-\frac{B}{2A}\right) = -\frac{\Delta}{4A}$, where $\Delta = B^2 - 4AC$. In our case we have $A = d^2 - m$, $B = m - 2cd$, $C = c^2$. Consequently, we find that this maximum value is given by

$$M_m^{(c,d)} = \frac{m^2 - 4c(d-c)m}{4(m+d)^2(m-d^2)} = \frac{m}{4(m+d)^2} \left[1 + \frac{(d-2c)^2}{m-d^2} \right].$$

Now it is clear that we can formulate an important consequence of this theorem.

COROLLARY 2.1. *The least maximum value (2.2) is attained for $d = 2c$ ($c \geq 0$) and it is*

$$v_m(c) = M_m^{(c,2c)} = \frac{m}{4(m+2c)^2} \leq \frac{1}{4m} = v_m(0).$$

In this case we have the approximating polynomials

$$(2.4) \quad \left(P_m^{(c,2c)} f \right)(x) = \left(S_m^{(c)} f \right)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m p_{m,k}(x) f\left(\frac{k+c}{m+2c}\right) \quad (c \geq 0),$$

which are important in numerical integration of functions.

3. A BEST QUADRATURE FORMULA

3.1. By using the approximation formula (1.6) we can obtain the following numerical quadrature procedure

$$(3.1) \quad \int_0^1 f(x) dx = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m f\left(\frac{k+c}{m+d}\right) + r_m^{(c,d)}(f),$$

because

$$\int_0^1 p_{m,k}(x) dx = \binom{m}{k} \frac{\Gamma(k+1)\Gamma(m-k+1)}{\Gamma(m+2)} = \frac{1}{m+1}.$$

For the monomials e_0, e_1, e_2 the remainder of (3.1) takes the values

$$r_m^{(c,d)}(e_0) = 0, \quad r_m^{(c,d)}(e_1) = \frac{d-2c}{2(m+d)},$$

$$r_m^{(c,d)}(e_2) = -\frac{m+6c(m+c)-2d(2m+d)}{6(m+d)^2}.$$

It is easy to see that if we choose $d = 2c$ then the degree of exactness of the corresponding quadrature formula is $N = 1$, although the operator $P_m^{(c,2c)}$ does not reproduce the linear functions.

This can be written under the following form

$$(3.2) \quad \int_0^1 f(x) dx = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m f\left(\frac{k+c}{m+2c}\right) + K_m(c) f''(\xi),$$

where $0 < \xi < 1$ and

$$K_m(c) = -\frac{m-2c(m+c)}{12(m+2c)^2} = \frac{(2c-1)m+2c^2}{12(m+2c)^2}.$$

In the special case $c = 0$ formula (3.2) will be

$$(3.3) \quad \int_0^1 f(x) dx = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m f\left(\frac{k}{m}\right) - \frac{1}{12m} f''(\xi)$$

and it corresponds to the approximation of the function f by the Bernstein polynomial $B_m f$.

3.2. One observes that the least value of $K_m(c)$ is obtained for $c = \frac{1}{2}$, when formula (3.2) becomes

$$(3.4) \quad \int_0^1 f(x) dx = \frac{1}{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^m f\left(\frac{2k+1}{2m+2}\right) + \frac{1}{24(m+1)^2} f''(\xi)$$

which represent the well known composite midpoint or rectangular quadrature formula.

Therefore by using the Bernstein type polynomial

$$(3.5) \quad \left(P_m^{(\frac{1}{2},1)} f \right)(x) = \left(S_m f \right)(x) = \sum_{k=0}^m p_{m,k}(x) f\left(\frac{2k+1}{2m+2}\right)$$

we obtain the best quadrature formula having the form (3.1), namely formula (3.4).

In this case the least maximum value of the mean square error is $v_m\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{m}{4(m+1)^2}$.

4. QUANTITATIVE ESTIMATIONS OF APPROXIMATION

4.1. Now we establish some estimates of the order of approximation of a function $f \in C[0, 1]$ by means of the polynomials (1.3).

Since the constants are reproduced by the operator defined at (1.3), according to a known result (see, e.g., [17] or [12]), we can write

$$\left| f(x) - \left(P_m^{(c,d)} f \right)(x) \right| \leq \left[1 + \gamma^{-2} P_m^{(c,d)} \left((t-x)^2; x \right) \right] \omega_1(f; \gamma),$$

where ω_1 represents the first order modulus of continuity and $\gamma > 0$.

If we take into account (2.1) and (2.2), we obtain

$$(4.1) \quad \left| f(x) - \left(P_m^{(c,d)} f \right)(x) \right| \leq \left[1 + \gamma^{-2} e_m^2(x; c, d) \right] \omega_1(f; \gamma).$$

Now we can state the following important result.

THEOREM 4.1. *If $m > d^2$, then in the sup norm we can write the inequality*

$$(4.2) \quad \| f - P_m^{(c,d)} f \| \leq \left\{ 1 + \frac{m}{4(m+d)} \left[1 + \frac{(d-2c)^2}{m-d^2} \right] \right\} \omega_1 \left(f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+d}} \right).$$

Proof. The idea here is to take into consideration the fact that the maximum value of (2.2) is given at (2.3) and then to choose $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{m+d}$.

In the special case of the operator $S_m^{(c)}$, defined at (2.4), we obtain the inequality

$$\| f - S_m^{(c)} \| \leq \left[1 + \frac{m}{4(m+2c)} \right] \omega_1 \left(f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+2c}} \right).$$

It can be written also under the following form

$$(4.3) \quad \| f - S_m^{(c)} f \| \leq \left(\frac{5}{4} - \frac{c}{2(m+2c)} \right) \omega_1 \left(f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+2c}} \right).$$

For $c = 0$ it reduces to the inequality of Popoviciu-Lorentz ([16], [14]):

$$(4.4) \quad \| f - B_m f \| \leq \frac{5}{4} \omega_1 \left(f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}} \right).$$

In the case of the polynomial $S_m f$, defined at (3.5), we find the following inequality

$$\| f - S_m f \| \leq C_m \omega_1 \left(f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+1}} \right),$$

where $C_m = \frac{1}{4} \left(5 - \frac{1}{m+1} \right)$.

If we replace in (4.1) $\gamma = \alpha \delta$, ($\alpha, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$), we obtain

$$\left| f(x) - \left(P_m^{(c,d)} f \right)(x) \right| \leq \left[1 + (\alpha \delta)^{-2} e_m^2(x; c, d) \right] \omega_1(f; \alpha \delta).$$

In the case $c = d = 0$, by selecting $\delta = \sqrt{\frac{x(1-x)}{m}}$, we get

$$\left| f(x) - (B_m f)(x) \right| \leq \left(1 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \right) \omega_1 \left(f; \alpha \sqrt{\frac{x(1-x)}{m}} \right).$$

This inequality permits to see that, indeed, the Bernstein polynomials are interpolatory in $x = 0$ and $x = 1$.

Because on $[0, 1]$ we have $x(1-x) \leq \frac{1}{4}$, by choosing $\alpha = 2$, we arrive at the classical inequality (4.4).

4.2. Now let us use the second order modulus of smoothness

$$\omega_2(f; \gamma) = \sup \{ |f(x-h) - 2f(x) + f(x+h)| : x, x \pm h \in [a, b], 0 \leq h \leq \gamma \},$$

where $0 \leq \gamma \leq \frac{1}{2}(b-a)$.

By using both moduli ω_1 and ω_2 one can find estimates of the approximation of the function f by means of the operator (1.3).

For this purpose we can use an inequality of H. H. Gonska and R. K. Kovacheva [13], included in

LEMMA 4.1. *If $K = [a, b]$ is a compact interval of the real axis and $K' = [a', b']$ is a subinterval of it, and if we assume that $L : C(K) \rightarrow B(K')$ is a positive operator, such that $L(1; x) = 1$ and $0 < \gamma < \frac{1}{2}(b-a)$, then we have*

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - L(f(t); x)| &\leq \frac{2}{\gamma} |L(t-x; x)| \omega_1(f; \gamma) + \\ &+ \left[\frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{2\gamma} |L(t-x; x)| + \frac{3}{4\gamma^2} L((t-x)^2; x) \right] \omega_2(f; \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

If we take into account the relations (1.5) and (2.2) we obtain the inequality

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| f(x) - \left(P_m^{(c,d)} f \right)(x) \right| &\leq \frac{2}{\gamma} \cdot \frac{|c-dx|}{m+d} \omega_1(f; \gamma) + \\ &+ \left[\frac{3}{2} + \frac{3}{2\gamma} \cdot \frac{|c-dx|}{m+d} + \frac{3}{4\gamma^2} e_m^2(x; c, d) \right] \omega_2(f; \gamma). \end{aligned}$$

This implies the following

$$\| f - P_m^{(c,d)} \| \leq \frac{2}{\gamma} \cdot \frac{d}{m+d} \omega_1(f; \gamma) +$$

$$+ \left\{ \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3d}{2\delta(m+d)} + \frac{3m}{4(m+d)^2\delta^2} \left[1 + \frac{(d-2c)^2}{m-d^2} \right] \right\} \omega_2(f; \gamma).$$

If we choose $\gamma = 1/\sqrt{m+d}$, then we get

$$\|f - P_m^{(c,d)}\| \leq \frac{2d}{\sqrt{m+d}} \omega_1\left(f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+d}}\right) + \left\{ \frac{3}{2} + \frac{3d}{2\sqrt{m+d}} + \frac{3m}{4(m+d)} \left[1 + \frac{(d-2c)^2}{m-d^2} \right] \right\} \omega_2\left(f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+d}}\right).$$

For the operator $S_m^{(c)} = P_m^{(c,2c)}$ we obtain

$$\|f - S_m^{(c)} f\| \leq \frac{4c}{\sqrt{m+2c}} \omega_1\left(f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+2c}}\right) + 3 \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{c}{\sqrt{m+2c}} + \frac{m}{4(m+2c)} \right] \omega_2\left(f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m+2c}}\right).$$

In the case $c=0$ we get the estimation: $\|f - B_m f\| \leq \frac{9}{4} \omega_2\left(f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\right)$.

But if we replace in (4.5) $\gamma = \alpha\delta$ ($\alpha, \delta \in \mathbb{R}_+$), in the case $c=d=0$, we find

$$|f(x) - (B_m f)(x)| \leq \frac{3}{4} \left(2 + \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \right) \omega_2\left(f; \alpha \sqrt{\frac{x(1-x)}{m}}\right).$$

Selecting the parameter α such that we have $\alpha \max_{x \in [0,1]} \sqrt{x(1-x)} = 1$ on $[0, 1]$, we find that we have to take $\alpha = 2$ and we arrive at the important inequality

$$\|f - B_m f\| \leq C \omega_2\left(f; \frac{1}{\sqrt{m}}\right),$$

where $C = \frac{27}{16} = 1.6875$.

This inequality was first given in 1994 in the work [13].

REFERENCES

1. U. Abel, *On the asymptotic approximation with operators of Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn*, Indag. Math. (N.S.), **7** (1996), 1-9.
2. U. Abel and D. M. Ivan, *Some identities for the operator of Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn*, Calcolo (to appear).
3. F. Altomare and M. Campiti, *Korovkin-Type Approximation and Its Applications*, de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1994.

4. O. Agratini, *On the monotonicity of a sequence of Stancu-Bernstein type operators*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Cluj, **41** (1996), 17-23.
5. O. Agratini, *A class of Bleimann, Butzer and Hahn type operators*, Analele Univ. Timișoara, **34** (1996), 173-180.
6. H. Berens and R. DeVore, *A characterization of Bernstein polynomials*, Approximation Theory III (Proc. Conf. Univ. Texas, Austin, Tex., 1980), 213-219, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
7. S. N. Bernstein, *Démonstration du théorème de Weierstrass fondée sur le calcul de probabilités*, Commun. Soc. Math. Kharkov, **13** (1912), 1-2.
8. G. Bleimann, P. L. Butzer and L. Hahn, *A Bernstein-type operator approximating continuous functions on the semi-axis*, Indag. Math., **42** (1980), 255-262.
9. E. Borel, *Leçons sur les Fonctions de Variables Réelles*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1905.
10. G. Călugăreanu, *On operators of S. N. Bernstein. Spectra of operators*, Gazeta Matem. (A), **71** (1966), 448-451.
11. E. W. Cheney, *Introduction to Approximation Theory*, Chelsea Publ. Comp., New York, 1982.
12. H. H. Gonska and J. Meier, *Quantitative theorems on approximation by Bernstein-Stancu operators*, Calcolo, **21** (1984), 317-335.
13. H. H. Gonska and R. K. Kovacheva, *The second order modulus revisited: remarks, applications, problems*, Conferenze dei Seminario di Matematica Univ. Bari, **257** (1994), 1-32.
14. G. G. Lorentz, *Bernstein Polynomials*, Univ. Toronto Press, 1953.
15. A. McD. Mercer, *A Bernstein-type operator approximating continuous functions on the half-line*, Bull. Calcutta Math. Soc., **31** (1989), 133-137.
16. T. Popoviciu, *Sur l'approximation des fonctions convexes d'ordre supérieur*, Mathematica, **10** (1935), 49-54.
17. O. Shisha and B. Mond, *The degree of convergence of sequences of linear positive operators*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., USA, (1968), 1196-1200.
18. D. D. Stancu, *The remainder of certain linear approximation formulas in two variables*, J. SIAM Numer. Anal., Ser. B, **1** (1964), 137-163.
19. D. D. Stancu, *On a generalization of the Bernstein polynomials*, Studia Univ. Babeş-Bolyai, Cluj, **14** (1969), 31-45.
20. D. D. Stancu, *Use of linear interpolation for constructing a class of Bernstein polynomials*, Studii Cercet. Matem. **28** (1976), 369-379.

Received March 5, 1999

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics
 "Babeş-Bolyai" University
 3400 Cluj-Napoca
 Romania
 Colegiul Național de Informatică "Tudor Vianu"
 Str. Arh. Ion Mincu 10
 71301 București
 Romania